Cambridge Bible

Schools and Colleges

ing a group that any or but with

Library of the University of Wisconsin



Reserve 2nd Sem. 1957-58—2 hour Reserve 1st Sem. 1958-59—2 hour Reserve 2nd Sem. 1958-59—2 hour a tradition of the world

THE CAMBRIDGE BIBLE
FOR SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES
GENERAL EDITOR FOR THE OLD TESTAMENT:

A. F. KIRKPATRICK, D.D.

THE BOOK OF
DEUTERONOMY

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS C. F. CLAY, MANAGER LONDON: FETTER LANG. E.C. 4



NEW YORK: G. P. PUTNAM'S SONS BOMBAY, CALCUTTA, MADRAS: MACMILLAN AND CO., Ltd. TORONTO: J. M. DENT AND SONS, Ltd. TOKYO: THE MARUZEN-KABUSHIKI-KAISHA

All rights reserv

Bible, English. THE BOOK OF

DEUTERONOMY

In the Revised Version

With Introduction and Notes

by

SIR GEORGE ADAM SMITH Principal and Vice-Chancellor, University of Aberdeen

Hon. D.D. Edinburgh, Dublin and Yale Hon. LL.D. Aberdeen, St Andrews and Western Reserve Hon. Litt.D. Cambridge Fellow of the British Academy

> Cambridge: at the University Press

1918

Digitized by Google

ERSITY OF WISCONSIN

300284 FEB -5 1926 CBAO PREFACE

GENERAL EDITOR FOR THE OLD TESTAMENT

THE present General Editor for the Old Testament in the Cambridge Bible for Schools and Colleges desires to say that, in accordance with the policy of his predecessor the Bishop of Worcester, he does not hold himself responsible for the particular interpretations adopted or for the opinions expressed by the editors of the several Books, nor has he endeavoured to bring them into agreement with one another. It is inevitable that there should be differences of opinion in regard to many questions of criticism and interpretation, and it seems best that these differences should find free expression in different volumes. He has endeavoured to secure, as far as possible, that the general scope and character of the series should be observed, and that views which have a reasonable claim to consideration should not be ignored, but he has felt it best that the final responsibility should, in general, rest with the individual contributors.

A. F. KIRKPATRICK.

CAMBRIDGE.

Digitized by Google

INIVERSITY OF WISCON

PREFACE

THE Commentary which constitutes the bulk of this volume was practically complete by 1914. I regret that other duties have prevented me till now from finishing the Introduction. While writing this I have carefully revised the Commentary. I am greatly indebted to the General Editor of the Series for his patience with my work and for the many valuable suggestions he has made with regard to it.

The Dusteronomy of the late Professor Driver, in the

International Critical Commentary, is the standard English work on the subject; its wide learning and wise judgement ensure its continuance as the basis of all subsequent studies of the Book in our language. It admirably gathers up and appraises the results of a long era of Bibliotal Criticians and the standard of the standard standar

Such work as I have done in this volume I desire to dedicate to the memory of two great scholars, long and closely associated in the study and interpretation of the Old Testament, FRANCIS BROWN and SAMUEL ROILES DRIVER, in gratitude for all that I have learned from them and for the friendship with which they honoured me.

GEORGE ADAM SMITH

UNIVERSITY OF ABERDEEN 15 March 1918

Digitized by Google

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONS

CONTENTS

INTRODUC	TION						PAGE
§ 1.	Names						ix-
§ 2.	General Content, Structure, and Style						x-xvi
\$ 3-	Standpoint, Doctrine and Spirit						xviii—xxxi
8 4-	Desteronomy and the Law Book of Josiah						xl-xli
8 5-	Question	s of L	Inity				xlv-xlv
§ 6.	The Relations of the Main Divisions— The Code and the Discourses						xlvii—lx
\$ 7-	The Cro	ss-Div	risions				lxii-lxx
€.8.	The Fo		Add				lxxiii—lxxxvi
\$ 9-	Editoria	I Fact	ors				lxxxviii—xc
§ 10.	Conclusions as to Unity						xci-cxi
§ 11.	The Ages of the Book and of its Contents						cxiv-c
§ 12.	Resulting Questions and their Answers						ex-ex
TEXT AND	NOTES						1-38
APPENDIX							382-39

INTRODUCTION

- § 1. Names § 2. General Content, Structure, and Style
- § 3. Standpoint, Doctrine and Spirit
- § 4. Deuteronomy and the Law Book of Josiah § 5. Questions of Unity § 6. The Relations of the Main Divisions—The Code and the Discourses
- § 7. The Cross-Divisions § 8. The Forms of Address—Sigular and Plural § 9. Editorial Factors
- § 10. Conclusions as to Unity § 11. The Ages of the Book and of its Contents
- its Contents
 § 12. Resulting Questions and their
 Answers

§ 1. Names.

Like other books of the Pentateuch, this, the fifth, owes its present name of Deuteronomy to the Septuagint. In ch. xvii. 18 is the phrase, a dublicate, or copy, of this law (Heb. mishneh hat-tôrah haz-zôth). The Greek translators misrendered this by the words το δευτερονόμιον τοῦτο, 'this second law-giving,' and gave the title Devreposognos, Lat. Deuteronomium, to the whole Book; while some later Jewish writings refer to it as 'Mishneh Torah.' Though thus born in error, the name Deuteronomy is so far appropriate that the Book contains the second codification of the Law of Israel, the first being that which is found in the Prophetical Narrative of the Pentateuch, IE-Ex. xx. 23-xxiii. 19 with xxxiv. 11-27, and xiii. 3-7, 10-13 (see Chapman, An Introduction to the Pentateuch, in this series, p. 110). The Heb. text of the Book bears no title, and as in the case of other Books of the Pentateuch it was referred to by some of its opening words: These be the Words or briefly Words. But during its course the Book suggests for itself three general titles (about which however we must ask later whether they cover the whole or only

parts of our Deuteronomy); (a) This Law (Heb. Thrak, i. s. iv. 8, xvii. 18 f. etc.) or This Book of the Law (xxix. 21, xxx. 10, xxxi. 26) or The Book of this Law (xxviii. 61), similarly in 2 Kgs xxii. 8, 11, cp. xxiii. 24; (b) The Words of the Covenant (Heb. Berith. see note on iv. 13) which the LORD commanded Moses to make with the children of Israel in the land of Moab (xxix. 1), cp. the Words of this Covenant (xxix. 9), the Covenant of the LORD thy God (xxix. 12, cp. xiv. 21, 25), always as distinct from the Covenant in Horeb (xxix, 1, iv. 13, 23, v. 21, ix. 9, 11, 15), and so the Book is referred to as the Book of the Covenant in 2 Kes vviii, 2, 21 : (c) This Commandment or Charge (Heb. Miswah, v. 31 (Heb. 28), see note, vi. I. vii. 11 etc.) - Further, the separate laws of the Torah or B'rith or Miswah are called statutes and judgements (Heb. hukkim and mishbatim) either alone (iv. 1, 5, 8, 14, v. 1, xi, 32, xii. I. xxvi. 16) or combined with, or varied by commandments or charges and testimonies, or decrees (Heb. miswoth and 'edoth iv. 45, vi. 17, 20),-The name 'Fifth Book of Moses' occurs only in our English and other modern versions (Chapman, I. P. p. 2).

§ 2. General Content, Structure, and Style. As some of its names imply, Deuteronomy is the record and

contents of a Second Legislation or Covenant of Law delivered through Moses to Issued—second, that is, to the Legislation or Covenant of Horeb—which he proclaimed and explement of Horeb—which he proclaimed and explement of the proper at the close of their wanderings between Egypt and the Promised Land, when they were encamped in one of the plateau of Moab into the valley of Jordan, over against Jericho. The Laws proper assigned to this occasion form the central bulk of the Book. They are introduced by long discourses, with Moses as the speaker, in form both historical and horstory, and in purpose exploitively (see on 1, 3) of the facts and principles on from Moses enforcing them on the obligation of the Proposition of the Control o

GENERAL CONTENT, STRUCTURE, AND STYLE xi
of Moses and prepare for the succession of Joshua. The time

covered by Deuteronomy is thus—apart from the historical reviews in its discourses—very brief.

By several distinct headings or superscriptions (some accom-

By several distinct headings or superscriptions (some accompanied by fragments of narrative) as well as by corresponding differences of subject-matter and form, the Book divides itself as follows:

Ch. i. 1-4. General Title (composite)

Special Title to the following—

A. Chs. i. 6—iv. 40. The First Discourse Introductory to the Laws (all deuteronomic in style) divided into—

(a) Historical Part, i. 6—iii. 29.
 (b) Hortatory Part, iv. 1—40.

Ch. iv. 41-43. Fragment on Cities of Asylum (deuteronomic).

44-49. Special Title (composite) to

B. Chs. v.—xi. The Second Discourse Introductory to the

Laws (all deuteronomic), divided into

(a) Prologue, v.

(δ) Hortatory Part, vi.—xi. but including

(c) Historical Review, ix. 7 b--x. 11.
Ch. xii. 1. Special Title (composite) to

C. Chs. xii.—xxvi. The Laws—"The Statutes and Judgements" (mainly deuteronomic in style). For the divisions into which these fall and for the contents of each division see below, pp. 154—156.

D. Chs. xxvii.—xxx. Closing Discourses (deuteronomic) divided

(a) Instructions for the Immediate Future, xxvii.
 (showing no connection save in τν. 9f. with what precedes or follows).

(δ) Epilogue to the Laws, xxviii.
 Ch. xxix. τ. Editorial Note.

(c) Further Discourse or Discourses, xxix.—xxx.

Digitized by Google

E. Chs. xxxi.—xxxiv. Last Daysand Discourses of Moses (composite, from all the documents of the Pentateuch, with two poems from unknown sources, xxxii. 1—43. and xxxiii.).

It is now generally acknowledged, even by most conservative critics¹, that this last Division forms a later, editorial supplement to Deuteronomy, belonging less to it than to the Pentateuch as

to Deuteronomy, belonging less to it than to the Pentateuch as a whole, and designed to connect the Pentateuch with the Book of Joshua. The analysis of these chapters, xxxi.—xxxiv, compiled as they are from pieces of all the Pentateuchal documents, may be left to the notes upon them in the commentary. But chs. i—xxxx.—save for a number of laws, some titles and

other fragments-are composed throughout in the same style, one of the most palpable, distinctive and memorable in the Old Testament. No other Hebrew prose, except parts of Isaiah xl.—lv., is so elevated and so sustained or has such a swing and such a sweep. Not only in exhortation but in parrative and even in the statement of single laws (when these are not quoted verbatim from somewhere else) this style is what we call rhetorical. But the rhetoric is its own: rich in resonant words and phrases, many of which do not occur elsewhere, fond of the more emphatic forms of words, lavish in emphasis and absolute statement, and sometimes leaping to hyperbole; now stern, now tender, now exulting, but always urgent and always expansive, accumulating verbs and epithets and especially reiterating a series of formulas, most of them fervent and intimate, which also are peculiar to itself. Some of the frequent repetitions of these formulas which our canonical text presents, are doubtless due to redactors or scribes. as may be seen from a comparison of the Hebrew with the Ancient Versions. But that by far the most of them are original is proved by the fact that neither the same nor a similar reiteration is found in any other prose, upon which the influence of Deuteronomy has not fallen? Emphasis accumulation, and re-

See the striking admission by Professor Orr quoted on p. 332 of this volume.
 Steuernagel's allegations of merely scribal repetitions, Der. Rahmen.

GENERAL CONTENT, STRUCTURE, AND STYLE siii petition are however not the only marks of this urgent and

petition are however not the only marks of this urgent and someone style. The religious fercount and the passion to instruct which are its driving forces frequently fall bear from their personaling absolution in order to explain, refine and qualify. For the Book never forgets its declared purpose to them you or septimal and adult, are carried upon the same powerful insulpties, (soward, backward and adult, are carried upon the same powerful insulpties, (soward, backward in the same powerful insulpties, are carried upon the same powerful insulpties, (soward, backward in the same powerful insulpties, are carried upon the same powerful insulpties, (soward, backward in the same powerful insulpties, are carried upon the same p

withdrawing and dashing again. Our more immediate duty is to define the distinctions between this style and those of the other documents of the Pentateuch-J. E. P and H. The distinctions are both general and detailed. General because while the other documents are mainly histories with legislation coming in by the way-or as in H a small code and its epilogue only - Deuteronomy i .- xxx. is a discourse or discourses from end to end, the speech of a man face to face with his hearers, dealing with the Law from first to last and recalling, almost exclusively, such events as they have shared with him. which your eyes, which our eyes have seen. Though the other documents are also designed for the people this one is exceedingly more direct and more intimate. Nor has any of the other documents the rhythm of Deuteronomy. I and E have each its own incomparable power of narrative; P its formal, often statistical but generally solemn fashion of statement. But none have the diapason, the long sweeping waves of oratory, which haunt us from Deuteronomy. As for details, Deuteronomy, like its neighbours in the Pentateuch, has a vocabulary and favourite phrases of its own, distinct from theirs. Its names for certain places and things, touched on by all, are different from the names which some of them give. Its characteristic words and formulas are

des Deuteronomiums (1894), Die Entstehung des deuteron. Gesetzes (1896) and Deuteronomium-fossa (1898 in Nowack's Handbommentar z. A. 7.), are extravagantly numerous. used by them either never or with such infrequency as to offer a marked contrast to their lavish employment in Deuteronomy. In parallel passages Deuteronomy substitutes rarer or more sonorous or more emphatic forms for those with which IE and P are content. All this will become the more significant to us as we perceive how dependent Deuteronomy is, both in its historical reviews and in its code, upon the history and laws of JE, and especially of E. Even when it repeats statements or expressions found in JE it expands these or gives a turn to them in a way that is all its own and tuned to its peculiar rhythm. Common instances are its formal or hortatory additions to some of the laws ; but its narratives are full of them. In these it increases the adjectives or turns them into superlatives, replaces a plain phrase by one more concrete and vivid, strikes an emphasis, or lifts a simple statement of fact into a hyperbole. Nothing could more clearly reveal the distinctiveness of the style of Deuteronomy than these, its own, alterations of another style to the accent and rhythm peculiar to itself. As for its particular differences from the style of P, each document has a number of single words never or rarely found beyond it and each has its own characteristic formulas. Whether in general or in particular no two writings, dealing in part with the same material, could offer a more decided contrast to each other in style and language1. It is unnecessary to give a full list of the terms, formulas, and

other phrases, which are either confined to Deuteronomy or are otherwise characteristic of its style. They are all pointed out in the notes to the text, and the more marked of them are gathered in the paragraphs of this Introduction which deal with the resemblances and differences among the divisions of the Book itself, 88 6 and 73. Here let some illustrations suffice. As to ¹ A small group of words characteristic of P is found in ch. iv. and

Digitized by Google

will be treated later. ² Lists will be found in the Introduction to Driver's Deuteronomy, in Appendix IV. to Chapman's Introduction to the Pentateuch (in this series), in Estlin Carpenter and Harford-Battersby's The Hexateuch, 1. 200, and in Holzinger's Einleitung in den Hexateuch, 1. (1893). See also Steuernagel's 'Einleitung' to his Deuteronomium-Iosna (cited in

GENERAL CONTENT, STRUCTURE, AND STYLE XV

the difference of place-names, Deuteronomy has with E Horeb for the Sinai of I and P (for references see on i. 2), Pisgah for P's Nebo (iii. 17, 27), and with P Kadesh-Barnea (see on i. 2) for the simple Kadesh of the others. Deuteronomy has different names for the same things : with IE shebet, tribe (see on i. 13), for P's matteh (over 140 times in P); prushah, possession (see on ii. c) for P's 'Aburrah (about 40 times) : kahal, the national assembly or congregation (v. 22, ix. 10, x. 4, xviii. 16, cp. xxiii. 1, 2, 3), for P's favourite 'edah (over 100 times), though P occasionally uses also kahal; and tables of the covenant (ix. 9, 11, 15) and ark of the covenant (x. 8) for JE's simple tables of stone and the ark, and P's table of the testimony and ark of the testimony. In the law of the Cities of Refuge P (Nu. xxxv.) uses for accidentally the term bishegagah but Deuteronomy (xix.) the term bibell datath. Deuteronomy's fondness for accumulating epithets and verbs is sufficiently illustrated by these instances: by temptations, by signs, and by wonders, and by war, and by a mighty hand, and by a stretched out arm, and by great terrors (iv. 34): the great God, the mighty and the terrible (x. 17); his charge, and his statutes, and his judgements, and his commandments (xi. 1); to fear the LORD thy God, to walk in all his ways and to love him. and to serve the LORD thy God with all thy heart and with all thy soul, to keep the commandments of the LORD and his statutes (x. 12 f.) and similar combinations; thou shalt talk of them when thou sittest in thine house, and when thou walkest by the way, when thou liest down and when thou risest up (vi. 7); take heed to thyself and keep thy soul diligently, lest thou forget the things which thing eyes saw and lest they debart from the heart all the days of thy life (iv. 9); or the many shorter combinations such as, Remember, forget not (ix. 7), know therefore and lay it to thine heart (iv. 30), observe and do (iv. 6 and 6 other times), fear not neither be dismayed (i. 21, xxxi, 8 and the deuteronomic Josh, viii, t. x. 25) and dread not neither be afraid (i. 20, xx. 2. the last note but one), § 8, 'Zur Sprachstatistik des Deuteronomiums, and Bertholet's brief but judicious remarks in his Deuteronomium, 'Einleitung' IV.

xxxi. 6), and to not and be full (viii. 10, 12, etc.). All this is no mere development of the parallelism characteristic of Hebres poetry and practised by some of the prose; it is something different and individual. Even apparent redundancies like go in and posses the land whither ye go over to possess it (xi. 8) are carried off by the rhythm of the original and do not sound superfluous.

Of the characteristic formulas of Deuteronomy and their frequency these specimens are sufficient: Jehovah, our, your, or thy God over 300 times in Deuteronomy alone, against fewer than 50 in the rest of the Pentateuch (see on i. 6); which I am commanding thee or you, 33 times in Deuteronomy and elsewhere only once, Ex. xxxiv. 11; in thy gates for in thy cities, 27 times in Deuteronomy and elsewhere only once. Ex. xx. 10. where it is probably an editor's echo of Deuteronomy; and the following which are not found at all in the other parts of the Pentateuch: Hear O Israel 4 times, observe to do 12 times, that it may be well with thee 7 times, the combination stranger, fatherless, and widow 8 times, to cleave to Jehovak a times, a holy people 5 times, a peculiar people thrice, the ashtoreths of thy flock (vii. 13 note) 4 times and the infinitive hettb used adverbially 5 times; with these more emphatic forms 'thah, how (for 'ck), 5 times, and not elsewhere in the Pentateuch, lebab, heart, and 'anoki, I, both very frequently used as against a very few instances of the shorter forms leb and 'ani which the notes will explain; and the use of the more sonorous termination to the imperfect. an. If to all these there be added the list of religious and ethical terms peculiar to Deuteronomy which are given in § 3 and its other unique or very rare terms selected on pp. xlix f., liii ff., a very striking impression will be received of the individuality of the style of Deuteronomy. And yet not the full impression or idea, for this only comes (as has been said) after a detailed observation of Deuteronomy's characteristic expansions and alterations of the phraseology of IE, on which both for narrative and for law it so largely depends.

The dependence of Deuteronomy on IE is too constant

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

GENERAL CONTENT, STRUCTURE, AND STYLE xvii

throughout long stretches of the discourses and too frequent in the Code to be summarised here: for the evidence of it the reader is referred to the notes on the text and especially to those on i. 7, 9-18, 25, 28, 34-40; ii. 1-8 a, 15, 26-37; iii. 17 and 27 Pisyah: iv. 15, v. 2f., ix. 8, etc. Horeb, o. 10a, 12, 16f., 21f., x. 1-4; and for the laws xiv. 216, xv. 12-18 (perhaps), xvi. 1-17. 10. xix. 15-21 (perhaps), xxii, 1-2, 4, xxiii, 10, xxiv. 7, 12 f. part of xxv. 19, xxvi. 5-91. The basis of these is mainly E. but J also has been used, and we shall have to consider later the question whether the writer, or writers, of Deuteronomy were acquainted with I and E before (Dillmann) or only after (Horst, Bertholet) the amalgamation of these two documents. But be that as it may, Deuteronomy in the re-statement of their records of fact and of law, besides introducing its characteristic formulas, reveals most clearly the features of its peculiar rhetoric-expansiveness, fondness for accumulating epithets and impulse to hyperbole. Its hortatory additions to the laws common to itself and E and its attachment of the words of the covenant to IE's plain tables of stone and the ark have already been mentioned. The following are still more striking: the characteristic expansions in ch. v. of Ex. xix. 15, 17, 19, xx. 1-21, in ix. 17 of Ex. xxxii, 10 h and in ix. 21 of Ex. xxxii, 20 (see too ix. 26-20); the turning of E's phrase great nation, Ex. xxxii. 10, into a nation mightier and ereater than they, ix, 14, and of the thousands of Ex. xx. 6 into a thousand generations, vii. q; or the concentration and enhancement of E's thick cloud and thick darkness, from separate passages, into the darkness, cloud, and thick darkness of iv. 11; or the addition, viii. 15, of the emphatic

See Driver's Destormours, § 1. On p. xv he says: 'in the retrospects, the marrier of Ex. Nz. is followed step by step, and clauses, or sometimes entire verses, are transcribed from it rorbation, placing people the possibility of clouds the use by the writer of the earlier of Ecolosis in his Exader; and Chapman's Introduction to the Postation of Ecolosis in his Exader; and Chapman's Introduction to the Postation, p. 98, 96—95, 112—117, the former passage being an analysis of the accounts of the mission of the spice with the conclusion, p. 93, that Destormours Section is based on JPs and shows no trace of exquisitions.

DEUTERONOMY

6

of flint-a word not found before Deuteronomy-to IE's simple rock; or the raising of E's more sober statements into these hyperboles-like the stars of heaven for multitude (i. 10. x. 22. xxviii. 62), cities fenced up to heaven (i. 28, ix. 1), and into the heart of heaven (iv. 11)-with which we may take the magnificent wiii. 4. thy raiment waxed not old neither did thy foot swell these forty years, and in x. 14 the superlative heaven of heavens used there for the first time in the Old Testament.

But indeed no lists of details are required to impress the general fact on the reader either of the Hebrew or of our Envlish Versions. The individuality and distinction, the original force, buoyancy, volume and rhythm of the style of Deuteronomy i.-xxx. are pervasive and conspicuous throughout; and in particular its difference is indubitable, both in form and temper, from the

styles of the other constituents of the Pentateuch.

8 3. Standboint, Doctrine, and Spirit.

This consuicuous distinction of style both from IE and P is coincident in Deuteronomy with a representation of facts in the early history of Israel and with a statement of the laws (ascribed by all alike to Moses), both of which differ at many points from the parallel parratives and laws in those other documents. Some of these divergences are slight, others more grave. But a few are wide enough to imply a difference of standpoint and attitude which is fundamental

It may be of little-yet not negligible-importance that (as we have seen) Deuteronomy gives to certain places other names than some of its fellow-documents do. The divergences of fact are more significant, especially those from IE, in view of Deuteronomy's general dependence on IE and particularly on E. It is true that a number of these divergences are not actual discrepancies: for example, in the account of the institution of the tribal heads, i. 9-18, the omission by Deuteronomy of any mention of Jethro, to whom E attributes the suggestion

of the plan while Deuteronomy attributes it to Moses; the addition of Joshua's name to that of Caleb, i. 26-38: the different division of the last thirty-eight years of the time in the wilderness, the bulk of which was spent at Kadesh according to JE, but between Kadesh and the brook Zered according to Deuteronomy, ii. 1-8a, 14; Deuteronomy's additions of the campaign against Og, King of Bashan, iii. 1-11, and of the half-tribe of Manasseh (to Reuben and Gad), iii. 12 ff.; and the differences as to the events in Horeb, for which see the notes to ix. 8-29, x. 1-5, 10 f., among them the addition of the making of the Ark, x. 1. Nearly all these differences are susceptible of explanation, and most might disappear if we had the full text of the documents I and E. Deuteronomy's additional facts may have been narrated in these-this is as certain as possible with regard to the making of the Ark; while Deuteronomy's omissions are explicable by the fact that its narratives are but a summary of those of JE. Yet the silence about Jethro is symptomatic of a distinctive attitude to foreigners; for it is consistent with the omission from Deuteronomy of other foreign influences on Israel. The Book says nothing of what J tells us, Nu. x. 29-32, of Moses' appeal to Hobab to act as eyes to the host (see p. 7), or of Balaam beyond the fragment of doubtful authenticity in xxiii. 46; it forbids intermarriage with the Canaanites, vii. 3, and a foreigner as King, xvii. 15, and it emphasizes the sufficiency of Israel's own wisdom for the national life, iv. 6-8. Far more difficult, and less reconcileable, are Deuteronomy's differences from P in regard to facts. The spies, according to i. 24, reached only the southern part of the Promised Land about Hebron, but P carries them as far as Rehob in the extreme north; and the two documents trace very different routes for Israel from Kadesh to the border of Moab-see the notes on ii, 1-8a and x, 7-and name different places as the scene of Aaron's death and burial, x. 6 b. Such cases are indicative of different traditions of the early history of Israel. Again while Deuteronomy, in agreement with IE. mentions Dathan and Abiram as the subjects of the judgement which it recalls in xi. 6, P mentions Korah instead. While Deuteronomy says that at Horeb God separated the tribe of Levi to bear the ark of the covenant of Jehovah, to stand before Jehovah, to minister to his name, x. 8-in agreement with its emphatic identification of Priests and Levites elsewhere-P confines the phrase to minister to Jehovah to the Priests, who according to it were not all the tribe of Levi but only a single family thereof, and specially allots the office of bearing the Ark to another clan, the Kohathites. Moreover while P constantly associates Aaron with Moses in solemn transactions on Horeb and throughout the wilderness, Deuteronomy mentions Aaron twice only, once as the object of God's anger, ix, 20, and once on his death, x. 6-if indeed this verse be Deuteronomy's (see notes to x, 6 f.). These last cases are not only discrepancies in fact; they are symptoms of a difference in standpoint and attitude between Deuteronomy and P, which will emerge fully when we come to compare the two codes.

But the most critical of the divergences as to fact which Deuteronomy exhibits is one from both IE and P-that on the amount and character of the Law promulgated to all Israel on Sinai-Horeb. Deuteronomy states that the Ten Commandments, iv. 13, and the Ten Commandments only-he added no more, v. 22-were the words of the Covenant at Horeb; the people also were too terrified to hear more so the Lord delivered His further commands to Moses alone (v. 25-32), who did not communicate these to the people till the eve of crossing the Jordan and they form Deuteronomy's Code, chs xii.-xxvi., the basis of the Second Covenant in Moab. But JE assigns to Horeb the far longer and more detailed Code, Ex. xx. 22xxiii. 10, and states that-not the Decalogue but-this, written out as the Book of the Covenant and publicly read, formed the hasis of Israel's covenant with God at Horeb, Ex. xxiv. 3-8. As Driver says in his note on Ex. xxiv. 3: 'the Decalogue, which the people had heard themselves cannot be included in the terms used1' by E of its Book of the Covenant. The discrepancy

1 Driver's Exodus (in this series), p. 252.

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN is complicated by the fact that the Code, cho still—xvii, which Deutenousons way was printarly delivered to Moson is, which was not published by him till \$K\$ years afterwards in Mosh as the terms of the Second Covenant, is partly based on the Code of Book of the Covenant of which E avers to have been written out and publicly reduced at Horeb. The inference seems just, that while the writer or writers of Deuteronomy knew of E's Book of the Covenant (for they used it they did not know of any portugation of it on Horeb, although the present form of Fix anarraive distinctly says that it was promulgated there. Hence Kennen's suggestion that the Book of the Covenant, Ex. xx. 2-years, it is, appeared in the original form of E(a us undy Dysalii, G, appeared in the original form of E(a us undy Dysalii, G, appeared to the original form of E(a us undy Dysalii, G, appeared to the original form of E(a us undy Dysalii). Book of the Covenant. However this may be, Deuteronomy gives an account of the legislation on threely very different from

The legislation which P dates at Sinai (=Horeb) is not only far greater in amount than either Deuteronomy or E assigns to Israel's sojourn there, but is of a vastly different character. It lies now in Ex. xxv.-xxxi., with a variant form in Ex. xxxv.-xl., and is continued throughout the Book of Leviticus, except for chs xvii .-- xxvi, which is a separate code known as 'The Holiness Law' or H. To all this long cornus of laws and regulations. said by P to have been delivered to Israel, or to Moses and Aaron, on Sinai, Deuteronomy makes no reference, and has very little material in common with it. That the writer or writers of Deuteronomy did not know of all this legislation assigned by P to Sinai is a natural deduction from their definite limitation of the public Law and Covenant on Horeb to the Ten Words or Commandments. This difference of historical statement is not accounted for by saving that Deuteronomy is a book for the people, and therefore dispenses with regulations about ritual,

¹ See, below, the notes introductory to ch. v. pp. 79 f., and to 'The Ten Words,' pp. 81 ff. Compare Robertson Smith, OT/C, 2nd Ed. pp. 331—337, much expanded from the 1st Ed., and Chapman, Introduction to the Pentateus(in this series), pp. 112—117.

that in Exodus1.

vestments, and the furniture of the Sanctuary, which were within the office of the priest salone. For Ptow as mean (as we have seen) for all Israel; and its laws with regular of most of these details of the sortish were commanded by told to be plades to be a struction, equipment and financing of the Sanctuary were, according to Pt. the duty of the whole people and possible only by their co-operation after detailed public instruction; while many of the other laws all only Pt to have been delivered on Siniah have the control of the public legislation of the Carlo of the Ca

When we pass from the narratives of the promulgation of the Law in the different documents to an examination of the contents and character of their different codes, we see that the discrepancies as to fact between Deuteronomy, JE, and P are connected with striking differences of standpoint, historical and social, and fondamental distinctions of attitude and spirit.

The Code of Deuteronomy, xii.—xxi., not only (as we have seen) expands with its own rheation some of the laws of JE; but it extends their application, enforces them with fresh motives, requestly modifies them, and adds new laws creating new institutions—all in a way that reflects a more mature and complex from of society than that for which the codes of JE as they stand in Ex xx. 2y—xxii. 19 and Ex xxiiv are designed. For example, the law on loans extended by Deuteromy to embrace leaf the top control of the first point of the JE and the new laws against the removal of boundary stones, xii. 4a, and on the JE xiii. 2a, and the new laws against the removal of boundary stones, xii. 4a, and on the JE xiii. 1. 4—3b, and the new laws against the removal of modified the JE xiii. 1. 4—3b, JE xiii. 1. 4—3b, JE xiii. 1. 4—4b, JE xiii. 1. 4—

The contrast between the Codes of Deuteronomy and of P is still greater. Though it also extends to the social and political conditions of the people, it is mainly a contrast of religious ideas. organisation and institutions. In P these are developed, distinguished and classified to a degree far beyond anything that appears in Deuteronomy. Not merely does P enter into minute details of ritual for which Deuteronomy has no eye and shows no concern; but in the larger elements and on the main lines of the practice of religion there are great differences. For example, P increases the number of the annual Feasts (see on xvi. 1 ff.) from three to seven and adds the Year of Jubilee, elaborates the sacrifices, divides and grades the priestly tribe and multiplies their rights-of all which Deuteronomy either knows nothing or enjoins inconsistently something simpler. To Deuteronomy all men of the tribe of Levi are priests; the priests the Levites is its distinctive and peculiar term for them, which it puts past all ambiguity by once adding the words all the tribe of Levi, xvii, q, 18. xviii. t. xxiv. 8: cn. xxi. 5. According to it they are all eligible. on certain conditions, for the distinctive priestly functions—at
that time Jehovah separated the tribe of Levi to bear the ark of the covenant of Jehovah, to stand before Jehovah to minister unto him and to bless in his name unto this day, x. 8; and if a Levite come from any of thy gates out of all Israel where he sojourneth ... he shall minister as all his brethren the Levites do which stand there before Jehovah; they shall have like portions to eat, xviii. 6-8. But in P, on the contrary, Priests and Levites are not identical terms; the priesthood and distinctive priestly functions. of bearing the ark and of standing before Jehovah to minister unto Him, are confined to descendants of Aaron, and Levite is the name for the other members of the tribe, to whom priestly functions are forbidden under heavy penalties and who discharge less sacred duties about the altar and the sanctuary-see further the notes on x. 8 f., xviii, 1-8. This difference between Deuteronomy and P is the more significant, that the former's Code is in harmony therein with the spirit of the earlier practice of Israel, and the latter's with the later practice (see I Kes xii, 31 and

Etck. site, 10—16): Further, 7, who says nothing about a kingspeaks of a kigh (internity aerout) prict, who has many of the distinctions of a king; he is anointed (Ex. xxix, 7, Lev. 10-3, 5, 6), and dates are rectioned by his life (Nu. xxvx. 25). Of this Deuteronomy says not one word. Again, P increases the value of the priest's hard of the sacrifical meat which Deuteronomy alors to him, and this is the more significant because Deuteroparticle in early brasel—see the notes to xviii. 3. Alloguebre P increases the dues to the priests to a very much greater degree over what was the extens with regard to them in early more value and the custom with regard to them in early more There is also in the legislation of P an enhancement of the Control of the custom with regard to the min and priest of the custom with regard to the min cast just of the There is also in the legislation of P an enhancement of the and most Adv. of which Deuteronomy tells us nothing.

But the cardinal distinction of the Code of Decieronomy is the law of the One Altar and Sanctury, ch. xi; z-4, t_1-t_2 , 26, 6, along with the necessary consequences of this in new, or modified, laws upon the shaughter of beast selewhere than the Altar, xi; 15, 2, 2–25; on Tithes and the payment of vores and the acardine of firstings, xii, t_1 , 26, t_2 , t_3 , t_4 , t

whision to any Levite to perform priestly functions.

Wellhausen, Prolegomena to the History of Israel (E. T.), 188; and the present writer's fernsalem 1. 354.6. For the difference between Deuteronomy's and P's laws of tithes see below pp. 1961, and cp. 2071.

¹ Sec Chapman, Introduction to the Prantation 4 (in this series), pp. 1,5,1f. The reader will find the opposite case well stated by Orn, Problem of the Old Testamont, pp. 184—192. The present writer has carefully considered this attempt to reconcile Desteronomy's and P's statements about Priests and Levies. Dr Orr suggests that by the expression the Priests the Levies Desteronomy's administration Priests. But this interpretation is eached by Deuteronomy's administration and the tribe of mission to any Levies to perform priestly functions.

(see below p. 1 ff.)—assume the validity of sacrifice to Jehovah, at any altar where He may room Hin. Mean, Deuteronombor his all altars save one, and confines sacrifice to it. P. also knows the single Sancarray, but P throws stack the institution to the legislation one Sinal, while to Deuteronomy the single Sancarray and Altar is still a thing of the future, to be without the people have settled down in the Promised Landard only when the people have settled down in the Promised Landard H, the older code (Lev. xvii.—xxv.) incorporated in P, till re-outers all standards or admiss all for foot to be sacrificial.

Deuteronomy, then, has a standpoint very distinct both from that of IE and from that of P. On the whole it is a standstoint midway between them. For on the one hand it reflects social and political and religious conditions more developed than those reflected in IE and on the other it exhibits an organisation of religion far less developed than that in P. The worship of Jehovah, sanctioned by IE at many alters-in accordance with the earlier practice in Israel-is concentrated by Deuteronomy on one only sanctuary. Deuteronomy alone has a Law of the King, while P has no reference to a King but exalts the chief priest and invests him with some at least of the distinctions of royalty : and Deuteronomy alone, it must also be emphasized, has a law of the Prophet. We shall have to reckon the bearing of all this on the question of the date of Deuteronomy's, especially in view of the light cast on it from the earlier and later historical books. Meantime all we have to note, and on the strength of the cumulative evidence we have marshalled to note emphatically. is the conspicuous distinctiveness of the standpoint of Deutero-

nomy.

But above and around this conspicuous standpoint of Deuteronomy, with its consequent differences of detail, there is a different

In the light of the practice in early Israel it is impossible to reconcile leaw in JE with that of the single altar in Dest. by saying that the former permits only successive but not necessarily simultaneous sanctuaries (so Douglas in Les Messica, and Robertson, Early Religion of Israel, p. 410).
2 See § 11.

atmosphere from those we breathe in the other documents. The style of the Book is but the music of winds that blow and sing through it alone—that sing even among its laws.

With the other documents Deuteronomy shares a very spiritual conception of the relations of Israel to their God. Though the religion of Israel, especially in the Pentateuch, betrays many of the traits common to all families of the race from which Israel sprang-many forms of ritual and ethical tempers, many of the physical phenomena in which the Deity was believed to manifest Himself to men, and especially the conception of Him as the God of one people through whom His Name and Nature were revealed-vet the origin and character of Jehovah's relations to Israel are not (as with those of other Semitic gods to their peoples) physical, growing out of the soil or confined to one land, but historical and moral. Nor are they the reflection of the people's own character. Jehovah chose Israel and chose them not for their strength or virtue but out of pity when they were in weakness and affliction, and redeemed them; and they had traditions of His earlier manifestations to some of their forefathers, to individual souls of their race, always the human fountain-heads of spiritual religions. Jehovah's providence for the nation had not been only physical or political, by signs and great wonders and by war, but ethical, to instruct and discipline them, to prove and sift them; and the religiousness of Israel was the moral response to all this, a trust in His faithfulness, gratitude and the endeavour to keep His commandments. They felt that He was unique with a uniqueness both of power and character among the gods of mankind; and that by His influence they had a conscience and character and a religious wisdom of their own. So far all the documents of the Pentateuch are at one; they all reach this level

But nowhere else in the Pentateuch has the love of God to man such free course as in Deuteronomy; and nowhere else is mans' love to God invoked, except once in Ex. xx. 6, and that is a deuteronomic addition to the Decalogue. These two, God's love to man and man's love to God, are everywhere in Deuteronomy.

They are the essence of its creed (vi. 1-5) the motives and nower of the full obedience it demands, the passion of its wistful appeals to remember, to know and to consider, of all its constant cry for the hearts of its hearers. They beat in its distinctive metaphors-as a man beareth his son, as a man chasteneth his son-and in these still more intimate terms to draw to (or set his love upon) and to cleave to1, of a man's true love to a woman: an early anticipation of St Paul on the love of Christ and His Church. And they echo throughout narrative, exhortation, and law alike, in those refrains to the Divine Name, thy God, your God, our God; over three hundred of them (as we have seen) to fewer than fifty in all the rest of the Pentateuch 8. It is true that Deuteronomy dwells on the Greatness of God, iii. 24, v. 24, ix. 26, xi. 2 (elsewhere only in xxxii. 3. 1 Chr. xxix. 11, and Pss. cxlv. 3. 6. cl. 2), a Great God and a Terrible, vii. 21, x. 17, xxviii. 58, cf. x. 21, and inculcates throughout the fear of Him. But He is terrible for His Israel's sake and the fear of Him casteth out the fear of man. Except in face of the awful happenings on Horeb Deuteronomy gives no occasion to construe this as terror or dread. On the contrary, the frequent commands fear and learn to fear associate the temper with hearing, keeping, or doing, God's Law, Fear is reverence, anxious obedience, the intelligent and loval practice of a trust (see on iv. to). It is as little opposite to, as closely one with, love as the watching, taking heed to thyself and keeping thy soul diligently which are enjoined with equal frequency. God's love for Israel, His intimacy with them and His care alike for the weakest of themselves-with the stranger that is in thy gates-and for the smallest details of their life and its circumstance are all plied with a tenderness that pervades the Book, narrative, exhortation and law alike, and suffuses with a peculiar warmth all God's relations to His people and the duties He requires of them to Himself and to one another. The thoroughness of the discipline which only love can impose appears in the favourite phrases to humble thee and to prove

1 viii. 5, and the notes on vii. 7, hashah, and x. 20, dabah.
2 See note on i. 6.

(IVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

thee, to know what was in thine heart (see on viii, 2); and peculiar to Deuteronomy is the command to love Jehovah thy God with all thy heart and with all thy soul and with all thy might (vi. 5). The effect of all this is a great joy in religion, on which Deuteronomy, of all the documents, most insists: ve shall rejoice before the LORD your God, thou shalt be altogether joyful (xii. 12, 18, xiv. 26, xvi. 14, 15, xxvi. 10, 11); it is a sin with a curse on it, that thou hast not served the LORD thy God with joyfulness and gladness of heart (xxviii, 47). There is nothing of this in the laws of JE; it breaks through only once in those of P. the day of your eladness (Nu. x. 10), and once in those of H, Lev. xxiii. 40. Indeed the word for gladness appears only once more in all the rest of the Pentateuch, in the mouth of Laban the Aramean (Gen. xxxi, 27); it is not used even in E's story of Miriam and the women with their timbrels and dances (Ex. xv. 20 f.), nor in his or I's laws of the great Feasts. The contrast presented by P's and Deuteronomy's pictures of the worshipping congregation in the central Sanctuary is very striking: in P the awful glory of the Divine Presence, bells, trumpets, sweet savour of frankincense, gorgeous vestments, careful ablutions and all the people shouting and falling on their faces; in Deuteronomy only a set of happy households eating of the sacrificial meal and rejoicing before the LORD, altogether joyful. In one place Deuteronomy extends this joy in worship to all that ye put your hand to (xii. 7); and we may therefore take with it the Book's delight in the Land-that good land is its frequent phrase-and the passages through which it lingers on the beauty and fruitfulness of the land which the LORD thy God is giving thee (vi. 10 ff., vii. 12 ff., viii. 7 ff., xi. 10 ff.). Take it all in all Deuteronomy has a heart of its own-a bigger, richer heart than any of its fellows in the Pentateuch.

Other spiritual qualities distinctive of the Book are these. Though with the rest of the documents it records the signs and great wonders of the Divine Providence of Israel and even delights in its own way in describing them as the very grasp and gesture, the strong hand and outstretched arm, of the

Almighty, the writing finger of God-of whom it also declares ve saw no manner of form, no form only a voice (iv. 12, 15)-vet it lays still greater emphasis on this voice alone, on the spoken word of God. Sometimes, as in i. 6-8, it ignores the physical manifestation to which P gives constant prominence and records only the voice accompanying. To Deuteronomy all miracles are ancillary to the Law; they only lead up to this end: your eves have seen all the great work of the LORD which he did: therefore shall ye keep all the commandments, xi. 7f. The Law is the thing! The Book does not doubt the reality of the miracles even of the false prophets, yet the test of a prophet is to be not his miracles but the character of his teaching (xiii. 1). All divination, necromancy and the like, all the magic which revels in alleged physical signs at the expense of the moral and intellectual elements of religion, are of course absolutely condemned: they are abominations to Jehovah (xviii, 9-22). Only the prediction that comes to pass is to be a mark of true prophecy-such a prediction implies faith and spiritual foresight-vet even it is to be repudiated if associated with false teaching (cp. xiii. 2 with xviii. 21 f.). To this doctrine of prophecy and discriminate treatment of miracles there is no counterpart in the other documents. On the whole then, the truth, the purity, the love that the Word carries are the proofs of its divinity; in the acceptance of these consist the wisdom and the understanding (iv. 6) which distinguish Israel from other peoples. The greatness and the strength of Israel lie not in their power or wealth but in their statutes and judgements. and in their obedience to these (iv. 8, xi. 8, etc.). Life--that ve may live and that it may be well with thee, very favourite phrases of the Book-comes by penitence and seeking after God (iv. 30). by discipline, obedience and watchfulness. Compare the prophetic appeal in x, 12: And now Israel, what doth the LORD thy God require of thee?

It is in all these doctrines and tempers of doctrine that the distinctive spirituality of Deuteronomy is manifest, even more than in its proclamations of the Unity (vi. 4) and Uniqueness

(iv. 34, 39) and Sovereignty (x. 14, 17) of the Godhead of Iehovah, however absolutely we may interpret these; or in its insistence that He is without physical form (iv. 12, 15), or in its constant thunders against other gods, and all images, likenesses and material emblems of deity. How much occasion and reason there were for such proclamations and denouncements, and for the passion that swells in them, may be seen from the multiplicity of the cults which Israel encountered in Canaan and from the character of these cults. Not only were there gods many and lords many in the world-a fact that Deuteronomy, speaking to a generation which believed in their reality, seeks to reconcile with the sovereignty of Jehovah by saving that it is He who has allotted those gods to their various peoples (iv. 19)but the throng of gods in Canaan alone were by the popular mind easily huddled into, and confounded with, each other, The prophets bear witness how readily Israel, on emerging from the desert and settling to agriculture and the growth of the vine-with Canaanites still as their neighbours, for their conquest of the land was gradual (vii. 22)-succumbed to this polytheism and syncretism, and confounded their own God with the similarly titled deities of the land, the Baals the Adons and the Meleks. Compare Deuteronomy itself: Take heed to thyself that thou be not drawn away after them (after that they be destroyed from before thee); and that thou inquire not after their gods, saying, How do these nations worship their gods? even so will I do likewise (xii. 30). Most of what became shrines of Jehovah when Israel settled in Canaan had from time immemorial been the shrines of the local deities. The attributes of these gods and the forms of their worship were transferred to Him and to His worship. This transference took place the more easily that Israel as a family of the Semitic stock had already in common with the Canaanites so much ritual and so many sacramentssacrificial slaughter of beasts, sacred poles and pillars with their unction and the like-and even so many conceptions of the Godhead-as the Lord of one nation, through whom His Name, (that is the revelation of His nature) was revealed, as its King and leader in war, a man of war (Ex. xv. 3), as the Baal or husband or fertiliser of its land, as the Raingiver whose emblem was the rainbow, and as the Lawgiver whose voice was heard alike in thunder and in the rustle of the trees. Thus after Israel's occupation of Canaan, though the high places of the land may in name have belonged to Jehovah, in reality they were devoted to the Baalim—according to the number of thy cities are thy gods, O Judah! (Jer. xi. 13). There were in fact many Jehovahs. Hence the necessity of proclaiming the Unity of the God of Israel, hence even the particular forms in which it is proclaimed by Deuteronomy: Hear, O Israel, Jehovah thy God is one Jehovah (vi. 4), Jehovah He is God, there is none else beside Him; in heaven above and on the earth beneath He is God, there is none else! (iv. 35, 39). Hence too the cardinal law of the concentration of His worship on One Sanctuary and One Altar, and the destruction of all the high places (ch. xii.). In the religious circumstances of Israel in Canaan the One Altar was the only practical safeguard of the creed of the One God. Hence, too, the abolition of certain objects and rites that were traditional and had even been divinely sanctioned in Israel's worship, the Asherim or sacred poles and the Masseboth or sacred pillars (xvi. 21 ff., with the notes pp. 218-220), or the shaving of the head in mourning (xiv. 1) to which even the prophet Amos speaks of the voice of God as calling the people (see note on p. 185). For such things were contents also of the Canaanite cults, by tradition from a common racial source. Hence, too, the recurring denunciation of all images. And hence even the ruthlessness of the laws against the Canaanites themselves and the Israelite worshippers of other gods (vii. 22 ff., xiii.. xvii. 2-7, xx. 13, 16 ff.). If this ruthlessness, and the particular cruelties with which it was to be carried out, as in the herem (ii. 34, etc.), seem paradoxical beside the other features of Deuteronomy on which we have dwelt-the love and tenderness that breathe through it-we must remember that the like combination has often appeared in the history of religion, when to the sincere consciousness of the possession of a higher purity. there has been added the fanatic zeal which a monotheistic creed appears to engender especially among Oriental peoples. But this brings us to consider in more detail the ethics of our Book.

The chics of Deuteronomy above proofs of development similar to those we have observed upon its system of religion. That is, while they have elements in common with the ethics of other Sentice popules, they man it many respects an above and a consideration of the senting of

Take first the administration of justice. Deuteronomy sanctions the same system of tribal judges and of appeal from them to the representative of God at the sanctuary (i. o-18. xvi. 18-20, xvii. 8-13), which exists among other Semitic peoples, nomad or settled; but with its characteristic application of religion to every interest of the national life it impresses upon the tribal judges that their charge as much as the priests' is God's judgement (see on i. 17). With all Semitic law and practice Deuteronomy shares the same conscience of impartial justice and in particular it joins IE in forbidding bribes; but, after its style, it is more emphatic in its demands: Justice, Justice shalt thou follow or hunt (xvi, 20). The principle of like for like-life for life, eye for eye, tooth for tooth, hand for hand, foot for foot—is laid down (xix. 21, cp. xix. 19, xxv. 11 f.) as in the other Hebrew codes and in all Semitic jurisprudence; and the justice of the Semitic vendetta or blood-revenge is

and the justice of the Semitic vendetta or mood-revenge is

1 In the following paragraphs detailed references to the Code of
Hammurabi are omitted as they are given in the notes.

STANDPOINT, DOCTRINE, AND SPIRIT xxxiii

assumed-it is necessary to the welfare of society (xix, 13)-with of course the rights of sanctuary which mitigate the vendetta in all the tents and cities of Shem and are recognised in each of the Hebrew codes (xix. 1-13, Ex. xxi, 13 E, and Nu. xxxv. 0-14 P); and as everywhere the guilty murderer is delivered to the kinsmen of his victim as his executioners (xix. 12, cp. v. 6). But in Deuteronomy as in P careful provision is made for the full trial of the accused and for his security, if it be found that the fatal stroke was not intended by him; while on the other hand, as in JE and P, no such composition is permitted between a guilty man and the avengers of blood as is frequent among the Arabs, for the sin of murder is one not only against man but against God (see the additional note to xix. 1-13 and that at the foot of p. 241). The deathsentence is pronounced not only upon the murderer but as throughout the Semitic world and elsewhere on the man-stealer (xxiv. 7) and the adulterer (xxii. 13 ff.), and as in some Semitic societies on the obdurate rebel against authority, that all the people may hear and fear (xvii. 12 f.) and on the rebellious son that all Israel may hear and fear (xxi. 18-21) (we must remember also that prisons are difficult to construct in most Semitic communities); and it is extended to the presumptuous prophet (xiii. 1-5, xviii. 20) and to native seducers to idolatry (xiii. 6-18, cp. Ex. xxii, 20 E). These last cases rest on the same grounds of course as the merciless destruction of the Canaanites and of their property in war-thou shall ban them: thou shall make no covenant with them, nor show them mercy, hesed, the kindly loyalty natural between man and man (vii. 2f.). Those grounds are: first, that of ritual danger, for this is within the content of the technical terms to'ebah, abomination, and shikkes, to detest (see on vii. 25 ff.) and is implied in the phrase, that there cleave nought of the thing banned to thine hand (xiii. 17); second, of the jealousy of Israel's own God against other gods (iv. 24, v. 9, vi. 15): but also, third (implicitly), of the ethical uncleanness of their practices-the wickedness of these nations (ix. 5, see note), to which recent excavations of Canaanite sanctuaries bear DEUTERONOMY

DEUTERONOMY

Original from

witness. While death is decreed to the false prophet and seducers to idolatry nothing is said of death in the case of the religious prostitutes of both sexes: but it has probably to be inferred as inflicted on them just as it must have been in the case of incest, in which also it is not mentioned (xxii. 30). As in other primitive societies communal responsibility is recognised for crimes, the individual authors of which cannot be detected (xxi, 1-9); and also the ethical solidarity of the family, with the power of parents over their children even to the extent of putting them to death (xxi, 18-21). But this last is subject to examination and judgement by the elders; the parents are spared from being the executioners; and it is laid down that neither parents nor children shall be put to death for the guilt of each other (xxiv. 16); this law is peculiar to Deuteronomy and in contradiction to the earlier custom in Israel at least up to the time of Amaziah. Deuteronomy does not repeat E's decree of death to the man who strikes his father and mother (Ex. xxi, 15) or who curses them (Ex. xxi. 17), but the latter is cursed (xxxii. 16). That the mother is joined with the father in the reverence due

from their children (v. 16, cp. xxvii, 16) and named along with the father in the case of the disobedient son (xxi. 18 ff) may be substantially no more than we find in JE and in the Babylonian laws: among even the nomad Arabs a mother of sons is held in honour. But of woman in general and of man's duty to her there is no doubt that Deuteronomy is inspired by higher conceptions than we find in the other Hebrew codes; witness its more discriminating form of the Tenth Commandment, v. 21, and see the notes to that and to xv. 12, 18, xxi, 14, xxii, 13 and xxiv, 1-4. Polygamy is taken for granted, but in its risks, that one wife may be loved better than another, justice is enforced for the latter and her child (xxi. 15-17). The law on Divorce-the practice of this has always been easy among the Semites-is designed to make divorce a more serious and deliberate affair than even in Israel it was conceived to be, and in particular to prevent the degradation of the woman by too easy conveyance from one husband to another (xxiv, 1-4). It is interesting that the Code allows marriage with a female captive of war, with whom an Iranelite has honestly fallen in loves, and provides against her being used as a deated, if he grows tired of her Cost, 10—44.) The case of the suspected bride in proceedings to the control of the cost of the superior of the cost of the for the woman's feelings which are the Book's own (cit. 15—21). In adultery the man is to be punished equally with the woman for rape the man shall die, and if a man seduce a girl a fine shall not be sufficient, he must marry her kouse the hall admitted her (cit. 12—20). This is in advance of Ex law

A comparatively small proportion of the social laws of Deuteronomy are—apart from the cardinal law of the One Altar and its consequences—concerned with matters of ritual; cp. the notes on the law of clean and unclean foods xiv. 3—21, against various mixtures xxii. 5—9, 11, and of tassels xxii. 12, possibly also xxiii.

On the other hand the number of laws that are based on reasons of humanity is very striking; in nothing else is the superiority of Deuteronomy to other codes more conspicuous. Vet we must discriminate. For example, the generous treatment enforced for household slaves (xv. 12-18) has been always part of the general Semitic conscience, and is practised in Arabia today (see notes on pp. 202 ff.). The other Hebrew codes provide for the stranger, the foreign settler in Israel's gates (E. Ex. xxii. 21, xxiii, 9; H. Lev, xvii, 10ff., xix, 10, 33f., xx, 2, xxiv, 22; P. Ev 6i 10 48 Lev vvi 20 Nn vv. 14 16 20) and legislate for the widow (E. Ex. xxii, 22 f.: H. Lev. xxi, 14, xxii, 13: P. Nu. xxx. 9 ff.). But P's references to both stranger and widow are all concerned with ritual; H leaves the gleanings of the field to the stranger and the poor and insists that in law native and stranger shall fare alike. E alone adds the fatherless (Ex vaii 22 f.) and his directions for all three are based purely on grounds of justice and sympathy. So are Deuteronomy's but they are much more numerous and emphatic, always in the combination,

the stranger, the fatherless and the widow, x. 18, xxiv. 17, 19, 20, 21, xxvii. 19, and with the Levile, xiv. 29, xvi. 11, 14, xxvi. 12, 13. It is also distinctive that in the law leaving the gleanings to the poor, which is peculiar to H and Deuteronomy, while H gives as the motive I am Ichovah thy God. Deuteronomy emphasises this as kindness and as gratitude to God, and characteristically extends the law to the olive crop as well as to the grain (xxiv. 10ff.) Israel is to love the stranger as God loveth him (x. 18f.). Deuteronomy's law on loans and pledges (xxiv, 10-13) as compared with that of E (Ex. xxii, 25, see Driver's note) shows no new principle but a more delicate consideration of the feelings of the poor debtor. With H alone Deuteronomy shares the law enjoining the payment to the hired servant of his wage before sunset (xxiv. 14f.; H, Lev. xix. 13; cp. Matt. xx. 8). Nor is it without significance that a number of other laws based on motives of humanity are peculiar to Deuteronomy among the Hebrew codes: on sparing the fruit trees in a siege (xx. 19f.), a real advance on the ethics of war in the Semitic world and even within Israel; on protecting roofs (xxii. 8); on help to an escaped slave (xxiii. 15f.), also an advance on Semitic custom; against taking the family millstones as a pledge (xxiv. 6); against excessive beating (xxv. 1-3), and on kindness to animals (xxv. 4, cp. v. 14. and possibly xxii, 6f.). Peculiar also to Deuteronomy is the law, equally scrupulous and equitable, upon the use at need of others' crops (xxiii. 24 f.). But both this law and that on not muzzling the ox (xxv. 4) are generally observed in the East. And also in Deuteronomy alone are two regulations on decency, physical and moral, on the cleanness of the camp (xxiii, 0-14) and reckless assault (xxv. 11 f.), in neither of which are we compelled to trace the motive to any idea of ritual. If all these laws which are peculiar to Deuteronomy were derived by it from other codes, as we know that it derived some from E, yet its selection of them is no less a proof of the distinctive spirit of its morality. In these laws, as in the additions to others, the heart that beats behind the Deuteronomic Code is, as we have seen already, a bigger and a richer heart than we can feel in any other.

But still more distinctive of the higher ethical spirit which pervades Deuteronomy is its searching examination of moral moods and of motives and its inclusion of thoughts and desires as well as actions in its purview-as, for example, in its expansion of E's story of the disaffection of the people and their penitence after the return of the spies (i. 26-33); its call to consider with the heart (iv. 30), that is not, as our ears might take it, with the feelings, but with what heart meant to the Hebrew, the practical intellect: its denouncement not only of the appropriation of unlawful silver and gold but of all desire for this (vii. 25): its warnings against base thoughts as well as base deeds, lest thou say in the heart, or beware that there he not a base thought in the heart, or it must not seem too hard to thee (viii, 17, ix, 4, xv, 9, 18). The obedience it demands to the Law of God is one of all the heart and all the soul and all the strength. With morality so personal it is not strange that though it is the only Code which provides for a King. Deuteronomy should lay such distinctive stress as it does upon the moral and political responsibilities of the whole people and upon their character as the critical element in their history. One of its laws recognises that public conscience in Israel, which exists also in the poorest tribe of the Arabian desert to-day, the instinct not to dishonour nor to shame one's fellowtribesmen; she hath wrought folly in Israel (xxii. 21; cp. J, Gen. xxxiv. 7, Josh. vii. 15, Judg. xx. 6, 10). It shares the essentially democratic spirit common to all Semitic peoples. But it brings this out in its own moral way, emphasising the responsibilities of all members of the state rather than their rights. According to other documents of the Pentateuch Moses himself selects the tribal judges, according to Deuteronomy the people (see notes on i. 9-18, xvi. 18), and it describes how grave and serious the office of election is. Similarly it is the people who propose to Moses to send the spies (i. 22), while in P the sending of the spies is a Divine command (Nu. xiii, 1 f.); in the victories over Sihon and Og Moses emphasises the people's share, we smote him, we took all his cities (ii. 33 ff.; cp. iii. 4, etc.); and all the exhortations and all the laws are to Israel as a whole. And there is no flattery

of the people, but on the contrary, just as by the prophest, their wiscledness is suparsingly declared; literi shallow penience is rejected (i, 41—46); they are repeatedly called presumptuous in action, trabbers, article, and striptic (ize, 52, 52, 42); they are repeatedly called president people (ize, 6, 13, x. 16); constantly rebelling (ize, 7, 23, 52, over-pring themselve (ize, 13) and quickly harming unit (ize, 16); not for thy rightwareaux or for the uprigalizates of fainter heart, mided Johnson (in the contract of the Contract of

It is sometimes objected to Deuteronomy that its morality is too absolute-do good and you shall live, do evil and you shall perish-and that the absolutism is not relieved by any admission or explanation of the sufferings of the righteous: the problem that engaged Jeremiah and the later generations of thinkers in Israel. This is not wholly true. There is at least one passage on the Divine purpose of suffering. He hath led thee these forty years in the wilderness that He might humble thee, to prove thee, to know what was in thine heart And He humbled thee, and suffered thee to hunger and fed thee with manna ... that He might make thee know that man doth not live by bread only, but by every word that proceedeth out of the mouth of the LORD doth man live (viii, 2 f.). But the mind of the Book is not exercised with the problem, and immediately swings back to its absolutism upon-the great hyperbole: Thy raiment swaxed not old upon thee, neither did thy foot swell these forty years (viii, 4). As a man chasteneth his son so did the LORD thy God chasten thee (viii, s). The Book leaves it at that, but that is much.

But there are two other more significant limitations upon the teaching of Deuteronomy. We have observed its interesting silence on the foreign influences which according to JE assisted Israel: Hobab's, Jethro's¹, and Balaam's; its sense of the sufficiency of Israel, possessors of the Law as they were, to themselves. Its

1 Hobab and Jethro may be the same.

interest, its sympathy, its humanity do not extent beyond Irared and the strangers whith their gates. There is no Besing interpolar lared for other peoples as in J (Gen. xi. x, xviii. 4, X, xi. x, xxii. x, xviii. 4, xviii. 4,

Such, then, are the peculiar style, standpoint, doctrines, spirit, and limitations of Deuteronomy i-xxv, throughout. The force and individuality of the Book; its consistency and distinctiveness from the other documents of the Pentateuch as well as its differences from much of the custom and practice both in early and later Israel, are all obvious. Not only in its Cardinal Law of the One Altar, with all the consequences of this, and in other laws peculiar to itself such as those of the King and Prophet. and in its expansions and modifications of earlier law, both written and consuetudinary, but also in its religious temper and general spirit of humanity, Deuteronomy evidently occupies a particular stage in the development of the religion of Israel. Can we mark any point in Israel's history, at which both the style and characteristic doctrines of the Book appeared as operative on the life and literature of the people? We are fortunate in having evidence in the Old Testament which enables us to fix that point with exactness. At the same time, in face of the structure of the Book-its divisions with their separate and independent titles-the question arises whether all of it appeared at once or whether some parts are not more original than others. That fact and this question will be dealt with in the next paragraphs.

1 See Ryle's notes to Genesis (this series).

& A. Deuteronomy and the Law-Book of Iosiah.

Neither in the primitive legislation of JE nor in the practice of their religion by early Israel is there a trace of the cardinal law of Deuteronomy, viz. that after Israel enters Canaan and the Lord gives them rest from their foes sacrifice to Him shall be confined to One Altar in a place which He shall choose to cause His name to dwell there (ch. xii.). And because there is to be only One Altar the tithes of the people's flocks and fruits must be taken to it, or if the way be too long to carry them there in kind they are to be turned into money (xiv. 22-27); the three annual feasts, Passover, Weeks, and Tabernacles, are to be celebrated there (xvi. 1-17); and cities of asylum are to be appointed for unintentional manslavers who are at too great a distance to flee to the Altar (iv. 41-43, xix. 1-13). In contrast to all this the laws of JE assume the validity of sacrifice to Jehovah at every place where He may record His Name and promise that in answer He will come there to bless His worshippers; while the fashion of altar the laws prescribe is one suitable to a multiplicity of rural sanctuaries (Ex. xx. 24 f.). And while they include no law as to tithes, they direct that the three annual feasts shall be celebrated at a sanctuary (Ex. xxiii. 14-17, xxxiv, 18-24) and, imply that asylum may be claimed at any altar (Ex. xxi. 12-14; cp. esp. vv. 13f.)1. So too, after Israel's entrance into Canaan

¹ See Robertson Smith, OTJC, pp. 342-5, 353f., and Prophets of Israel, 109f., 393f. (in reply to Prof. Green); also Driver's Exodus (in this series) on the JE laws cited above, and his Dewt. pp. xliii f. and 136-138. In the Problem of the Old Testament (1905), p. 175 (cp. pp. 503 f.). Dr Orr offers to Robertson Smith's argument an answer, which however fails to meet both the facts of the O.T. texts and the contentions of the critics founded on them. He misses the force of the Heb, idiom in Ex. xx, 24 f., which indubitably implies a multiplicity of altars. He admits indeed (thus differing from Prof. Green) that Ex. xx. 24 f. covers the right of sacrifice at several altars simultaneously as well as at successive stations of Israel's central sanctuary. But when he emphasises that this right is limited by the clause in every place where I record my name, he fails to state that this is of course admitted by the critics whom he opposes. When he adds that there is nothing in the law of Exodus to conflict with Deuteronomy, he ignores the fact that Deut, confines not

DEUTERONOMY AND LAW BOOK OF IOSIAH xli the histories recount not only that the religious leaders of the

people-prophets, priests and kings-sacrificed on many altars scattered over the land, some of which had been high places of the Canaanites, but also that Jehovah appeared there to the worshippers and blessed them. In Judah this sanctioned practice continued down to the building of the Temple, and even after this the high places were not destroyed-not even by pious kings as the deuteronomic editor of the histories is careful to point out. In N. Israel at least several sanctuaries to Jehovah were recognised by the authorities, and Elijah was bidden to build Him an altar on Carmel, upon the sacrifices at which a manifestation of His power descended in answer to prayer1. The prophets of the eighth and seventh centuries, indeed, strongly inveigh against Israel's worship on the high places, many features of which were fundamentally hostile to the prophetic conceptions of the spiritual nature of Jehovah. But the prophets do not appeal to any written law on the subject, and indeed two of them deny that Jehovah had given any ordinances in the wilderness concerning sacrifices? Though there were earlier measures taken to destroy idols, and possibly even to concentrate the national worship in the Temple3. and though the status of the Temple and its priesthood was constantly strengthened and their influence increased from King Asa's time onwards, yet the first recorded attempt to abolish the high places is that attributed to Hezekiah. The narrative here bears signs of being a later intrusion into the annals of this monarch*. But the temporary destruction of all high places in

only sacrifice but also the record of the Name of Jehovah to one place. Nor does he attempt to meet the force which the argument he opposes derives from the consequences of the law of the One Altar, viz. in Deuteronomy's laws on tithes, the three annual feasts and the cities of asylum-consequences of which the laws in JE show no trace.

1 For details and references see below pp. 161 f. 2 Jer. vii. 22; cp. Am. v. 25.

³ As under Asa, circa 913-873 B.C., 1 Kgs xv. 9-15; see the

present writer's Terusalem, vol. II, oo f. 4 2 Kgs xviii. 4: the grammar of the clause on the high places. pillars and 'Asherim is late, and all these were still in use in the beginning of Josiah's reign, 60 or 70 years afterwards. the country by the Assyrians', in contrast to the marvellous deliverance of Jerusshem in 70 and the invisibiles scarcines with which the preaching of Isaiah had invested the Temple, renders such a reform by Herekish were possible and cross-the Moreover the Rabshakhe imputes to Herekish the removal of the high plates, 2 § Sex will: 22. That the reform was drastic is proved by the reaction it immediately provoked on Herekish's proved by the reaction it immediately provoked on Herekish's proved by the reaction it immediately provoked on Herekish's proved to Herekish's which will be the strength of the provided on Herekish's provided by the region of the strength of the provided of the provided during the reigins of Manassesh and Annon and into that of toish, as we learn from Ircentials and Excisiol?

But in the eighteenth year of Josiah, 621 or 620 R.C., a Book of the Law was discovered in the Temple, which being read to the King filled him with consentration, and by the King to the King to the King to the Care of the

¹ Cp. the terms used of this in ² Kgs xviii. 33—35, xix. 11—13, 17—19 (=1s. xxxvi. 18—20, xxxvii. 11—13, 18—20) with the terms used in Deuteronomy, especially in chs. vii. and xii. ² Jer. ii. 20, iii. 6, 8, 13, 23, xvii. 1 f.; and Ezek. vi. 13, xviii. 5 f., xx. 38.

* S Kgs xxii.f. See below, po xvivif.
* B ya group of French witners, Huvet, d'Eichthal, and Vernes, in By a group of French witners, Huvet, d'Eichthal, and Vernes, in the proposition of the Book of Kings to which a Kgs xxii.f. belongs, must be selliment of the Book of Kings to which a Kgs xxii.f. belongs, must be selliment of the Book of Kings to which a Kgs xxii.f. belongs, must be SHOTT, excite the following s of later origin xxii. 6f, 1; 2-67.8 tellularly configurations, i. 5, 81, no. 11 (late Gains), 1; 2-69.2 tellularly configurations, i. 5, 81, no. 11 (late Gains), 1; 2-69.2 tellularly configurations, i. 5, 81, no. 11 (late Gains), 1; 2-69.2 tellularly configurations, i. 5, 81, no. 11 (late Gains), 1; 2-69.2 tellularly configurations, i. 5, 81, no. 11 (late Gains), 1; 2-69.2 tellularly configurations, i. 5, 81, no. 11 (late Gains), 1; 2-69.2 tellularly configurations, i. 5, 81, no. 11 (late Gains), 1; 2-69.2 tellularly configurations, 1; 2-69.2 tellularly configurations, 1; 2-69.2 tellularly configurations, 1; 2-69.2 tellularly configurations, 2, 2-69

DEUTERONOMY AND LAW BOOK OF JOSIAH xliii gives to itself: the latter agrees with the description of it in the title to one of its sections, the words of the covenant, in Monh (see above § 1) and with the character of its contents. But the main, and the irrefutable, proof, not merely of the similarity but of the identity of this Law-Book and of Deuteronomy-in whole or part-lies in the record of reforms which Iosiah and his people were roused to carry out: the destruction of all idols and symbols including the pillars and 'Asherîm, and impure practices, whether connected with the worship of Jehovah or with that of other gods (cp. 2 Kgs xxiii. 4 f., 7, 10 f., 12 ff., 15 last clause, 19, 24 with Deut, xvii, 3, xii, 2 f., xvi, 21 f., xviii, 10 f., xxiii, 18 (17)); the abolition of all high places and the centralisation of the worship of Jehovah in one place (cp. 2 Kgs xxiii, 8, 13-15, 19 with Deut, xii.); the provision, consequently necessary for the priests of the disestablished rural sanctuaries, to eat bread with their brethren at Jerusalèm (2 Kgs xxiii, 9 b with Deut, xviii. 8); and the new celebration of the Passover by all the heatle at Ierusalem—the first of the kind in the history of Israel (cp. 2 Kgs xxiii. 21-23 with Deut. xvi. 5 f.). Among the codes of Israel that of Deuteronomy is the only one which requires the execution of all these measures. The one point in which Josiah did not carry out the deuteronomic law was its direction that the disestablished priests should be allowed to minister at the One Altar (cp. 2 Kgs xxiii. 9 a with Deut. xviii, 7). That this exception is recorded does not subtract from but rather adds to the accumulation of evidence that the Law-Book discovered in the Temple 621-20 B.C. was not merely similar to, but identical with, at least the distinctive parts of Deuteronomy.

This conclusion, suggested as early as Jerome and Chrysostom', and recognised by Hobbes', was first made current in modern criticism by De Wette's, and is now accepted almost universally.

- 1 Jer. Comm. in Each. i. 1; Chrys. Hom. in Matth. ix.
- Beiträge, 1806.
 See Wellhausen's Prolegomena to the History of Israel, 1878, English

Recent attempts to dispute it, whether from a conservative1, or from an advanced standpoint, cannot be pronounced as reasonable. Some of the latter have already been mentioned; but a few words are necessary on another. Dr Kennett2 argues for a date for Deuteronomy subsequent to the reign of Iosiah mainly on the grounds that its language is dependent on Jeremiah's-but this is not proved and the converse is more probable-and that it contains exilic elements-but these, if they are really such, may be reckoned among the later additions to the Book. Dr Kennett's explanation of Josiah's consternation as due to some denunciations of sacrifice by one of the prophets does not suit the well-established fact that it was the reading of a Book of the Law, a Book of the Covenant which dismayed the King, and that it was denunciation not of sacrifice but only of certain forms of it to which the King's reforms correspond. Dr Kennett has then to account for Josiah's continuance of sacrifice at the Temple and does so by the fact that this was Josiah's own royal chapel-a reason that may be safely left to the judgement of the reader! Dr Kennett thinks that 'there is good reason for supposing that for some time neither the Jewish community in Babylon nor that in Egypt possessed any written law limiting sacrifice to one sanctuary': that it was only Ezekiel's presence in Babylon which prevented the Iews from building a temple there, like the one their brethren built in Egypt; and that 'if we may suppose that the compact between southern Samaria (i.e. the district of which Bethel was the chief sanctuary) and Iudah to make Ierusalem the one place of sacrifice for both districts dates from a time subsequent to Nebuchadnezzar's destruction of Jerusalem, the law of Deuteronomy which embodies and extends this compact must be placed still later.' This is not argument but a series of conjectures; and even if we were to accept these, we should still have to ask what then caused Josiah's consternation and what was the basis of his reforms?

translation 1882, pp. 27, $y_1 - y_2$; Robertson Smith $\mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_1$, and the $\mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_2$, and $\mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}$

2 'The Date of Deuteronomy' in the Journal of Theological Studies, July, 1906; cp. p. 43 of The Composition of the Book of Isaiah.

§ 5. Questions of Unity.

But was the Law-Book discovered under Joshis the whole of Deuteronomy—Law, or only part I. The question is raised both by the record of his reforms which all find a sufficient motive within the Code size—axel, and by the structure of Deuteronomy itself. It is true (as we have seen) that the style and doctrine of his—axe. are so distinctive and on uniform that it is natural to argue that they are a unity and from the same hand. The whething is the however, is extravegate.

So far from the evidence for their unity being 'overwhelming' chs i.—xxx. bear many marks both of expansion and of compilation. Not only do the main divisions-into Discourses and Code and Discourses again, each with its own independent heading or introduction (§ 2)-suggest the association of originally separate documents; but these main divisions also reveal as between themselves, not indeed differences of substance, but, in spite of their uniform style, some differences of diction. Further, within each division there are prima facie appearances of more than one hand. Not only are there archaeological notes unsuitable in the mouth of the speaker and to his hortatory purpose and other obviously editorial expansions3; but sections, both large and small, differ from each other in the form of address used to Israel, some using the Singular Thou others the Plural You (hereafter styled Sg. and Pl.). This distinction of address might be ignored if it stood alone, but it is frequently coincident with differences in the phraseology used for the same subjects, in the themes treated and even in the standpoints from which the people and their past are regarded. Such distinctions emerge not only in each of the Discourses but in the Code as well, in which we find evidence of doublets, or variant laws on the same subject. Altogether there are enough of such

¹ Orr, Problem of the Old Testament, p. 253.
2 E.g. ii. 10-12, 20-23, etc.

³ E.g. i. 39, iv. 29—31, and clauses in xi. 10 f.; see § 9.

phenomena in the style and substance of the Book, if not to prove different authors and persons as in the case of the main documents of the Pentateuch, J. E. D and P¹, yet to suggest the possibility of the compilation of our Deuteronomy from different editions of the original. And that would be a solution of the question which would not (it may be pointed out) conflict with the distinctive and impressive uniformity of the style throughout.

With this evidence from the Book itself, some general considerations have to be kept in mind. Oneness of motive, of doctrine, of temper, or even of style, does not of itself prove oneness of authorship. This is most necessary to remember in the case of such a style as the deuteronomic. As we see from the admitted editional expansions within the Book as well as from the influence it exerted on the subsequent literature of streat the deuteronomic style is a most initiable amount of start the deuteronomic style in some influence in the start of the subsequent interaction of writing. Granted the same religious motives and tempers in the same policial and aprirula circumstructure of the subsequence of the subseq

Such are the questions which arise regarding the unity of Detateronomy. —xxx. They fall into two classes, fairly orisident with the two main stages in the history of the modern criticism of the Book. First there are the questions of the relations of the main divisions of the Book to each other—the Code, and the Introductory and Concluding Discourses with their separate headings; and X-order there are the questions raised by divisions, especially the distinction between Sg. and Pt. forms of address, which is sometimes coincident with differences of phraseology and of subject.

See Chapman, I.P. pattiw.
 Cp. Bagehot, Physics and Politics (1883), pp. 32—36, 88—90, on the rise and prevalence in a particular are or school of a uniform style.

§ 6. The Relations to Each Other of the Main Divisions— The Code and the Discourses.

The earlier controversy upon the unity of Deuteronomy i .- xxx. was concerned with the relations, to the Code (xii,-xxvi.) and to each other, of the two Introductory Discourses (i,-iv, 40 and v.-xi.) and the Closing Discourses (xxviii.-xxx.; xxvii. raises questions of its own and will be treated later). Except for certain admitted expansions the Code was regarded as original; that is, the Law-Book discovered and enforced in the reign or Josiah contained at least the Code. Some critics argued that the Law-Book consisted only of the Code without any introduction, not even chs. v.-xi, which they assigned to a later writer1. Their principal reasons for this are that the author of chs. v.-vi. implies that the statutes and judeements of the Code were already before him in writing-witness e.g. the perfect tense hath commanded you as in v. 32 and the setting before the people in xi. 26 ff. of a blessing and a curse for keeping or transgressing commandments not yet given to them; and that chs. v.-xi. form far too long an introduction to the Code for its author himself to make? But neither of these is a sound reason. Such perfects as we find in v. 32 imply only that the speaker had already received from God the laws he was about to communicate

Valento, Studies, v. 1889, pp. 137 ff. (not seem). Wellhammer, Markenday, Phe Market Toologie, x. 107, pp. 887, and Corp. 867, pp. 611. Mere recently Corolla in the gha el. of his Krishirany and pp. 611. Mere recently Corolla in the gha el. of his Krishirany and the pp. 612. Mere recently Corolla in the gha el. of his Krishirany and the Cole as the "Understroomline," and the two preceding discourses as Corolla third Scardy els et 7.7 pp. 401, pp. 501, h. p. 339, holds that the Cole as the "Understroomline," and the two preceding discourses are polynomial to the Cole and the cole and understroomline, and the two preceding discourses are polynomial to the Cole and the Cole and understroomline, and understroomline, pp. 604 part 1 100 of the Daniel to Market Market Scard, and the Cole and th

to the people, which was the case with Moses: neither they nor anything else suggest more than that the author had completed his Code before he wrote his introduction to it, which is very probable and if true does not render the introduction less original than the Code. As for the great length of the introduction between the intimation at its outset that Moses is about to set the law or the statutes and judgements before Israel (v. 1: cp. iv. 44), and the point at which he actually reaches these, (xii. 1) two things must be kept in mind: that the introduction, especially from vi. 1 onwards, is itself an exposition (see note on i. 5), if not of the Law yet of the principles underlying it; and that the long historical section, ix. 8-x. 8 or 11 may not have . been original to the introduction. Besides, it is very probable. if not certain, that a Code enjoining such drastic changes in the religious life of the people had some introduction explaining the principles on which it was based. Nor are there any discrepancies in substance between the Code and chs. v.-xi. It is true that in the latter there is no allusion to the cardinal law of the Code, but (as we have seen) that law is but the practical corollary, in the peculiar circumstances of the seventh century, of the principles which those chapters enforce: the uniqueness of the God of Israel and the exclusion from all association with His worship of the practices prevalent in the worship of other gods. Nor are there differences of language between the Code and chs. i.-xi. nearly sufficient to suggest different authors or dates of origin. It is true that many of the laws as stated in the Code are devoid of the usual formulas and other marks of the deuteronomic style with which chs. v.—xi. are replete; and true also that the Code contains a certain number of terms not found elsewhere in Deuteronomy nor in the deuteronomic passages of the rest of the Old Testament. But this is to be explained by the fact that the Code incorporates laws, and perhaps even groups of laws, from previous collections3, and that in the exposition of principles of which the v-vi consist there was no occasion for

But see below pp. xcvi f., on Cullen's theory.
 See below pp. lxiii ff.
 See below pp. lxvi ff.

the use either of purely juridical terms, suitable to the statutes themselves, or of names of things or actions relevant only to the subjects of particular statutes. Nor is it without significance that it is precisely in the laws original to the Code—that of the One Altar and those which follow from it—that the deuteronomic formulas chiefly occur and that the language generally shows close affinity to that of ch. x—xi.

It is unnecessary to catalogue the many deuteronomic formulas and terms found both in chs. v .- xi. and in the Code, but a list of such of them and of other expressions as are found only in these two divisions and not elsewhere in Deuteronomy and some of them even not elsewhere in the O.T. may be given here as illustrating the very close affinity, if not unity, of authorship :- to love God vi. s. vii. o. x. 12, xi. 1. 13. 22 with xiii. 3, xix. 9; to serve or go after other gods vi. 14, vii. 4, viii. 19, xi. 16, 28 with xiii. 2, 6, 13, xvii. 3 (cp. xviii. 20); observe to do v. 1, 22. vi. 2. 25. vii. 17. viii. 1. xi. 22. 22 with xii. 22. xv. 5. xvii. 10. xxiv. 8 and thrice in xxviii. : cat and be full vi. 11. viii. 10. 12. xi. 15 with xiv. 20, xxvi. 12 (and in later writings sporadically); house of bendmen (Egypt) v. 6. vi. 12. vii. 8. viii. 14 with xiii. 5. 10 and nowhere else in Deuteronomy (but cp. Ex. xiii. 3, 14 IE); remember thou wast a londman, etc. v. 15 with xv. 15, xvi. 12, xxiv. 18, 22 and nowhere else in Deuteronomy (cp. Ex. xiii. 3, 14 IE); the Hiphil he Welk used intransitively, to be long, v. 16, vi. 2 with xxv. 15, elsewhere only Ex. xx. 12; that it be well with thee v. 16, 29, vi. 3, 18 with xii. 25, 28, xxii. 7 (elsewhere in Deuteronomy only iv. 40), cp. the variant in v. 33, xix. 13; 'am regullah = a peculiar people vii. 6 with [xiv. 2], xxvi. 18 and nowhere else; 'am hadosh = a holy people vii. 6 with [xiv. 2], 21, xxvi. 19 and xxviii. o. nowhere else: hazhak M. he set his love on of God vii. 7, x, 14 with xxi, 11, of man, not elsewhere in Deuteronomy; Andah = redeem vii, 8, ix, 26 with xiii, 5, xv, 15, xxi, 8, xxiv, 18, not elsewhere in the Hexateuch: the corn, new wine, and oil vii, 13, xi, 14 with xii, 17, viv. an will a and wwill at a thing our shall not him or them wil 16 with xiii. 8, xix. 13, 21, xxv. 12 (often in Ezek., cp. Gen. xlv. 20. Is, xiii, 18): thou canst not in the very rare sense then mayest not vii. 22 with xii. 17, xvi. 5, xvii. 15, xxi. 16, xxii 3, 19, 29, xxiv. 4. 'almost confined to Deuteronomy' (Driver), cp. Gen. xliji, 32; an abomination of (=to) febovah vii. 25 with xii. 31, xvii. 1, xviii. 12, xxii. 5, xxiii. 18, xxv. 16; to walk in the ways of febovah viii. 6, x. 12, xi, 22 with xix, q. xxvi, 17 and xxviii, q. xxx, 16, also deuteronomic passages in Joshua and Kings; Arth used adverbially ix, 21 with xiii. 14. xvii. 4. xix. 18. elsewhere only xxvii. 8. 2 Kes xi. 8. Note in addition the use of Anhal = acceptally for the gathering of the people at Horeb v. 22, ix. 10, x. 4 with xviii, 16 (co. xxiii, 1, 2, 3, 8); assembly of Jehowah in contrast to P's use of 'edah (see note to v. 22). These particular parallels (along with many others) between chs. v.-xi, and

DEUTERONOMY

Digitized by Google

Original from UNIVERSITY OF WISCONS the Code expose the groundlessness of the hypothesis by which Wellhausen in defence of his theory of diverse authorship attempts to explain the presence of deuteronomic elements in the Code, viz. that that later author to whom he assigns chs. v.—xi. furnished the Code with echoes of v.—xi. when he prefixed these as his introduction to it (Comp.

D. 103). The rare words and phrases which are either peculiar to the Code or, if they occur once or twice in other parts of the O.T., are not found in chs. v. -xi. are the following: and in nearly every case their presence in the Code and absence from the Discourse introducing it is explicable on grounds perfectly compatible with the theory that the compiler of the Code and the writer of this introduction to it were one and the same. For some are juridical terms proper to what are technically laws, but not to be expected in the exposition of the principles on which these laws are based: e.g. mishbat-manuch or het manuch = case of death. capital crime, xix, 6, xxi, 22, xxii, 26, perhaps also the phrase and it be a rin in thee vy o, vyiii at f. vviv is nowhere else; and Mileth Marin - mantan or antounded charges will be to the Others again are relevant only to the subjects of the particular laws in which they occur: the place which Jehovah your God shall choose to cause His Name to dwell there xii, 5 (see note); we shall eat before Jehovah your God xii, 7, 18, xiv. 23. 26. xv. 20; ve shall rejoice before lebouch thy God xii. 12. yet the en yet a great and so too hith ammer to treat as a chattel xxi, 14, xxiv, 7, nowhere else; he'enik, to equit vv. 14, only here: sons of Belial xiii, 12 and a thing or word of Belial xv. o. nowhere else in the Hexateuch: the nabolness of a thing, an idiom both for what is physically shameful xxiii. 14, and for what is morally so xxiv, 1: the month of 'Ablb. xvi. 1: and of course ma'dkeh = battlement xxii. 8. etdlim=fringer xxii. 12. mamzer=bastard xxiii. 2 (elsewhere only in Zech, iv. 6), batash = bluck, mallloth = fresh ears, hermech = sickle xxiii. 25, cp. xvi. q and m'bushim xxv. 11; also niddah=let drive at xix. 5, xx. 10. Others again appear to have been taken over, with the rest of the text of the laws in which they stand, from earlier codes, This is certain in the case of sakur = male xvi. 16, word for word an earlier law (Ex. xxiii, 17, E) vv. 12. It is very probable with the following: the fem form matters will to fin the Pentatench only here, the mass na'ar being used elsewhere for both male and female, 8 times in Genesis and 13 in Deuteronomy); sarah = defection xiii, 5, xix, 16 (from sursee below, p. lv); and 'abat = to gray a stedge xv, 6, xxiv, 10, with its Hipbil = to cause to give, i.e. take a stories av. 6. 8. and 'adde stories xxiv. 10-13 (none of these elsewhere in the Q.T., but co. the pl. Matim in Hab, ii. 6), technical commercial terms, probably borrowed from the Aramaic (Wellhausen, Kleine Propheten, p. 207). And the same explanation is also possible for mithlah vade what thou suttent thine hand to xii, 7, 18, xv. 10, xxiii, 21 and xxviii, 8, 20; and burn

and the svil from the midst of thes, see note on xiii. 5.

Since the connection of the xviiii is concerned in this question of the unity of the Code and ths. v.—xi. the points have been noted above at

It is clear from the above that ch. xxviii. shares many of the seemblances and affinities between the style of chs. x—sk and that of the Code. Because of this; because it is probable that the the earlier code of Et the desuresonnic Code had an Epilopue; and because the stern curses which ch. xxviii. pronounces on when the Lax-book was read to him, ch. xxviii. has been reasonably taken by most as also part of the original Desuresonomy. And the undoubted difference in phraseology between it and chs. x—xxvii. have been explained as due to the difference of purpose governing ch. xxviii. or to original original content of the content

This then became the most generally accepted result of the artior stage of the contiverery upon the relations to each other of the Code, chs. sil—xxvi, the immediately preceding laturduction to it, chs. v.—xi, and the Epilogue, chs. xxviii, viz. that they are from the same hand and time and substantially the Book of the Law or Covenant discovered in the Temple under joishib. Driver may be quoted: 'chs. v.—xxxi: may thus be

1- In the small print above the references to ch. still. and still, and still, are print according to the samelenge of the verse in our English Versions. He was a still a

concluded, without hesitation, to be the work of a single author'; and ch. xxviii. 'may be included without serious misgivings.' Some, however, of the critics of the later stage of the discussion deduct ch. v. as forming a separate discourse and the historical section ix. 8-x. 11 as disturbing the connection between the hortatory sections, vi.-ix, 7 and x, 12-xi.1 These we shall consider later?

There has been much greater difference of opinion on the First Introductory Discourse i. 6-iv. 40, and the question of its relations to the Second Discourse and the Code v .- xxvi. The question is complicated by the fact that, like the Second, the First Discourse consists both of a historical and a hortatory part, i. 6-iii. 29 and iv. 1-40.

The general doctrine and style of the two Introductory Discourses are undoubtedly the same (§ 2) and that in spite of the fact that narrative forms the bulk of the First while in the Second the reverse is the case. The same purpose is expressed by the First as by the Second, to expound the Law (i. 5 see note), to teach the statutes and the judgements of the Code (iv. 1, 5, 8, 14, 40 with iv. 44, v. 1, 31, vi. 1, xi. 32, xii. 1, xxvi. 16); and there are not only the same urgency and spiritual thoroughness (as contrasted with its sources, see notes to i. 16 f., 41, iv. 9, 29, 39), but the same directions of religious and ethical emphasis, e.g. God's love to Israel (iv. 37 with vii. 8, 13, x, 15, 18, [xxiii. 5] and not elsewhere in the Hexateuch), His choice (iv. 37 with vii. 6, 7, x. 15, xiv. 2) and tender care of them (i. 31, ii. 7, iv. 7, 34 with viii. 2-5, xi. 2), their consequent duty to trust, fear and obey only Him (i. 21, 29, iii. 22, iv. 10 with v. 29, 32, vi. 2, 13, 24 f., etc., but the Second Discourse alone enforces Israel's love to God) and the guilt of unbelief, forgetfulness and disobedience (i. 26 ff., 32, iv. 9 with the frequent commands to remember and not to forget in vi.-xi.) especially in

col. 1081, 'nothing indicates diversity of origin'; Ryle, Hastings' D.B. 1. p. 598; Bertholet, Deut. (Kurzer Hd. Comntr.), 1899, pp. xx f.; Robinson, Deuteronomy, Joshua (Century Bible), p. 13.

1 E.g. Bertholet and Robinson.

Digitized by Google

going after other gods and worshipping images (iv, 3,16–19, 25) with ν , ν -10, ν 14, wi 15, wii; 15, is 12, 10) for He is the one and only God (iii. 24, iv. 55, 19) with ν 14, etc.) and intolerant of the worship of others (ii. 34, iii. 6, kerzes, iv. 24 and v. 9, vi. 15, vii. 4, etc.); compare also the initiative and responsibility of the whole people as distinct from their leaders (i. 6)—18, 37 with xv. 18, 20), the duty of caring for the helpless and the stranger (see note to 1: 6) and of interrecting the young (x), with vi. 7, 20, xi. (y). And all this is expressed in the same properties of the stranger (see note x) as here the same distinctive power rhythm (especially those signifying greatness), love of hyperbole (i. 10, 28, cs. 15, 18, 15, 14, 13, and restriction.

But the likeness of the First Discourse to the Second is not only general. It extends to the frequent use of the characteristic deuteronomic formulas, single words, and even terms of syntax. There is an impressive agreement in details as well as in the main lines and in the spirit of the doctrine and style.

These details have virtually all been marked in the notes, but the question of unity between chs. $1-v_1$, and $v_1-v_2v_3$, is so important that it is well to gather the details together here. (a) Both the Discourses and the Code have place-names chrasteristic of Deutersonomy, e.g., Slower = 1 and Slower =

(d) Characteristic formulas, for the most part too found conside Deutscomp and detereous missages elsewhere, but common to 1.—1-c, and may and detereous missages and the part of the

¹ Like the Second Discourse the First does not mention the Code's Central Law of the One Altar. xxv. 19, 31 (some of the foregoing verses read have given for about to give); the good land, i. 35, iii. 25, iv. 21 f. with vi. 18, viii. 10, ix. 6; the phrase would not, i. 26, ii. 30 with x. 10, xxiii. s, xxv. 7, xxix. 20; deliver into the hand of, i. 27 (see note), ii. 24, 30, iii. 2 f. with vii. 24, xix, 12, xx, 13, xxi, 10; destroy, surely destroy or destroyed, i, 27, ii. 12. 21-23, iv. 2. 26 with vi. 15. vii. 4. 23 f., ix. 2. 8. 14. 10 f., 25, xii. 2, 30, xxviii. 20, 24, 45, 48, 51, 61, 63-as against only 5 or 6 times elsewhere in the Hexateuch; cause to inherit, 1. 38 and iii. 28 of Joshua with xii. 10, xix. 3 of God and xxxi. 7, Josh. i. 6, of Joshua, elsewhere only in Ier., Ezek, and later writers, P having another form; take (good) head to threelf or vourselves, ii. 4, iv. a f., thy soul, 15, 23 with vi. 12. viii. 11. xi. 16. xii. 13. 10. 30. xv. q: lehovah hath blessed thee in all the work of thy hand or hands, ii. 7 with xiv. 20, xvi. 15, xxiv. 10. xxviii. 12. cp. i. 11. xv. 10. 18. xxiii. 20: thy ereatness and thy strong hand, iii. 24, iv. 34, strong hand and stretched out arm, with v. 15 and vii. 10 as in iv. 34, v. 24 glory and greatness, vi. 21 and vii. 8 strong hand alone, ix, 26 greatness ... and ... strong hand, 20 great power and stretched out arm, xi. 2 greatness, strong hand and stretched out arm, xxvi. 8 as in iv. 24; at at this day, ii. 20, see note, iv. 28 with vi. 24. viii. 18. x. 15. xxix. 28: the frequent alternatives to cast over, so over, come in or simply go generally followed by the Jordan or to possess, i. 8, iii. 18, 21, iv. 1, 5, 14, 22, 26 with vi. 1, vii. 1, ix. 1, x. 11, xi. 8, 10f., 29, 31, xii, 10, 20 (nations for land), xvii. 14, xviii. 9, xxiii. 20, xxvi. 1, xxx. 16, 181; fear and learn to fear God, iv. 10 with v. 20, vi. 2, 24, viii, 6, x. 12. xiv. 23. xvii. 10. xxviii. 58; observe and do, iv. 6 with vii. 12. xvi, 12, xxiii, 21, xxiv, 8, xxvi, 16, xxviii, 13-the variant form observe to do (see p. xvi) does not occur in i -iv. but frequently in v.-xxvi... xxviii.; prolong days, iv. 26 see note, 40 with v. 33, xi. 9, xvii. 20, xxii. 7, xxx. 18, cp. the intransitive use v. 16, vi. 2, xxv. 15 (see p. xlix), not elsewhere in Pentateuch except Ex. xx. 12, a deuteronomic clause; and thou shall know, iv. 30 with vii. 9, viii, 5, ix. 3, 6, xi. 2,

(d) Besides those Troquest formulas the First Discourse, i. 6—v., as has in common with the N. — sex+is a number of other phrases and single emphatic from, i. 13 see note, v. 80 vil. 10 p. v. 10 p.

Note the correct distinction from these terms of the command to Israel while still in the southern wilderness, go up. pessess, i. 21. In contrast to this impressive array of features of style and language, both general and particular, which are common to chs. i.—iv., 40 and chs. v.—xxxi, xxviii.—xxx, the linguistic peculiarities which i.—iv. 40 present and which are not found in v.—xxxi, xxviii.—xxx are every few,

These have also been pointed out in the notes. After deduction of the place-names peculiar to i .- iii., which are not relevant to the themes treated in v. -xxvi., xxviii. -xxx., they amount to the following: torah = weight, i. 12, not elsewhere in the O.T.; ragan = murmur, i. 27, not elsewhere in the Pentateuch; sûlathi = save, i, 36, iv. 12, not elsewhere in Pentateuch: takinu = deemed it a light thing, i. 41, not elsewhere in the O.T.: Ac'defu = pure our, i. 45, and in prose of Hexateuch elsewhere only in Ex. xv. 26 (deuteronomic) ; y rushah = possession, ii. 5, 9 twice, 12, 19 twice, iii. 20; hithgarah = contend with, ii. 5, 9, 19, 24; saghtbhah = be high, ii. 36 in prose only here and elsewhere only in Job v. 11; hithhannen = beseech, iii, 22, with God as object only here in Pentateuch, to beseech man E. Gen, xlii, 21; hith abber = to be enraved, iii, 26; leb = heart, iv. 11 for the longer lebub elsewhere in Deuteronomy; hur habbarred = iron furmace, iv. 20, not elsewhere in Pentateuch: 'am nahillah = people of inheritance, iv. 20, instead of the usual deuteronomic peculiar people. There is also in iv. 16-22 a group of words characteristic of Exekiel and P. and not found elsewhere in Deuteronomy: -semel = figure 16. male and female 17, tabulth = build, likeness 17f., romes = that creeteth 18. holldh = beret 25 (cp. xxviii, 1), nothen = errow old, stale 25, and barn' elahim = God created 22: to which may be added tilr = explore i. 22. only here and in P for the deuteronomic hathar, i. 22, Jos. ii. 2 f., and TE's see.

Some of these may at once be put aside. Surely an author might once ase the figure as iron firmator without losing his identity! The figure, as we shall see, begins to appear in the O.T. from about the date of Deuteronomy onwards. Again the shorter form ldi is 'generally used by preference in the metaphorical sense of iv. 1' (Driver) and besides the longer lebab occurs several times in i .- iv. (ii. 30, iv. 9, 29, 39) just as throughout the rest of Deuteronomy. Again 'am nahālah, people of inheritance, closely resembles its equivalents in v.-xxvi. etc., especially thy people and thine inheritance, ix, 20. Little can be inferred from the use of aras herewere like torak and taking, most writings have one or two; and rugge and he can may be ignored as marks of difference in view of the general tendency of the deuteronomic style to employ rare poetic words for commoner ones. That leaves us with not more than 5 or 6 terms for which the rest of Deuteronomy employs others, surely by themselves an insufficient basis for a theory of dual authorship, especially when they are so greatly outnumbered by the characteristic deuteronomic phrases, which we have just seen that chs. i .- iv. have in common with chs. v .- xxvi., xxviii .- xxx. The group of terms characteristic of P are more puzzling, and will be dealt with later; note in the meantime that with the exception of tur they are confined to one section iv. 16-32 of the hortatory part of the First Discourse.

Nor can more weight be attached to the alleged discrepancies of fact between the First Discourse i. 6—iv. 40 and chs. v.—xxvi.¹ They are only three and each of them is susceptible of a reasonable explanation.

The alleged discrepancies and the explanations of them are: (a) It is said that in chs. i .- iii. the name Amorite is employed, as in E, in a general sense for all the peoples encountered by Israel in Palestine, in 1. 7, 19, 20, 27, 44 for those W. of Jordan and in iii. 2. 8, 9 for others in E. Palestine: while in vii. 1, xx, 17, as in I, the Amerite is but one of the seven nations occupying the Promised Land before the coming of Israel. If this interpretation of Amerite in i .- iii. be correct, we may explain the difference of meaning from that in vii. 1 and xx. 7 as follows. It would be natural for the same author, when writing narrative to employ Amorite generally (especially as his parrative is mainly based on E. which so employs the name), but when he came to exhortation and his particular purpose was to forbid all heathen rites, it would be appropriate for him to give an exhaustive list of the particular nations who practised there. Yet it is not clear that the writer of the narrative in chs. i,-iii, uses the name in so general a sense as is alleged. For even in W. Palestine he speaks of the Amorites only as in the hill country ch. i. and even once mentions along with them the Canaanites of the sea there: cn. xi. 20. (b). In ii. 14 Moses is made to say that all the veneration of the men of war in Israel were consumed in the wilderness by the time Israel crossed the brook Zered, thirty-eight years after leaving Horeb; while the Second Discourse, in v. 2-1, etc. and xi. 2-7, represents him as explicitly addressing in Moab the same Israel which had taken part in the covenant at Horeb and had seen with their own ever

1 This against Moore, E. B. 1087.

the events there and throughout the journey from Egypt to the Promised Land. Cornill (Introd. Eng. Tr. p. 59) calls this difference 'insoluble.' But this difference is one not of fact but of purpose. For ii. 14 belongs to the narrative part of the First Discourse where the purpose is to relate fact; while v. 2 and xi. 2-7 belong to a more hortatory part of the Second Discourse in which Israel is suitably treated as a moral whole, and the particular purpose of v. 2 is to distinguish the generation under Moses with the covenants they received at Horeb and in Moab from their forefathers before the Egyptian servitude and the Covenant God had made with them. Besides even the First Discourse, when it becomes hortatory in iv. 1-40, also assumes the moral unity of Israel throughout the wilderness wanderings :- iv. 10, the day thou steedest before Jehovah thy God in Horeh, and so down to v. 18: v. 23, the covenant ... which he made with you; v. 33, God speaking out of the midst of the fire, as thou hast heard; v. 34, all that Jehovah your God did for you in Egypt before your eyes; v. 36, he made thee to hear his voice and thou heardest his words out of the fire. This conception of Israel, as throughout many generations the same Israel, appears in all the hortatory discourses, even when the speaker forecasts the nation's far future, e.g. iv. 25, mhen we shall have been long in the land, and iv. 27-21 in the time of exile; cp. vi. 20-25, xxvi. 3-9, and xxviii. throughout; indeed this conception of a moral unity persists in the same passages which threaten deaths innumerable, e.g. xxviii. 62 ff. But it is needless to multiply examples. The same speaker who has in narrative, as in ii, 14. emphasised the destruction of one generation for their sins may in exhortation equally emphasise the identity of Israel throughout successive generations. Moreover even the parrative portion of the First Discourse tends to assume, though less explicitly, Israel's sameness throughout, i-9, 19, 20, 22, 26, 46. (c) In ii. 20 the Monbites, along with the children of Esan, are represented as having sold food and water to Israel, while xxiii. 4a states as a reason for excluding an Ammonite and a Moabite from the Assembly of Jehovah (v. 3), that they met you not with bread and water in the way when ye came forth out of Egypt. But as there are signs of xxiii. 4 a being a later addition to the text (see notes to xxiii. 3-6) it is not certain that this discrepancy is due to the original author or authors of Deuteronomy. In any case this is the only real discrepancy between i,-iv. and v,-xxvi, as these chapters now stand. For the description of the kerem or ban upon Sihon and 'Oo, ii, 34 ff., and iii, 6f.-though it agrees exactly neither with the treatment of the seven nations of Palestine, enjoined in vii. 2, 25 f. nor with that of distant enemies enjoined in xx. 10 ff., but combines features of both (see note on ii. 24)-falls before the period for which the Law was designed.

We are thus left first with a great array of features of style, language and doctrine, both general and particular, which are common to the First Discourse chs. i.—iv., and to chs. v.—xxvi., xxviii.—xxx.; second with no real discrepancy of fact between the

two divisions: and third (if we except the group of words characteristic of Ezekiel and P which all occur in the section iv. 16-12) there are only some 5 or 6 terms peculiar to i.-iv. for which others are found in v.-xxvi., xxviii.-xxx. That is a very slender basis on which to argue for a different authorship for the First Discourse from v.-xxvi. etc.; and we can hardly think that the argument would have been maintained, but for the facts that the two Introductory Discourses i. 6-iv. 40, and v.-xi. have each of them a title of its own, i. 5 and iv. 44-40. and that the First Discourse is further separated from the Second by the historical fragment on the Cities of Refuge, iv. 41-43. The two titles, it has been reasonably argued, surely signify that the Discourses which they start were originally independent compositions-different introductions, as they are both entitled, to the same Code. Attempts to meet this argument cannot be said to be satisfactory. The separate title to the Second Discourse, iv. 44-40, is a composite one (see notes to it); and Professor Driver claimed1 'that there is nothing unreasonable in the supposition that, as formulated by the original author (whether preceded by iv. 41-43 or not), this title was considerably briefer than it now is and not longer than was sufficient to break the commencement of the actual 'exposition' of the law, promised in i. 5, as opposed to the introductory matter contained in i. 6-iv. 40.' This is far from convincing. For it evades the question, why did the historical fragment iv. 41-43 (to which by the way the Code in its law on the Cities of Refuge, ch. xix., makes no reference) come to be inserted just here? And it raises a kindred question:-if iv. 44-40 was originally, as suggested, a brief sub-title in the middle of a work from the same hand, why was it so largely expanded by later editors?

It is therefore not surprising that there has been considerable divergence of opinion as to the relations of the First Discourse to the Second and to the Code. The majority of critics,

1 Deuteronomy, p. Ixviii.

emphasing the evidence of differences in style and standpoint between the two Discourses—and in the present writer's opinion seriously exaggerating them—rightly however laid stress on the presence and independence of the two titles, and had no doubt that the First Discourse could not be by the same author as the two that the First Discourse could not be by the same author as the was as accounted to be the later of the two because it includes in this a ground to be the later of the two because it includes in this a promise of larsel's recovery from exile?), or because it was alleged to show signs of using the two mans sources common to both Discourses, vii. J and E, only after these were combined, I and E as intervouvous with each other?. On the other hand, I and E as intervouvous with each other?. On the other hand,

See the notes to iv. 27—31, and below p. xcviii.
 The principal advocates of a different authorship for the First Dis-

course from that of the Second have been these :- Colenso, Pentateuch, Pt VI, 1871, though he had previously affirmed the opposite, 1864; Klostermann in the Studien und Kritiken for 1871, 253 fl. : Reuss, La Bible, 1879, 1. 207; Valeton, Studien, VI., VII., 1880-81, not seen; Wellhausen, Comp. des Hex. 1885, p. 192 footnote, 'chs. i .- iv. and chs. v.-xi. have among other ends this one in common, to indicate a historical situation for the deuteronomic legislation, they are properly two different prefaces to different editions' of the latter; Kuenen, Hex. 1886, lays stress on the linguistic peculiarities of chs. i.- iv. and on the fact that while their author is particularly anxious to distinguish the two generations whom Moses addressed at Horeb and in Mosh respectively. the author of chs. v .- xi., though aware that these generations are different still 'wishes to identify them.' 'Is it not clear that [the author of chs, i.-iv.1 cannot also be the author of chs. v.-xi.?' (for answer to which see above pp lvii f.): L. Horst, Revue de l'Histoire des Religions. XXIII. 1891, 184 ff. (not seen, cited by Driver and Bertholet); Westphal. Les Sources du Pent. 11. 1892, 66 ff., 80 ff., emphasises the fact of the two independent introductions, and separating the narrative, chs. i. 6-iii. 20 from the hortatory ch. iv. 1-40, regards the former as due to a later deuteronomic writer who desired to add a historical, to the hortatory, preface to the Code: Addis, Documents of the Hexateuch, 11, 1808, pp. 19 ff., who had formerly (1. 1892, pp. lxiv f.) with Kuenen relied on the strength of discrepancies between chs. i.—iii. and v.—xi. (e.g. in the conceptions of Israel held respectively in the two discourses) now lays less or no stress on these; but because of the two independent titles i, s, and iv. 44-49, because iv. 9-40 betrays familiarity with the style of Ezek, and P, and because of other divergences in language (admitted even a smaller number of critics, minimising or attempting to explain away the fact of two separate and independent titles, laid stressand as we have seen reasonable stress-on the general, and especially on the particular, agreement between the two Discourses in substance as in style and held-some absolutely but the most with reservations-that chs. i. 6-iv. must be from the same author as chs. v .-- xxvi. etc. That some reservations are necessary is obvious; the archaeological notes in chs. i.-iii. are doubtless due to an editor, and to editors also some ascribed the features in iv. 16-32 and elsewhere which are akin to P, and, if not the threat of Exile in iv. 26 f., the promise of conversion and the restoration of the converted in iv. 28 ff. The presence of the two independent titles, and the loose connection between the narrative i, 6-iii, 20 and the hortatory i,-iv, 40, which makes no use of the preceding narrative, but treats of subjects chronologically anterior to the events there parrated, led to other reservations of a more complicated kind. Dillmann for instance. who believed that the alleged discrepancies of fact between i .- iv. 40 and v .- xxvi., etc. are reconcileable, that 'no mere imitator could have throughout [i.-iv. 40] and to the minutest particulars hit upon the tone and style of D': and who therefore assigns all the substance of the First Discourse to the same by Dillmann) feels himself 'justified in regarding the authors of i. 1-iv. 40 as later disciples of the Deuteronomic school'; Moore, 'Deuteronomy,' in E. B. 1. 1899, 'the diversity of historical representation is decisive,' i.e. between i.—iii. and v.—xxvi., and 'iv. goes beyond v.—xi. in that its monotheism takes a loftier tone like that of Is, xl,-lv, and it presupposes the Exile: Steuernagel, Deut.-Jes. 1808, pp. xv f., decides for a different author because of differences between the two discourses, especially ii. 14 and v. 3, and because of the separate titles, but Wellhausen's theory that i .- iv. 40 and v .- xi. formed introductions to different editions of the Law cannot be correct 'for xii .- xxvi, never existed without v. -xi, '; Bertholet, Deut. 1800, pp. xxii f., because of differences in language and substance, and still more because of the separate titles, and the author of the First Discourse must be the later for i. 19—ii. 1 compared with Nu. xiii. ff. shows him acquainted with J and E in their combined form; Carpenter and Harford-Battersby, The Hexateuch, 1900, 1. p. 92: 'i. 6-iii. is with much probability referred to another edition of the Book' than v.—xi, and xii,—xxvi.; cp. vol. II. p. 248; Robinson, Deuteronour, Joshua, p. 13.

author as that of Chs. $v-xxv_1$, etc., argues that the form is due to the following fractic changes by the editor. He suggests that the editor found the substance of i-ii, so as the originature's historical introduction to the $v-xxv_1$, in which Moses was represented in the third person and also found iv. i-o except v-x. Bell, among the concluding enforcements of the Law (note I have I smooth the concluding enforcement into a speech by Moses, as it now stands, and transferred the latter from the close, to the beginning, of the exposition of the Law, as a suitable horatory conclusion to i-iii, 2p. This subdet theory well illustrates the great difficulty about the First Discourse—on the one hand its substantial and detailed agreement with this, $v-xxvi_1$, on the other hand its separation in from from these chapters, as well as the looseness of connection between

These then were the results of the earlier and broader stage of the controversy upon the unity of Deuteronomy. I—xxx., vil. that concerned mainly with the relations of the two Introductory Discourses, the Code, and its concluding enforcements. But in our review of this stage of the controversy it has become clear

1 In the modern critical school the principal supporters of the unity of the authorship of i,-iv, and v,-xxvi, have been Dillmann, Nu.-Deut. Jer. 1886, pp. 228-221, as set forth above: Van Hoonacker, L'Origine des Ouatre Premiers Chapitres du Deutéronome, 1889 (not seen; a summary of his arguments is given by Driver, pp. lxvii ff.); Oettli, Das Deut, u. die Bb. Jos. u. Richter, 1893; Driver, Deuteronomy, ist ed. 1898, ard 1901, pp. lavii-laviii, thus summed up: 'To the present writer there appears to be no conclusive reason why c. 1-3 should not be by the same hand as c. s ff. : and the only reason of any weight for doubting whether c. 4. 1—40 is by the same hand also, seems to him to be one which after all may not be conclusive either, viz. that the author of c. 5-26, desiring to say what now forms c. 4. 1-40, might have been expected, instead of inserting it between c. 1-3 and the body of his discourse (c. s.ff.), to have incorporated it, with his other similar exhortations, in the latter.' On Driver's explanation of the separate titles to the two Discourses see above n. Iviii.—Kittel. Gesch. der Hebr. in pp. 46—50, while recognising the strength of Dillmann's arguments, would—on the grounds of the separate titles to i. 6—iv., and of the fact that v .- xi. is a sufficient introduction to the Code but that Kuenen's theory also presents difficulties-leave the question open.

that the question of unity cannot be confined to the relations of these main divisions to each other, but must be carried into investigation of differences and lines of cleavage apparent widths each division, and morower similar in all. In other words, in addition to the main divisions of Deuteronomy i—xxv. here are many cross-divisions running through the whole Book, has divisionally the contract of the contract and it is these with which the tare and more main travesigations and it is these with which the tare and more main travesigations and it is the contract of t

§ 7. The Cross Divisions and Distinctions.

The distinctions and differences, which are found within each of the main Divisions of Deuteronomy i .- xxx., some of them running through all these, and which have been taken to be evidences of different hands, are of five kinds. It does not matter in what order they are treated as they often both coincide with and cross each other. First the distinction (already discussed) between the two conceptions of Israel of the wilderness, now as separate generations and now as one and the same; second, the division of both Introductory Discourses into historical and hortatory parts; third, the evidence of doublets within the Code and of independent groups of laws, distinguished by differences of form and phraseology; fourth, the distinction, sometimes coincident with the foregoing and sometimes crossing them, between the Singular and Plural forms of address; and fifth, the evidences all through the Book of editorial re-arrangements and additions, some of them reflecting the Exile.

First, the distinction between the two conceptions of Isnal in the wilderness, as two successive generations, especially at Horeb and in Moab, and as one and the same people, who have witnessed with Marker own grea Ill the events between the passage of the Red Sea and the crossing of Jordan, has already been sufficiently treated (pp. Ivif.). This distinction is present in both Introductory Discourses, though less explicitly in chs. i.—ii. than in chs. v.—xi. It is clearly a distinction of attitude or

THE CROSS DIVISIONS AND DISTINCTIONS bails

rhetorical purpose and no conclusion of a difference of authorship can be drawn from it.

Second, each of the Introductory Discourses is divided between a historical and a hortatory part1. In the First Discourse chs. i. 6-iii, are historical ch. iv. 1-40 is hortatory ; in the Second the historical parts, chs. v. and ix. 8-x. 112, appear before and within the hortatory, vi.-ix. 7 and x. 12-xi. In each Discourse the connection between the historical and hortatory though not unnatural is loose, and in the Second marked by a jerk in the grammar, ix. 7. And while the historical parts are, except for isolated and detachable passages in the Pl. form of address, the two hortatory parts are mainly in the Sg., yet with several Pl. passages. But, as we have seen, all alike are in the deuteronomic style and spirit and replete with the deuteronomic formulas (no. liii-lyi) except that curiously enough the historical part. chs. ix. 8-x. 11, only twice gives the full deuteronomic title Jehovah your God (ix. 16 and 21). The historical parts are evidently based on JE and equally so, yet they are occasionally divergent from these older documents in the statement of facts. None betrays any dependence on P. and, with most of the general and particular differences of the deuteronomic style from that of P, all show also differences of fact, and their accounts both of the divine manifestations in the wilderness and the origin of the institutions of Israel belong, with the Code and the hortatory addresses, to a school of religion very different from P's: yet curiously they also share with P a few touches of language and substance. Finally, the historical parts suitably supplement each other but it is the two which now stand in

¹ Calvin in his Preface to his Harmony of the Pantanuk (154) draws attention to the fact that the book Exclusi to Deuteroomyare composed of two principal parts The Historical Narrative and The Doctrine... "This distinction Moose does not observe in his Books, not even relating the history in a continuous form, and delivering the doctrine unconnectedly as opportunity occurred." Nowhere else, however, do these contrast and in arrangement clash with one another as they do in Deuteronomy, section is, 7 = 5, 11, 7 = 12, 11, 12 = 12, 11 = 12.

see note below.

the Second Discourse, which treat of the events in Horeb, while that which opens the First Discourse follows the later events from the departure from Horeb to the arrival at Beth-peor in Moab. This is a strange reversal of the proper order.

For the connection between the historical and hortatory parts of the First Discourse see pp. lxiii, xciii; for the same in the Second see notes to ix. 7 and x. 6-11. - The uniformity of the deuteronomic style throughout all the parts of the Discourses has been already shown in detail, pp. xlix f., hii f .- As for the forms of address, the only Sq. forms in the historical parts, are in i .- iii, 20 these scattered and more or less detachable fragments, i. 21, 31 a, ii. 7, 246, 25, 306, 37, in ch. v. only the quoted Decalogue, and in ix. 8-x. 11 only x. 10 b, for which however nearly all MSS of LXX have the Pl.; while the hortatory parts of the two Discourses differ within themselves and from each other thus; iv. 1-40 Pl. except for explicable instances of Sg. in the section pv. 0-24, and for a consistent Se, through pp. 20-40; ch. vi. mixed, but the So, prevails throughout the rest of the hortatory part of the Second Discourse, except for editorial additions in chs. vii., viii. and these other passages, x. 16-19, xi. 2-9, 21-28, 31 f .- For the dependence of the historical parts on IE, especially E, see above pp. xvif.; and for the discrepancies from IE, pp.

Whether the author or authors of the historical parts used I and E before these documents were combined (Dillmann and Kittel) or after (Bertholet), the present writer does not deem it possible on the evidence to decide. - The general and particular differences of language and style which distinguish Deuteronomy from P (see pp. xv, xxi) are sustained throughout the historical parts. So too the difference of religious standpoint and ethical spirit: e.g. the emphasis on the spoken word of God rather than on the physical manifestation accompanying, see notes introductory to i. 6-8; the ascription of the mission of the spies to the initiative of the people, i. 22, instead of, as in P, to the divine command; also the notes on i. 34-40. Further Note to i. 36-38, and notes to iii. 22-20: the different treatment of the ger or stranger, see on x. 10, cp. on xiv. 21: the different conception of the Priests and Levites, see above pp. xxiii f. and below on x. 8-10; the absence of P's constant emphasis on Aaron's association with Moses, though, with P, x. 6 recognises him as the founder of a hereditary priesthood. For differences with P in details of fact see above pp. xix-xxii and below pp. 133 ff. On the other hand, the historical parts of the Deuteronomic Discourses agree with P in the name Kadesh-barnea see on i. 2; and in other place-names, if the fragment of an itinerary x, 6-8 belongs to ix, 8-x, 11 and is not a later insertion: in the addition of Joshua's name to that of Kaleb. i. 37 f. but see note there; and in the use once of P's term thr=extlore. 1, 22. Also alone with P the historical parts of the Discourses record that the spies were twelve, i. 23, cp. Nu, xiii. 2, and that the ark was of acacia wood, x, 3, cp. Ex, xxv, 10 (but see introductory note to x, THE CROSS DIVISIONS AND DISTINCTIONS lxv

1-3, pp. 131 f. where P's elaborate additions are pointed out). These
of course were probably elements of common tradition and form no proof
that the historical sections in Deuteronomy deemed on or reflect P.

These phenomena raise several questions. Were the narrative and exhortation, between which the two Introductory Discourses are each divided, once independent of each other-forming as some maintain different introductions, historical and hortatory, to the same or different editions of the Code? It would be difficult if not impossible to relate the hortatory contents of the First Discourse, iv. 1-40, with those of the Second. But the detachableness of the historical parts from their context is clear, and most manifest are their affinities with each other; their common style even to details, their use of the same form of address, their dependence on the same sources, their similar treatment of their materials, and their complementary character. Were they originally one work? The evidence is so clear that this question is answered in the affirmative not only by those who take the whole of the two Introductory Discourses to be from the same hand t, but even by those who ascribe the rest of the two Discourses to different hands. All conceive it at least probable, that ix, 8-x, 11 and i,-iii., of course in that order, formed once a (separate?) historical introduction to the Code. But if so, how came the two parts to be divorced and placed in different Divisions of our Deuteronomy, with what should have been the earlier in the later place? This is but one of many questions which illustrate the truth that the difficulties about the unity of Deuteronomy i .- xxx, arise not from its substance nor from its style, but from that structure and arrangement of its parts, in which it has come down to us.

Third, the Code itself, chs. xii.—xxvi. Although the Laws are arranged on the whole with regard to their subjects—I. Religious Institutions and Worship, II. Offices of Authority, III. Crime, War, Property, the Family, etc.—yet this plan is not consistently 1 Dilmann, for whose theory on the subject see above pp. 1s.f. and Kittel.

DEUTERONOMY

pitized by Google



carried through (see below, pp. 154-8); laws and groups of laws appear out of their proper setting. Partly coincident with the divisions and groups and partly cutting across them are differences of form and of style, just as we have seen in the Discourses. The cardinal law of the One Altar and the laws consequent on it-weighted with injunctions as to their practical objective, the abolition of the worship of all other gods—are significantly set either at the frunt of the Code or as near the front as their subjects permit—in division I, chs. xii, 2—28, xiv, 22—29, xv, 19—xvi. 22: in II. xvii. 8-12. xviii. 1-8: in III. xix. 1-12. They are throughout in the neculiar style of Deuteronomy and replete with its formulas and other distinctive phrases. But in other laws, the deuteronomic formulas, chiefly at the end of a law, are detachable from the context and being removed leave the laws compact and sufficient, just as in the case of the deuteronomic expansions of the Decalogue (n. 84). In a number of other laws there are no marks of Deuteronomy's style-neither the direct form of address nor any of the distinctive phraseology. Still another distinction runs across both the laws which are in the style of Deuteronomy and those which are not. For in each of these classes some laws are not only parallel to laws in JE, but contain so many linguistic agreements with these and even exact repetitions that they are evidently based on them, though modified to suit the law of the One Altar or expanded in Deuteronomy's own phraseology and humane spirit. Other laws are paralleled only in H and P, without however any proof of being based on these codes; while others have no parallels in IE. H. or P but are peculiar to Deuteronomy, and of these also some have its phraseology and some not. Again most but not all of the laws are in the direct form of address characteristic of Deuteronomy, and of those which are, most have the Sg. address and a very few the Pl. (see next 8). And again there are groups of laws on the same subject, such as War or the Family, which carry formulas common to themselves but distinct from those of other groups. All these phenomena raise the question whether behind the Code. chs. xii.-xxvi., there are not other codes besides those of I and

THE CROSS DIVISIONS AND DISTINCTIONS Ixvii E. And, finally, a few of the laws bear signs of a date later than

the bulk of the Code and than the reion of Josiah when it became operative.

All these distinctions are marked in the notes to the text, but they may be usefully arranged here.

(a) The evidence that our Code used the codes of JE, Ex. xiii. 3-16, xx. 23-xxiii. 33, xxxiv. 12-26, is of different degrees of worth and requires discrimination; in several instances its force has been exaggerated. It is most clear in the following, some of which are exact repetitions:-xii. 3 altars and images of other gods, co. Ex. xxxiv. 12: xiv. 21 seething a kid in its mother's milk, exactly as in Ex. xxiii. 19 and xxxiv. 26; xv. 12-18 on slaves, cp. Ex. xxi. 2-11; xvi. 19 just judgement, cp. Ex. xxiii. 2, 6-8; xix. 15-21 witnesses, with terms and phrases similar to those in Ex. xxiii. 1 ff. ; xxii. 1-4 lost property. cp. Ex. xxiii. 4 ff.: xxiii. 10 f. interest etc., cp. Ex. xxii. 25: xxiv. 7 manstealing, cp. Ex. xxi. 16; xxiv. 17 f. stranger, fatherless and widow, cp. Ex. xxii. 21 f., xxiii. 9; xxv. 17-19 Amalek, with phrases from E, Ex. xvii. 14, Josh. x. 19 (?). In the following four laws we find a great expansion of the corresponding laws in IE with alterations to suit the law of the One Altar: xv. 19-23 firstlings, cp. Ex. xiii, 11-16, xxii. 29 f., xxxiv. 19 f.; xvi. 1-17 the three feasts, cp. Ex. xxiii. 14-17, xxxiv, 18-21, 25; xix, 1-12 rights of asylum, cn. Ex. xxi, 12-14; xxvi. 1-11 presentation of firstfruits, cp. 2v. 2, 10 ff. with Ex. vvviv. of. Less clear are these: -xv. 1-11 year of remission, cp. Ex. xxiii. 10 f., the connection is slight and questionable; xviii. 0-22 the prophet, contains details from E. Ex. xxii. 18, etc. (see notes); xxi. 18-21 rebellious son, cp. Ex. xxi. 15, 17; xxii. 28 f. seduction, co. Ex. xxii. 16 f.: xxiv. 10-12 pledges, co. Ex. xxii. 26 f. with different technical terms. Of course it is possible that some of these parallels are due to derivation from sources common to IE and Deuteronomy; this is probable in the case of the lex talionis, xix. 21, which is given more fully in Ex. xxi. 24 f. But on the whole the evidence justifies the conclusion that the codes of 1E formed a basis for that of Deuteronomy. See (in this series) Driver's notes to the JE codes in his Exodus, and Appendix III. of Chapman's Introduction to the Pentateuch with his conclusion that 'the whole legislation in the Book of the Covenant'-i.e. Ex. xxi. 23-xxiii. 33-'Ex. xxi. 18xxii, 15 excepted, is repeated (sometimes with material modifications) in Deuteronomy.' One law new in Deuteronomy seems designed to supplement one in E; that on fencing roofs, xxii. 8, cp. E on fencing

pits, Ex. xxi. 33 f. (b) The parallels between the Code of Deuteronomy and those of H and P-other than what all have with those of I and E-are the following:-xiv. 1 (thus deuteronomic formulas in v. 2), mutilation for the dead, co. Lev. xix. 28; xiv. 3-20 clean and unclean beasts, co. Lev. xi. 2-23, xx. 25; xiv. 22-29 tithes, cp. Lev. xxvii. 30-33, Nu. xviii. 21-32; xvi. 13, 15 boths (the name for the feast). Lev.

xxiii. 34, 42 f.; xvi. 21 f. Asherîm and Massebôth, Lev. xxvi. 1 (in part); xvii. 1 blemished beasts, Lev. xxii. 17-28; [xviii. 1-8 tribe of Levi, Lev. vii. 31-33. Nu. xviii. 1-20 (very slight)]; xviii. 10 Molech, Lev. xviii, 21, xx, 2-6; xxii, o-11 against various mixtures, Lev. xix. 10; xxii. 12 on fringes, Nu. xv. 37-41; xxii. 22 adultery, Lev. xviii. 20, xx. 10; xxii. 30 incest, Lev. xviii. 8, xx. 11; xxiii. 9-14 cleanness of camp first part, Nu. v. 1-4; xxiii. 21-23 vows, Nu. xxx. 2; xxiv. 8 leprosy, Lev. xiii. f., Nu. xii. 14 f.; xxiv. 14 f. hired servant, Lev. xix, 13; xxiv, 10-22 gleaning, Lev. xix, of.; xxv, 13-16, weights and measures, Lev. xix. 35 f. In these parallels the verbal agreement is but small, the differences of language and substance many, On the law of tithes P, as we have seen (p. xxiv), represents a later stage of development, and is much more detailed in the law on vows. While the same spirit of humanity breathes in H as is conspicuous in the deuteronomic laws, the religious motive is differently expressed, Further these laws as stated in Deuteronomy are all in the Sg. form of address-except xiv. 1, 2-20 in the Pl, and xxii, 30 in neither-and are in large part in the deuteronomic style. The deuteronomic formulas. however, are easily separable in xiv. I f.; xvii. 1, xxii. 22, xxiii. q-14, xxv. 13-16 (15 b; and 16 the Lord thy God); there are no marks at all of Deuteronomy's distinctive style in xxii, 0-11, 12, 30; and elsewhere the absence of its formulas is noteworthy. On the whole Deuteronomy shows no dependence on H or P: some of the laws it seems to derive from the same written source as they do: in other cases the parallels may be different reductions to writing of the same or similar practices or tempers in Israel.

(c) Laws peculiar to Deuteronomy. Apart from those which deal with the One Altar and its consequences and which are noted above (p. lxvi), the laws found only in Deuteronomy fall into three classes, so far as form and style are concerned. First those in the distinctive style of Deuteronomy, nearly all in the earlier part of the Code:xiii. 1-5 false prophets, 6-11 enticers to idolatry, 12-18 idolatrous cities, with xvii, 2-7 idolaters; [xvii, 8-13 judges of appeal]; xvii. 14-20 the king; xvii. 0-22 the prophet, with echoes of E; xx. 1-0 exemptions from war-service, 10-18 terms for an enemy city. 10 f. fruit-trees in siege, with xxi. 10-14 marriage to a captive of war and xxiii. 9-14 cleanness of camp (as a whole, see also under b); xxiii. 15 f. escaped slave; xxiv. 1-4 divorce; xxv. 1-2 excessive beating. Some are without the formulas prevalent in other parts of Deuteronomy, but these formulas are not called for by the particular subjects in hand; and the laws bear other signs of the deuteronomic style-repetition, expansion, emphasis; all in the Se, form of address, Second, laws peculiar to Deuteronomy in which its formulas and other favourite phrases are detachable from the context :-xix. 14 boundarystones, xxi. 1-q untraced murder, 18-21 disobedient son, 22 f. hanged malefactor, xxii, 5 against wearing the clothes of the other sex, 6 f. sparing the mother bird, 13-21 the suspected bride, 23 f, and 25-27 treatment of a betrothed virgin, xxiii, af, Ammonite and Moabite excluded THE CROSS DIVISIONS AND DISTINCTIONS 1xix

from the congregation (on probable deuteronomic additions see note), 17f. hedeshith and hedeshim, xxv. 11 f. indecent assault. All are in the Sg. form of address, except xxii. 23 f., which is Pl. save for the concluding formula, and xxi. 18-21 and xxii. 13-21, which, with the same exceptions, are not in the form of direct address. The detachableness of the deuteronomic elements suggests that some of these may be earlier laws incorporated by Deuteronomy, and this is corroborated as in xxii, 22 f. by the change from the Pl. address in the body of the law to the So, in the closing deuteronomic formula, or as in xxii. 13-21 by the body of the law not being in the form of direct address while the closing formula is; xxi, 1-0, untraced murder, may be either a modification of written law or the modification of an unwritten practice. Third, laws peculiar to Deuteronomy which bear no marks of its distinctive style:-xxi, rg-17 right of firstborn; xxii, 8 fencing of the roof; xxiii, r exclusion of ennuchs. 2 of bastards (unless kahal, assembly, in this sense be taken as characteristic of Deuteronomy, see p. xlix), 7 f. on Edomites and Egyptians, 24 f. use at need of others' crops; xxiv. 5 the newly-married, 6 millstone forbidden as pledge, 16 fathers and children; xxv, 4 unmuzzle the ox. s-to Levirate marriage (see note p. 286). Of these 7 are not in the direct form of address prevalent in Deuteronomy, while 5 are in its prevalent Sg. That some or all of them come from an earlier code is possible but not certain; xxiv. 16 sanctions an innovation which came into Israel's practice in Amaziah's time; xxiii. 24 f. and xxv. 4 practices now common in the east and probably ancient.

common in the else and probably affected.
(d) Groups of Laws dealing with the same subject or procedure and marked by the same or similar special formulas. There are three or four \(\delta \) these groups. The most conspicuous is that on War, to which there are no parallels in JE:—

xx. 1—9, when thou goest forth to battle against thine enemies. 10—18, when thou drawest nigh to a city to fight against it. 10 ft., when thou shalt besieve a city a long time.

xxi. 10-14, when thou goest forth to battle against thine enemies. xxiii. 9-14, when thou goest forth in camp against thine enemies.

Steeranged takes only the last two as from the same source, a 'Wancode' older than the balk of Destronomy: he holds the opening formula in xx, ..., y as editorial, but for the groundlessness of this see the holds of the property of the same strength of the property of the three five belonged to it, but its superate existence is quite uncertain. These have are all in the Sg. form of address; they contain it is true but for of Destronomy's formulas, yet they have its shythm and no which have the same strength of the same strength of the same which have formulas containing the word 'To'lota', adomination y, which have formulas containing the word 'To'lota', adomination y.

xviii. 10—12 a, for vokosover doeth these things is an abomination unto febovah. xxii. 5, for vokosover doeth these things is an abomination unto febovah thy God.

Digitized by Google

Original from LINIVERSITY OF WISCON

xxiii. 17 f., for even both these are an abomination unto Jehovah thy God. xxv. 13-16, for all that do such things are an abomination unto Jehovah thy God.

These five Steuernagel takes as from a code earlier than Deuteronomy. consisting of 'To'ciu-oracles.' The hypothesis is arbitrary. Abomination is a term frequently used in Deuteronomy both in other laws and

in the Discourses; to separate from these the five above and assign them to another source is obviously arbitrary. Thirdly, a number of the laws introduce the elders as judges or executioner xix. 1-12, Cities of Asylum or Refuge, elders of his city.

xxi. 1-12, Untraced Murder, thy elders and judges, elders of that 18-21. Disobedient Son, elders of his city.

xxii. 13-21, Suspected Bride, elders of the city in the gate. xxv. 5-10, Levirate Marriage, elders of his city.

These all begin similarly; those in which the death-sentence is inflicted have the phrase that he may die: the city-gate is the place of judgement; and the phrase to bring out is frequent. On these grounds Steuernagel takes them (in part of course, for he eliminates alleged additions) as a group by themselves and he adds to them other laws which also contain the aforesaid phrases, xvii. 2-7, 8-13, xxi. 15-17, 22 f., xxii, 22-20, xxiv, 1-5, 7; which do not mention elders! This also is arbitrary. It is true that Deuteronomy has provided in xvi. 18f. for the appointment of lay indies in each city, and that it is difficult to understand the relation of these to the elders. Yet this is a frail ground on which to build the hypothesis of a separate authorship. As Steuernagel himself shows, these laws have several elements of diction in common with laws which do not mention elders and some of which are thoroughly deuteronomic in style. No law seems more original to Dentergrown than that of the cities of Asylum, and it mentions elders.

(e) Laws alleged to be of later date than the bulk of the Code chiefly on the ground that they could not have been extant when the Law-book was discovered under Josiah nor for some time after. These are four in number:-(1) xiv. I f. against mutilation for the dead, because it was unknown to Jeremiah, Ezekiel, and the Iews who came from Shechem to worship at Jerusalem (see notes on pp. 184f.); this law is probably of later origin but not certainly, for other deuteronomic laws were neglected in the period immediately following Josiah's reign, e.g. xv. 12-18 on the emancination of slaves (cp. Ier, xxxiv, 8 ff, and Neh. v. 5), and the law as to the participation of the rural Levites in the Temple-worship, x. 8 f., xviii. 1-8 (cp. 2 Kgs xxiii. 9). (2) The law of clean and unclean beasts, xiv. 3-20, in the Pl. form of address and without deuteronomic elements (except in v. 3 which may be Deuteronomy's original law), is paralleled only in P. (3) The law of the King, xvii. 14-20, is taken by some as later than the rest of the Code THE CROSS DIVISIONS AND DISTINCTIONS laxis because like xxxi. 9 it represents the whole law as already in writing and canonical, but this is far from conclusive; and it is extremely probable that the original Code contained a law of the King (see note on p. 2x4.). On xxiii. 1-0 and xxxii x1 even the notes.

The above evidence leads to the conclusion that like other bodies of law this in Deuteronomy is the result of growth and compilation from various sources - new laws, expansions and modifications of old ones, while some probably are the reduction to writing for the first time of unwritten practices. Part of the Code is undoubtedly based on the codes of I and E: that there were other codes behind it is possible. The nondeuteronomic style of many of the laws indicates that these were not original to the author or authors of Deuteronomy but borrowed. That is all we can say with certainty. Steuernagel's discrimination of older codes, 'War-laws' 'To'eba-laws' and 'Elder-laws,' is insufficiently founded. Apart from the reasons against it given above it is improbable that separate codes existed for separate subjects. Just as in the case of the Discourses the evidences of the presence of elements later than the bulk of the Code are few and except in the law on clean and unclean beasts sporadic. But, of course, there are not a few scribal and editorial additions, which have been indicated in the notes.

These, however, are not the only kinds of evidence of complation which the Code offers. There is another and more striking kind. Several of the laws, and among them some of those most clearly original to Deuteroom, bear signs of having once existed in separate and variant forms now put together. The contract of the c

150-172. The law of the Priests, xviii. 1-8, seems compounded of doublets. Also the two laws, xiii. 1-18 and xvii. 2-7, are parallels; why both should be in the same code, or being in it should be separated from each other, is best explained on the ground that they originally belonged to different editions of the code. In xvi. 1-8 we have probably a compilation of two laws originally separate, one on Passover and one on Massoth. There is more uncertainty about xvii. 8-13, on the Judges of Appeal : it seems the combination not of two written forms but of the double practice prevailing in Israel from the earliest times1. All this points to the existence of different editions of the Code of Deuteronomy-a fact which is not surprising, for elsewhere in the Old Testament we find different editions of the same law: e.g. the Decalogue itself, in Ex. xx. and Deut. v.: the Sabbath-law, Ex. xxiii. 12 and xxxiv. 21; the law of firstlings, Ex. xiii. 12-16 and xxxiv. 10 f., both in I: the Seventh Year. Ex. xxiii. 10 f. and Lev. xxv. 1-7; and the law of clean and unclean beasts, Deut. xiv. 3-20 and Lev. xi. 2-23; etc., etc.2 But any signs that there were once different editions of the laws of Deuteronomy, and these its most distinctive laws, are in striking harmony with the evidence, which we found in the Discourses. of different Introductions to the Code with independent titles (§ 6, esp. p. lviii). The doublets in ch. xxvii. (see note on p. 300) are clear indications of separate supplements to the Code. And there are also two accounts of the institution of the cities of Asylum, iv. 41-43 and xix, 1-10, both deuteronomic.

The Fourth Cross-Distinction in Deuteronomy, that between the Singular and Plural Forms of Address, which we have so frequently found connected with the cross-distinctions that we have just been examining, is sufficiently important-and complicated-to require a Paragraph to itself.

¹ Some also find doublets in xviii. 0-22, the law of the Prophet, but on questionable grounds; see the notes.

² Cp. the parallels on pp. 270 f. of Driver's Exadus (in this series).

§ 8. The Singular and Plural Forms of Address.

Except for titles, a few historical fragments intruded among the Discourses, and several Laws, fost. —xax. of Deserteronomy are composed throughout in direct address to Israel. But, as we have seen, both in the Discourse and among the Laws there is more or less frequent transition between the Sg. and PI. forms daddress. Israel is now Thou and now Yus. Sometimes one of these forms is maintained through whole sections of the Discourses, sometimes with spradied interruptions of the other. Discourses, sometimes with a pradied interruption of the other, sentence and yields in the next to the other. Sometimes both are used in the same sentence. By fart hemost of the Laws are in the Sg, but a few carry the Pi; and again some of the latter, and others also which are not otherwise in the form of direct address, have a single clause in the Sg, either at the beginning or more often at the end of the law.

Till recently this distinction in the form of address was not carefully examined. In 18q1 Cornill (Einleitung in das A.T. 1st ed.) stamped some of the laws as secondary because they use the Pl. form. A few years later Staerk (Das Deuteronomium etc. 1804) and Steuernagel (Der Rahmen des Deuteronomium 1894, Die Entstehung des deut. Gesetzes 1896, and Deuteronomium-Josua 1898 in Nowack's Handhommentar s. A.T.) independently analysed the Book mainly on the basis of Sg. versus Pl., but with regard also to other differences of style as well as to some of substance. Their results are different and contradictory. In chs i .- xi. Staerk distinguishes three speeches of Moses in the PL, two pre-exilic and one exilic, with a large number of 'sketches and essays' in the Se, dating mostly before but partly during the Exile. Of the laws those which he reckons original are all in the Sg.; all in the Pl. he takes as later-except where on other grounds this is impossible and then he frequently alters the text-but with them he counts as also later some laws and other passages in the So. Steuemagel on the other hand not only identifies two separate introductions to the Code but two separate Codes corresponding to them: the older in the Sg. address, vi. 4f., 10-13, 15, vii. 1-4a, 6, 9, 12b-16a, 17-21, 22f., viii. 2-5, 7-14, 17f., ix. 1-7a, 5-7a, x. 12. 14f., 21 (22?), xi. 10-12, 14 f. with all the laws dealing with the centralisation of the worship and its consequences and all others showing an ethic, either rigorous or humane, in harmony with the principles of their introductory discourse and almost exclusively using the Sg. He finds a younger Introduction marked by the use of the Pl. in v. 1-4, 20-28, ix. 9, 11,

Digitized by Google

13-17, 21, 25-29, x. 1-5, 11, 16 f., xi. 2-5, 7, 16 f., 22-18, with these laws:-parts of ch. xii in the Pl. and a number of other laws not showing any order because collected from various sources, some in the Pl. some in the Sg., and including several against heathen practices which show sympathy with their Introduction's frequent polemic against images; and again within each collection of laws he discriminates smaller codes (see above pp. lxix f.) from which it was compiled, and later additions. He adds lists of phrases which he finds characteristic of these Sg. and Pl. divisions respectively. Staerk and Steuernagel thus agree only in seeing a frequent and very complicated difference of authorship in the distinction between So, and Pl, and in judging the Pl. to be generally the later. Their theories were adversely criticised by Kosters (Theol. Tijdschrift, 1896), Addis (Documents of the Hexateuch II. 1898, pp. 10-19) and Bertholet (Theol. Literaturseitung, 1899, No. 17) principally on three grounds; (1) that in other Hebrew writings the changes between the Sg. and Pl. forms of address are too common to afford a basis for difference of authorship; (2) that within passages using the same form of address differences of date are apparent. and (3) that the complexities of the two analyses, the drastic changes in the text, and the arrangement of the Book, which their respective results require, and especially the contradictions between these results, all justify further and final scepticism. This last objection is enhanced by still another analysis of Deuteronomy on the basis of Sg. and Pl., by Professor Mitchell of Boston (Journal of Biblical Literature, 1800, pp. 61 ff.). which leads to results different from both Staerk's and Stenernagel's. On the other hand, Steuernagel's principle of analysis and even many of his results have received approval both from conservative and from advanced critics. Professor G. L. Robinson of Chicago (Expositor, 1899, p. 362) makes the singular suggestion that the Pl. sections of the Discourses are suitable to Moses in the wilderness addressing as a prophet the individuals of his own generation, while the Sg. address agrees with the attitude of Moses as an old man in Moab looking back on the nation as a whole! In the fifth ed. of his Einleitung (1906) Cornill, besides repeating his earlier emphasis on the 'tell-tale Plural' in the laws, acknowledges Staerk's and Stepernagel's 'demonstration of the coherence of the PL and Sg. passages respectively-which Steuernagel has further confirmed by a number of acute observations on the linguistic usage.' In 1900 the present writer read before the Society of Historical Theology in Oxford a paper in which he independently analysed the So, and Pl. passages and reached conclusions regarding a difference of authorship between them more positive than he now feels to be justified. as will be seen from the following paragraphs. Other criticisms of the distinction between Sg. and Pl. as a criterion of difference of authorship-repeating the objections given above and adding fresh ones-will be found in Estlin Carpenter's and Harford Battersby's The Herateuch. II. 1900, pp. 246 f. (footnote) and in Cullen's The Book of the Covenant in Moab, 1903, pp. 2-4. The former rightly does not consider either the complexity of Staerk's and Steuernagel's results or their difference, in detail from each other as fatal to their common principle, that says that "the distribution into two documents corresponding to Sg. and I's, seems somewhat hazardons," on the grounds that "it does not be an interest to the state of the s

in particular is far from just to the facts. However complex and boscure these facts may be they are certainly not trifling. When we find that the transitions between Sg. and Pl. rac often concludent with other changes—changes of subject-entatter or of incident strainly and the strainly as the strainly as a strainly as walvard constructions—we cannot regard them at a cacidental or insignificant. Whatever estimate we may finally form of their value as signs of a difference of authorship, they demand from us a close examination. Therefore they have been duly marked in the notes to the text, and we have now to constitute the strainly assume that the strainly constitute the strainly constitute that the strainly constitute the strainly constitute that the strainly

We cannot be content with such summary opinions; the last

itself. No elements of this were more liable to alteration in the course of its tradition than the Sg, and Pl; forms of address, and the readings of these are therefore often uncertain. The Hebrew sometimes gives one form where in the Samaritan Version or in the Greek, or in both, we find the other. Decision between or mong three such witnesses is generally difficult and not always possible. It may seem a sound principle to prefer the consensus of the two most ancient Versions where they differ from the Hebrew, but we cannot always confidently act upon this. For in such cases both sets of translators may have been, intentionally

or unconsciously, harmonising: e.g. iv. 3, 25, xi. 13 f., cp. viii. 1, LXX against which are both the Hebrew and Samaritan. Moreover the original reading of the LXX is often doubtful; its MSS vary. Thus part of the material of our discussion is uncertain. Yet the uncertainty must not be exaggerated. To a very great extent the two Versions agree with the Hebrew. With few exceptions, they do so through the long passages of the Book where one or the other form is constant; and they do so sometimes even when both forms occur in the same sentence and when therefore there was most temptation to translators to harmonise the grammar: e.g. iv. 21, 23 f., v. 1, vii. 4, 25, viii. 10 f. (see note), xi. to whither thou goest in ... whence we came out. And in instances both of agreement and of difference between the Hebrew and the Versions we have often other reliable tests. But withal we must be prepared for a residuum of doubtful readings in cases where the difference between Sg. and Pl. is concerned.

We can sometimes trace the intrusion of a Sg. form into a Pl. passage or of a Pl. form into a Sg. passage either to dittography or to attraction : e.g. iv. 29 (see note), viii. 1 (7) and ix. 7 where the Samaritan Greek reading w went forth is to be preferred to the Hebrew thou as the latter is probably due to attraction from the preceding verbs in the Sg.; cp. 1, 216 where the exceptional Sg. may be similarly due to the Sg. verbs that follow it; or iv, as thou shalt beget for which read you shall (see note); on iv. 27 where the awkward Hebrew his seed after him seems to have arisen under the influence of the Ser, verb of the clause and where Samaritan, Greek, Syriac, Targum and Vulgate all read their seed after them: on xxii, 16h where the Pl. unto you, exceptional in this law, is most reasonably explained by attraction from the Pl. verb in the following quotation; and similarly in xx, 2 a (see note). Of course we cannot say whether such forms as are due to attraction are inconsistencies on the part of the original writer, as they may well be (see below p. lxxviii) or the faults of copyists of the text.—Of passages where the Versions help us to emend the text iv. 34, xx. 2a, xxviii. 14 may be taken as examples. The two exceptional Pl.'s your God and for you in iv. 14 are suspicious especially in face of the immediately following thine ever (so Hebrew confirmed by the Versions); but the LXX reads our God and most Greek MSS omit for you, thus diminishing the confusion -But in this same verse we have a sign of how readily translators come under the influence of 'attraction,' for both our English Versions give Authorised Version gives among you for the Hebrew in the midst of the, correctly reproduced in our Revised Version,

2. In addressing Israel other writings of the O.T. pass from the Sg. to the Pl. and vice versa, some occasionally some more frequently. As Deuteronomy is both a Code of Laws and a Discourse (or Discourses) to Israel we may take for comparison with it in this practice the codes in JE and the discourses or oracles of Ieremiah.

In the code Ex. xx. $x_1 > x_2 = x_3$ iii. x_3 all laws conclude in the form of direct address to Israel are in the S_c except seven in the PI. Five of these Direct (Exotain in this series), who taken no note of this difference, mark as actitionist, in a sixth, x_{11} , x_{12} , x_{12} and x_{13} from the PI. is in the opening law of the code, $x_1 > x_{12}$, x_2 stabll x_3 and x_4 may be suffered by different points of x_3 of x_4 and x_4 a

variant forms of them were extant. Again in Jeremiah's addresses to Judah, Jerusalem, men of Judah or House of Israel he frequently-one might almost say usually-employs the Pl. form: e.g. ii. 4 ff., iv. 3 f., v. 20 f., vii. 1-15, 21-25; [x. 1 ff.]; xi. 1-5, 6-8; xiii. 15-17; xvi. 10-13; xviii. 5-17; xxi. 4f., 8f., 11 f. : xxii, 1-5 (changing to Sg. in v. 6 after a personification), 10: xxv. 2-8: xxvi. 4f., 12-15: xxvii. off.; xxix, 10f. (to the exiles); xxi. 31-33 (the new covenant, indirect address); xxxiv. 13-17 (except for the quotation noted below); xxxv. 13-16; xlii. qff., 1qff. (O remnant of Judah); xliv. 7-10, 11, 26 (all Judah that dwell in the land of Egypt). When Jeremiah uses the Sg. address it is mostly but not always in one of three connections. (1) After, or with, a vivid personification of the people, land or city; e.g. ii, 1-1, 14-19, 20-25, 31-37; iii. 1-5; iv. 1f.; x. 17ff.; xii. 7f.; xiii. 20-27; xxii. 6f. (but passing to Pl. in v. 9), 20-23; xxx. 12-14 (Sion=the community); xxxi. 2-5 (virgin of Israel), 15-17 (Rackel the mother), 18-20 (Ethraim the son), 21 f. (virgin of Israel). Or (2) when short of actually personifying the nation Jeremiah sets it in sharp contrast to any other, or all others: e.g. ii. 26f.; iv. 5-8 (Pls. except in 7 where the other nation comes in); x. 24 f.; xv. 11-14; xxx. 7-11 (Jacob) and xlvi. 27 f. (Jacob as Servant)-these last two passages should perhaps rather come among the personifications. Or (3) when he is quoting from Deuteronomy; e.g. in v. 14-10 he begins with the Pl., passes to the So, in words more or less those of Deut, xxviii, 40 ff., and resumes the PL with his own words in p. 10 (p. 18 may be an insertion); similarly in xxxiv. 14 the change from the Pl. to the Sg. comes in with a quotation of Deut. xv. 12 and again Pl. is resumed with the prophet's own words. But in some quotations Jeremiah changes their original Sg. to his own usual Pl.: e.g. xxix. 13, cp. Deut. iv. 20; xliv. 3, cp. Deut, xiii, 6. There are, however, a considerable number of transitions

from Sg. to Pl. in Jeremiah's discourses which are not capable of the above explanations, nor of any other except that the prophet felt himself free to make them! For example, iii. 18 ft. is mainly in Pl. but has one Sg. classes (but is it a quodation?); iii. 19 passes from Sg. to we find I am aguinut thee...ye which say...I will punith you...her forest round about her.

All this—while further exposing the complexity of the question and while explaining the invitablements of contradictions in the various analyses of Deuterromony on the basis of the two forms of address—nevertheless offers some clues through the maze. The discourses of Jeremiah show that now change from PL to Sg may be due to the influence of a vivid personfication of the Association of the second of the properties of the properties of the second of the accordance of the contrasted with other peoples; or to the quotation by the speaker of other writings in a different form of address from that which he usually adopts, or to on apparent reason at all except the inconsistence of the writer. Again, the codes in JE show the contrast of the properties of the prope

As for the indusence of personification on the form of address there should be constant opportunity for observing this in Deuteronomy, in which Israel is regarded as a moral unity and is so often conceived under a vivid personal metaphor. Hence the prevailing Sp, in the hortstory parts of the Discourses, especially where these contrast Israel with other peoples (as in iv. 3 pf. and its. 1—6), and in all laws which concern the whole nation. Hence, too, in Pt. contexts the emergence of the Sp, at points where the subortation becomes particularly intense or intimate: e.g. iv. q (and carried on into v. 10.

The transitions between the two forms of address often coincide with the transitions between exhortation and narrative in a manner too exact to be other than significant. We have noted the prevalence of the Sw. in the hortatory parts of the Discourses:

it is the Pl. which prevails in the historical parts. With few exceptions (which we shall consider immediately) the Pl. runs through i .- iii. 29, the historical part of the First Discourse; and is sustained through the historical parts of the Second Discourse : through ch. v. (except for the quotation of the Decalogue) and without interruption through ch. ix. 76-x. 11; the hortatory setting, vi.—ix. 7a and x. 12—xi. 32, being mainly in the Sg., except significantly enough in the longish passage xi. 2-9, where the exhortation is mixed with narrative and the PL again prevails (the other Pl. exceptions are as we shall see probably editorial). Moreover the transition from Sg. to Pl. in ix. 7 is marked by an awkward construction, as though we had there the splicing of two strands by a hand which had found them separate. Of course even this-though a sign of the compilation of different documents-is not proof of a difference of authorship. It would be natural for the same author to use mainly the Pl. in narrative but to turn to the Sy, when he came to exhort the neonle especially under the deuteronomic conception of Israel as a moral unity; and as we have seen (§ 6) there is-apart from this difference in the form of address-great similarity of style and doctrine not only between the two Discourses as a whole but within each, between its historical and hortatory parts (see below for exceptions). Moreover this association of the Sg, with exhortation and of the Pl. with narrative is not constant. We find the prevailing Pl. of the historical part of the First Discourse, i .- iii. 20, running on into the hortatory part, iv. 1-40 (at least iv. 1-8, hortatory though it is and containing also a contrast between Israel and other nations, cannot be separated from i .--iii. 29); and similarly the Pl. of ch. v. runs for a little way into ch, vi., so that although we discover some evidence of principle or habit in the use of the forms of address, we see also that this is not adhered to with constancy.

We may take next the question of quotations, and here again some things are clear amid much that is uncertain. In ch. v. which is otherwise consistent in the use of the Pl. the Decalogue is quoted and it is in the Sg.; while in xi. 18—25, mainly a Pl. passage, the emergence of the Sg. in 196-20 comes in a quotation, slightly varied, of vi. 6-9, a Sg. passage. This is treated just as Jeremiah treats some of his quotations; some of the pronouns are altered to harmonise with the context, some are left as they are in the original passage. May the same or a similar reason not explain the exceptional Ses in iv. 24, xxix. 3, 10 f.? It certainly serves as a sufficient reason for some of the exceptional appearances of the Pl. in the Code: e.g. xvi. I, against mutilation for the dead. and xiv. 4-20, on beasts clean and unclean. The former law shows other reasons for our doubting that it is original to Deuteronomy (see the notes): 2. 2 is, then, a deuteronomic addition to it, The law on clean and unclean beasts is throughout foreign to the usual style of the deuteronomic Code, in other respects (see notes on it) than its use of the PL: the Sg. verse with which it opens may be either the original law of Deuteronomy on the subject or an addition by a deuteronomic editor when he incorporated this Pl. law in the Code. Other quotations coincident with the appearance of the Pl. are xvii, 16 b, xx, 1. But, once more, we have in all these cases signs of compilation, not evidence of two distinct authors, one employing the Sg. and one the Pl. form of address.

We come now to the question of editorial additions or expansions, and here too we may be confident sometimes—bough not always—of a measure of certainty; subject to this consideration that it is difficult to distinguish between an editorial addition and a bave to ask is shether in Deuteromomy there are any occasional appearances of the Sg. in Pt. passages or of the Pt. in Sg. passages, in clauses which are separable from their contexts without disturbing the segment of these, or all ill more whose presence disturbing the segment of these, or all ill more whose presence there are such, but in the present writer's opinion not so many as sometimes have been alleged.

In the historical part of the First Discourse, i.—iii. 29, the Sg. passages are only seven or eight, all single clauses or brief sentences (see pp. gf.). Only one is an obvious intrusion, i. 31 a—in the wilderners, where thou hast seen how that Jebovah thy God bare thee, as a man doth

bear his son-separating the following clause from the conjunction and that introduces it. None of the rest is so clear. Ch. ii. 37 qualifies and is not necessary to the preceding context, yet there is no other reason for denving it to the same writer; its Sg. may be simply an unconscious inconsistency on his part. Ch. ii. 30 6 is not necessary to the context but it is relevant and may just as well be due to the original writer as to a pious expander who desired to add a religious reason for King Sihon's obstinacy. In i. 21 and ii. 7 the hortatory temper rises to a degree at which (from what we have seen) it would be natural for the same writer to pass from the Pl. to the Sg. In iii, 22 the readings are doubtful; if Pls, be read their appearance, though Joshua is addressed, is natural (see note). The Ses. in ii. o.a. 18-25, 31 and iii. 2 are of course due to the address in these passages being to Moses himself: Jehowah said unto me. On the Pls. in iv. 34, clearly editorial, see above p. lxxvi.

In the hortatory parts of the Second Discourse, chs. vi.-ix. 7 a and x. 12-xi, 32, most but not all of the Pl. exceptions afford other signs than the Pl. of being additions or expansions. The opening verse, vi. 1, merely continues the Pl. of the previous narrative chapter; and the single Pl. clause in v. 3 that ye may increase mightily could not have been expressed so naturally in the Sg. Neither of these then is editorial. But the Pl. clauses in pv. 14 and 16f. are probably so (see notes). In ch. vii. the momentary Pl. in v. 4, confirmed by the Versions, is curious: whether editorial or not who could say? In 29. s. 7 f. the Pl. clauses (see note) are separable from the context, but the former is as possibly a quotation by the original writer as an editorial insertion. In v. 12 the Pl. clauses are superfluous and that in v. 25 may be the mistake of a scribe (see note); still it is curious that this and the Pls. in v. s occur just as the writer mentions heathen altars, images, and symbols, for we shall find other instances of this coincidence. In ch. viii. the only Pls. are 20. 1 and 19, common formulas and possibly editorial. In the Pl. passage x, 16-10 there are marks of expansion other than the Pls. (see the notes). The prevalence of the Pl. in the longish passage xi. 2-q is (as we have seen) coincident with the re-appearance of narrative; there is no reason to doubt the unity of the passage with its Sg. contexts. But the Pl. clauses in xi. 10-15 are obvious interruptions of the theme of the passage, and those in vv. 18-15, 26-28 are formulas and separable-all probably editorial-vet those in 16 f. are not so

easily accounted for (see notes to ch. xi. throughout). In the Laws the Pl. clauses exceptional in Sg. contexts are very few. Some of them have already been explained (for xiv. 1, 3-20 see p. lxx; xvii. 16 and xx. 2 a see note thereon). The rest may be confidently marked as editorial, see the notes on them: xii, 16, xiii, 3 f. (perhaps a loose quotation), 7, 12 b, xx, 18, xxiii, 4 a (may be a quotation), xxiv, 8 f. On ch. xxvii. 4 see note. In ch. xxviii. there are but four verses out of the sixty-eight with Pl. clauses; but in v. 14 we should rend thee for you; in pp. 62, 68 the Pls. are explicable logically; those in p. 63 are less easy to explain, they may be editorial.

DEUTERONOMY

Digitized by Google

We see, then, that both in the Discourses and the Laws some of the short Sg. exceptions where Sg. prevails may be regarded as secondary or editorial. But this is not true of all. Some are as natural as we found similar instances in Jeremiah to be. And as for the rest, which have no logical explanation and no sign that they are secondary, we must admit the possibility of inconsistency, adhirms or unconscious, on the part of the original writer or wisters. Note is, 15, 67 and 26m as in Ex. axis, 167, and 26m are secondary, we must admit the possibility of inconting the secondary with the secondary with the secondary of the secondary, we write or wisters. Note is, 15, 67 and 26m as in Ex. axis, 167, and as of previous varies.

- 3. Next we have to inquire, whether—as has been alleged—the difference in the forms of address is at all coincident in Deutersonoy with differences of vocabulary and phrasing sufficient to indicate a difference of authorith; To be a deducate the inquiry must cover these questions: (1) What phrases characteristic of Deutersonomy are common to the Sig and Fl passages? (2) any of the characteristic phrases predominate with the one or the other set of passages? (2) Are any characteristic outpot on phrases of the control of the
- ¹ The analysis on which the following purgraphs are based was unden in spot for my paper for the Society of Historical Theology before most past we agree, but he registers some distinctions which are not clear councils to be commercial in a discussion of difference of authorship. Streampt's lists on pp. xxxiii for his Destromments from X. Excernage's lists on pp. xxxiii for his Destromments from X. Excernage's lists on pp. xxxiii for his Destromments from A. Excernage's lists on pp. xxxiii for his Destromments from the same off prospect of the Book in the singular and plant forms of address respectively. Socrapal's Seq and Pt. on the other hand are the two out the discourses and the coles, in which singular and plant forms of outlets of the same of the coles, in the contract of the cole of the same part of the proper lists of the provided by the provided the

First, terms characteristic of Deuteronomy (see above \$6 2 and 6) found in both the Sg. and Pl. passages. Both speak of Israel as fearing God (Sg. at least eight, Pl. five times), loving Him (Sg. at least nine, Pl. three times), and cleaving to Him (Sg. x. 20, xxx. 20; Pl. iv. 4, xi. 22 secondary, xiii, 4 parallel to x, 20). Both use these phrases-to take heed or heware (Sg. iv. 9, vi. 12, viii. 11, xii. 13, 19, 30, xv. 9, xxiii. q: Pl. iv. 23, xi. 16 and with other forms of the same verb ii. 4. iv. 18); observe to do (Sg. vi. 3, vii. 11, xv. 5, xvii. 10; Pl. v. 1, 32, xi, [22], 22, xii, 22); observe and do (So, xvi, 12, xxiii, 23, xxiv, 8 a. xxvi. 16. xxviii. 13; Pl. iv. 6, vii. 12 secondary); prolong thy or your days and the like (Sg. iv. 40, [v. 16], vi. 2, xxii, 7, xxv. 15; Pl. iv. 26, cp. xxx. 18. v. 22. xi. o): which I am or Jehovah is commanding thee or van this day (Sg. about nineteen, Pl. ten times); and both use may or rears in a spiritual sense (Sg. viii, 6, xiii, 5; Pl. v. 33, xi. 22, 28 both secondary, co. ix. 12, 16). The two agree in usually employing the longer forms of the word for heart. Jebah and of the first personal pronoun-'anobl: and in a very rare use of the shorter forms (see above pp. xvi. ly f. and note to xii. 30). Both have the day of Assembly.

Florid, terms characteristic of Destroctomy that are used only with the Eg. or only with the Pl. (a) (1) with the Eg. or (1) (cot, a) poisture God (iv. 4₁ iv. 9₂), vi. 1₅ v. pt. Pl. has Johnsh and Air juntump vixix. 10, 3 a destrocting God (vi. 4₁ iv. 3₁), a depositional God (iv. 3₁), depine a destrocting God (vi. 4₁ iv. 3₁). A superpositional God (iv. 3₁), depine (vii. 6, xiv. 1, xxv. 18) (of Egypt, house of hondson (v. 6, vi. 1), xiv. xiv. 1, xxv. 18, xiv. 11) to harden the lear in a find terms (ii. 10, 10, vv.) xiv. 1, xxv. 18, xiv. 1

¹ Professor Mitchell adds strong hand and stretched out arm, Sg. iv. 34, v. 15, vii. 19, xxvi. 81; Pl. xi. 22. But the phrase varies much—see p. liv—and in is. 39 Pl. we have great might and stretched out arm. Pl. uses strong hand alone (or with greatness) thrice iii. 24, vii. 8a editorial, ix. 50; Sg. vi. 21.

xxvi. 1; with Pl. applied to the people, a people of inheritance iv. 20, cp. ix. 26, 29); and several less important terms; nashal, to drive off (vii. 1, 22); hadaph, to extel (ix. 4, vi. 10); haser, to lack (ii, 7, viii, 9, xv. 8 and its noun xxviii, 48, 57), and the accumulation tests, siens and wonders (iv. 34, vii. 19, xxvi. 8 in part, xxix. 3; xi. 3 signs and works, Pl.). There are also several expressions peculiar to the Sg. laws; to consume the evil (bi'er thirteen times); 'ivvah, to desire (xii. 20, xiv. 26, the Decalogue has the Hithpael v. 10), and its noun 'avvah, all the desire of thy soul (xii, 15, 20 f., xviii, 6); and these formulas wherefore I am commanding saving or this word (xv. 11, 14, xix, 7, xxiv, 18, 22), hear and fear (xiii, 11, xvii, 12, xix, 20, xxi, 21), which shall be in those days (xvii. 9, xix. 17, xxvi. 3), and it shall be a sin in thee (xv. 9, xxiii. 22 f., xxiv. 15), and he or she or they shall die (xiii. 10, xvii. 5, 12, xviii. 20, xix, 12, xxi. 21, xxii. 21 f., 24 f., xxiv. 71). (b) Characteristic terms used only with the Pl. are not nearly so many :- hith'anneph, to be anery, of Jehovah (i. 37, iv. 21, ix. 8, 20); the Pi'el of 'abad, to destroy (xi. 4, xii. 2, 8 but with both Sg. and Pl. we find the Hiphil), to make war upon of Jehovah (i. 30, iii. 22, xx. 4), shahath in the sense to dead corruptly (Pi'el, ix. 12, Hiph. iv. 16, 25, xxxi. 20 while the Sg. uses Hiph, only in the active sense to destroy, xx, 10 f. of a thing, iv. 31, x. 10 of Israel: but cp. ix. 26 Pl.), in consequence of obeying (vii. 12, viii, 20) and I, toe or they turned (i. 24. ii. 1. 8. iii. 1. ix. 15. x. 5), at that time li. o. 16, 18, ii. 34, iii. 4, 8, 12, 18, 21, 23, iv. 14, v. 5, ix. 20, x. 1, 8), and the construction of the verb to be with a participle (ix. 7, 22, 24 elsewhere only in xxxi, 27 in imitation of ix. 7 Bertholet). Some of these singularities are due, it is obvious, to the Sg. passages being mainly hortatory and the Pl. mainly narrative. Fourth, very few are the instances of different phrases for the same

idea according as it is conveyed in the So. or Pl. forms of address. But there are some. While with the Sg. Israel's passage to the Promised Land is almost constantly phrased as when thou comest into the land, or the land whither thou art coming-the participle (vii. 1, ix. 5, xi. 10, 29. xviii, 9. xxiii, 20. xxvi, 1. xxvii, 3. xxviii, 21. 63. xxx, 16), with the Pl. the idea is expressed by another participle, whither we are crossing to postess it (iv. 14, vi. 1, xi. 8, 11 editorial, cp. xxvii. 2, xxx. 18 by the witness of the Samaritan and Greek: see also iii, at in the So, because addressed to Joshua and iv. 22). The exceptions are viii. 1 where come in is with the Pl. (editorial), ix. 1 where cross is in the Sg., and xi. 21 where both phrases are in the Pl. (editorial). Another, but insignificant case of difference is the So. Be thou not afraid nor dismoved (i. 21. xxxi. 8) for the Pl. Be we not startled nor afraid (i. 20, xxxi. 6); cn. Be ve not afraid nor disturbed nor startled (xx. 3). On the alleged discrepancy between the Amorites of the Pl. passages and the full list of seven nations given with the Sg. address see above p. lvi. It has also been alleged that in the use of the various names given to the Law or laws there is evidence of a difference between the Sg. and Pl. passages,

¹ These last formulas I have taken from Professor Mitchell's list.

but the evidence is far from clear. (Titles, as obviously editorial, may be left out.) Torak, Law, is used in both (Sg. xvii, 11, 18f., xxviii, 48, 61. xxx, 10: Pl. iv. 8, xxix, 21, 20). So is Microsh, Charge or Commandment, when used alone (Sg. viii. 1, xxvi. 13, xxx. 11, in xv. 5 and xix. 9 it probably refers to a single law; Pl. xi. 8, 22, the latter editorial, in v. 21 it is combined with statutes and judgements). So with Missolth, commandments, when used alone and so with hukkim, statutes, when alone (Sg. vi. 24, xvi. 12; Pl. iv. 6, xvii. 10). The double term statutes and judgements, by itself, is found once with So, and seven times with Pl. (Sg. xxvi. 16; Pl. iv. 1, 5, 8, 14, v. 1, xi. 32, xii. 1); preceded by Mirwah it appears in one Sg. passage and two Pl. (vii. 11, and v. 31, vi. 1). But as his statutes and judgements it often occurs with the Sg. (iv. 40, xxvii, 10, or with the feminine of statutes, vi. 2, x, 13, xxviii, 15, 45. XXX. 10). The triple, his commandments, judgements, and statutes is found only with the Sg. (viii. 11, xi. 1, xxvi. 17, xxx. 16) but the other triple, testimonies, statutes, judgements (or commandments) occurs with both forms (Sg. vi. 20; Pl. vi. 17 editorial).

4. Are there any differences of attitude, temper or subject between the Sg. and Pl. passages³—beyond the one we have already observed, that the hortatory sections are generally Sg. and the narratives generally Pl. Several such differences have been asserted by various critics; and some of them justly. But for the most part their details are either explicable by the difference between exhortation and narrative or do not imply more than the presence in our text of editorial additions or expansion.

Professor Mitchell (et. cit.) feels a difference of temper between the Sg. and the Pl. passages, in that the Sg. appeal generally to the people's gratitude to God, the Pl. to their fear of Him. But surely the Sg. call upon Israel to fear and to remember the divine chastisements as much as the Pl. do, and it is with the So, alone that we find the expressions a isalous God and a consuming fire, and the formula hear and fear. If in enforcing obedience the Sg. passages linger more on Jehovah's love of Israel and His kind Providence-although they too mention the terrors of the wilderness, viii. 15-while the Pl. emphasise the awfulness of His revelation on Horeb, the instances of His wrath and the details of the people's sufferings (see above p. lxxxiv and i. 44, ii, 14-16, iv. 3, ix. 22); such a difference does not necessarily imply difference of authorship. It also is explicable by the fact, with which we are so familiar, that the Sg. address naturally prevails in the hortatory sections of the Book but the Pl. in its narratives. Except for their ideal treatment of the experiences of Israel in the wilderness the Sp. passages do not differ from the Pl. as to the facts of the people's past. Nor is there any difference of perspective. The So, which in one law uses the phrase evine forth from Egypt of the actual night of Israel's departure, xvi. 3, 6 (cp. 7. 1), also uses it more loosely, as the Pl. does, of events well on in the wilderness wandering: xxv. 17 of Amalek, cp. Pl. xxiii. 4 of the coming to Moab:

xxiv. 9. Miriam's leprosy.

Again it is true that while there is only one instance of the denunciation of images in the Sg. form of address, iv. 23 (and this possibly editorial), all other emphases on the sin of idolatry and commands todestroy images occur either in the longer Pl. sections, e.g. iv. 10-18, 25-28, ix. 8-22, xii, 2f.: or-exactly as in Ex. xx. 22-in short Pl. sentences or clauses that break into Sg. contexts: e.g. vii. 5, 25a, with the following, against going after or worshipping other gods, vi. 14, xi. 16, 26-18. Also it is curious that the Pl. should crop up in the threats of the destruction of Israel attached to several of the Sg. denunciations of the worship of other gods, vii. 4, viii. 19, xxx. 17 f. Yet on the other hand we find the Se, not only in frequent denunciations of the worship of other gods-e.g. besides those just quoted, iv. 19 against star-worship, xii. 30, xiii. 2, 6, 13 (the one Pl. here is probably editorial), xvii. 3f., xviii. 20, xxviii, 14 (see note), 64-but in the law against Asherim and Pillars, xvi. 21 f., and warnings against other abominations of the heathen, xviii, of., xx, 18, etc.; not to speak of v. 7-o, the deuteronomic edition of the Second Commandment. The conclusion is reasonable that while this evidence gives signs of editorial expansions it hardly amounts to a proof of the presence of two documents by different authors

The evidence we have examined in this paragraph is very complicated-too complicated for any but moderate conclusions. It may point towards, it does not reach, certainty. Upon the strength of it we can indeed exclude certain opposite extremes. No sane mind could imagine that the two forms of address always indicate different hands or that the same writer might not use the one as well as the other, sometimes of purpose and sometimes with unconscious inconsistency. So wild a theory has never been proposed. On the other hand, no one can maintain that the difference between the Sg. and Pl. forms of address never indicates a difference of hand. In clear disproof of this is the fact that many of the exceptional Pl. clauses in Sg. passages and one or two of the exceptional Sg. clauses in Pl. passages bear other marks of being secondary. These are not merely the mechanical intrusions of formulas by scribes; many are more deliberate expansions or qualifications of the original by an editor or editors. There are even laws which, except for the single deuteronomic formulas attached to them, are at once in the Pl, address and give indications either that they are of date later than the time of Josiah when the Code of Deuteronomy became operative, e.g. xiv. I against mutilation for the dead, or that they were reduced to writing by a legislator of a different style and school from those which produced the distinctive bulk of the Book, e.g. xiv. 3-20, on clean and unclean beasts. So far we are on firm ground; though some cases of editorial expansion or addition are necessarily doubtful others are clear. Can we go further and point to sufficient evidence for the presence in Deuteronomy of long documents (Staerk and Steuernagel) with shorter 'sketches and essays' (Staerk), distinguishable from each other mainly by their respective use of the Sg. and Pl. forms of address? As we have seen, the Book certainly offers evidence by other signs—the separate titles to the Discourses and the existence of doublets among the Laws-of its compilation from more than one edition of its original form. To this evidence the distinction between Sg. and Pl. has its own contribution to make as in the fact that of the three statements of the cardinal law on the One Altar one is in the So, and two are in the Pl. But the attempt to trace separate editions throughout both Discourses and Laws mainly on the difference of Sg. and Pl. is upon the evidence we have examined most precarious if not utterly impossible. Steuernagel's division of the Laws into two different collections by his Sy, and Pl, authors respectively is carried through only by frequent arbitrariness and an extravagant assumption of editorial additions. Staerk's is hardly less arbitrary. As for the Discourses, we have seen that the distinction between Sg. and Pl. may often be more naturally interpreted as due to the difference between exhortation and narrative than as significant of difference of authorship. We must repeat-the Sg. prevails in the hortatory, the Pl. in the parrative, sections of the Book and not only so but a number of Sg. interruptions in Pl. sections coincide with the rise of the narrative to the pitch of exhortation, and some PL interruptions in Sg. sections occur where the exhortation becomes reminiscent and approaches the narrative style. But although all this is generally, it is not always, the case: signs remain of an inconsistence which, however, on the

evidence of other books, we must always allow to a writer. It is not true that there is any real difference of ethic or temper between the Sg. and Pl. passages (pp. lxxxv f.). It is true that there is some linguistic difference-that some phrases are found only or predominantly with the Pl. (pp. lxxxiiiff.). But here again much of the difference may be accounted for by the fact that one is mainly exhortation the other mainly narrative: what remains of linguistic difference is too slight to sustain the conclusion of a dual authorship. It is also true-and very curious-that in the Discourses images are denounced only in Pl. passages; yet both Sg. and Pl. frequently denounce the worship of other gods and many of the So, laws forbid the use of all heathen symbols and other abominations (p. lxxxvi). Besides, a number of the references to idolatry, peculiar to Pl., are due to the prevailing narrative of the Pl. sections-especially the account of the events on Horeb. Steuernagel is hard pressed to find enough laws to carry out through the Code the iconoclastic fervour alleged to be peculiar to his Pl. introduction: he cites (p. vi) xii, 8-12, xvi, 21-xvii, 7, xxii, 5, xxiii. 18f., in which there is no mention of images and the Pl. address occurs but once!

Thus all that a careful examination of Deuteromomy's use of the Sg. and Pl. forms of address yields to us is confirmation of the other evidence we have had that the Book is a complision not only in the sense that the materials of its Code have been partly drawn from other codes and ancient practices, nor only in the sense that both the Discourses and the Code have been expanded by editors and copyints, but that there were once different editions of the Code probably with different introductions,—yet whether these were from different hands the evidence of the Sg. and Pl. passages does not enable to to decide in full confidence.

§ 9. Editorial Factors.

The last of the cross-distinctions which run through all the divisions of Deuteronomy (§ 7) are those due to the compilers, adapters and annotators to whom we owe the present form of the Book. That there are such secondary elements in Deuteronomy is admitted by even the more conservative scholars¹, who however do not sufficiently appreciate the amount of them. At the opposite extreme some critics—on arbitrary grounds and often in the interests of particular schemes of analysis—exaggerate the quantity of elionizal matter¹, and identify editors to a number and to degrees of difference beyond the warrant of the data once clear from its tent (as we have seen in the preceding Paramoter of the control of the co

Thus the JE narratives in the preceding Books of the Prestateon where desertencemic additions (Driver, Excellent in this series, pp. xviii, and the preceding the present control of the present series of the present serie

But in Deuteronomy the task of distinguishing the hare additions and enlargements is one of pocular uncertainty; both because the style of the original itself is so prone to repeat and expand (3) and because this same style and not another in able used by some of the editors. Therefore only a general indication of their work is possible, with however a number of its must have included the following (in addition to the short insertions indicated in 8.8 pp. loxx IR).

The compilation of the several editions (§ 10) with the re-arrangements to which parts of them have been subjected, e.g. the separation

¹ E.g. Dr Orr as quoted below p. 232; cp. Let Metaica, pp. 211 f. nets for the admission (by the Rev. J. J. Lias) that in other books of the O.T. there are interpolations by 'too sealous copyists,'
² E.g. Steuernagel, see above p. xii, footnote 2.

of the historical sections, chs. i ... iii. and iv. 24-v. 11 (nerhans also ch. v.), which we cannot doubt were from the same hand (\$ 7) but in a chronological order now reversed. But who to-day may decide whether the original compilers of the Code or some later editors were responsible for the divorce of chs. xii, 20-xiii, from xxi, 21-xxii, 7, and for the frequent separation, in Part 111, of the Code, of laws with a common subject (see pp. 155 f. below)? 2. Harmonising statements : these are very few, e.g. iii. 14 f., xvi. 8; their number has been exaggrerated, see notes on xi. 20, xix, 8-10, 3, Antiquarian and geographical notes: e.g. i. 16-2, ii. 10-12, 20-23, iii. 9, 11, 136, xi. 20: unless those in chs. i .- iii, are to be held as part of that parrative in the ard person singular which Dillmann suggests was the original form of the historical introduction to the Code (see above p. lxi). 4. Expansions: (a) Of hortatory passages, such as in iv. 9-40, with the group of words characteristic of P in vv. 16-32 and the reflection of the Exile in ap. 20-21, also vi. 2 f., 14, possibly vii. s, 7 f., 12 a, the Pl. clauses in xi, 10-13, parts of xi, 18-25 and of vviv. --vv. (see notes): others would add v. 22 f. vii. 46 166 22. viii. 6. 144. 146. vi. 8. etc., but for reasons against this see notes: it is in the hortatory passages, where repetition and expansion are most natural to the deuteronomic style, that we find it most difficult and often impossible to distinguish between the original and the additions of editors or copyists. (b) Of parrative, as in i, ao (tautologous in its present context and clearly borrowed from No. xiv. atl. iii. 15 and possible but not probably iv. as-as: the fragment iv. st-st onite irrelevant where it stands, betrays merely the desire of an editor to preserve all the material at his disposal, similarly the first part of the fragment x. 6-8. s. The introduction of laws later than the bulk of the Code: xiv. 1, 4-20 and perhaps xxiii, 1-0, to which some would add (but on insufficient grounds) most if not all of the rest of the laws in axi. 10-xxv. (Budde, Gesch. d. althebr. Litteratur, p. 113); and in other laws the marks of the growth of priestly rights and influence beyond the deuteronomic standpoint (see pp. xxiii f.) such as the expansion of xviii. 1 -- s, the priests in xix, 17, the priests some of Levi in xxi, s. with perhaps xxvi, 3 f. : others include xx, 2-4 but see note. 6. The combination of Deuteronomy, thus compiled and expanded, with the other documents of the Hexateuch, J, E and P. Whether the editors who combined I and E were prior to, or the same as, those who compiled Deuteronomy is a question much discussed, and in the present writer's opinion impossible to answer. But there is little doubt that IE and Deuteronomy were combined by deuteronomic editors-note the deuteronomic additions to IE in other books of the Pentateuch, with such an insertion as that in Nu. xxi. 33-35 of part of Deuteronomy's narrative of the campaign against Og, ch. iii, 1-7. Finally other editors (for they use the phraseology not of Deuteronomy but of Pi fitted the combined IE-Deuteronomy into P (see notes on chs. xxxi,verie 1 and achieved our Heyatench. To them we over in whole or part the titles i, 1-5, iv. 44-49, xxix, 1 (Heb, xxviii, 69). On the subject of this Paragraph see, besides the works cited in it, Robertson Smith, OT/C, and ed. pp. 425, 439; Bertholet, Dent. pp. xxiv f.; Cullen, Beach of the Centumat in Mach, pp. 1, 102, 183, 195, 1ec; E. B. Gray, Crit. Introd. to the O.T. pp. 48, 50; Chapman, Introd. to Pent. pp. 42, 1817

§ 10. Conclusions as to Unity.

We have now before us all the data on which to answer the questions sated in § with regard to the Unity of ch. i.—xx.. Did these questions depend only on the language and style, the spirit and teaching (whether of facts or principles), their as awares would not be difficult to find. In these respects we have found extremely little that is incompatable with the attribution of the discrete control of the state of the control of the control of the date to editors. Further, the conspicuous originality of the style, with the personal tone of its address, points towards one

heart and one pen as the ultimate source of Deuteronomy. But when we unreaff own the language and the spirit of the Book to its structure, to the relations and internal arrangement to the structure of the struc

¹ On the few and slight differences in language see above pp. l, iv; on the absence of deuteronomic phrases from some of the laws, merely showing that the Code was compiled from several sources and received later additions see p. 18tic. On the algoed discrepancies in fact we compile the compiler of the compiler of the code of the code

in the laws original to this ... even in its most distinctive law of the One Altar, in ch. xii.—there are parallel but slightly variant statements of the same divine commands (pp. lxxi f.), just as is the case with other Hebrew laws including the Decalogue itself. Thus both the Code and the Discourses carry us to the conclusion that Deuteronomy i.—xxx. is a compilation of various editions. Even this, of course, is not proof of a diversity of authorship. Whether these editions were due to the same author or to a school of writers sharing one spirit, one purpose and one style, may be held to be an open question to which there is no certain answer (8 s). The second alternative, however, appears on all the data, literary and historical, to be the more probable. The very imitable style was, we know, practised by many pens and spread through Hebrew literature. The distinctions in diction, such as that between the Sg. and Pl. forms of address, though in themselves insufficient criteria (§ 8), often coincide with other differences in suggesting a plurality of writers. In the next Paragraph we shall see how much there was in the circumstances of the time at which Deuteronomy was published to confirm this literary evidence that separate editions of the Book were once extant.

It is interesting that so conservative a scholar as Dr Our has suggested a similar explanation of the origins of other parts of the Pensiteuch. His words are these: 'singleness of plan and co-operation of effort in the original production' and 'the laboar of original composers working with a common aim and towards a common end' (Pewlen of At D.7, Pp.) Als, 321). If the words in a common style 'tes added this description would nearly suit our evidence that there was more than one edition of Deuteromous.

These editions have been compiled and intervoven in a manner, which, while it leaves segments of beir coulines clear, renders us unable to distinguish them in detail. The differing results of he many attempts at their analysis (§§6 and 8 and below) ps. xei (§), (§§6) and a sund below ps. xei (§§6), (§§6) and a sund below at the sunday of the various conclusions reached during the earlier stage of research (§§6), which approximated on this that the first forms of the Book were to be

measured by one or more of the main divisions of which it now consists. The lines of cleavage within these divisions, the difference between exhortation and narrative, the close affinity of the narrative portions of the two Discourses introductory to the Code, and the doublets in the Code itself, forbid such simple solutions of the problem. The parratives now separated. chs. i.-iii. (v. ?), and ix. 7 f.-x. 11. all mainly in the PL address. appear to have originally formed one piece. Did this ever form a historical introduction to the Code separate from the hortatory pieces, among which it is now divided, chs. iv. 1-40, vi.-ix. 7 a, x. 12-xi.? For answer we have only these data: that the hortatory section iv. 1-8 is the natural continuation of the historical, i.—iii., with the same general use of the Pl. address; but that the historical ch. v. is clearly separable from, and the historical ix. 7 b-x. 11 is still more clearly an intrusion into the rest of chs. vi.-xi. Again, as the parallel versions of the Law of the One Altar, ch. xii., exhibit, the distinction between the Sg. and Pl. forms of address did constitute one of the differences among the original editions of Deuteronomy. But how far was this distinction sustained? We have seen that it is impossible to answer (8.8): the same author may have changed from Sg. to Pl. as he passed from exhortation to narrative or vice versa. To sum up-the drastic re-arrangement of the original contents of the Book, the use throughout (with extremely few exceptions) of one style, and this by some even of the editors, the freedom we must assume for the same writer to use both forms of address, especially when combining narrative and exhortation (pp. lxxviii f., lxxxvii f.), conspire to render impossible an exact definition of the outlines and contents of the once separate editions. But these diversities of workings are of slight importance

but these attrevisities of workings are or signt importance compared with the Unity which animates and controls them in one Spirit haplited into one body. That Unity is at once spiritual, practical and dramatic. The various forms of Deuteronomy and all the phases they exhibit have their source in the same truths, move towards the same ends, use the same method and style. Not only does the Unity of the Godhead shine and beat throughout the Book to the dispersion of virtually every mist or shadow that might break it; but the Power, the Righteousness, and above all the Love of God compet the submission of every aspect and detail of life to their influence and draw out to Him an undivided devotion. It is the whole man for the One God!

Deuterosomy is also a Ulsty in that it expresses not only the experience of the antion from their origin onward through the centuries, but the soul of I strat, conscious of their distinction, roused to every foreign influence as the threat of their distinction, used concentrating upon their spritual heritage and duties, since only by loyality to these can they preserve their individuality as a people and prove their right to live. The wake I start is then, as in no other book of the Chil Testament—the whole I start in its full missions as in the control of the Children of the Childre

One other Unity haunts the reader. Imitable as is the style of the Book, it is yes to distinctive, so sadden in its appearance in Hebrew literature, and so personal in its address as to keep at wax wondering to what individual it owed its start and shaping, and start of the sta

§ 11. The Ages of the Book and of its Contents.

1. In the history of the complicated structure we have been examining, one year and one only is faced: the eighteenth of the reign of Josish or 621 R.C. when a Rook of the Law or of the Covenant was found in the Temple, read to the king and then to the people, and adopted by them in solemn covenant, as the canon of certain religious reforms which they forthwith inaugurated. We have seen (§ 4) that this Book was some form of Deuteronomy. But in our inability to define the different editions

from which our Deuteronomy gives evidence of being compiled (§ 10) we cannot say which of these this Law-Book was or whether it was exactly any one of them, or whether the process of their compilation had already begun. Only this is clear from the account of the reforms, 2 Kgs xxiii., that the Book of the Law or Covenant must have included at least the following: one or more of the parallel statements in ch. xii, of the cardinal law of the Deuteronomic Code involving the destruction of the high places, and the confinement of sacrifice to One Altar (with the consequent permission to eat flesh not sacrificially slain on all places out of reach of that altar); some form of the law giving to the rural Levites the right to minister at the One Altar and to receive sustenance there, xviii, 1-8: some form of the Law of the Passover and probably of the other yearly feasts, xvi. 1-17; along with laws against idols, pillars and Asherim, and all impure practices, xii, 20-xiii., xvi, 21-xvii, 7. We may infer also the inclusion of the rest of the consequents of the cardinal law, viz. xiv. 22-29 on tithes, xv. 19-23 on firstlings, xix. 1-13 on cities of Asylum, and some form of xvi. 18-20 and xvii. 8-13 on the local and central judiciaries. Nor is there any reason to exclude from Josiah's Law-Book other laws which show no sign in their substance of being later than Iosiah's time, especially if they are based on earlier codes or if their principles had been already enforced by the Prophets; with this caution that laws in Part III. of the Code1 which are separated from previously occurring laws on the same subject may owe their separate position to the fact of their later inclusion in the Code. Iosiah's Law-Book, too, most probably had an introduction and epilogue (like other Hebrew codes) relating its authority, expounding its principles, and describing the consequences respectively of obedience and disobedience to its orders. Not otherwise can we explain either its name, the Book of the Covenant, or how it produced its effects upon king and people. In order to create the situation and atmosphere which resulted from its discovery the Book must

1 See below pp. 155 f.

Digitized by Google

Original from IIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

have been a work of prophecy as well as of law, of principle and passion as well as of practical measures. It must have contained some form of the discourses now in chs. i .- xi., xxviii .-XXX.

A more exact definition of Josiah's Law-Book is impossible. Bertholet reasonably says (Deut. n. xix): 'everything is to be reckoned to the original Deuteronomy which is not on quite definite grounds to be excluded from the time of Josiah' and he describes this as all that can be proved to be drawn from the earlier prophets or from the codes in Exod. xxi .-- xxiii., xxxiv., all that follows immediately from the premises of Deuteronomy. and what is presupposed by Josiah's reforms. As specimens of attempts at more exact definition the following may be quoted. Budde (Gesch. d. althebr. Litteratur. p. 113) :- 'the "Grundstock" of chs. v.-xi. with the superscription iv. 45-49 [this surprises one in view of the composite character of these pv.], chs. xii .- xxi. o [he can hardly mean all ch. xii. and the other doublets), ch. xxvi. and a conclusion in Blessing and Curse essentially comprised in ch. xxviii.' Cornill (Introd. E.T. pp. 57 f.): 'xii, 1-xiii, 1 in a substantially shorter form, xiii, 2-10, xiv, 3, 31 a a.*. 21 67; xiv. 22-xv. 3; xv. 7-23; xvi. 1-8*, 9-20; xvi. 21-xvii. 7 (but in other places); xvii. 8-13"; xviii. 1-13; xix. 1-15, 16-20", 21; xx. (minus, however, pp. 2-4, and 15-18); xxi.-xxv. (in part); and xxvi. 1-15' (the asterisk affixed to certain of these indicates revision or expansion). Much shorter editions than these are conceived by Cheyne (Jerewick, p. so) and by Chapman (Introd. to the Pent. in this series. A fuller review is required by the theory of Dr John Cullen in The

Book of the Covenant in Most (1903), one of the most original and searching of recent works on the subject. With the majority of later critics Dr Cullen recognises Deuteronomy as a compilation of several editions. But in contrast to most of them he finds its earliest form not in the Code but among the Discourses, in which he sees the necessary inspiration for Josiah's zeal and reforms, while he takes the Code (with some introductory matter) to be the result of the reforms. His arrangement of the former-called by him 'The Miswah' or Charge from the name which it frequently uses is as follows : che vyviii, fur-yviv, 14 : v. 2 iv. 10-16 a, 19-26, v. 29-viii. 18; xxvi.; viii. 19-ix. 6; x. 12-21; xxvii. 1 6. 26. 4 a. 5-7; xi. 8-28; xxviii. 1 a. 2 a. 7-14. 15. 20-25 a. 43-45; xxx. 11-20; Exod. xxiv. 4-8; Deut. xxxii. 45-47; while the latter, 'The Tôrah,' consists of chs, xii, 2-25, hastily put together, with an original environment-iv, 44, 45 c, 46 g, xxvii, 9 f, ; iv, 1-4, xi, 21 f. and xii. 1 in the front of it; but after it iv. 5-8, xxvii. 11-14, xxviii. 2 6-6, 15, 16-19, xxvii. 26, xxxi, q-13. The possibility of an analysis so exact is more than doubtful, and Dr Cullen achieves his results in absolute disregard of the different forms of address (above p. lxxv). Nor are his general arguments for separating the 'Miswah' from the 'Torah' and for taking the former as the cause but the latter as the precipitate of Josiah's reforms convincing. He thinks (with others, above p. xlvii) that chs. vi.xi, which form the bulk of his 'Miswah' are too long to have been a mere introduction to the Coile; but, as we have seen (pp. xlviii, lxiii ff.) and as he admits, the original form of this Discourse was much shorter, and in any case Deuteronomy was never intended as only a code but also as a prophetic message, the expression of which would naturally be longer than a mere introduction. In chs. vi .- xi, he eliminates all reference to the Code by supposing that the phrase, statutes and judgments, wherever it occurs, was added only after the 'Miswah' and 'Torah' were combined; but for this there is no reason beyond the needs of his theory. Again, he pleads that the hortatory element is the original part of Deuteronomy, the Code being based on earlier laws; which is not a true antithesis, for while the Code, like others, has its sources in ancient custom and in laws already written down, it also contains the new and original law of the One Altar, ch. xii., and, among other consequents of this, equally new laws on the Levites and the Passover, the presence of all of which in Josiah's Law-Book is implied by the story of his reforms. Dr Cullen further argues that a code is more likely to have been the outcome of a revolution than its inspiration, for which we must look rather to a hortatory anneal; yet granted that the effect of the Law-Book on the King and people proves that it must have contained such discourses as we find in Deuteronomy, this does not oblige us to deny that laws accompanied the discourses; but on the contrary when we find some laws in the Code couched in the same style as the discourses and forming the practical application of their principles it is but reasonable to believe that from the beginning discourse and law were combined. Dr Cullen also appeals to Jeremiah vii. 21-23. This startling statement (confirmed by Amos v. as) that at the Exedus God did not charge the fathers of Israel concerning burnt-offering and sacrifice, but, that He might be their God and they His people, only charged them to obey His voice and to walk in all the way He should command themcertainly agrees with the theory that the Book found in the Temple was confined to general principles and contained no sacrificial laws. But the statement is not conclusive trust of this. Even if I remiah's words be taken literally as implying that he did not believe that God had given to Israel laws on sacrifice, this would no more prove that such laws were absent from the Deuteronomy known to him than that they were absent from the older code in IE. The prophet may be interpreted as protesting against their presence in Deuteronomy-or alternatively against the undue importance attached to them by his generation (which is all that can be inferred if his words be not taken literally). Even less convincing is Dr Cullen's use of Jer. xi. It is true that Deuteronomy is there named not as 'Tôrah' but as the mords of this covenant (v. a). covenant being frequently used in the deuteronomic discourses, and that it is described (en. a ff.) in terms corresponding to Deut, vviv. 1-11: whereas the Code calls itself the words of this Torah (xvii. 18f.) or when it mentions covenant (xvii. 2) may be alluding to some other work. But this last is not certain; and in any case 2 Kgs xxii. f. calls the Book DEUTERONOMY

DEUTERONOMY

found in the Temple both Thrak and Coremant. Besides if that Book was confined to Dr Cullen's 'Missah' (as he argues) it is very strange that neither in a Kgs xxii. f. nor in Jeremiah it is called Myrawk—On the whole, while Dr Cullen presents an unanswerable case for the inclusion in Josah's Law-Book of considerable sections of the destreamonic distinct of the Conference of the Confere

King Josiah reigned till 608 when he fell at Megiddo. His reforms, begun in 621, probably took time to accomplish. They offended several interests and were certainly opposed. From Jeremiah xi, we learn of measures for the propagation of the Covenant throughout the land-in the cities of Judah and the streets of Jerusalem: and in Jer. viii, 8 the prophet exclaims to those who boast, the Torah of Jehovah is with us !- that the pens of the scribes are busy upon it even to the extent of falsifring. These things point to the possibility that some editions of Deuteronomy originated during the last twelve years of the king's reign. There is no reason to seek a later date for any of the substance of the Book. No part of it reflects the troubles which followed Iosiah's death and confronted Habakkuk and Jeremiah with their problems. The phrase alive as at this day (vi. 24, cp. viii, 18) seems to imply that Israel was prosperous when it was written and to preclude the Exile. In view of the growth of Egyptian power and of the decline of Assyria after 625, the threat of a return to bondage in Egypt-echoing a frequent threat of the prophets-would be natural even before Pharaoh Necho's overthrow of Israel at Megiddo in 608; and it cannot be subsequent to his defeat by Nebuchadrezzar in 6041. The only fragments that require a later date are those which betray the hand of an editor (§ q) or are written from the point of view of the Dispersion (e.g. iv. 29-31). Such fragments along with the secondary Laws (xiv. 1, 4-20, etc.), and probably the compilation of the editions and re-arrangement of their contents (\$ 10), may be assigned to the Exile, the date also of the

On Dr Kennett's conjectures of a later date see above, p. xliv.

deuteronomic composition of the Books of Kings. In any case the Law under which the Jews lived till the time of Ezra was the deuteronomic. Its influence is most apparent in the Book of 'Malachi'

2. But how long before 621 are we to seek for the origin of the Law-Book then discovered? Here we discern only the possibilities of an exact date, and they extend over a centuryfrom Josiah back to Hezekiah.

There are first the early years of Josiah's reign. In variance with 2 Kgs xxii., the Chronicler, 2 Chron. xxxiv. 3-8, states that Josiah, who had begun to seek after the God of his father David in the eighth year of his reign, began already in the twelfth year to purge Judah and Jerusalem from the high places and the Asherlm and the graven and molten images. But if this was so, what cause remained for the consternation of the King, which even the Chronicler imputes to him, on the discovery of the Book six years later? The story in 2 Kgs xxii. is more consistent, yet in view of Josiah's character and of the circumstances of the time, the previous dates on which the Chronicler fixes are significant. The eighth year of Josiah's reign was that of his adolescence, presumably also of the consecration of his strong will to the principles in which he had been trained, and the beginning of the influence that he undoubtedly exercised on his generation; while the twelfth year, 625 R.C., was the year of Ashurbanipal's death, which left Iudah somewhat more free to manage her own affairs 1. The memory of Manasseh's persecutions was such as to bind the ranks of the purer religion with the sense of their common danger from heathenism and to further that combination of prophetic and priestly ideals on which Deuteronomy is based. Thus all the conditions were present for the preparation of its programme, and accordingly many fix the composition of the first form of the Book between 627 and

1 See the present writer's Jerusalem, 11. pp. 201 ff., with references to Etht, Die Sicherstellung des Monotheismus i. vor-exil. Judah, p. 8; Cullen Bk. of the Covt. in Month. p. 17, and, so far as the character of Iosiah is concerned, Cornill, Das Buch Ieremia, pp. xiii, etc.

621 B.C. 1 But this brings the origin of Deuteronomy very close to its discovery in the Temple. Does it not also involve Hilkiah and his colleagues of the priesthood in the secret of its composition and introduction to the place where it was found? None of the persons concerned in the discovery appears to have doubted the antiquity of the Book. The straightforward narrative in 2 Kgs xxii, contains no feature from which to suspect Hilkiah's complicity; and Deuteronomy itself bears witness to the contrary. The Code seriously diminishes the rights of the Temple priests, for example by diverting from them to the poor of the provinces the tithes of every third year (xiv. 28 f.). Moreover Josiah failed to secure the admission of the rural Levites to the ministry of the altar at Jerusalem (2 Kgs xxiii, o), though this is enjoined in Deut. xviii. 6 f. Had Hilkiah and his colleagues been responsible for the form of Deuteronomy found in the Temple, they would surely have framed this section of the Code differently. But that only raises another question. The Book is manifestly the result of an effort to combine prophetic and priestly principles: if this effort took place in the early years of Iosiah why was Hilkiah left out of it?

Therefore other critics, holding with Driver that 'the grounds for referring the composition of Deuteronomy to the reign of Josiah...are not decisive,' put it farther back during Manasseh's persecution of the adherents of the purer religion, about 670. They thus explain the anonymity of the Book, the author's deposit of it for safety in the Temple and the oblivion from which it was recovered in 6218. The objection to that date is that Deuteronomy shows no suggestion of such a schism as then existed in Israel, no hint that it was possible for Israel to break into two or that the loval Israel ever suffered or could suffer persecution from a powerful party of heathen sympathies and habits. The Book reflects rather a situation in which the

¹ De Wette, Reuss, Kuenen, Wellhausen, Chevne, Stade, Addis, Holzinger, Marti-and virtually Cornill and Bertholet. ⁹ So, besides Driver, Ewald, Robertson Smith (Additional Answer to the Libel, p. 78). Kittel, and Ryle (Canon of the O.T. pp. 54ff., 60).

Iraci that is loyal to Jehovsh is in authority, with power to be punish individuals and communities given to iolds. Though it would be absurd to deny the possibility, even under the cruelies of a Hansasch, of such condiferen can hope as breath entroughor and the such as national schim and of the persecution of a pious remnant by their fellow-contrymen, it could hardly, in its extreme sensitiveness to the other religious experiences of Iranel, have excepted all marks of reaction against the bitterness and dingrace excepted all marks of reaction against the bitterness and dingrace

Some therefore seek for the origins of Deuteronomy before Manasseh's time, and they find support in the anticipation of Josiah's reforms which is ascribed to Hezekiah (c. 725-685)1. We have seen that Hezekiah's measures must have been drastic? -for however short a time they endured-and that there is reason for including among them the destruction of the high places in Judah. For this powerful motives already existed and some precedents. King Asa (c. 913-823), besides destroying certain images and cults, concentrated in the Temple the halv things which he and his father had dedicated (t Kos vv. Q-15). Between his time and that of Ahaz the influence of the Temple steadily increased, and must have been further enhanced on the fall of the Northern Kingdom with all her shrines in 720. and the concentration of the hopes of Israel upon Judah. But it was Isaiah who fully revealed the religious significance of Jerusalem. Jehowah (these are his words) had founded Sion and had tended her growth as a vineyard for Himself. In spite of the vices of her people Sion was still His dwelling and Ariel, the altar-hearth of God. The Temple was the place of the manifestation of His Holiness; and to the eyes of the

On the difficult questions of this reign, including that of a single review a double deliverance of Jerusalem, see the present writer's Jerusalem, 11. 6. vi. 'Hezekin and Sennacherib.'
Above, p. xiii. Winckler (Keilinschriften des A. T. 3rd ed. p. 221) early them; a phonosely carrier brough of labyism in its strict most.

² Above, p. xlii. Winckler (Kalinukhrijten des A.T. 3rd ed. p. 221) calls them 'a thorough carrying through of Jahvism in its strict monotheistic significance, with a partial removal of other cults'; cp. Guthe, Gesch., p. 223.

prophet the whole City was wrapped in a supernatural glory 1. These are high sanctions for the measures ascribed to his ally the King. Unlike Jeremiah Isaiah does not denounce the high places; vet his visions of what Ierusalem, in spite of her delinguencies, still stood for in the purpose of the Almighty pointed the administrators of his day only less obviously than they did those of Jeremiah's day to the concentration of the worship or Jehovah upon the Temple. And his contemporary Micah predicts the destruction of Israel's pillars and Asherim as of no more account than their graven images, which with Isaiah he also condemns (v. 10). These are good grounds for the credibility of Hezekiah's reforms; and on these grounds as well as on the fact that the religious and ethical truths of Deuteronomy had already been proclaimed by the prophets of the eighth century, many base their belief in the origin of the Book, or of some early form of it, during Hezekiah's reign2. The objections taken to this conclusion are, that Isaiah does not condemn the high places; that no law is connected with Hezekiah's reforms though his age was active in literary collection3; and that the

1 Jerusalem, H. ch. v. 'Isaiah's Jerusalem.' Wesphal (Les Sources du Pent. 11, pp. 260-286 and The Law and the Prophets, tr. by Du Pontet, 1910, p. 304); Oettli; König (Einl. p. 217), who fixes the date at 722 (720?), the fall of the N. Kingdom, and points to Isaiah's association with Uriah the Priest: the present writer in The Critical Review, 180s, pp. 330ff.; Steuermanel (Deut, p. xiv), who dates the reforms soon after the downfall of Samaria and connects them with what he identifies as the earliest basis of the deut, Code. A more probable date is after too when Indah revolted from Assyria and before 701 when the Rabshakeh taunted the Jews with Hezekiah's removal of the altars of Jehovah and his confinement of the worship to the altar in Jerusalem. But for this we might conceive of the reforms as still more probable after 701 when the sanctity of Jerusalem was marvellously vindicated by her deliverance. J. E. McFadyen (Introd. to the O.T. up, 44 (a) finds in the reforms the first impulse to the legislation which afterwards appears in Deuteronomy, but 'the Book in the main was written in the reign of Manasseh': the 'more appressive tone' of the Pl. sections he assigns to this reign, the passages of a milder tone to ³ Prov. xxv. 1; cp. Isaiah xxxviii. q ff., 2 Chron. xxx. 1.

Digitized by Google

language of Deuteronomy is more akin to Ieremiah's than to that of Isaiah 1.

These, then, are the alternative possibilities for the date of the origin of Deuteronomy during the century before its dis-

covery in 621. Each of the three reigns, Hezekiah's, Manasseh's and Iosiah's, offers reason and occasion for the composition of such a Book. But in the case of each there are difficulties. To the present writer the difficulties seem greatest under Manasseh; but the truth is that we are without the means of

deciding definitely upon any one of the three.

Taking, however, the century as a whole, 720-621 B.C., it is clear that the conditions for the production of the essential parts of Deuteronomy were in existence throughout; and that the urgency of the measures which it enforces grew with every decade. Not only had the basal truths of Deuteronomy-the Sovereignty, the absolute Justice, and the Love and Mercy of Jehovah. His special relations to Israel, their holiness and neculiar duties and destiny-been proclaimed by Amos, Hosea, Isaiah and Micah, but the accent, the tone and even some of the phrases which it employs to enforce these truths are the echo of theirs. The Book 'will certainly be best understood when read after Hosea and Isaiah. This at any rate is its historical position....One can hardly fail to see the teaching of Hosea reflected in both these points '-Deuteronomy's emphasis on love as the true relation of men to God and of God to men. and the humanity which its laws inculcate2. There had also been long need in Israel for that discrimination which Deutero-

¹ König (Einl. p. 217) admits this. 2 A. B. Davidson, The Theology of the Old Testament, p. 360. He adds the 'holiness' of Jehovah, but on this see below pp. 108-110; where it is pointed out that Deuteronomy (in contrast both to Hosea and Isaiah) does not apply the term holy to God Himself. It must also be admitted that Deuteronomy differs from the prophets in other respects. e.e. it does not avail itself to the full of Isaiah's visions of the Divine Presence in Ierusalem. The definition, the place which Jehowah weur God shall choose out of all your tribes to put His Name there, even His habitation is restrained in comparison with Isaiah's exultation in the glory of Sion.

nomy draws between true and false prophets (xiii. 1-5, xviii-20 ff.); while its protests against trafficking with the dead (xviii. 11 f.) had already been made by Isaiah (viii. 19 f.). The worship of the host of heaven, forbidden in Deut, iv. 19, xvii. 3, of which there is no sign in Israel before Amos (v. 26), was introduced to Judah by Ahaz (2 Kgs xxiii, 12, cp. xvi. 10 ff., xvii. 16) and became lavish under Manasseh (2 Kgs xxi. 3, 5, cp. xxiii, 4 f., 11 f.); similarly with the rite of passing children through the fire (Deut. xii. 31, xviii. 9 f., 2 Kgs xvi. 3, xvii. 17, xxi, 61. In short the whole century exhibits the conditions, the occasions, the mingled atmosphere of prophetic teaching and of heathen practice, with the heavy sense of a crisis between them2, in, on, and under which both the spirit and the matter of Deuteronomy imply that the Book was conceived and com-

There are other considerations. We have seen (88 2 and 3. especially pp. xvi ff.) that the retrospects in Deuteronomy are a selection with expansions from the narratives in I and E. Now these documents of the Pentateuch, though they have a common basis of date older than the Disruption of the Kingdom under Rehoboam (c. 970), were composed certainly after this event⁸, and probably not till the ninth or even the first half of the eighth century. The composition of the historical surveys in Deuteronomy must then have been later. It is very significant also that of all the three codes of Israel Deuteronomy alone has a law of the King, and does not attribute to the chief priest the marks of royalty which Pattributes to him4; this and the fact that Deuteronomy also alone has a law on the Prophet points clearly to a date under the Monarchy. And finally there is the evidence of the style. This is not only free from archaismsexcept where primitive forms of words have been preferred because of their sonorousness-but 'in its rhetorical fulness and

posed.

On this see *Jerusalem*, II. pp. 263 f., with notes.
 See Chapman, *Introd. to the Pent.* (in this series), p. 138.

³ Ibid. p. 182, note. 4 See above p. xxiv.

breadth of diction [the style] implies a long development of the art of public oratory, and is not of a character to belong to the first age of Hebrew literature!

In answer to this argument for the origin of Deuteronomy in the eighth or seventh centuries we are sometimes pointed to the undoubtedly ancient elements which the Book, and especially its Code, contains, (a) It is true that the Codes in JE from which many of the materials of the deuteronomic Code are derived are older than the parrative portions of these documents; but as we have seen (n. vvii) there is a great difference between the economic conditions which the laws of JE and of Deuteronomy respectively reflect-a difference that can be accounted for only by 'a considerable interval of time in which the social and political organisation of the community had materially developed and the Code of Exodus [chs. xxi,-xxiii., E] had ceased to be adequate to the nation's needs 3. This difference is conspicuous both in the laws which Deuteronomy has expanded or adapted from those of IE, and in the laws which are peculiar to itself-e.g. those on the King and the Prophet and of course those on the One Altar, and its consequences. (8) It is also true that the ancient character of some of the deuteronomic laws is proved by other evidence than that of IE-for example the law on wizards and witches, xviii. 11, cp. 1 Sam, xxviii. 3 for the time of Saul; and that requiring two witnesses, xix. 15, cp. 1 Kgs xxi. 10 for the time of Ahab-but these decide nothing against an eighth or a seventh century date for the compiler of the Code, who may have derived them from an earlier code or have been the first to reduce them to writing. Take an instance which seems to be even more indicative of an early date for a deuteronomic law than those just quoted. In a Kgs xiv. 6 f. it is recorded that in slaying the assassins of his father, King Amaziah (707-780) did not also slay their children. The editor of the history (deuteronomic be it remembered) says that the King acted thus in obedience to the deuteronomic law, xxiv, 16, which is not found in the other codes, But we know that Amaziah's merciful discrimination was an innovation upon the practice hitherto observed in such cases in Israel: and it is probable that the Deuteronomist was the first to articulate and codify its principle as a standing law for the nation 8. Sometimes it is by such personal examples that national laws arise, and if we knew more of the details of the history of Israel we might be able to identify in the humane code of Deuteronomy other instances of the kind . Laws with such an 1 Driver, Deut. p. xlvii; König (Einl. p. 217) points out some forms

of words (e.g. the feminine infinitives of strong verbs) "which do not belong to the earlier literature."

2 Driver, Dest. p. xlvi.

2 See Jerusalem, II. pp. 113 f.

² Driver, Deut. p. xivi. ² See ferusalem, 11. pp. 113 f.
⁴ In Lex Monatics (p. 39) Principal Douglas recognises how the legislation expands as the history opens up, and notes Nu. xxvi. 33, xxvii. 1—11, xxxvi. 1—12, Josh. xvii. 3—6, and the different laws on the Passover.

origin are no less inspired than those which some prophets based the wider of the property of

We cannot, therefore, avoid the conclusion that Deuteronomy was composed somewhere after the beginning of the reign of Hezekish (75 s.C.) and before the discovery of one form of it in Gr I.C. With some general a result we have to be connent. To trace the Book to any particular decade in that century is beyond our power. To attempt to allocate its different forms to successive decades to play with the data. Modern criticism of the source of the decade in the source of the data of th

First, it is probable that, if not the original form of Deuteronomy, yet some code or programme with similar aims came into being with Hezekiah's reforms. Second, it is certain that if Deuteronomy, with its distinctive style, originated as early as the eighth century it remained unknown till the reign of Iosiah. for not until his time is its influence clear upon other literature. 'The early prophets, Amos, Hosea, and the undisputed portions of Isaiah, show no certain traces of this influence: Ieremiah exhibits marks of it on nearly every page; Ezekiel and Deutero-Isajah are also evidently influenced by it. If Deuferonomy were composed between Isaiah and Jeremiah, these facts would be exactly accounted for 1.1 And third, even if the Book was written in the early part of Josiah's reign there is (as we have seen) no evidence that the priest Hilkiah or his colleagues in the Temple had anything to do with its composition; while its contents afford not a little proof to the contrary. 1 Driver, Dout, p. xlvii.

Diller, Dim. p

Digitized by Google

NIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

One other point must be repeated : it still haunts us Whatever the Book owed to the prophets it did not owe everything. The style is its own. The spiritual fruits of the past, the practical urgencies of the present the memories passions and hones of both, are all tuned to a new and original rhythm—the gift we cannot but believe of one man to the literature of his people! He remains as unknown to us as the author of the Book of Joh or the great Evangelist of the Exile (Isaiah xl.-lv.).

3. Deuteronomy i. -xxx. nowhere claims to have been written by Moses?, and if the evidence we have just adduced for its date in the eighth or the seventh century R.C. be sound, it precludes us, of course, from ascribing the Book to him. But in addition to the marks which these centuries have stamped so deeply on Deuteronomy there are other grave considerations against the Mosaic authorship. For we have seen not only that the narratives in Deuteronomy must be later than those in IE because on the whole they are based upon them; but that the two documents state or interpret the same events so differently that we cannot imagine them to have been written by the same man, even though we assume that nearly forty years elapsed between his composition of the one and his composition of the other3.

Take the most critical of these differences-that on the amount and character of the Law promulgated on Sinai-Horeb (above pp. xx. ff.). How are we to conceive that the same writerand he the chief human actor in that awful scene-composed both accounts of it, that he could have said in one document, Deuteronomy (iv. 12, v. 22), that only the Ten Commandments and no more were given to the people from the Mount, but in

¹ Above, pp. xii f., xlvi, xciv.

² The only certain mention of the writing of a late or thrak by Moses is xxxi, q: and Moses wrote this law. It occurs in a part of the Book admitted, even by conservative scholars, to have been compiled

by the editors of the Pent, from several sources; and the meaning of this law is uncertain: probably it does not cover more than the Code. Which of course cannot be allowed, for the parrative of IE continues through the Pentateuch to the death of Mores and heroard this into the Book of Joshua.

another document E (Ex. xxiv. J—8) that it was not the Decapes but the detailed code of Ex. xxi J—8. The Witter and publicly read, which formed the basis of the Covenant at Sinal J. for the moment, Mose be assumed to have written or to have been responsible for E's account he cannot have been the author account. The difficulty is not removed by the acceptance of Kuenen's theory that the legislation Ex. xxi—xxiii now assigned in our Pentatestot Io Horeb originally appeared in Ex a Barwing been delivered in Mosh J; for if that be the case the discrepancy is only shifted from Bureto to Mosh. Instead of two accounts of the legislation on Horeb va was a lift with two different Codes provided by Mosh J. The Mosh J is the state of the Mosh J is the state of the Mosh J is the state of the Mosh J is the Mosh J in the Mosh J in the Mosh J is the Mosh J in the Mosh J in the Mosh J is the Mosh J in the Mosh J in the Mosh J in the Mosh J is the Mosh J in the Mosh

To this decisive instance it is hardly necessary to add two other differences between [12] and Tentarconny what returning of the same formulation when the proposal control of the same control of the proposal value of the value

Once more we must appeal to the cardinal Law of Deutermony, confining sacrifice to the One Altar. If Mores himself published that law to all Israel gathered in solemn Assembly, published it in his hat hours and an one of the culiminating points of his legislation, it is more than strange that for five or six of his published to the Altar Strael Strael Strael Strael Strael in Canana and the Temple was buller-the- history of his people should reveal no tradition or memory of the fact, no sign of the existence of such a law; but that on the contary some prophets and leaders in Israel, like Samuel, Solomon and Elijah, continued to build altars and to sacrifice at many places in the land under the liberal sanction of the code in IE (see above pp. xlf.); while other prophets, like Amos, Hosea and Isaiah, though they expose the religious dangers of the high places, nevertheless nowhere suggest that these be abolished or that Israel's sacrifices be confined to a single sanctuary. The history of Israel shows rather, that the deuteronomic law of the One Altar was not prophetic but experimental-the fruit of an experience gradual yet at last so convincing that it replaced the good conscience with which the leaders of Israel built and sacrificed at many altars, according to immemorial practice and under the sanction of the ancient law in Exodus xxi. 24, by a stronger conscience of the fatal dangers which that freedom involved to the spiritual elements of Israel's religion. So also does history in the Old Testament explain itself. The law of One Altar for the One God came into being only when, and because, it was at last seen-as the prophets of the eighth and seventh centuries gradually came to see-that sacrifices to Jehovah at many altars, some of them once the shripes of other gods, distracted His people's sense of His Unity, subverted their ancient loyalty to Him, and, by confusing Him with those deities and mingling their rites with His worship. corrupted both religion and morality. In this bitter experience the law had its sources; its opportunities were the growing influence of the Temple to which His Ark had been brought, and the Assyrian destruction of nearly all other shrines in the land

After all this it is hardly necessary to refer to some minor signs in formal for the corresponding to the band of the other ride of priorite, for this occurs only in title the tenter of the formal formal

time for what had taken place only a few weeks or months before the peaker is made to set it. It, a few that had for Shawi critics, iii. of speaker is made to set it. It, a few that had of Shawi critics, iii. of the same is the platne at $y \in const$ print and $x \in S$ print the same is the platne at $y \in C$ and print $x \in S$ print the wilderings, the wilderings, the wilderings, the wilderings, has perfectly the perfect of the print of the workering in the wilderings, properties of the planes is hardly that of Mone is in Mosh, but mit as later age when the $f^{(1)}_{x}(y) = f^{(2)}_{x}(y) = f^{($

The defenders of an early date for Destreamons appeal to its commission by early on quarter to the Canasimi (v_1, \dots, v_n as $t_1, \dots, t_n > 0$) or to seven the contraction of Mones as the speaker, and that the date of Destreamony must be far earlier. But both these commands, repeated from y_i^2 the contraction of the contraction o

§ 12. Resulting Questions and their Answers. The evidence adduced for the age of Deuteronomy—adduced

The evidence accuracy for the age of Description, accuracy from itself and other parts of the Old Testament—raises some questions, the answers to which constitute the concluding task of this Introduction.

If the Blook be so late a work, embodying in its legislation the results of Irarel's long experience of settled life in Canana, and impaired by the prophets of the eighth century, why did its give a retrospect of that gradual development with the results thereof; and—appealing (as Amos does) to Gor's continued Providence for His prophe since He planned them in the land but especially to His last revelation through the Prophets—proclaim upress Word now replaced all laws previously delivered? Why was Deuteronomy rather cast in the form of Discourses and a Code said to have been delivered before Israel had even begun the settled life, upon the experience of which the Code especially is based? Why did the authors, deriving their immediate inspiration from the prophets of the eighth century, go behind these and back to Moses as the authority and the mouth of their doctrine?

We may answer at once that the form in which the Book is cast was not merely (a) usual under the literary custom, and (b) conditioned by the mental habit, of its age; but (c) is justified historically by the factor of Israel's origin and carliest organisation under Moses, and by the persistence of his influence, both as a Prophet and Lawylever, down to the days of the authors. Of these considerations the first two need not long detain us; the third, the historical, is the one of most importance.

(a) It has often been emphasised, and justly, that the form adopted for Deuteronomy—of making Moose the speaker throughout—was a literary form prevalent in ancient times and employed by other historians in the Old Testament. In the Books of Joshua, Kings and Chronicles speeches are quoted as if they were the very words of early leaders in Irased, which nevertheless betray their composition by the historian himself, through being in the same sayle as the narratives in which they occur and containing phrases and even ideas that are distinct the containing phrases and even ideas that are distinct the containing phrases and even ideas that are distinct the containing phrases and even ideas that are distinct the containing phrases and even ideas that are distinct through being in the same sayle as the critical part of the transport of the production of history, but in the cricician of old truth and the presentation of new, finds its supreme illustration in the Book of Job. There are many instances in other literatures.

Driver, besides giving the instances just cited, refers to Plato, Danic, Shakespeare and Paradite Leaf (Date, D. Neill). Cornill says: "The author only did what all historians have done, and to speak of his work as a literary fraud is out of the question; indeed it cannot be described even as piecestraping pairs: (Einsteining Sp. 3). But this opinion is not accepted by a cholar whose independent work is characterised by operating the control of the con

1 Driver, Deut, p. lviii.

position to many of the positions of modern criticisms, Professor James Obserberon. He under the following interesting observations. "It is most of the peculiarity of the Herbert Inguage that has not developed with the careful professor of the peculiarity of the relative theorem and the professor of the peculiarity of the professor of the peculiarity which necessitates the region of the peculiarity of the professor of the peculiarity of the pecul

(b) A deeper reason for the form of Deuteronomy is the unfamiliarity of the idea of development to the mind of the ancient East. That mind fixed upon results rather than processes, to the significance of which it has taken ages of research to awaken ourselves. Things, which we know came into being only gradually, appeared to early man-appeared indeed till recently to our own fathers—as the offspring of a word, of a moment. This was especially the way of the Semite, ever absolute in his thinking as in the expression of his thought. Just as he described physical phenomena, now known to be of long development, as having happened instantaneously, or as the first of Genesis puts it in a day: so similarly did he describe results that were religious or moral. Does he present the creation of the Universe as the act of the Word of God on seven successive days? So also does he present Deuteronomy, the fruit of centuries of the Spirit's influence on Israel, as the utterance in one day of Moses. The Oriental finds it difficult to conceive of authority except as personal and immediate. Whether in his philosophy or in his politics he ignores secondary and gradual causes.

(c) But these literary and psychological reasons for the form of Deuteronomy are of minor importance to the historical ones. Based, as it is, on the long experience of settled life in Canaan and inspired by the prophets of the eighth century, the Book has valid reasons in fact for going behind those prophets for the source of its principles and even behind Israel's history in Canaan for the authority of its laws—and for finding that source and that authority in Moses himself.

In proof of this we have first of all certain general indications in the history of Israel immediately subsequent to their settlement. These all point to the fact that the years of Moses had been the creative period in the national history : that then the nation was made, that then the several tribes of which it was composed were drawn to each other because drawn and covenanted to the same God. Their unity, which was sealed by the institution of the monarchy, was not like the latter, created after their settlement. On the contrary, as the Book of Judges and especially the Song of Deborah testify, their occupation of Canaan at first disintegrated a union previously achieved. The tribes became separated by the geographical divisions of their settlement and by the diverse directions of culture along which these attracted them. The one bond which prevailed over such distractions was a common feeling of duty to Jehovah; and this community of faith-weakened by the physical and religious temptations of times of peace but always roused again by a call to war-they owed to Moses and to his conduct and discipline of them through the wilderness. Israel were one because they were Jehovah's people and Jehovah the God of all of their tribes; and this had come about through their first, and to the end their greatest leader. In all Israel's history nothing is more certain than that Israel's unity was to begin with a religious unity and that Moses was its mediator.

The reader will find confirmation of this argument in the reason given in this series by Dr Driver, Exoting, pp. 41 ff, for believing that the distinctive character of I seal's religion had been operative from the origins of the nation onward. If the religion of Mose had not differed, in some distinctive feature, from the ordinary religions of antiquity, it is impossible to understand why, when the Israelites entered Cannan, and intermingled, as in many cases they did intermingle, with the native Cannanities, it was not merged and absorbed in their religion. He

DEUTERONOMY

Original from

quotes from Mr. Montefleuc's Milbert Lemme for thys, pp. $\phi f.$ t. the best positive lines the Valvech whom those the positive lines the Cananine desires, not only in his numerical uniqueness, $\mu_{\rm in}$ is his highest best positive lines to Valvech whom the Monte target different highest highest probabilities and the properties of the probabilities of the probab

But again, the Prophets themselves pointed their deuteronomic disciples back to Moses. Amos delivers this message: I brought you up out of the land of Egypt and led you forty years through the wilderness, to possess the land of the Amorite (ii. 10). Jehovah's knowledge of Israel, begun then, had been their distinction from other peoples, the secret of their individuality and of their present moral responsibility (iii, 1f.). Hosea puts it more vividly. He recalls the days of Israel's youth, when she came up out of Egypt, as a time of lovalty to her first Husband. before the temptations of Canaan drew her away after her paramours the Baalim: and he conceives of her regeneration as possible only by a return to the conditions and atmosphere of the days of the wilderness (ch. ii.). Or changing the figure he says that when Israel was a child Jehovah loved him and taught him to walk and took him in his arms (xi, 1-3). I am Jehovah thy God from the land of Egypt, thou knewest no God but Me. and beside Me there is no Saviour : I did know thee in the wilderness, in the land of great drought (xiii, 4 ff.). But the wealth of Canaan and its Baalim and graven images have drawn away the heart of the people (passim). Israel has forgotten his Maker (viii, 14). As Isajah says: The ox knoweth his owner. and the ass his master's crib: Israel doth not know, My people doth not consider (i. 3). But these are the very affections, the discipline, the warnings, which Deuteronomy makes Moses enforce in the Name of Jehovah. Does Hosea affirm that the one thing needful for Israel in Cannan, if she is to be restored to her

God, is that He should once more two kers, krigg her hack it had the wolferener, and speak home to her heavef (it. 14). That is it just what the Spirit does in Deuteronomy. Hosea's words exactly fit the aim, the form and the temper of his Gospel. Back to the wilderness days, back to the first wonder and grace-order of God's choice and care of this people, back to the loyalty and trust thus evoked, back to the discipline which kept them pureback to the feet of Mosea, as he pleads and urge it is

So much in justification of the general aim and temper of the Book. Not very different is the case for the specific doctrines which Deuteronomy listening to the prophets hears the voice of Moses himself proclaim. The prophets do not profess that the doctrines which they bring to their generation are new1. Their burden is to recall and enforce the old : they give no new commandment but an old commandment which the people had from the beginning, when by a prophet Jehovah brought Israel out of Egypt and by a prophet was he kept2. That Jehovah is the One and Only God for Israel, their Chooser, Redeemer, Father, Husband and Guide : that He is utter Righteousness and Love, that He requires these qualities from them towards Himself and towards one another: that He is the source of all law and authority in peace and war, the King and Judge of His people. and that their life as a nation lies in loyalty to Him and to the ethical truths He has revealed-such are the specific doctrines which the prophets tell their generation they ought to have known but have forgotten. It cannot be denied that at least the substance of these doctrines had been first delivered by the prophet Moses in terms of the experience of the forty years of his leadership through the wilderness3, or that Deuteronomy is therefore historically justified in putting them into his mouth as his last testament to his people in view of their immediate passage

¹ Till the prophets break into the Exile with the good news of Israel's restoration they do not use the phrase new things for the contents of their message.
² Hosea xii. 13. It is singular that before Jeremiah no prophet

mentions Moses by name.

³ See above p. exili.

to new conditions that would sorely tempt their faith and loyalty. But equally clear and equally justifiable is the fact that, in the light of God's subsequent Providence and especially of the teaching of the prophets the Book has much developed and expanded whatever expression Moses himself may have given to these doctrines. This is clear for instance in the emphasis which it lays on the love of God to man and of man to God as compared even with the utterances of Moses in IE1. Were it otherwise, the leading of the Divine Spirit since Moses died had been in vain. It is the duty of every scribe, who has been made a disciple to the Kingdom of Heaven to bring out of his treasure things new as well as old. This being understood, the ascription to Moses himself of the specific doctrines which Deuteronomy inculcates is amply vindicated from the history of the origins of Israel as interpreted, or implied, by the prophets of the eighth century.

But the Deuteronomists had before them credible witnesses to these origins other and earlier than the prophets. The retrospects of the wilderness which they put in the mouth of Moses are (as we have seen) based upon the narratives of I and E in Exodus and Numbers: documents of a date somewhere between David and the eighth century2. Of the age of their sources we have no clear evidence. That these were partly written but mainly oral is apparent from the infrequency with which I and E refer to a written source3: as well as from the differences between them in detail which are such as arise in the course of oral tradition. But whatever the date of their sources-and the tendency of recent criticism has been to increase the emphasis upon their antiquity-the general credibility of I and E cannot be denied. As Dr Driver says in this series4, 4 it is hypercritical to doubt that the outline of the parratives which have thus come down to us by two channels is historical.' They 'cannot but embody substantial elements of fact,' which 'cannot be called in question by a reasonable criticism.' He proceeds to state them : they are practically

See above pp. xxvi f.
E.g. Ex. xvii. 14, xxiv. 4.

Above p. civ.

* Exadus p. xliv.

the same as those which we have seen implied by the history of Irarel immediately obsequent to the settlement in Canana; and they are all that is necessary to prove a sufficient basis of fact for the retrospects of Deuteronomy and the exhortations arising from these. In particular the witness of J and E to Moses himself, to his influence on the people, and to the character and effects of the Divine revelation which he brought to Israel, is indubitably strong and rustworthy.

There remain only the laws. The tradition in Israel that Moses was a Law-giver as well as a Prophet is too constant, too weighty and we may add too varied to leave us in doubt. The habit of ascribing to him every new code, however recent might be some of its contents, is in itself proof that he laid the basis of legislation for his people. But the tradition is confirmed by the facts that Israel received through him, at the very least, a new and a powerful impression of the Deity and in consequence their first national organisation. Events so signal, so distinctive in the Semitic world, and-as we have seen from the early history of Israel in Canaan-so potential in religious and political results, cannot have happened without leaving in their own time some precipitate in the shape of statutes and judgements whether oral or written. Further, there are parts of the bodies of law in the Old Testament which offer no reason whatever against their origin under Moses. There is, as we shall see, the original form of the Decalogues, and there are other instances in the codes of I and E. But for our present purpose it is best to leave the question of single instances of Mosaic toroth, and to follow these general considerations.

We will remember that of every code of national law two things are true—the high antiquity of its origins, the gradual development of its ultimate contents and form. The codes of largel are ne exception.

In the first place much of the jurisprudence of the Old Testament is obviously even older than Moses. The tribes which

¹ Below pp. 84 f.; cp. Driver's Exodes, App. 11.

came up out of Egypt and which he first welded together had already a considerable amount of consuetudinary law : of principles and of practice-in both of what we distinguish as religious and civil law, but to them all law was religious-of immemorial origin. This is clear from the fact that some of the principles acknowledged in the Mosaic codes as well as many of the statutes and judgements are not peculiar to Israel, but common to all peoples of the Semitic stock. One example is the principle of life for life, eye for eye, tooth for tooth, hand for hand, with the consequent tribal duty of the vendetta1, and measures for its control and regulation, attempts at which are universal in the Semitic world. There are the principles of communal responsibility for crimes committed in the communal territory2, and of the ethical solidarity of the family3. There are the principles of judicial procedure, for example the authority of the local or tribal elders-what we should call civil courts of the first instance -with an appeal on all harder cases to the Deity's representative either at a local sanctuary, or at some central and famous one4. The god was ever regarded as the ultimate judge of his people. There are other instances of civil and criminal law common to Israel and her Semitic kindred to which attention will be called by the notes on the text. But above all there was the common system of sacrifice, with the observance of the same annual feasts, the same devotion of the first-born of men and cattle 4, and many identical or nearly identical forms of ritual and religious symbols. In virtue of their Semitic descent Israel had inherited all these. Moses did not create them; and in this negative certainty we may find the explanation of the startling statement of some prophets-made, we must remember, before the sacrificial codes of P were formed-that God gave no commandments to Israel in the wilderness concerning burnt offerings and sacrifices*. As they came out of Egypt Israel practised the system of sacrifice as well as of social justice and criminal law which

Digitized by Google

See below on xix. 21, and the note on p. 246.
 P. 251.
 Pp. xxxiv and 282.
 Above p. xxxii.
 See p. 206.
 See above p. xxvii.

cxix

they had derived, and can have little modified, from the customs of their Semitic ancestors. But upon all that consessestimizer law there descended, to a degree unique in the Semitic world, be the higher chical influences of the revelation which God land latered the Hebrew beritage of custom, law and ritual. We know that they did. The proof is clear from the purer and more humane forms which that heritage assumed in the legislation of Irstell. We cannot deep the beginnings of such a change to Mosea, nor doubt that these beginnings were expressed in reMosea, nor doubt that these beginnings were expressed in return the control of the control

But, secondly, it is equally certain that Moses did not complete the elevating and purifying process. By Israel's living faith in a living God this continued through the subsequent centuries. We have seen its effects in the appearance of new and more humane laws sometimes arising from the example of individuals2; in the adaptation or expansion of older laws to suit new economic conditions3; in the wider and more thorough application of a moral principle as when it is extended, as it is frequently by Deuteronomy, from outward action to the region of thought and motives: and in laws abolishing rites or symbols, which had been used with a good conscience by earlier generations, but were now proved to be temptations to worship the other gods, in whose honour they also served, and to confuse them with Jehovah. The real danger to the spiritual elements in His religion came from the ritual, so many points of which it shared with other cults. If the Deuteronomists did not abolish the ritual, as some of their teachers the prophets seem to have desired, they at least purified it of its worst features and brought its practice under control and safeguard by confining it to one sanctuary.

For a list of laws common to JE and Deuteronomy see pp. xvii, kxvii.
 Pp. cv f.; and the laws in which women are concerned.
 Pp. xvii and cv.

On the developed ethics of Deut. see above pp. xxxii—xxxviii and on the 10th Commandment.
E.g. the pillars and Asberim and certain mourning customs.

Digitized by Google

CXX

So doing they not only, as the following centuries proved, made it serve the doctrine of Jehovah's unity as the only God for Israel, which there can be no doubt that Moses proclaimed: but they also brought the ritual back round his Ark, and more nearly to the purer form it must have assumed in the conditions of the wilderness.

Hence the sincerity, the vitality, the power of the work of these reformers. Deuteronomy is a living and a divine book, because, like every other real reformation it is at once loyal to the essential truth revealed in the past, while daring to cast off all tradition, however ancient and sacred in origin, that in practice has become dangerous and corruptive; vigilant to the new perils and exigencies of faith and recentive of the fresh directions of the living God for their removal or conquest.

But that is not all nor nearly all. While so nobly serving its own age and establishing a discipline that with all its limitations -and indeed partly because of these-preserved and trained Israel for their mission to mankind, Deuteronomy gave utterance to truths which are always and everywhere sovereign :- that God is One, and that man is wholly His, that it is He who finds us rather than we who find Him: that God is Righteousness and Faithfulness, Mercy and Love and that these also are what He requires from us towards Himself and one another; 'that His Will lies not in any unknown height but in the moral sphere known and understood by all' (xxx. 11-14). Thus in the preparation for Jesus Christ Deuteronomy stands very high. Did. He not Himself attest the divine authority both of its doctrine and of its style by accepting its central Creed as the highest and ultimate law not for Israel only but for all mankind (Mark xii. 28-30, Deut. vi. 4, 5)?

LIST OF THE PRINCIPAL ARRESTATIONS EMPLOYED

- D. Deuteronomy, chs. i.—xxx. For reasons given in the Introduction, especially in Paragraphs 2, 3, 5—11, it has not been found possible to distinguish the various original editions from which the Book has been compiled.
- D.B. A Dictionary of the Bible, edited by James Hastings, D.D. (1898—1904).
- E. Elohist, the name given to one of the constituent documents of the Pentateuch.
 - E.B. also Enc. Bibl. Encyclopaedia Biblica, edited by T. K. Cheyne, D.D., etc., and J. S. Black, LL.D. (1899—1903).
- E.T. English Translation.
 - Ethn. Ber. Ethnologischer Reisebericht, being Pt. III of Arabia Petraea, by Alois Musil (Vienna, 1908). Moab and Edom form Pts. 1 and II of this work.
 - Hex. Hexateuch, i.e. Genesis to Ioshua.
- HGHL. The Historical Geography of the Holy Land, by George Adam Smith (Seventh Thousand 1897, and subsequent editions).
- I.P. An Introduction to the Pentateuch, by A. T. Chapman, M.A. (Cambridge, 1911, in this series).
- Jahwist or Jehovist, the name given to one of the constituent documents of the Pentateuch.
- JE. The combination of J and E.
- KATⁿ. Die Keilinschriften und das Alte Testament, 3rd edition (1903), by H. Zimmern and H. Winckler.
- OTJC. The Old Testament in the Jewish Church, 2nd edition, revised and much enlarged (1892), by W. Robertson Smith.
- P. Priestly Writer or Writing, one of the constituent documents of the Pentateuch.
 PEFQ. Quarterly Statement of the Palestine Exploration Fund
- founded in 1865. (London.) Pent. Pentateuch.
- .

Pl. Passages of Deuteronomy in the Plural form of addresssee Introduction, § 8.

Sam. Samaritan Text of Deuteronomy.

SBOT. The Sacred Books of the Old [and New] Testaments, a New English Translation, edited by Paul Haupt (1898 onwards).

Sg. Passages of Deuteronomy in the Singular form of address
—see Introduction, § 8.

ZATW. Zeitschrift für die Alttestamentliche Wissenschaft.

ZDPV. Zeitschrift des Deutschen Palaestina-Vereins.

The principal works referred to are designated in full in the Introduction and the footnotes to it, or in the following Notes on the Text.

No maps accompany this volume; the reader is referred for

the geography relevant to Deuteronomy to the Addas of the Historical Geography of the Holy Land, designed and colled by George Adam Smith and prepared under the direction of J. G. Bartholener (1953), and in particular to the following maps therein:—Nos 7 and 8, F. Egypt and the Sinai Peninvals 'a 11 and 12, 'Palestine-Orographical'; and 29 and 93, 'Montha' and Dead Sea.' In the last the water-course of Southern Mosh are given according to the most recens surveys; and the names of most of the places mentioned in Deut. i.—iii. havé been inserted.

DEUTERONOMY

THE FIFTH BOOK OF MOSES

COMMONLY CALLED

DEUTERONOMY

THESE be the words which Moses spake unto all Israel 1 beyond Jordan in the wilderness, in the 'Arabah over

¹ That is, the deep valley running North and South of the Dead Sea.

CH. I. 1-4. GENERAL TITLE TO THE WHOLE BOOK.

It dates the following words or discourses by Moses, as beyond, i.e. E. of, fordan, in the end of the fortieth year of the wanderings, after the smiting of Sîhôn and 'Og. Like some other titles in the O.T. (e.g. Jer. i. 1-3) this is composite, as appears from (1) the various styles in which it is written, vv. 1a and 4 forming one sentence and marked by deuteronomic phrases, while v. 3, a separate sentence in the middle of the other, is in the distinctive style of P (see I. P. pp. 58, 71, 204); and (2) the discrepancy between the locality stated in 1 a, beyond fordan (which is further defined by v. 5 as the land of Moab and by iii. 29 etc. as the gai, or glen, opposite Beth-Pe'or, near the N.E. corner of the Dead Sea) and the localities in 1 b, 2, which, so far as they can be identified, lay in the region S. and S.W. of the Dead Sea. There are thus three successive strata in the Title: (a) 1 a, 4, entitling apparently all the discourses and legislation in the Bk of Deut.; (b) 3, probably added by either P or a Priestly editor when Deut, was joined to the rest of the Pent.; and (c) 1 b, 2, best explained as a note or gloss erroneously transferred here from another place (see below). (a) and (b) together separate the 'Fifth Book of Moses' from its predecessor. Some indeed take vv. 1-4 as retrospective, understanding by the phrase, these be the words which Moses spake to all Israel, the savings ascribed to him in Ex., Lev. and Num., and thus explain the apparent references in 1b, 2 to the region of Israel's earlier wanderings. But this theory is precluded by the fact that the Bk of Num. closes with a retrospective statement and by the absence from Lev. and Num. of words of Moses connected with any of the localities named in 1 b.

1. all Israel] A designation of the people characteristic of D and deuteronomic writers. See on iv. 44.

beyond fordan] As is clear from v. 5 and elsewhere, the E. of Johann is intended. The title was therefore written in W. Palestine. A.V. on this side fordan, is an impossible rendering of the Hebrew.

DEUTERONOMY



against ¹Suph, between Paran, and Tophel, and Laban, and

1 Some ancient versions have, the Red Sea.

in the wildernees]. Heb. midders, properly pasture ground as distinct from arable; Jer. ii. 2, dand not som. The word, hardly applicable to the scene of Moses's discourse in Mosab, is the usual term both for the wilderness E. of Mosab and Edom (ii. 8, 26), and for the region of Israel's earlier wanderings before they crossed Edom (i. 19, 49, ii. 1, 7). In

the latter lay some, if not all, of the following localities.

in the Arabah] Heb. 'Arabah, dry or waste; (a) a synonym for midbar, both with the def. art. (Is. xl. 3), as here, and without (Isxxxv. 1: Ier. ii. 6 etc.). But with the art, it is usually the name of (b) the great depression extending from the Gulf of 'Akabah northwards to the Lebanons, of which the Dead Sea, the Sea of the 'Arabah (iv. 49). is the deepest portion; and again is more particularly applied both to (c) the stretch of the depression N. of that Sea, the Jordan valley (iii. 17; 2 Kgs xxv. 4), cp. the Plur. 'Arboth Moab, P's designation of Israel's last station before crossing Jordan, xxxiv. 1 (cp. Arbatta, 1 Macc. v. 22); and (d) the stretch of the depression S, of the Dead Sea. Each of these four meanings is possible here. Those who take the names in 16 as of places in the scene of Moses' discourse in the land of Moab point to (c) the application of the name 'Arabah to the Jordan valley. As we shall see, however, those names indicate rather the region of Israel's earlier wanderings, before they crossed the S. of Edom, and this makes it more probable that 'Arabah here = the S. stretch of the depression; so the Sam. Bik'a, trench or valley. But (a) the general signification, synonymous with midbar, is not improbable here, and even more suitable to the localities in 16 than the other meanings are. To-day the name el 'Arabah is confined to the stretch of the depression S. of a line of cliffs a few miles below the Dead Sea; while all to the N. is known as el-Ghor.

Suppl. J.XX 'the Red Sea,' but this in Heb. is always, so: of Supple. Suppl may have been a locality from which the Sen derived its new, the usual etymology which would render it see of sodge being, though pausible, uncertain (see Em. Bild. 'Red Sea"). Supple cannot be Suphah of Num. xxi. i. if as is probable this lay in S. Moab; while another modern place-name that has been proposed as identical, while hey-Safa (on which see Musil Edom 11. 29), S.W. of the Dead Sea, corresponds with Suph neither phonetically nor from its situation.

ketteen Parux...aid Dischabl All these places are uncertain. *Parux cannot be the extensive desert of that name corresponding to the modern et-Tih, but only the place after which this desert was named, cp. 1 Kgs xi. 18 (Dillim). For 70-plotd, IXX Toph), no modern place-name has been found: et-Tafflet on cultivated soil in the N. of Mt Seth corresponds to it in melter spelling nor situation. Though Laban unitlenhite) and Pherolite (Johle) are names of such general signification that each may have been attached to none than one site, it is natural to identify

Hazeroth, and Di-zahab. It is eleven days' journey from 2 Horeb by the way of mount Seir unto Kadesh-barnea. And 3

them with the Libnah and Haseroth of Num. xxxiii, 20, 17, stations on Israel's march between Horeb and Kadesh. On the W. el Hadharah and the 'Ain el Hadharah, see Burckhardt, Travels, 404 f.; Wilson, Lands of the Bible, 1. 255-260; Robinson B. R. I. 223f. Di-zahab has been taken to be the modern Minet edh-Dhahab on the Gulf of 'Akabah, but this is not on the line of Israel's march; the meaning, (place) of gold, LXX καταχρύσεα, is general enough for the name to have been applied to several places. Thus all that is certain in these names is that some, if not all, lay on the march towards Kadesh, and this is confirmed by the next verse. It is not possible to bring them, or that verse, into harmony with the repeated datum that the scene of Moses' discourse was in Moab, at the N.E. end of the Dead Sea.

2. It is eleven days', etc.] The distance from the accepted position of Horeb-Sinai to that of Kadesh, 'Ain Kudeis, is '10 or 11 days of common camel-riding' (C. Trumbull K. B. 71, 215): caravans with children and flocks, like Israel's, would of course take longer,

Horeb] Always in E, and Deut., as in r Kgs xix and Malachi, the name of the Mt of the Lawgiving, for which I and P have Sinai. attempt has been made to interpret the two names as of different sites; but the Biblical evidence for their identity is clear; as even so early a scholar as Jerome perceived (Onom. Sacr. ed. Lagarde, 146). This matter as well as the questions of the position of Sinai-Horeb (as between Jebel Musa and Jebel Serbal and between the Sinaitic Peninsula as a whole and the E. coast of the Gulf of 'Akabah or Mt Se'ir or the neighbourhood of Kadesh) has already been exhaustively discussed in this series (Driver, Exod. pp. 18, 177-191). It is, therefore, unnecessary to say anything more here; except to recall that the question as between the Sinaitic Peninsula and some site farther N. appears to have been open in the time of the Crusades and of the Moslem geographers in the 14th century. Abu-l Fida c. 1321: 'the position of Tur Sina is the subject of discussion. Some say it is the mountain near Ailah fat the head of the Gulf of 'Akabah) and others that it is a mountain in Syria' (quoted by G. le Strange, Palestine under the Moslems, 72 f.). The Chronicle d'Ernoui et Bernard le Trésorier says, 'Cel Mons Synai est entre le Mer Rouge et le Crac (Kerak).' See further ZDPV XXXVII, 100 ff. by the way of mount Seir | Se'ir, the territory of Edom, lay W. as well

as E. of the 'Arabah (i. 44; cp. C. Trumbull K. B. 84 ff.; Buhl, Gesch. der Edomiter, 22 ff.); but Mt Se'fr is in Dt (ii. 1) and elsewhere (e.g. Gen. xiv. 6) the range E. of the 'Arabah. Thus the way of Mt Se'ir would be the most easterly of the roads from the Sinai Peninsula to Kadesh, which passes through the 'Arabah. Further see Dillm.

Kadesh-barnea] This form is peculiar to D, deuteronomic passages and P; elsewhere Kadesh stands alone: and we have besides 'En-Mishpat, Well of Judgement (Gen. xiv. 7), and Meribath-Kadesh (see

1-2

it came to pass in the fortieth year, in the eleventh month, on the first day of the month, that Moses spake unto the children of Israel, according unto all that the Lord had given him in commandment unto then; after he had smitten Sihon the king of the Amorites, which dwelt in Heshbon, and Og the king of Bashan, which dwelt in Ashtaroth, at

on xxxiii. 2). The accepted site, visited first by Seetzen in 1807, then by Rowlands in 1842 (Williams, Holy City, 1. 464 ff.), and described and argued for by Trumbull (Kad. Barn.), is the neighbourhood of the 'Ain Kudeis (Seetzen's and Rowlands' spelling, confirmed by Musil) about 80 km. S.S.W. of Be'er-sheba', but the name must have covered the still more fertile 'Ain Kadeyrât and the 'A. Kaseymeh. Musil, who visited 'Ain Kudeis thrice, doubts its identity with Kadesh (Edom 1, 212), and suggests a site farther N.; yet he admits there the most fertile landscapes in all the region, describes the wadies as either cultivated or full of relics of ancient cultivation, and even reports one more fertile than the plain about Gaza. See also PEFQ, 1914, 64 ff.; ZDPV, 1914, 7 ff. Barnea' has been explained as 'son' or 'desert, of wandering.' But it may belong to the number of non-Semitic names found in this region (e.g. Gharandel). To a hill S.E. of 'Ain Kudeis, there is still attached the name Forni, which appears to be an echo of Barnea'; the letter 'ayin is sometimes dropped in mod. Arabic.

The whole fragment, 16 and 2, thus obviously out of place where it stands, may have been originally a note to i. 19, which its details, so far

as they are clear, suit.

3. "And it came to part in the fortical year, etc.] P alone of the lites, documents dates by months and days (P. 9, 8, 71); and its division of the year is not that which, beginning with the autumn, present of the present of the

the children of Israel] Another designation characteristic of P;

D all Israel. See on i. 1, iv. 44.

4. Sihon...and Og] See below on ii. 26—37, and iii. 1 ff. at Edrei] LXX Syr. and Vulg. have and in Edrei, as if 'Ög reigned there as well as at 'Ashtroth Kamain, but the Heb. indicating, though awkwardly, the scene of 'Ög's defeat, is confirmed by the Sam.

Edrei: beyond Jordan, in the land of Moab, began Moses 5 to declare this law, saying, The LORD our God spake unto 6

A. CH. I. 5-IV. 40. FIRST DISCOURSE AND INTRODUCTION TO THE LAW-BOOK.

5. SPECIAL TITLE TO THE FIRST DISCOURSE OF MOSES.

Usually taken as the continuation of the general title to the Book, I a and 4, this appears rather—note the repetition of the datum beyond fordan-to have been originally a special title to the following first discourse of Moses. Obviously written in W. Palestine.

5. in the land of Moab | So always in D as the place of this legislation, which P gives more exactly as the 'Arboth-Moab, the sections of the 'Arabah in Moabite territory, just N. of the Dead Sea (I. P. 209). Except for some doubtful cases in later writers Moab is always the name of the people, not of their land. See Enc. Bibl. art. 'Moab.' In iii. 20, iv. 46 the scene of the lawgiving is more exactly defined as in the gai or hollow over against Beth-pe'or.

begun] Heb. ho'fl is stronger : undertook, or set himself to (Gen. xviii. 27), or was pleased to (2 Kgs v. 23; Ho. v. 11).

to declare] In the original sense of declare (Wright, Bible Word-Bk), make clear or distinct. The Heb, be er, properly to die or hew, is used of writing on stone (xxvii. 8), or tablets (Hab. ii. 2). Only here metaphorically, to explain or expound, as in post-Bibl. Heb., or to engrave in the mind of the people. -

this law Heb. this Torah, on the various meanings of the term see I. P. App. vi.; Driver, Exodus, 162, 165. In which of these it is to be taken here is disputed. Dillm., after stating that in D Torah is distinguished from Law proper, described as statutes and judgements, takes it here to mean instruction concerning law and justice. So Steuern, and Berth. But in the other 18 instances of the phrase this Torah in D it is used of the deuteronomic code and indeed in iv. 8 is parallel to statutes and judgements. We may take it in the same sense here (so Driver), equivalent indeed to no mere catalogue of laws, but to laws with notes, exhortations, precedents and reminiscences. If that be the meaning of Torah in this title, it proves that the discourse to which the title is attached, i. 6-iv. 40, was originally designed as an introduction to the code xii-xxvi. But the terms of the title are more suitable to v. ff. in which discourse the actual exposition of the law begins. See further on iv. 44-40.

CH. I. 6-III. 29. HISTORICAL PART OF THE FIRST INTRODUCTORY DISCOURSE.

Spoken in the land of Moab (i. 5) in the gai or glen, over against Beth Peter (iii, 20), a review of Israel's experiences since they left Horeb. In the Plur, form of address except for the following fragments i. (8), 11, 14 o, ii. 7, 24 o, 25, 30 h, 37 l. We shall see how far these are deductable from the context, or give evidence of their Jater intrusion. There are, too, a number of parentheses, dealing with matters beyond the alm of the discourse: I have been supported by the state of the discourse of of the discou

The following are the divisions:—(1): 6.—8, order to depart from lorbe; (2) —9.18, institution of Judges; (3) 10, journey to Kadesh-Barnea; to which probably belong: 6, 2 (see above); (4) 20—25, mission of the spise; (5): 50—34, consequent disaffection of the people; (6) 34—40, worth and judgement of God; (7): 41—46, defeat of the attempt to departure from Kadesh and circuit of M18 Sett; (9): 86—15, further march to Widdy-Zered; which they cross 38 years after leaving Kadesh, when all the adult generation have died; (10): 16—25, command to cross Arnon, the border of Moab, to avoid 'Anmon and to fight Shfori; (1): 36—36, defeat of Vig; (1): 38—17, division of the conquered lands; (14): 18—13, directions to the tribes and its reteinful of Johan; (1): 33—29, Mosse: Tayer to cross Jordan and its reteinful and its reteinful.

The same stretch of history from Horeb to the Jordan is treated by E. Ex. xxxiii. 1—17, and Num. x. 20 powards; and by P from Nun. xii onwards. IE seems the basis of this deuteronomic review, even to the extent of supplying verhal details. But the review is not only written in a style peculiar to the deuteronomic writings; it adds some datas and found in E and differs from E in the presentation of others. ally both in the language used for the same events and in several matters of sulfstance. On these see below.

6-8. THE COMMAND TO START FROM HOREB FOR THE LAND.

Jehovah spake: In Horeb ye have dwelt enough [6]; break up and march to the Mt of the Amorites and the parts adjacent as far as the Euphrates (7); I have set the land before you, enter and possess as elbelovals wave to the fathers to give it to them and their seed [8].—IE, Ex. xxxiii. 1 ff., narrates the order to depart to the land promised by oath to the fathers; the promise of an angel to drive out the six nations possessing it (probably a gloss, see Driver ib.): [chovah's refusal to go with them; and His consent after an argument by Moses (also held by

 1 The Sing. in ii. 94 (LXX Plur.) and even in ii. 19 may be due, as in iii. 27, to the fact that the address is to Moses himself,



us in Horeb, saying, Ye have dwelt long enough in this mountain: turn you, and take your journey, and go to the 7 hill country of the Amorites, and unto all the places nigh

some to be editoral; and adds, Num. x. 29—32 (J), Moses' appeal to Hobab to act as pyer' to the host. The terms of the command differ from those in D. P, in harmony with its account of the procedure on the march (Num. ix. 15—23), gives the signal of departure from Horeb as the lifting of the cloud above the Tabernacle, and dates it the roth day of the rand month of the and year (Num. x. 11). The contrast between the spoken command in JE and D, and the physical signal in P, is characteristic; note also the characteristically exact date in P.

The LORD our God | Heb. Jehovah, our God: contrary to the usual syntax (cp. the parallel in JE, Ex. xxxiii. 1), this divine name is placed emphatically at the beginning of the sentence, as the proper start and motive of the whole discourse: for this form and its variants thy God and your God are characteristic of the style of D. J. our God, 23 times in D always from Moses to his fellow Israelites with the intimate accent of a common affection, and only 7 times in the rest of the Pent, : I. thy God, addressed to Israel 230 times in .D, and only 9 times in IE (of which five are in additions to the Decalogue, Ex. xx. 2-12, and at least two in verses with other marks of the deuteronomic style), and only once in P (Lev. xxi. 8), though P has seven instances of somewhat variant forms; J. your God, 46 times in D, while in JE only in Pharaoh's speeches to Israel, but in P over 30 times, attached to priestly institutions and laws. The enormous predominance of these titles in D is significant of the ardent, confident religion of the Book. We seem to touch in them the heart of the writers. Nor can we forget the echo of their wonderful repetition in the hearts of the Jewish and Christian Churches. Probably no phrases in the O.T. have been more helpful to piety in all generations. See further introd. to ch. xxviii. Horeb] Above, v. 2.

Ye have dwell long enough in this mountain Heb. the stay in this Mt is much, i.e. enough, for you: the same idiom in ii. 3, iii. 26, also

in P, Num. xvi. 3, 7.
7. turn you, and take your journey Heb. turn you or face, and

break up case, or more on. The first of these two veries employed with a verb of motion is used only in D (and the editorial Num, xiv. 2s) of fresh starts of the whole people on their journey through the wilderness; as here, i. 4q. ii. 1, or with other verbs. In JE, where used with verbs of motion, it is of individuals only; while in P it has another meaning, to look towards. On the second verb see below, r. 150-

hill country of the Amorites] Heb. Mount of the Amorite: as at the present day in Arabic, the singular mount is applied to a mountain-range. The range of Pal. W. of Jordan is meant, but especially its S.

¹ The same term, 'uyûn, is given to the scouts of Arab expeditions who seek out the ways, water and camping places; Musil, Arabia Petraca, Ethn. Ber. 10, 376.

thereunto, in the Arabah, in the hill country, and in the lowland, and in the South, and by the sea shore, the land of the Canaanites, and Lebanon, as far as the great river, the 8 river Euphrates. Behold, I have set the land before you:

end (cp. v. 20). The name appears very early, for Kings of the 1st Dynasty in Babylon call themselves Kings of Amurru: a name which inscriptions found at Boghaz-Keui (Mitt. d. deutsch. Orient. Gesellschaft, Dec. 1907, 25 f.), prove to have extended to the Euphrates; but which the Tell-el-Amarna letters (about 1400 B.C.) confine to the hinterland of Phoenicia, in the N. of Palestine. Amorite, in D as in E, is the general name for all the tribes dispossessed by Israel; I has Canaanite. Winckler explains this from the origin of E in N. Israel where the Amorites had been in force; while J, writing in Judah where Israel had not fought the Amorites, knew nothing of them but assigned the whole land to the Canaanites, whose civilisation had been paramount on the coast at the time of Israel's entry and who continued to form an antithesis to Israel (Gesch. Isr. 1. 53). If this argument were sound, then D's extension of the name Amorite to the S. of W. Palestine would be artificial. But Winckler himself recognises the ancient character of the tradition which calls Sihôn an Amorite (op. cit. p. 52), and if the Amorites had penetrated to Moab, they had also, it is probable, extended their sovereignty as far S. on the W. of the Jordan.

and unto all ... nigh thereunto] Heb. unto all its neighbours: the Arabah, i.e. N. of the Dead Sea (see on v. 1); the hill-country, such of the W. range as was not included under the Mt of the Amorite; the lowland, Heb. the Shephelah, the low or foot-hills between the range and the maritime plain (HGHL, 201 ft.); the South, Heb, the Negeb, the region to the S. of the range, which descends into the Negeb about Be'er-Sheba': the sea-shore, the maritime plain between the Shephelah and the Mediterranean, further defined as the land of the Canaanites, the deuteronomic writers limiting the Canaanites to the level 'Arabah and the maritime plain, just as the Tell-el-Amarna letters call the coast land Kinahi = Kena'an (so rightly Driver, while Dillm, and Steuern, take the phrase as covering all the land already defined); and Lebanon added to complete the land, cp. xi. 24, Jos. i. 4; as far as the great river, the river Euphrates, the ideal but never the actual limit of Israel's territory, cf. xi. 24. Lists of the divisions of the Promised Land similar to this occur in (probably editorial) passages of the Book of Jos. :-ix. 1, x. 40, xi. 2, 16, xii. 8.

Behold] Sg. but even if this reading be correct (Sam. and LXX read Pl.) it is meant as an interjection and is no proof of a change to

the Sg. address, cp. iv. 5.

I have set ... before you] Heb. given before you, given up to you; in this sense both of land and foe; eleven times in D, and not elsewhere in Heb.; in D nearly always with Sg.

go in and possess the land which the LORD sware unto your fathers, to Abraham, to Isaac, and to Jacob, to give unto them and to their seed after them. And I spake unto you 9

which the LORD marry] As the LORD Himself is the speaker, we cought perhaps to read with LXX and Sam, which I marr. Yet their reading may be a correction of the original, which in that case would the asymptom of the cardessness of the writer in no stastaining the situation as symptom of the cardessness of the writer in on stastaining the situation is found in JE (Gen. xxii. 16), especially in the phrases, marr with so found in JE (Gen. xxii. 16), especially in the phrases, marr with Carbadam, Inac, and Jacob (Gen. 12+ Ex. xxii. 14, xxii. 17). Abraham thy filther (Gen. xxii. 2) to thy futhers or to them (Num. xi. 12, xiv. 16, 33); the and thy fathers (Ex. xxii. 13, xxiii. 11). Used in O special oaths (i. 34, ii. 14, iv. 2.1); of the covenant (iv. 31); or as here of the Innat obtain the reason unto they, your, or our fothers, 12 or 23 of the Innat obtain the reason unto they, your, or our fothers, 12 or 23

9-18. THE INSTITUTION OF TRIBAL HEADS (JUDGES?).

At that time, Moses, declaring his inability to bear alone the greatly increased people (q-12), bade them choose men, wise, understanding, and known, according to their tribes, that he might make them heads over them (13). The people approved (14). Moses took such men (the text becomes obscure) and set them in graded ranks (15). At that time, too, he charged the judges to be patient and impartial, for their judgement was God's; the harder cases to be brought to himseli (16 f.). And he also charged the people (18).—The parallel passages are two: (a) E, Ex. xviii, 13-26: before arrival at Sinai, Jethro advised Moses, as unable to bear the people alone, to reserve himself for them Godward and to provide men of power and troth, fearing God and hating unjust gain, to judge the people, but to bring the greater cases to him: Moses agreed and chose such; (b) JE?, Num. xi. 14, 16 f., 24 b-30: Moses, confessing to God his inability to bear the people alone, was charged to choose seventy elders, who should receive the same spirit as he, to hear the people with him. With these two passages this section, besides showing some verbal coincidences (see 96, 12, 15, 176) and correspondences (13a, 18), agrees as to the motive for the new appointments, Moses' inability to bear Israel alone, the lay character of the appointed, their grading in ranks, and the division of cases between them and Moses (these last two absent from Num. xi.). The differences of substance are three. On that of date see on v, q, In Ex. xviii Jethro starts the proposal, here Moses, in Num. xi the Deity on the prayer of Moses. In Ex. and Num. Moses selects, here the people. On the apparent, but unreal, difference on the qualifications for the posts see on v. q. There are also differences of language; here the forms of words, turns of rhythm and phrases, are all characteristic of D. In P there is no parallel; P throughout assigns judicial functions to the priests (cf. D. xvii, 11), but mentions certain nest fm, chiefs of the at that time, saying, I am not able to bear you myself alone:
10 the LORD your God hath multiplied you, and, behold, ye
11 are this day as the stars of heaven for multitude. The LORD,
the God of your fathers, make you a thousand times so

many more as ye are, and bless you, as he hath promised 12 you! How can I myself alone bear your cumbrance, and

clan, called to the Dict, who attend Moses and Aaron to hear petitions, and who represent Israel in foreign engagements.

and who represent Israel in foreign engagements.

9. at that fixed] As the syntax implies this means when or after
the command was given to depart from Horely; while in Ex, the
the command was given to depart from Horely; while in Ex, the
the stands arrival there. This difference of date is either due to D's
more distant perspective (Introd. § 11); or as Dillm. suggests (also
Bacon JES XII. 24) the author of D found the passage in JE p laced
beside our Num. x: 29—36. See further Dri. Exad. p. 162. The
discrepancy is of no importance. The other difference, the absence
from D of Jethro's miniative as related in JE, may be due to the
summary nature of its review (Dillm.); yet the possibility of intentional
omission cannot be excluded in view of the prevalent confinement of
the intense in De Janeal dolone. Berth, (p. 4) relevantly points to the
titles of the product of the production of the control of the production of the control of the con

I am not able to bear you myself alone! More fully in E, Num. xi. 14, I am not able, I myself alone, to bear all this people, for it is too heavy for me; similarly E, Ex. xviii. 18 (Jethro to Moses), the thing is

too heavy for thee, thou art not able to do it alone.

10. the LORD your God | See on v. 6.

as the stars in heaven] So x. 22, xxviii. 62; and Gen. xxii. 17, xxvi. 4; Ex. xxxii. 13, in contexts that otherwise betray the editorial hand. It is one of the many hyperboles in D and is not found in the parallel E, Ex. xviii.

11. This verse is even more characteristic of the deuteronomic style. The LORD, the God of your fathers occurs indeed twice in JE; but either thus or with variants seven times in D. As he promised, Heb.

spake, to you occurs in D 14 or 15 times.

12. How] This emphatic Heb. form is found in the Pent. only here, vii. 17. xii. 30, xviii. 21. (xxxii. 30).

can I myself alone bear | See on v. q.

your coinbrance, and your burden, and your strift] Better the weight, the burden, and the strift of you. Weight cp. 1s. i. i., they are a veright upon me, I am weary of bearing. Is the use of the word here an echo of Issaid? The Hebs, brad is not found elsewhere in the O.T. Burden or carriage, cp. I, Num. xi. 11. the burden of all this pople upon me, and cf. Strift; it Hebs. This used in JE of quarrels about wells

your burden, and your strife? Take you wise men, and 13 understanding, and known, according to your tribes, and I will make them heads over you. And ye answered me, and 14 said, The thing which thou hast spoken is good for us to do. So I took the heads of your tribes, wise men, and known, 15 and made them heads over you, explains of thousands, and captains of nudreds, and captains of fifties, and captains of

and other physical struggles; but also of law-disputes, and of Israel's contentiousness with Moses and God (E, Ex. xvii. 2,7; J, Num. xx. 3; P, Num. xx. 13; and in the Song, Dt. xxxiii. 8). In D four times for law-plas. Here it is either the people's litigiousness among themselves or their frequent contentions with Moses and God.

13. Take you] Heb. Give yourselves: Jos. xviii. 4. The people themselves are to elect as in xvi. 18, consistently with the emphasis, so frequent in D, on the judicial responsibilities of the whole people. In

E, Ex. xviii. 25 (cp. Num. xi. 16), Moses chooses.

wise men, and understanding, and known) With the LNX some take the last term as synonymous with the others; either reading as in the Heb. the pass, part, experienced, or the act, part, knowing. The pass, part, is perhaps the better, but as meaning known: men reputed for their judicial gifts, as among the Arabs to-day. While here the emphasis is laid on mellectual gifts, which however, in D always perhaps moral; E. Ex. aviii. 1, more definitely expresses the latter: moral for moral. The capable, worthy), fearing code, men of truth, latting minist gain.

according to your tribes] E, Ex. xviii. 21, 25: out of, all the people, all Israel. E and D use shebet for tribe, but P's usual term is mattah. make them heads over you! Rather, set them as your chiefs.

13. the hoad of your triber] LXX, your you, either represents the original Heb. reading or is the Gk translator's enendation of a difficult text. On the ground that the present Heb. reading conflicts with v. 13 and is meaningless in relation to the rest of this verse (it being unlikely that Moses would say, that he took heads of tribes to make them heads over you), some would delete the words. But the verse, though awkward, may mean that Moses took those elected within the across tribes (v. 13 and made them chiefs with judicial functions in xviii. 15, he set them chiefs over the people, as a whole.
20 against of thousands, hundreds, fifties, ton! Captains, Heb. sarim.

So E. Ex., xviii. 21, 15, But neither there nor force is the meaning clear. Under the monarchy there were military narfus of thousands, hundreds, and fifties (1 Sam. xiii. 12, xvii. 18; 1 Sam. xviii. 11, xvii. 18; 1 Sam. xviii. 11; 2 Kgs to Jf. xvi. 15, iii. 3); that no sarfus of leas are mentioned does not imply that they did not exist, for the notices of the others are incidental. Did such military sarfus already exist in the time of Israel's wanderings.

16 tens, and officers, according to your tribes. And I charged your judges at that time, saying, Hear the causes between your brethren, and judge righteously between a man and his

and is it meant, here and in Ex. xviii., that the popularly elected heads took such military titles on their appointment? Or were these military ranks first instituted under the monarchy, when an organised national army took the place of the old tribal levies, and have the writers of E and D (cp. P, Num. xxxi. 14, 28) merely reflected this institution of their own times back on the period of the wandering? Or are we to hold with Steuernagel that although Ex. xviii. 13-26 deals throughout with the institution of judges this deuteronomic review, vv. q-15, narrates the appointment not of judges but of military and administrative officers and that we reach the judges only in v. 16, where their title first occurs and where a new paragraph is indicated by the recurrence of the formula, and at that time? In support of his view, Steuernagel alleges that only intellectual qualities are required for the officers dealt with in vv. 9-15, while in Ex. xviii. 13 ff., where judges are intended throughout, the requirements are moral. But this point we have already answered above on v. 13. Further Steuernagel's explanation neither solves the difficulty in Ex. xviii. 13 ff. (E) where the equation of military titles with the judicial posts is certain; nor meets the fact that this deuteronomic review is based on Ex. xviii. 13 ff., and if it had meant to differ from the latter on so substantial a point it would certainly have indicated the difference explicitly. None of the explanations is satisfactory. The evidence that even under Moses the tribal institutions were welded into a national organisation is frequent and probable; and that main fact may be held, even if we allow, as equally probable, that E and D reflected back upon it the military titles of their own day.

and officers] Heb. shdprin, with the original meaning either of rangers, organizers (so Dr., after Nöldeke, citing Ar. adrars 'to rule': a book, write, and afte' line' or 'row', ep. Heb. mishfar, Job xxxxiii, 33), or ordered (Sax. hadders' write'). Both meanings are attached to the ordered (Sax. hadders' write'). Both meanings are attached to the army officers who pass on the general orders through the ranks; ep. J. Ex. v. 6, etc., native officers of Israel under Pharanb's takmasters. But here, as in xvi. 18, they are associated with judges, xxis. 10, with elders exercising judicial functions: ep. deuteron. Jos. viii, 33, xxiii. 2, xxiv. 1; and E., Num. xi. 16; Prov. vi. 7. Sam. has here or professional assessors of the law indees.

according to your tribes] So Heb. and Sam.; LXX τοις κοιταίς

τμών, to your judges, which Berth. emends to judge you.
 judges] Unless the previous emendation be accepted the term

judges appears here for the first time in the passage.

Hear...and judge rightenisty] The two indispensables: patient, equal hearing, and impartial decision.

your brethren] Your fellow-Israelites.

brother, and the stranger that is with him. Ye shall not 17 respect persons in judgement; ye shall hear the small and the great alike; ye shall not be afraid of the face of man; for the judgement is God's: and the cause that is too hard

the stranger that is with kind. His Gir or sojourner; any non-laracitie two leaving his own, kin settles under the protection of an Israclitie family or individual; in distinction from the *texads or born-laracitie (Jos. wiii, 33). The Art equivalents are favr and prib. See W. R. Smith, O'T/C', 342 m., and Art. Som; 75 ff. In E the Gir is not to be wronged, Ex. sxii. 1, xxiii. 9, and to have rest on the iterated here, xxiiv. 12, xxiii. 11, xxiii. 9, and to have rest on the iterated here, xxiiv. 12, xxiii. 19; not to be oppressed, xxiiv. 14, but clerished, x. 19; to share with the Levite and the poor, xiv. 90, xxi. 11—14, xxiiv. 19 ff., xxiv. 11 ff.; to rest on the Salbath, v. 14; enter the evenant, xxii. 11; and keep the Law, xxii. 22; only he is to have freedom in meals forbidden to Isreel, xiv. 21; if Israel persists in xiii where the different treatment of the Gir in Y is noted.

17. expect ferson!] Heb. recognite or regard, pay undue attention to, facer or presences, whence our tillow respect of persons "in a bad sense. In Pent. only here and xvi. 19. A Heb. synonym is to lift the face or person, x. 17, LNN, θounders πρόστων N.T. πρόστων λαιεβάνει, to accept the person of, Gal. ii. 6; Lk. xx. 21. The command not to repet person is next explained as hearing alike, or equally, small and great, not fearing (a postical term, in prose only here, xvili. 23, Kam to Sam. xviii. x), the face of sun y man. Cp. xvi. 19, more ministered... immaculate, unspotted, and unsuspected. There is no unimistered... immaculate, unspotted, and unsuspected. There is no the property of the pulse of an English judge upon the Bench, or move by one hair's breadth the even equipose of the scales of patrice,' Lord Bower's Life, 17,25. In Ex. xxiii. 3 (IE).

the phrase is neither shalt thou favour (lit. adorn).

for the judgeount is God's] In early Israel as among the nomal Aralas to-day, there was a final appeal from the tribal or local judge to some immediate representative of the Deity with the Aralas the greater before the interfer tribund. But Moses would have the lower judges feel that they also are God's representatives: at every stage judgement is His. This emphasis is not given in E except in connection with the decrees of Moses himself, Ex. with: 19, 15. The expression of it here is department of the national life. In presentation of religion in D to every department of the national life.

the cause that is too hard for you] E, Ex. xviii. 26. In xvii. 8 the same is expressed differently; and from xix. 16 ff. we see that the hardness of a case might arise from the character of the evidence, as

well as from the principle involved in it.

- 18 for you ye shall bring unto me, and I will hear it. And I commanded you at that time all the things which ye should do.
- 19 And we journeyed from Horeb, and went through all that great and terrible wilderness which ye saw, by the way to the hill country of the Amorites, as the LoRD our God com-20 manded us; and we came to Kadesh-barnea. And I said
 - 18. And I commanded you] A summary reference to all the instructions given at !loreb: cp. E, Ex. xviii. 20, xxiv. 3, 7 etc.

19. FROM HOREB TO KADESH-BARNEA'.

A vey Irief account, indicating only the beginning and the end of the nurch, with the character of the witherness between, and the further goal, the Mt of the Amorite: but it is possible that τv_0 , t_0 , t_0 , t_0 , t_0 , t_0 , were originally an addition or note to this—The account of this march in $|E_c|$. Num. x, $3_c = xx_0$; t_0 , includes the start from t_0 t_0 t_0 t_0 t_0 the formulas recited on the lifting and the resting of the t_0 th, the disaffection of the people on the lack of flesh, the institution of τ_0 e chlers, the grant of flesh and its fatility, the presumptousness of Minima and Aaron, the formulas that t_0 t_0

19. And we join-neyed] Rather broke up or set out, A.V. departed. Heb. misa' was originally to pull up the tent-pegs, break camp, but came to cover the journey that ensued, to march by stages (Gen. xii. 19, xxxv. 21). That the earlier meaning is intended here is clear from the following verb.

that great and terrible wilderness] viii. 15. This was much the most desolate tract of the wilderness crossed by Israel. See Palmer on the Desert of el-Tili (Desert of the Exodus), 284—188, and Musil, Edom. Kadsh-barneal See above on v. 2.

20-25. THE MISSION OF THE SPIES.

Arrived at the Mt of the Amorite, promised them by God, and chorted to invade it 10° L), the people proposed that spies be sent forward to explore (27). Moses consented and took twelve men (2s), who visited the vale of Teshkol and brought back of its full, saying the who visited the vale of Teshkol and brought back of its full, saying the analysis of which into 1E and P see Chapman, Introd. to the Paul. (68 ft.), in this series, and ep. Oney, Her. and G. B. Gray in the LCrit. Com. To 1E are generally assigned vv. $1 \not b \sim 1 a$, $22 \sim 1 a$, $3 \not b \sim 2 \gamma$; the beginning of this account with the start of the spies of unto you, Ye are come unto the hill country of the Amorites, which the Loxb our God giveth unto us. Behold, the Loxb of the God bath set the land before thee: go up, take possession, as the Loxp, the God of thy fathers, bath spoken unto thee; fear not, neither be dismayed. And ye came near 22 unto me every one of you, and said, Let us send men before

Kadesh is probably broken off; it is implied in 26. As it stands all that JE tells us is that the spies started after Israel had reached the wildeness of Paran, Nuna. sit. (6, while Kadesh was in the wildeness wildeness of Paran, Nuna. sit. (6, while Kadesh was in the wildeness state of Paran, Paran Saman, Paran Sam

If Kadesh be 'Ain Kudeis, the Negeb still lay between Israel and the Mt of the Amorite as J, Num. xiii. 17 b, 22, correctly notices. The omission here is due to the summary character of the review, and has no bearing on the position of Kadesh.

giveth] Heb, giving with the force of is about to give: followed

by ground or land, it forms a phrase peculiar to D. See on i. 8.

21. Behold, the LORD thy God, etc.] The first of the passages,

22. And ye came near unto me...and said! The proposal to send pairs is here attributed to the people, Mose consenting (see neat verse). In P. Num. xili. 1 f., it is a divine command. There is no discrepancy of fact; but the difference of standpoint in describing the fact is line to the people's initiative. J. P. has nothing on the origin of the mission of the spice; but the beginning to fits marrative of the episode is broken.

us, that they may search the land for us, and bring us word again of the way by which we must go up, and the cities 23 unto which we shall come. And the thing pleased me well: 24 and I took twelve men of you, one man for every tribe: and they turned and went up into the mountain, and came unto

25 the valley of Eshcol, and spied it out. And they took of the fruit of the land in their hands, and brought it down unto us, and brought us word again, and said, It is a good

(see above). This is one of four facts given in D of which no notice is found in JE; the other three are also given in P: (1) that the spies were twelve, i. 23; Num. xiii. 2; (3) that those who went down to Egypt with Jacob were seventy, x. 22; Gen. xlvi. 27; Ex. i. 5; (3) that the ark was of acacia wood, x. 3; Ex. xxx. 10. See Introd. § 3.

that they may search] Heb. haphar, lit. to dig; to explore, only here and Jos. ii. 2 f.; IE has see and P uses the verb thr, to go about, travel

either for spying or for trading.

the land JE, Num. xiii. 18 ff.; land and people; P, Num. xiii. 2
land of Canaan.

the way ... and the cities] J, Num. xiii. 19, what cities they dwell in, whether in camps or strongholds.

23. and I took twelve men of you] So P, Num. xiii. 26—16, adding their names. JE does not give their number but may originally have done so; see on v. 22.

tribe] Heb. shebet; see on v. 13.

the mountain] The Mt of the Amorite: see on v. 7. So JE, Num. xiii. 17, but it adds through the Negeb; see on v. 20.

the voiley of Etheol] LNX ødøøy? Börpon, 'ravine of the cluster'; but Heb. under is the Ar. widy, a valley with a winter-stream, Gk gendiphon, Ital. financara. Heb. ethbó! is the Ar. 'ithbó! (weakenel
from 'ithbó! with initial 'ayin, a cluster of dates or palm-branch with
clusters, and means a cluster of dates, Cant. vil. 8, or of grapes as
the control of the control of the control of the control of the control
from the course deswhere only in P. Num. xxxii. 9; but in Gen. xiv. 13, 24, as
the name of a person, the brother of Mamre the Amorite at Helvon.
The neighbornhood of Helvon is fertile with numerous springs, and the
vine flourishes there. Beadeker (4th ed. 134) reports to the N.W. a
Wady Iskaini. Whiel JE and Duke the space no further than Helvon,
from the wilderness of \$\text{in to the though the entry to Bannah, and is
staking a days.

25. And they took of the fruit of the land in their hands | Summary of E, Num. xiii. 23, 266; a branch with one cluster (eshkol) of grapes...

pomegranates and figs...and showed them the fruit of the land.

a good land] J, Num. xiii. 27 f., surely it flows with milk and

land which the LORD our God giveth unto us. Yet ye 26 would not go up, but rebelled against the commandment of

honey, and this is its fruit; but the people are strong, the cities fenced and great, etc. P. Num. xiii. 33: they brought up an evil report of the land... a land that eatch up its inhabitants. Yet later, Num. xiv. 7, P ascribes a good report to Joshua and Kaleb.

26-33. THE DISAFFECTION OF THE PEOPLE.

Israel defied the command to go up (26), murmuring that in hate God had brought them from Egypt, to be destroyed by the Amorite (27). quoting the spies that the people of the land were taller with fenced cities, and the 'Anakim were there (28). Moses exhorted them not to fear, Jehovah would fight for them (20 ff.). But they persisted in unbelief (32), though God had never failed to guide them (33).- In the parallel account which is compiled from JE and P the few JE fragments, Num. xiii. 30 f., 33, xiv. 1 b, 3 f., 8, 9b, imply the people's disquietude at the spies' report and state that Caleb quieted them, but the other spies contridicted, affirming that the giant 'Anakim (I), the Nephilim (E), were in the land. The people wept, Why doth Jehovah bring us to this land to fall by the sword? were it not better to return to Egypt under another captain? Someone (Caleb?) exhorted them not to fear, Jehovah is with us .- P, Num. xiii. 32, xiv. 1a, 2, 5, 9a, 10a, states that on the evil report of the spies, that the land was hungry and the men of great stature, the congregation murmured (a different term from that in the deuteronomic review) against Moses and Aaron. Would God we had died in the wilderness! Moses and Aaron fell prostrate, while Joshua and Caleb rent their clothes and affirmed the land to be exceeding good. But the congregation bade stone them.

Thus all three accounts agree on the main facts: (1) that the spies were divided in reporting (any variations as to this are merely of emphasis), (2) that the people refused to go up from fear of the taller peoples of the land; (3) that they murmured against God (so even 1', Num. xiv. 27), (4) that they were exhorted to faith, and still disbelieved. The differences are -IE mentions only Caleb as urgent to go on, P Caleb and Joshua, the deuteronomic review neither, though the writer had those in mind as appears from the next section; IE reports the proposal to return to Egypt, P only a wish to die in the desert: P alone mentions the proposal of stoning. - Each writer, as elsewhere, uses his own style, our passage being full of characteristic deuteronomic phrases. But its main distinction is its religious spirit. Summarising the JE narrative, with a few verbal coincidences, it finely indicates the moral character of the people's disaffection-opposing to their fears founded on a few men's reports their own long and indubitable experience of their God's unfailing providence.

26. ye would not A phrase found seven times in D against three in the rest of the Pent.

rebelled, etc.] Heb. defied the month of: another deuteronomic phrase.

DEUTERONOMY

2

27 the LORD your God: and ye murmured in your tents, and said, Because the LORD hated us, he hath brought us forth out of the land of Egypt, to deliver us into the hand of the 28 Amorites, to destroy us. Whither are we going up? our

28 Amorites, to destroy us. Whither are we going up? our brethren have made our heart to melt, saying, The people is greater and taller than we; the cities are great and fenced

27. and ye murmured] Heb. ragan, not elsewhere in Pent. P uses a different verb.
in your tents] Transposing two consonants Geiger reads against

your God. This change is unnecessary. Discontent with a report, originally suggested by the people themselves, and discontent that shaped itself (according to IE) to the demand for another leader,

would at first be uttered in private.

Because the LORD hated vi] To this extreme of unbelief and ingratitude were the people driven by the report of a few among themselves, in spite of their long experience of God's leading. The passage is eloquent of the fickleness with which a people will suffer the lessons of its past—facts of Providence it has proved and lived upon—to be overthrown by the opinion of a few "Coperts as to still untried situation!" do ye try the situation and prove that God will be with you there as He has been with you before.

to deliver us into the hand of A phrase frequent in D: 9 times, + 10 in deuteronomic passages in los,, against 5 times in IE.

the Amorites | See on v. 7.

to destroy us] Another phrase so characteristic of D that in its active and pass, forms it occurs 28 times in the Bk + 5 in deuteronomic passages

in Jos, against 4 or 5 times in all the rest of the Hexateuch.

28. Whither are we going up?] That is, to what kind of a land or a fate? In the Hex. the Heb. prep. is used only of place by JE and D,

only of time by P.

made our heart to melt] In the Hex. the phrase either thus or with the intrans. form of the verb is found only here, xx. 8, and in the deuteronomic Jos. ii. 11, v. 1.

greater and taller] Sam. and LXX greater and more numerous, J. Num. xiii. 28, 31, strong...stronger than we; E id. 33, we were in our own sight as grasshoppers; P. id. 32, men of great stature.

cities] So Sam.; LXX and cities.

grata and fenced up to hearval [So is. 1;]. Num. xiii. 28, funced, very gratt. The presumably pre-lurality walls of two cities have been executed: Lachish (Bliss, A Mound of Mony Cities, 7; ff), and Gerect [And Control of the cont

up to heaven; and moreover we have seen the sons of the

from ½ to ¾ of the height this wall was from ½ to ½ of, its impressiveness increased by the scarps and slopes from which it rose and by the towers that crowned it. Sellin has laid bare in Jericho a 'cyclopean' outer stone wall 5 m. (16'¼ft), crowned by a brick wall 5 m. hick and 6 of 8 m: (2)½ to 56f ft) high. So that mộ to heartwn, the height at which

birds fly, is hardly an exaggeration.

Emerging from the desert. Israel were startled by two facts which still startle the ten-dwelling nomads—the walls of cities and the stature of the settled inhabitants. No Arab enters without fear a walled city for the first time, nor willingly passes the night there. Egyptian basreliefs and paintings distinguish the ampler figures of settled Syrians from the lean and meager desert Arabs. To-day, as the present writer has frequently noticed, the same difference of average stature is obvious between the two classes. C.p. Button (Phigringuise is Al-Mediumia and Metan, 11. 8g, mem. ed.) on the short sature of the Arabs of the Higgs. pasting, Musil, Ar-Petr. 111. That early Israel felt these two impressions is one of many indications that they belonged to the nomad or Anab type of Semite. So far we are in the region of fact.

sons of the Anakim Heb. without the art. as in ix. 2a; but sons of the 'A. ii. 11; sons of 'Anak, ix. 2b; J, Num. xiii. 28, children of (yelide, Scot. 'bairns') the 'Anak; cp. 22, 33. Both forms in Jos. xv. 14. The Ar. 'anaka is 'to overtop,' 'unk, 'neck,' and in plur. 'outstanding men,' a'nak, 'long-necked,' 'tall' ('anka, a mythical beast, Wellh. Reste, 158, 216). In Jos. xv. 13, xxii. 11 (P or edit.) 'Anak has become the name of the ancestor of the 'Anakim (cp. LXX mother-city of the 'A., which shows how the personification arose). The root still occurs in place names 'Ain 'Enek, S. of Ma'an, and Iebel 'Eneik, S. of 'Ain Kudeis, due perhaps to the shape of the ground. E, Num. xiii. 33, has there we have seen the Nephilim (to which an edit, hand has added sons of 'Anak which come from the N.) who in Gen. vi. 4 are said to be sprung from the sons of God and daughters of men, mighty men (LXX giants) of old, men of renown. LXX also render N. Giants, and Nephila was the Aram. name for Orion, Giant par excellence. A note, ii. 11 (below), connects the 'Anakim with another racial name, Repha'im, of whom 'Og, of the great sarcophagus, was one of the last, iii. 11. R. is also the name in later Heb. literature for shades or ghosts of the dead, as if flaccid or powerless. Applied to an aboriginal race of giants (cp. the allied collective form The Rathah, 2 Sam. xxi, 16) it may have meant either the exhausted and vanishing or the shadowy race, or perhaps limp and flaccid, in derision of the notorious flabbiness of monstrously tall men. LXX render R, by giants or Titans (Gen. xiv. 5; 2 Sam. v. 15, etc.).

NOTE ON THE GIANTS. The O.T. associates this vanishing race of giants with the neighbourhood of Hebron and the E. of Jordan, where structures of huge stones abound, and individual giants are said to have

inal fro

2-2

29 Anakim there. Then I said unto you, Dread not, neither 30 be afraid of them. The LORD your God who goeth before you, he shall fight for you, according to all that he did for

lived in the time of David. The latter notices are perfectly credible; single giants being then as possible as they have been at all other periods. The present writer saw in the asylum at Asfuriyeh a Syrian of unusual height, who was born with six fingers on each hand like the giant in 2 Sam. xxi. 20. But the question of gigantic races in primitive ages vanishing before historic man must be judged in the light of the following. First, stories of such giant races are universal, e.g. among the Babylonians (Ieremias, Das A.T. im Lichte des alten Orients, 76, 120 f., 350), Phoenicians (Eusebius, Praep. Evang. 1. 10 from Philo Bybl.), Greeks (the stories of Titans and Cyclopes), the nations of N. Europe, modern Arabs and Syrians (Thomson, Land and Book, 586 f.; Doughty, Ar. Des. 1, 22). Second, many of these traditions are associated with remains of cyclopean masonry, and have obviously arisen in order to account for these, the giant races being nearly always described as builders; moreover the giants are generally derived by birth from the gods. Third, though stories have been current from time to time of the discovery of monstrous human skeletons and bones, e.g. Plutarch, Pliny and even as late as Buffon, yet where it has been possible to test these the bones have been recognised as those of elephants, mastodons, etc.; while the discovered remains of pre-historic man show generally a stature under the average; this is also true of Mr. Macalister's finds of pre-Semitic remains in Gezer (the sole exception seems to be the average of the Cro-Magnon remains and this is only 5.839 feet). Fourth, the Hebrew tradition of a giant race exhibits the features already noted in such stories elsewhere; the race has disappeared, its memory is connected with cyclopean remains, it is said to have descended from the union of divine and human beings. These marks, along with the mythical names given to the race, Nephilim and Repha'Im, make it clear that, like its analogies among other peoples, Israel's tradition of a primitive race of giants is borrowed from an imaginative folk-lore.

29. Dread not, neither be afraid) See on v. 21. Num. xiv. 9 has only the second verb and in a less emphatic form. Neither be afraid

(lo-ta'arsûn) not elsewhere in prose. But see xxxi, 6.

30. who gooth hofore you! Iteh emphatically, the gore before you it fift, found only in D as here or with slight differences, i. 33, xx. 4, xxxi. 6, 8;], Ex. xiii. 21, has the same part, without the def. art, adding the pillar of cloud and pillar of fire; £, Ex. xxi. 19, the angel of God going before the anys. It is in such differences of style as well as of figure that the distinction of D consists. See Driver on Ex.

he shall fight for you] Cp. JE, Ex. xiv. 14, and these deuteronomic passages: Ex. xiv. 25: Deut. iii, 22; Jos. x. 14h, 42, xxiii,

3, 10,

you in Egypt before your eyes; and in the wilderness, where at a thou hast seen how that the Lown thy God bare thee, as a man doth bear his son, in all the way that ye went, until ye came unto this place. Yet 'in this thing ye did not believe 32 the Lown your God, who went before you in the way, to 33 seek you out a place to pitch your tents in, in fire by night, to shew you by what way ye should go, and in the cloud by

1 Or, for all this thing

before your eyes] LXX omit. Cp. iv. 6, 34, vi. 22, ix. 17, xxv. 3, 9, xxviii. 31, xxix. 1, xxxi. 7, xxxiv. 12; Jos. x. 12, xxiv. 17. Here Moses insists that the people must prefer their experience of God to the reports of the spies about a situation not yet reached. See v. 27.

31. the wilderness, where them hast seen hew that...hy God here they. The second of the Sey, passages in this discourse. If we omit it the rest of the verse in the Pl. address follows suitably on the initial conjunction: and in all the way ye went multily come to this place. Possibly, therefore, the Sig. clause is a later insertion (so Sairk, Steuern, Berth). The conference of the second place of the

heart over the second and became whether a light to ecompanying simile, as a man his non, 1, 4, 10 life, 19 lbo, xi. f.f. or with another, on englet wings, xxxii. 11; Ex xix. 4 (both [E]) or with no didition, Hox xi. 4; Is xiv., 4; six. 9; or as implied in other words xxxii. 13, he made him to ride; xxxiii. 72, underneath are the extracting arms. Lasiah xiv; contrasts the dead idols that need to be carried with the living God who carries His people. The same idea, that religion is not what we have to carry but what carries us, is discussed in the contrast to the contr

unto this place] iii. 29, the valley over against Beth-Pe'or. Cp. ix. 7, xi. 5. and with a different prepos. xxvi. 9, xxix. 6.

32. Yet in this thing Rather, in spite of this word, vv. 29-31. ye did not believe! Heb. ye were not believing (participle), i.e. ye continued, or persisted, not to believe.

33. who went before you] See on v. 30, and cp. Ex. xiii. 21. to seek you out a place. The same verb, tûr, which P uses for

to sorb you out a place! The same verh, the, which P uses for exploring; see on v. 22. This is the only instance of its use in D. Sone, therefore, take the verse as a later gloss, which but repeats what which there are to the verse consists of variations of JE, Ex. iii. 47. Num. xiv. 14. For P's additions to the close of this episode see above. Fire by night, cloud by day! See on Ex. xiii. 24. 34 day. And the LORD heard the voice of your words, and was 34-40. God's Anger and Judgements.

Provoked by the people's words (34) God swore none should see the good land (35) but Kaleb, son of Yephunneh; because he had fully followed Jehovalt, to him and his children it should be given (36), Even with Moses was God angry for the people's sake, saying, Thou shalt not come in thither (37); Joshua shall lead Israel to their heritage (38); and the people's children possess it (39). Those addressed must turn back into the wilderness towards the Red Sea (40). -The parallel account, Num. xiv. 10a-39, is divided (somewhat precariously) between JE and P. In JE, vv. 11-24, 31 (?) Jehovah asks how long the people are to despise Him. He will smite and disinherit them, making of Moses himself a greater nation. Moses argues that other peoples will then say Jehovah is unable to carry Israel to the Land; and pleads His revealed mercy. Jehovah pardons, yet decrees that all who have seen His power but have not obeyed shall perish.: only Kaleb who hath fully followed and his seed shall possess it, also the people's little ones shall be brought in. In P. vv. 10a, 26-30. 32-39a, the divine glory descends on the tent of meeting and Jehovah asks how long He is to bear with this evil congregation whose murmuring He has heard. All from 20 years old and upwards shall perish except Kaleb and Joshua. This sentence is then expanded, and the spies who have brought an evil report are struck with the pestilence.

All these accounts agree in attributing to the people's unbelief, after the report of the spies, a sentence of death on the adult generation, characteristically defined by P. The differences are (1) the usual distinctions of language (see notes below); (2) D and P omit Moses' argument given by IE; P substitutes the descent of the glory of God; (3) IE and D except Kaleb from the doom, P Kaleb and Joshua (but an addition to D vv. 37, 38 also excepts Joshua); (4) P alone (as usual) associates Aaron with Moses; (5) the addition to D extends God's anger to Moses for the people's sake; JE, on the contrary, declares God will make of Moses a greater people; while P (see on v. 37) attributes Moses' exclusion from the land to his own sin on an occasion 37 years after the present episode. Part of the analysis of Num. xiv. being precarious and the integrity of Deut. i. 36-39 being doubtful we cannot say whether these differences of fact are reconcilable. Yet their coincidence with the distinctions of style and religious feeling among the three documents cannot be ignored; and the probability remains that here as elsewhere we have more or less independent traditions of the same event. Since Calvin, who in his harmony of the four last Bks of the Pent. removes Deut. i. 37, 38 from its context to a connection with Num. xx. 1-13, the explanation has been offered that the deuteronomic passage is not chronological; but even this arbitrary act of literary criticism does not meet the difficulty of the statement that Jehovah was angry with Moses for the people's sake.

34. the voice of your words] So v. 28 and not elsewhere.

wroth, and sware, saving, Surely there shall not one of these 35 men of this evil generation see the good land, which I sware to give unto your fathers, save Caleb the son of Jephunneh, 36

34. and was wroth] Heb. wayyiksoph, ix. 19 and twice in P, but not elsewhere of God in Pent. The causative form to provoke God only in ix. 7 f., 32.

and sware | See on v. 8.

35. of this evil generation] Omit of; the clause being in apposition to these men. It is not in the LXX and is generally taken as a later explanation that these men are not merely the spies but the whole adult generation (Dillm.). Whether a gloss or not the explanation

is correct.

the good land] JE, Num. xiv. 23; Ex. iii. 8, a good land; cp. Num. xiii. 19, whether good or bad; P, Num. xiv. 7, a very, very good land. Contrast the frequency of the phrase in D and deuteronomic passages, iii. 25. iv. 21 f., vi. 18, viii. 7, 10, ix. 6, xi. 17; Jos. xxiii. 16: a good soil, Jos. xxiii. 13, 15. to givel Sam, and LXX omit.

36. save] Heb. zûlathî, in the Hex. only here, iv. 12 and Jos. xi. 13.

Caleb the son of Jephunneh] In the O.T. Kaleb-probably meaning dog (as from a tribal totem, W. R. Smith, Kinship, 200, 219), though other meanings have been suggested 1-is the name both of an individual and of a tribe, as among other Semites; Nabatean Kalba (Cooke, N. Sem. Inser. 237); Arab. Kilâb (Wellh. Reste, 176 f., 217) and el-Kleib, a small tribe (Musil, Ar. Petr. 111. 120 f.). In JE frequently Kaleb alone (Num. xiii. 30, xiv. 24; Jos. xv. 14, 16 f.); those passages in IE in which he is called son of Y*phunneh2 are usually regarded as editorial, but it would be rash to say that the name of his father was not already found in JE by the deuteronomists. In D and P Kaleb the son of Yephunneh (Num. xiii. 6, xiv. 6, xxxii. 12, xxxiv. 19). According to J, Jos. xv. 17 (= Judg. i. 13) Kaleb was the brother of Kenaz (the sons of Kenaz were Edomite, Gen. xxxvi. 11, 15, 42) and is called the Kenizzite in secondary passages of JE, Jos. xiv. 6, 13 f., which also explain along with Jos. xv. 13 how Joshua gave him Hebron in fulfilment of Moses' promise to him. In David's time the clan was still distinct from Judah or at least the memory of its original distinction was then preserved, I Sam. xxx. 14. Yet according to P. Num. xiii. 6, xiv. 6, xxxiv. 19, Kaleb the spy was already of the tribe of Judah, and so the tribe or its ancestor is reckoned by the genealogies, 1 Chron. ii. q, 18 ff., 42 ff., iv. 15. This history of the

2 He (God?) is turned: cp. Palmyrene Ithpani, Cooke, p. 276.

¹ Sayce (Early Hist. of Hebr. 265) points out that in the Tell-el-Amarna letters and later Assyr. despatches halbu, 'dog,' is used of the king's officers; but surely this is a term of humility; Hommel (Geogr. n. Geoch, d. alt. Orients) identifies Kaleb with Kalabu (Kalibu) 'priests

he shall see it; and to him will I give the land that he hath trodden upon, and to his children: because he hath wholly 37 followed the LORD. Also the LORD was angry with me for 38 your sakes, saying, Thou also shalt not go in thither: Joshua the son of Nun, which standeth before thee, he shall go in thither: encourage thou him; for he shall cause Israel to

name proves that the tradition held Kaleb the spy and Kaleb the ancestor of the tribe to have been the same. Yet it is possible that there was more than one possessor of so general a name; in connection with which, notice that neither in E, Num. xiii. f., nor in D is Kaleb described as a Kenizzite or indeed as anything but an Israelite.

to him will I give the land ... and to his children] [E Num. xiv. 24, his seed shall possess it.

that he hath trodden upon] JE, Num. xiv. 24, whereinto he went. 'D in harmony with its more elevated style uses the choicer and more

expressive word, xi. 24 f.; Jos. i. 3, xiv. 9' (Driver). because Heb. ya'an asher, IE. in consequence of, 'ekeb.

hath wholly followed the LORD] Heb. hath fulfilled after Jehovah. Jehovah, being the speaker, we expect rather after me, as in Num, xiv. 24; and so doubtless it was originally here 'aharai, the last letter of which has been mistaken by a scribe for the initial of Jehovah. Sam. and LXX, after Jehovah.

37. Also the LORD was angry with me for your sakes] The Heb. order is more emphatic, also with me was Ichovah anery-hith'annabh. peculiar in the Pent. to D, and to its passages in the Pl. address, here, iv. 21, ix, 8, 20-for your sakes, bigelalekem. So in different terms iii. 26, was angry, yith abber, for your sakes, I ma'an kem; and iv. 21, hith'annaph and 'al dibrêkem.

Thou also shalt not go in thither Heb, even thou or for thy part thou, etc.

38. Joshua the son of Nun | So iii. 28; P, Num. xxvii. 18 ff.; not _ given in JE. which standeth before thee] x. 8; so a servant stood before his lord, a courtier before his king, and the Levites before Jehovah. JE, Ex.

xxiv. 13 f., the minister of Moses. encourage thou him] lit. him make thou strong. The vb hiszek, alone

as here, or with the synonymous vb 'immes iii. 28; or in their intransitive forms xxxi. 6, 7, 23. Cp. xi. 8, xii. 23 (be firm).

cause ... to inherit | characteristic of D: used of Joshua here, iii. 28, xxxi. 7; Jos. i. 6; but of God xii. to, xix. 3. Outside D only in Jer. iii. 18, xii. 14; Ezek. xlvi. 18 and later writers. P uses another form of the vb, Nu. xxxiv. 29; Josh. xiii. 32, xiv. 1, xix. 51.

Further Note to vv. 36-38. Because Moses has just been described as seeking to turn the people from their sin, 20 ff., and it is therefore unreasonable to include him in their punishment; because inherit it. Moreover your little ones, which ye said should 39 be a prey, and your children, which this day have no know-yeldege of good or evil, they shall go in thither, and unto them will I give it, and they shall possess it. But as for you, turn 40

22. 37 and 38 needlessly anticipate iii. 26, 28 and iv. 21; and because v. 39 in whole or part follows suitably on v. 36; therefore vv. 37 and 38. are taken by many (Dillm., W. R. Smith, Steuern., Berth, etc.) as a later addition to the text. And indeed the beginning of v. 39 shows that the original has been disturbed by an editorial hand (see below). Steuern, would also omit v. 36 on the ground that Kaleb has not been previously mentioned in this survey. But Kaleb is mentioned in JE on which this survey otherwise depends. In whatever way these textual questions may be decided, the parallel passages iii. 26 ff. and iv. 21 confirm the fact of a D tradition or statement that Jehovah was angry with Moses for the people's sake. This can only mean, their guilt was great enough to include the very leader who had done his best to dissuade them from their disaffection! Now neither JE nor P gives any hint of so remarkable a judgement. On the contrary, P accounts for the exclusion of Moses by his own sin in striking the rock at Kadesh 37 years after this disaffection of Israel, Num. xxi. 10 ff., xxvii. 13 f.; Deut. xxxii. 50 f. The most reasonable explanation of such discrepancies is that they are discrepancies not of fact but or opinion. The earliest tradition, JE, merely held the facts that Kaleb survived and that Moses died on the eve of the possession of the Promised Land. The problem, which arose from this contrast of fortune, the deuteronomic writers solved by the statement that Moses was included in the guilt of the people when, startled by the report of the spies, they refused to invade Canaan from the S. in the second year of the wandering; and this agrees with the deuteronomic principle of the ethical solidarity of Israel. But the later priestly writer or writers, under the influence of the idea, first emphasized in the time of Jeremiah and Ezekiel (Jer. xxxi. 29 f., Ezek. xviii.), that every man died because of his own sin, found a solution for the problem in Moses' own guilt in presumptuously striking the rock at Kadesh, 37 years later. In this double engagement, from two different standpoints, with so difficult a problem, note the strong evidence that the survival of Kaleb and the death of Moses before Israel's entrance to the Land were regarded as irremoveable elements of the early tradition.

39. Moreover your little ones, which ye said should be a prey.]
Tautologous with the rest of the verse and wanting in the LXX;
therefore probably an editorial addition from Num. xiv. 31.

who this day have no knowledge of good or evil] Who are not of a responsible age, fixed by the more exact P at 20 years and over, Num. xiv. 29. Sam. omits.

40. turn...take your journey] See on v. 7 and v. 9.

you, and take your journey into the wilderness by the way 41 to the Red Sea. Then ye answered and said unto me, We have sinned against the Loxp, we will go up and fight, according to all that the Loxp our God commanded us. And ye girded on every man his weapons of war, and twere forward

42 to go up into the mountain. And the Lord said unto me, Say unto them, Go not up, neither fight; for I am not among

43 you; lest ye be smitten before your enemies. So I spake unto you, and ye hearkened not; but ye rebelled against the commandment of the LORD, and were presumptuous, and

1 Or, deemed it a light thing

by the way to the Red Sea] in the direction of; no definite road is meant. They are ordered back into the wilderness, when already on the verge of the good land.

41. We have sinned against the LORD] Sam. and LXX add our

God: cp. JE, Num. xiv. 40b, we have sinned.

we will go up and fight] we, we will go up, etc. We ourselves, the doomed generation, and not leave the advance to our children.

IE, Behold us, we will go up.

and were forward to go up | deemed it a light thing to go up (R.V. marg.). The verb (tahînu) does not occur elsewhere in the O.T. and ancient translators gave it various meanings. In Ar. the same root is 'to be slight' or 'light' (see on v. 43); the causative Heb. form is best rendered made light of. This quick revulsion of popular feeling is true to life and admirably depicted. The change was too facile to be real. It is remarkable how alike Hosea and the authors of D are in their attitude to such ethical phenomena. As Hosea declares of his generation (v. 15 ff.), so the generation of Moses does not appreciate how deep is its evil disposition; and, therefore, its repentance is futile. Mere enthusiasm is no atonement for guilt. Men cannot run away from their moral unworthiness on bursts of feeling. The next verse tells that God rejected the light-minded offer; and the truth underlies both verses that He did not do so arbitrarily. Lack of the sense of the seriousness of obedience, of the difficulty of doing God's will, of the agony which Christ supremely felt, is as great a sin as the refusal to obey, Both are equally proof of unworthiness to work with God.. He can do nothing with such shallow natures.

42. Say unto them, Go not up. for I am not among you] JE,

Num. xiv. 42. See previous note. lest ye be smitten, etc.] IE, Num. xiv. 42.

43. rebelled] See on v. 26.

and were presumptuous] Heb. boiled over, acted impulsively and with passion or rebelliously, xvii. 2, xviii. 20.

wefit up into the mountain. And the Amorites, which 4d dwelt in that mountain, came out against you, and chased you, as bees do, and beat you down in Seir, even unto Hormah. And ye returned and wept before the Lorn; but 5t Lorn hearkened not to your voice, nor gave ear unto

44. the Amorites] So D characteristically (see above on v. 7) names the peoples whom J, Num. xiv. 45, calls Amalekites and Canaanites.

as bees do] Swarming in their multitudes; cp. Is. vii. 18; Ps. cxviii. 12; Iliad, 11. 87 ft., 'As when the tribes of thronging bees issue from some hollow rock.'

in Seir | Seitr, the frequent name of the territory of Edom, extended to the W, as well as to the E, of the 'Arabah; and if that be here intended Israel's defeat took place on Edomite soil; Sam. 'in Gebala' (Gebal being a late post-exilic name for the N. part of Edom's territory on the E. of the 'Arabah, Ps. lxxxiii. 8: see 'Land of Edom' by the present writer in Expositor, seventh series, vol. VI. pp. 331, 515). LXX and other versions read from Settr, which on such a reading would be a definite district in the N. whence Israel were driven southward to Hormah. And as Se'ir, rough or shaggy, appears as the name of other localities than the land of Edom (cp. Ios. xv. 10; Judg. iii. 26; Tell-el-Amarna Letters, Winckler's ed. No. 181, line 26) it is possible that this is but another application of it to some place on the S, border of Palestine. But in that case one must not think of it as the plain of Seer, S.E. of Be'er-sheba', which Trumbull (K. B. 93) identifies with the Edomite Se'ir (cf. Driver); for the spelling of that, first correctly given by J. Wilson (Lands of the Bible, 1. 345) and confirmed by Palmer (Des. of the Exod. 11, 404) and Musil (Edom. 1, 0, etc.), as Sirr, is radically different from Se'îr.

into Hermah] Not now to be identified. Musil's lists and maps is double. According to JE. Num. xxi. j, it was so called because is double. According to JE. Num. xxi. j, it was so called because there: but in Judgo it, ry because Judah and Simono did the same upon their victory. The place lay in Judah in the Negeb on the border of Edom, Jos. xii. 4, xv. 30; ep. 1 Sam. xxx. 30; but it was Simono's according to Jos. xix. 4, i Chron. iv, 30. In Judgi, it, ry because been suggested as its mode, equivalent, but the radicals of the name are not the same. The situation, however, is suitable; some 25 miles N.X.E. of 'Ain-Kuddis's.

45. nor game ear] A poetic word used in the Hex. in prose only here and in the deuteronomic passage, Ex. xv. 26 (see Driver). The repentance of the people is not even yet satisfactory; see on 41. 46 you. So ye abode in Kadesh many days, according unto the days that ye abode there.

46. So ye abode in Kadesh] So JE, Num. xx. 1 b, but apparently of a later residence than this.

many days, according unto the days that ye abode there] 'An example of the "idem per idem" idiom often employed in the Semitic languages, when a writer is either unable or has no occasion to speak explicitly' (Driver). Cp. ix. 25, xxix. 16 [15]; 1 Sam. xxiii. 13, etc.

If this verie be from the writer of the rest of this discourse the time implied cannot, in the light of his further statements in ii. 1 and 14, amount to years; for the 2nd of the 49 years was already either wholly or nearly exhausted and these veriese state that all the next 38 were spent between Kadesh and the Moabite frontier. But as we shall see in the introd. to the next section IE attributes to the people a very long residence in Kadesh, in fact the balk of the 28 years. Probably, therefore, this discourse, but from an editor aware of the divergent traditions; in further evidence of which observe that he uses the simple Kadesh instead of the Kadesh-barned: employed in the rest of the discourse.

CH. II. 1-8a. FROM KADESH-BARNEA' ROUND MT SE'IR.

The discourse continues: After the repulse on Kadesh (i, 4), Israel turned back towards the Red Sea, skirting M Sei'r many days (ii, 1), when Jehovah said, Enough, turn N.: (i, 1), in crossing Esau's land larsel must purchase bread and water (4-6); for—here the address changes from Pt. to Sg.—shou hast lacked nothing these, 4ϕ years (i); leaving the Arabah with Etalha and 'Esion-Gebre behind them (81a). The many days of the skirting of Mt Sei'rb before they turned N, is to be defined, if not by the 4ϕ years of π , τ , then by the datum in π , t_4 ; as years from Kadesh to the Moshite horder. The section implies a crutum to Kadesh from Kadesh along Mt Sei'r and says nothing of a return to Kadesh from Kadesh to along Mt Sei'r and says nothing of a return to Kadesh τ .

In JE the same march is differently described. After the repulse on Radesh comes the story of Dathan and Abriam (interlaced with one by P of Korah's rebellion), Num. xvi., the death of Miriam and strine of the state of the sta

to which whoever looks lives (Num. xxi. 46-9). Then they reach the wilderness E, of Moab (116).

Wilderness E. of Moad (11)

According to P, as we have seen, the spies were sent from and returned to-not Kadesh in the desert of Sin as JE and D report-but the desert of Paran (Num. xii. 16b, xiii. 1-3, 25, 26a, xiv. 35) which lay S. of that of Sin (cp. Num. xiii, 3 with 216); and it was in Paran that the sentence of 40 years wandering was pronounced (Num. xiv. 33 f.). Some legislation follows (Num. xv.), the story of Korah interlaced with JE's of Dathan and Abiram (xvi. 1-40), the miracle of Aaron's rod (xvii. 1-11), and other things (xvii. 12-10). Only now do Israel move to the desert of Sin (Num. xx. 1a) identified with Kadesh (Num. xxxiii. 36). The date of the removal is given as the 1st month, but curiously no year is mentioned (Num. xx. 1a). The last previous date in P was that of the start from Sinai, and month of the 2nd year (Num. x, 11), while the next stage after Kadesh is Mt Hor (Num. xx. 226), reached in the 40th year (Num. xxxiiii. 37 f.). since P notes at Kadesh only the people's murmusing for water and the struck rock (interlaced with a parallel from JE, Num. xx. 1-13), the bulk of the time of wandering, all in fact from the 2nd to the 38th year was, according to P, spent by Israel in Paran. The reason of the curious omission of the year of arrival at Kadesh, Num. xx. 1 a, is now clear. It would not harmonise with JE, which brings Israel to Kadesh in the 2nd year, and was therefore omitted probably by the compiler of JE and P (Nöldeke, Untersuch. 83; Dillm.). Mt Hor P mentions only one other stage 'Oboth, before 'Iye-'Abarim on the border of Moab (Num. xxi. 4a, 10, 11a). P thus says nothing of the march from Kadesh towards the Red Sea and round Mt Se'ir. This agrees with the itinerary in Num. xxxiii., which carries Israel from Mt Hor across the N. (not the S.) end of Mt Se'ir by Punon or Pinon, now Fenan in el-Gebal, to 'Oboth and 'Ive-'Abarim (27, 41 f.).

Comparison of these three (or four?) traditions of Israel's march from Sinai to Moab is hampered by the uncertainty whether we have them complete or only in fragments. D's review is only a summary; if we had the JE account in its original form we might find the apparent difference between the two-IE assigning the bulk of the 38 years to Kadesh and its environs, but D to the march between Kadesh and the S. end of Mt Se'ir-to be no real difference. They agree in carrying Israel from Sinai to Kadesh in the 2nd year; and as Dillm, remarks on Deut. ii. 1, D's view of the progress after the repulse of the attack on the Amorites 'is not so very different' from that of IE. But whether we have the full account of P or not, it is very clear from what we have, that according to P Israel spent from the 2nd to the 38th year in the desert of Paran from which they then passed N. to the desert of Sin or Kadesh, while IE and D bring them to Kadesh in the 2nd year and assign the years 2 to 40 to their residence there and their march to Moab. Again, the silence of P as to a return S. from Kadesh round Mt Se'îr may be due to the compiler's omission of this from P's original narrative; but there remains the itinerary in Num. xxxiii. which un-

Then we turned, and took our journey into the wilderness by the way to the Red Sea, as the LORD spake unto me: 2 and we compassed mount Seir many days. And the LORD 3 spake unto me, saying, Ye have compassed this mountain 4 long enough: turn you northward. And command thou the people, saving, Ye are to pass through the border of your

doubtedly brings Israel from Kadesh to Moab across the N. end of -Mt Se'ir. Further, there is D's omission of the JE account of the embassy to Edom from Kadesh, with the request that Israel paying their way might pass through Edom, and obviously across the N. part of Mt Se'ir, which was refused; and we have instead the statement in this section that from the 'Arabah Israel, without previously seeking permission, passed round the S. part of Mt Se'ir, charged by God to pay their way. Unless we are to assume the very improbable alternative, that both things happened, we must see in these two accounts variant traditions of the direction of Israel's march from Kadesh to Moab.

1. Then we turned, etc.] See on i. 7.

by the way to the Red Sea | Rather, in the direction of the Red Sea. as the LORD spake unto me] i. 40.

and we compassed mount Seir The range E. of the 'Arabah ; see on i. 2, 44. [E, Num. xxi. 4 b, by the way to the Red Sea, to compass the land of Edom.

many days As in i. 46, indefinite; that a long time is intended is clear from v. 14, which states that Israel spent 38 years between Kadesh and the Zered; while p. 7, whether from the same hand or not, implies that the 40 years from Egypt had practically all passed when the people turned N.

3. Ye have compassed this mountain long enough] For the idiom

see on i. 6.

turn you northward] Marching from Kadesh down the W. of Mt Se'ir, Israel had now reached not the sea, but probably the mouth of the W. el 'Ithm (or Yitm), which opens N.E. from the 'Arabah across or round the S. end of Mt Se'ir. By this natural avenue, along which the Haji road from Damascus to Mecca runs, they would reach the plateau E, of Mt Se'ir on their way to the Moab frontier. The W. el 'Ithm, opening from the 'Arabah about 8 hours N, of the sea, cuts upwards through the southmost of the modern divisions into which the country anciently inhabited by Edom is divided, el-Hisma or Hesma. (See Doughty Ar. Des. 1. 45; Musil, Edom, 1. 2, 265, 270, etc.)

Ye are to pass] The Heb. participle expressing, as often, the immediate future.

through the border] Rather through the territory. The preposition is the same as that used in Israel's request in JE, Num. xxi. 17, let us pass through thy land and in Edom's reply, thou shall not pass through brethren the children of Esau, which dwell in Seir; and they shall be afraid of you: take ye good heed unto yourselves therefore: contend not with them; for I will not give you 5 of their land, no, not so much as for the sole of the foot to tread on: because I have given mount Seir unto Esau for a possession. Ye shall purchase food of them for money, that 6 ye may eat; and ye shall also buy water of them for money,

me. Had the meaning been on or along the border, another preposition would have been used. The territory of Edom appears to have reached the sea (1 Kgs ix. 26), and Israel must needs cross it on the way to Moab.

your brethren, the sons of Esau] xxiii. 7; Am. i. 11; Obad. 10, 12; Mal. i. 2.

which dwell in Seir] Se'ir is here equivalent to Mt Se'ir as the next verse shows; yet the range, running S., droops and gives way before the W. el 'Ithm is reached, up which we have supposed that

Israel marched.

and they shall be afraid of you! Heb. so that they shall be afraid of you. This is the temper imputed to Edom by IE when Israel asked

leave to cross their land from Kadesh, Num. xx. 18-20.

take ye good h.ed unto yourselves] Another (avourite expression of

take ye good h.ed unto yourselves] Another lavourite expression of the deuteronomic writers.

5. contend not with them] In its causative form the Heb, verb

means to stir up, e.g. strife, Prov. xv. 18, etc.; here the reflex, form is to excite oneself against another, to quarret with them. In the Pent. found only in this chapter, 2v. 9, 19, 24.

for the sole of the foot to tread on] xi. 24; Jos. i. 3.

I have given] Note the claim made by the God of Israel over other

That green Note the cain made by the God of Israel over other peoples (cp. Am. i. 3—ii. 3, ix. 7), also the memory or tradition that on their entry to Canaan Israel had not violated the rights of their kinsfolk. There is no hostile feeling towards Edom, such as became irrepressible in Israel after the Exile.

for a possession] Heb. y*puthshah, in the Hex. found only in this discourse, vv. 5, 8, 12, 19 bis, iii. 20, and in the deuteronomic Jos. i. 15. xii. 6, 7.

6. Ye shall purchase...ye shall bup] Heb. shabar, literally to deal in grain (Gen. Mis.), and karah, to bup, only here Hos. iii. 2 and in Job. JE, Num. xx. 19; sty see drink of shy water. I and my cattle, then I will give the price thereof. To-day nomad Arabs, who winter in the warm 'Arabah, seek to cross Mt Selfwith their cattle by one or other of several passes to summer pastures on the E. plateau and the wilderness of Moab. The passes are easily defended by the peasants of the Mt, who seek to prevent them; yet they are glad when the nomads travel on the edge of the desert, for then they can barter with them (Musi), Edon, it. 15.

7 that ye may drink. For the LORD thy God hath blessed thee in all the work of thy hand: he hath known thy walking through this great wilderness: these forty years the LORD

8 thy God hath been with thee; thou hast lacked nothing. So we passed by from our brethren the children of Esau, which dwell in Seir, from the way of the Arabah from Elath and from Ezion-geber.

are no brooks but only cisterns or easily guarded springs, the peasant possessors of these will refuse to sell even small draughts to one or two passing travellers, as the writer has more than once experienced; ep-Musil, Mosh, 3,32: It is conceivable how water would be still more jealously guarded from a large carrava or host, with appetites sufficient to the still refuse of the still refuse the still refuse the still refuse the still sumby food and water.

7. For the LORD thy God hath blessed thee] Another formula recur-

rent in D.

in all the work of thy hand] Some Heb. MSS, LXX, Sam.,

hands: another recurrent phrase.

he hath known thy walking | Rather hath cared for. The Heb. verb to know means frequently, especially in a religious connection, to put the mind to, attend to, regard; cp. Gen. xxxix. 6: Potiphar had no thought or care about anything in Joseph's charge, 1 Sam. ii. 12; Prov. ix. 13, xxvii. 23; Job xxxv. 15. See Book of the Twelve Pr., 1. 321 f. But LXX read the verb here as imperative, consider thy walking. these forty years | So exactly viii. 2, 4, also in the Sg. address. The tradition that the time of the wandering was 40 years, stated by Amos ii. 10, v. 25, is common to D and P (i. 3; Num. xiv. 33, xxxii. 13; cp. xxxiii. 38), also in editorial passages in JE, Jos. v. 6, xiv. 10. The Semites frequently reckoned by multiples of 4 and 40: the latter express many round numbers in O.T. chronology. Forty years seems to have been equivalent to a generation. That Israel was 40 years in the wilderness agrees with the tradition that a generation died out there. For the same equation in Babylonian chronology see Modern Criticism and the Preaching of the O.T., 90 f., n. 1.

This, verse is the third in the Sg. address. Note that in harmony with other Sg. passages it affirms the well-being of Israel during the 40 years, while the Pl. passages emphasise their dangers and losses. It is not necessary to the context, and therefor regarded as a later insertion. Yet it would not be unnatural for the same writer to change from Pl. to Sg. when taking a conjunct view of Israel's experience.

8. So we passed by from our brithren, etc.] The Heb. prep. meth is from with; but probably we should read merely 'th the sign of the accus.: we crossed or passed through our brethren (cp. 4 and 29). So LXX. Sam. readings are various.

from the way of the Arabah] The 'Arabah itself forms in winter

the most convenient passage from 'Elath and the Gulf of 'Akabah to the Dead Sea, with branch roads to Hebron and Kerak; but suffers from want of water and great heat in summer. It was much used in the early Moslem period, and probably by Hebrew commerce with the

Red Sea under the monarchy.

Elath A port on the N. end of the Gulf of 'Akabah (1 Kgs is. 52 Kg six v. 32), perhaps the same as El-Paran (Gen. xiv. 6). The name, translated by LNX Ailôn, and probably meaning polms, has persisted. Strabo, vxI. iv. 4, Ailana; Josephus, Ailana, Ilanis and Elathous ("now called Berenice"); Plofemy, Elana; the Christian Fathers, Aila and Ailia; Moslem Geographers, Wailah, Aila and 'Akabat Aila (Idris, ZDP'F, vIII. 121); now el-'Akabah, a village and cancient ruins on the N.E. corner of the Gulf, with Turkish fort and garrison. To the N.W. is a large grove of palans with numerous Musil, Edon, 1. 26, 29 (1. 'the culture of the palm flourishes...the types of the settled families are quite Jewish). In Greek times it gave its name to the Gulf as its successor 'Akabah does to-day.

Ezion-geber | Beside Elath on the Red Sea in Edom's land : there Solomon built ships, 1 Kgs ix. 26, and a ship (so LXX) of Jehoshaphat was wrecked, xxii, 40. LXX Γασιών Γάβερ, and Εμαεσειών Γάβερ, 1 Kgs ix. 26, probably waters of 'Esion-Geber. Eusebius states that in his day it was Alola (Jerome Essia); Makrizi, 15th century, 'a once important Robinson (B. R. 1, 251) noted the corresponding contown 'Aşiûn.' sonants in the name W. Ghadian, and Musil (Edom, 1, 254, 11, 183 ff., 180) describes the oasis Ma' Ghadian in the 'Arabah. This lies 18 miles N. of the Sea; while the O.T. data place 'Esion-Geber beside Elath, whose site, as we have seen, is certainly on the present N.E. coast of the Gulf. Musil, however, reports that a tongue of the sea may once have reached Ma' Ghadian; there are remains of fortifications and gardens across what is now desert (II. 199). His guide told of a town there whose inhabitants had many ships; but a violent rain brought down such masses of stone from some of the wâdies that the sea was pushed back to el-'Akaba (ii. 187). If the Ar. name be derived from the tree ghada', abundant in this region, it may have been attached to more places than one; or may have drifted as names easily do in Syria. The likeness between the LXX 'Euaereiw' and Musil's Ma' Ghadian is noteworthy. The meaning of the second half of 'Esion-geber is uncertain, the transliteration of Josephus Γ. Γαβελός (VIII. Ant. vi. 4) may be due to confusion with Γεβάλ, i.e. Edom or Mt Se'ir.

8 6−15. Arrival on the Border of Moab.

Israel, having crossed Edom from the 'Arabah towards the wilderness of Moab (8 /6), is charged not to treat Moab as a foe. Jehovah gave 'Ar, their land, to the children of Lot: this is in Sg. address (9); and there follow notes on the predecessors of Moab in 'Ar, and of Edom in Mt Sel'r (10—12). The Pl. is resumed in a charge to Israel to cross

DEUTERONOMY

And we turned and passed by the way of the wilderness 9 of Moab. And the LORD said unto me, Vex not Moab, neither contend with them in battle: for I will not give thee of his land for a possession; because I have given Ar unto

the Wâdy Zered, which they did (13); their time from Kadesh to the Zered being 38 years, and all the condemned generation being now dead under Jehovah's hand (14 f.).

For the parallels in IE and P (some of which have been already given)

see below on the separate verses.

8 b. And we turned] See i. 7.

and passed by the way of] Rather, crossed (the land of Edom) in the direction of. Having come up N.E. by the W. el 'Ithm to the plateau they would turn due N. as the Haji route does towards Moab.

the wilderness of Moab | More exactly IE, Num. xxi. 11: the w. which is before Moab towards the sunrising. For this region, see Doughty, Ar. Des. I.; Musil, Moab, passim, full descriptions with map. Israel kept so far E. not only to avoid the fertile and settled districts of Edom and Moab, but for the same reason also as the Hajj does, so as not to have to cross the lower stretches of the great canon between Edom and Moab, the present Wâdy el-Hsa or 'Ahsa. These lower stretches are deep, the sides steep and the roads over them difficult for laden caravans, The route of the Hajj, apparently that of Israel, crosses the much shallower head of this Wady on the desert border. Once over it they were in the wilderness E. of Moab. Probably in the Wâdy itself lay their station 'Iyê ha-Abarim on the border of Moab, P. Num. xxi. 11 a; cp. xxxiii, 44. For here lie still cairns or stone-heaps known by the same name, placed to show the way across the damp, sunken soil, This tempts one to emend 'Ivê ha-'Abarim, usually interpreted as heaps of the regions across Jordan (cp. Mts of the 'Abarim), to distinguish the place from 'Ivîm and 'Ai in W. Palestine, to 'Ivê-ha-'Oberim, heaps of the passengers.

9. Vex not Moab] Treat not Moab as a foe.

Arl 'Ar (Num. xxi. 15) or 'Ar of Mo'ab (id. 28) is in these passages

AT] 'AT (Num. xx. 1; 2) or 'AT of Mo as (n. 2) is in these passages at ownship, probably the same as "I', or City of, Mo'as, on the border of Arman at the and of the border (16, mm. xxi. 36). Must identified in with the strong sate and mins of Meeleynsh on an upper tributary of the work of the strong sate and mins of Meeleynsh on an upper tributary of the strong sate and rains of Meeleynsh on an upper tributary of the strong sate and rains and rains are strong sate and rains and rains are strong sate and rains and rains are strong sate and rains are sate and rains are strong sate and rains are sate and rains

the children of Lot for a possession. (The Emim dwelt to therein aforetime, a people great, and many, and tall, as the Anakim: these also are accounted Rephaim, as the 11 Anakim; but the Moabites call them Emim. The Horites 12 also dwelt in Seir aforetime, but the children of Esau succeeded them; and they destroyed them from before them, and dwelt in their stead; as Israel did unto the land of his possession, which the LORD gave unto them.) Now rise 13 up, and get you over the brook Zered. And we went over

children of Lot] Gen. xix. 37; Ps. lxxxiii. 8 (0).

V. 9 is in the Sg. address and elided by Steuern. as the addition of a later hand. But some such warning as it gives in regard to the relations of Israel to Mo'ab was to be expected in this discourse, similar to that on Israel's relations to Edom and 'Ammon. The change to the Sg. may be due either to the fact that Moses himself is addressed or because for the moment Israel, in relation to Mo'ab, is regarded as a single whole. Sam. confirms the Heb. Sg.; but LXX has the Pl.

10-12. An archaeological note, rightly put in brackets by R.V., written after the settlement in W. Palestine, as is clear from the end of v. 12. This of course does not in itself prove that the note is by a later

hand than the rest of the discourse.

The Emim Only here and Gen. xiv. 5 which places the Emim in Shaweh-Kiriathaim, probably the plain of the present Kureiyat, N. of Arnon. Whether the name is of an actual people or of mythical formation like Repha'im, Nephilim, etc. as if from 'emah, fear, or Ar. 'iyam ' serpent' (Schwally, ZATW, XVIII. 135 f.), is uncertain.

11. Rephaim ... Anakim | See on i. 28.

The Horites Heb. the Horim; Sam. LXX, Hori. Possibly cave-dwellers, cp. Heb. hor, Ar. hawr, cave or hole. Cave-dwelling is ascribed by Jerome (on Obad, 6) to the Edomites of his day; and is fully verified for the Nabatean period, at least, by the remains about Petra: but it is precarious to reason back from these facts to the meaning of the name of the primitive race, which preceded 'Esau in Mt Se'ir, especially as other etymologies of Hori are possible. Sayce (Higher Criticism and the Monuments, 204) derives it from a root = white as if in contrast to the red-skinned 'Edom. R. A. S. Macalister has discovered at Gezer the remains of a pre-Semitic, cave-dwelling race, using stone-implements, and identifies these with the Horim,

13. Now rise up] Sam., LXX, And now rise and break camp;

CD. 2. 24.

and get you over the brook Zered] Wady, or torrent-valley, Zered. IE, Num. xxi 12, they marched thence, the E, desert of Mo'ab, and camped in the W. Zered. The name, LXX Zaret, does not occur again in the O.T. nor is it in Josephus. Euseb. and Jer. give it only as the name of a desert wady. On the Madaba Mosaic map (5th century)

- 14 the brook Zered. And the days in which we came from Kadesh-barnea, until we were come over the brook Zered, were thirty and eight years; until all the generation of the men of war were consumed from the midst of the camp, as
- is the LORD sware unto them. Moreover the hand of the LORD was against them, to destroy them from the midst of the camp, until they were consumed.
- 16. So it came to pass, when all the men of war were con-17 sumed and dead from among the people, that the LORD

a wady flowing to the Dead Sea, S. of Kerak, bears the letters -APEA, according to some, but if this reading be correct it may be no more than a conjecture. The theory that the Zered was the W. el-Hsa is impossible; as we have seen, Israel was already N. of that S. frontier of Mo'ab. Equally impossible is the view substituted for this by most commentaries, that it was an upper stretch of the W. Kerak; for Brünnow and Musil have shown that the W. Kerak runs up E. but a short distance from Kerak. N. of the W. el-Hsa the Hajj road crosses the W. es-Sultanî, the great S. affluent of the Môjeb or Arnon, and proper frontier between the fertile land of Mo'ab and the E. desert. The W. es-Sultani forms a distinct landmark on this route, and, because of the water always to be found by digging in its bed, is a suitable camping-place. So Musil, Moah, 316, 319 n., 15. But if this be the Zered, Israel crossed it not, as Musil implies, from E. to W.—for in that case they would have had to bend E. again to his probable site for 'Ar at Medeyyneh (see v. 9), or cross the difficult lower stretches of the Arnon-but from S.W. to N.E. as the Hajj road does now.

14. thirty and eight years] See above, introd. to ii. 1-8 a. until all the generation of the men of war were consumed] See i.

35. 39.

16. the hand of the LORD] It was no natural death of the whole generation, but by special plagues from Jehovah; cp. JE. Num. xvi. 31 ff., xxi. 6; P. Num. xiv. 32, 37.

16-25. APPROACH TO THE 'AMMONITES AND AMORITES,

The adult generation having died out (16), Jehovah charged Moise that, being about to pass the border or cross the territory of Moiah (τ_1T) and to approach 'Ammón, Israel (Sg. address) must not fight the latter, for Jehovah gave that land to the sons of Lot (19). Follows an archaeological note on the predecessors of 'Ammón ($\tau_0 - \tau_3$); and then the Sg., an assurance that Silbón should be given into Israel's hands, they must fight him ($\tau_4\Phi$); for the dread of Israel would Jehovah put on all peoples at the more report of Israel's approach (τ_3).

This section is perplexing, because of the apparently proleptic mention of Ammôn, the use of the PL address only in 24 a, and the discrep-

spake unto me, saying, Thou art this day to pass over is Ar, the border of Moab; and when thou comest nigh over 19 against the children of Ammon, ves them not, not contend with them; for I will not give thee of the land of the children of Ammon for a possession: because I have given it unto the children of I Let for a possession. Chat also is ac-20

ancy between 24, 6, 25 and the next section, especially re: 37—30. On these grounds, combined with the fact that there are no parallels in JE, on which document the rest of this discourse is based, there is a strong case for the opinion that this section is for the most part from another hand than the rest of the discourse. Steuern, indeed takes only 13, 15 may 11, 60 part of 12. See on its. 1.

Ar, the border of Moab] See on v. 9. Here as there it is doubtful whether 'Ar is to be understood as the territory of Mo'ab, their crossing of which Israel are completing this day; or the N. limit of that territory

which they are about to cross. Probably the latter.

19. when thou comest nigh over against the children of Ammon! And thou shalt approach to the front of the Bne 'Ammon. The expression is vague and the mention of 'Ammôn at this stage perplexing. It is true that, acc. to Judg. xi. 13, the 'Ammonites declared to Jephthali that Israel coming out of Egypt took away their land from Arnon even unto Jabbok. But the passage to which this belongs, Judg. xi. 12-28, generally regarded as late and confused, repels the 'Ammonite claim and affirms (v. 22) that the land between Arnon and Jabbok had been held by the Amorites. This, too, is the testimony of the oldest traditions JE, Num. xxi. 13, 24, 31 f., which also relate that the Amorites had taken that territory not from 'Ammon, but from Mo'ab (id. 26-30); cp. the evidence both of IE and P in Num, xxii, ff., that the land N, of Arnon was Moabite. The evidence thus preponderates that 'Ammôn was confined to a small territory on the upper Jabbok, where Rabbath-'Ammôn (chief town of 'A.) was situated (though before the 'Amorite invasion of E. Palestine they may have held the whole course of Jabbok and the country immediately S, of that). On the Arnon, therefore, Israel was still some 35 miles from Ammonite territory and the Amorites lay between. The mention of 'Ammôn at this stage thus appears proleptic, and coinciding as it does with a change to the Sg. address. may plausibly be maintained to be the insertion of a later writer, perhaps influenced by Judg. xi. 13. On the other hand it is just possible that the reference to 'Ammôn at this stage was held by the author of the discourse himself to be necessary, as intended to divert Israel from the due northerly direction which they had been pursuing and which, if continued, would bring them into conflict with 'Ammôn ; and to turn them N.W. through the Amorites to the Jordan.

20-23. Another Archaeological Note. On the Kepha'im, see i. 28. Zamzummim, a name held by some to be formed on the analogy of the

counted a land of Rephaim: Rephaim dwelt therein afore-21 time; but the Ammonites call them Zamzummim; a people great, and many, and tall, as the Anakim; but the LORD destroyed them before them; and they succeeded them, and

22 dwelt in their stead; as he did for the children of Esau, which dwell in Seir, when he destroyed the Horites from before them; and they succeeded them, and dwelt in their · 23 stead even unto this day: and the Avvim which dwelt in

villages as far as Gaza, the Caphtorim, which came forth out of Caphtor, destroyed them, and dwelt in their stead.) 24 Rise ye up, take your journey, and pass over the valley of Arnon: behold, I have given into thine hand Sihon the

Gk 'Barbaroi,' as of a people whose speech sounded uncouth; Ar. zamzamah is a distant, confused sound. Others suggest identification with the Zuzim of Gen. xiv. 5, of which Musil (Moab, 1. 275, 318, etc.) is reminded by the present Zîzâ, Ptolemy's Ziza on the N.E. frontier of Mo'ab. But the Ar. sizim is applied to rustling sounds in the desert by night, supposed to be the noise of the Jinn (see Driver's note, with communication from W. R. Smith, and Schwally, D. Leben nach d. Tode, 64 f., 137 ff.). The name would thus be another of those mythological terms for pre-historic races given above on i. 28. On the Horites, see v. 12. On the 'Avvim or 'Awwim cp. Jos. xiii. 3 f.; whether the name be ethnic or indicative of a stage of culture is uncertain. They dwelt in villages, Heb. haserIm (mostly in P and Levit. writers), used both in parallel to circles of tents, Gen. xxv. 16, and to collections of houses without surrounding walls, Lev. xxv. 31, and the dependencies of cities. Jos. xv. 46 etc. Kaphtôr is most probably Crete,

see HGHL 135, 170 f. 24. Rise ye up, take your journey, and pass over] In this section the one clause in the Pl. address. Steuernagel connects it immediately with 16 f. On these formulas cp. i. 7, 19.

the valley of Arnon] No one doubts that the Nahal Arnon and the modern W. el-Môjeb are the same stream and valley. It is more than a coincidence that Arnon = sounding, and that some forms of the root of Môieb, vajaba, mean to 'fall with a noise or rush.' The greatest of all the cañons that cut the plateau of Mo'ab, one understands how it has so often been a political frontier. A little W. of the Haji road a valley is formed some 250 ft below the plateau by the conjunction of several wâdies, which have risen among the desert hills to the E. of the road. Under the successive names of W. Sa'ideh, Seil es-Sefei, and W. el-Môjeb, it runs with a mainly W. direction, and a rapidly increasing depth (at 'Aro'er 1800 or 2000 feet below the plateau) between almost precipitous walls to the Dead Sea, about 3500 ft below the plateau. The valley is entered from N. and S. by other canons, of Amorite, king of Heshbon, and his land: begin to possess it, and contend with him in battle. This day will I begin 25 to put the dread of thee and the fear of thee upon the peoples that are under the whole heaven, who shall hear the report of thee, and shall tremble, and be in anguish because of thee.

which two are almost as long as itself. About 15 miles from its mouth it receives from the S. its chief tributary, a stream which with its valley has already for some stretch above the confluence borne the name el Môjeb, but higher up is known as W. es-Sulţâni; probably (see v. 13) the Zered of Israel's march. About 2 miles from its mouth enters from the N. the W. el-Wâleh, which draining all N.E. Mo'ab has cut the plateau in a S.W. direction. All these three cañons, with their tributaries, appear to be included in the (plural) valleys of Arnon, Num. xxi. 14. But the valley of Arnon in the present verse is probably the direct E. and W. cañon on its upper stretch, W. Sa'ideh, on which 'Ar stood (see on v. 9); this is certain if the identification of Kedemoth, stated below, v. 26, is correct. Musil, Moab, 9 ff.; the present writer in PEFQ, 1904, 373-377-

behold, I have given into thine hand, etc.] Sg. address resumed : so too Sam., LXX. Cp. i. 27.

Sihon the Amorite] For Sthon, see below on v. 26; for Amorite, see on i. 7. contend with him in battle] This does not agree with, or at least it

should not come before, vv. 26 ff., the efforts of Moses to obtain a peaceable passage through Amorite territory; its originality is questionable if we are to assign to the discourse a reasonable measure of consistency,

25. This day will I begin to put the dread of thee] Nor is this verse in harmony with v. 29. The trembling and anguish which it predicts on all people at the mere report of Israel is the opposite effect from that produced in Sihôn, v. 29, by Israel's request to cross his land, for this simply provoked him to armed resistance. Is it more reasonable to suppose that the author of the discourse inconsistently penned both verses so near to each other; or that a compiler, with different documents before him and wishing to use all his materials, put them together? Here then we have an instance in which the difference in the form of address coincides with a difference of attitude to the same event. The triumphant tone of v. 2x is characteristic of the Sg. passages; note, too, the hyperbole peoples under the whole heaven.

26-37. THE VICTORY OVER SÎHÔN.

From the desert N. of Arnon Moses sent to Sîhôn asking leave to cross his land in peace, purchasing food and water (26-29). Sîhôn refused, Jehovah hardening his spirit that he might be delivered into Israel's hands (30 f.). They met at Yahas and Sihôn was defeated (32 f.).

26 And I sent messengers out of the wilderness of Kedemoth unto Sihon king of Heshbon with words of peace, saying, 27 Let me pass through thy land: I will go ¹along by the high way, I will neither turn unto the right hand nor to the left.

1 Heb. by the way, by the way.

Israel took his towns, put the population to the ban, but reserved cattle and spoil for themselves (34 f.), and occupied his land from the Amon to Gile'ad, and up to the Ammonite border on the Jabbok (36 f.).

The parallel JE, Num. xxi. 21—32 (for the analysis of which into two

narratives see the Comm. in this series), contains besides an old mathal or ode on the subject (27—30). E agrees in substance with D and there are verbal parallels, for which see below. As elsewhere D seems here based on E, with the usual variations of style and one or two details of fact.

On the relation of this section of Moses' discourse to the preceding see introd. and notes to the latter. On the historicity of the story see the present writer's HGHL, 662 ff.; and Early Poetry of Israel, 64 ff.

26. And I sent messengers, etc. | E. Num. xxi. 21, Israel sent mes-

26. And . sengers, etc.

the milderness of Kolemoth! So only here. A Levite city K*demoth, belonging to Re*then, is given along with Vahas and Mepharath, P, Jos. xiii. 18, xxi. 37; 1 Chron. vi. 79 [64]. The name is a plur. East party: it must have lain N. of Amon on the edge of the desert. Musil (Month, 110, 122) compares the ruins el-Meshretk, 'The Orient,' 72 miles N, of W. Sa'delt (v. 24) and looking towards the desert.

Silon king of Hethbon] E. Num. xxi. xi x king of the Americe; pn. x. 5. Silon is transilterated Silon in the Ar. Pentt (ed. Lagarde) but the proper Ar. analogue is Siliban, a man's name, also that of the Silon in the Silon is the Silon in the Silon in the Archive Silon in the Silhan, extensive rains on the conspicaous Jeleb Silibán, S. of W. el-Möjeb. See the present writer in PEPO, 1994, 371 f.; Musil, Mosk. 376, 382 with citations from Abu-146a and Vajūt, Echnol.

Bericht (Ar. Petr. iii.) 110, 218.

Methóni] was his city. The mod. Heshân, with rains of the Byzantine age and a Greet hiscription, near the W. edge of the Moab plateau, at the head of a glen descending to the W. Heshan, and foo if below the town, the copious "Ain Heshan. A little S. of the latitude of Jericho, Heshbon lay on the main road, almost half-way between Mon. E. Pistletine, 10a ff.

27. Let me pass, etc.] So E, Num. xxi. 22; LXX, we will pass. I will go along by the highway! Heb. and Sam. here by the way by the way; E, by the king's way, the main road, like the Ar. term Sultani

I will neither turn, etc.] E, Num. xxi. 21: we will not turn aside into field or vineyard, nor drink the water of the wells.

Thou shalt sell me food for money, that I may eat and 28 give me water for money, that I may drink : only let me pass through on my feet; as the children of Esau which 29 dwell in Seir, and the Moabites which dwell in Ar, did unto me; until I shall pass over Jordan into the land which the LORD our God giveth us. But Sihon king of Heshbon 30 would not let us pass by him: for the LORD thy God hardened his spirit, and made his heart 'obstinate, that he might deliver him into thy hand, as at this day. And the 31 LORD said unto me, Behold, I have begun to deliver up Sihon and his land before thee: begin to possess, that thou mayest inherit his land. Then Sihon came out against us, 32 he and all his people, unto battle at Jahaz. And the LORD 33

1 Heb. strong.

Thou shalt sell me food, etc.] See on v. 6.

as the children of Esau ... and the Moabites In JE Num. xx. Esau refused Israel's request made from Kadesh, but appears to have sold them bread and water when, later, Israel crossed the S. end of Mt Se'ir, ii. 6. In xxiii. 5 [4] Mo'ab is blamed for not meeting Israel with bread and water on the way-but does that mean did not sell them these?

30. But Sihon ... would not let us pass by him | E, Num. xxi. 23: S. would not allow (another verb) Israel to cross his territory.

for the LORD thy God hardened his spirit | Sg. address; it is at least remarkable that the change coincides with a religious explanation of Sihôn's resistance, for which E has here no parallel. The phrase is found elsewhere in P, Ex. vii. 3, but with heart for spirit.

made his heart obstinate] Heb. strong, usually in a good sense, in a bad only here, xv. 7 and 2 Chron. xxxvi. 13. In E, Ex. iv. 21, the same meaning with another verb,

as at this day | Another deuteronomic formula: iv. 20, 38, vi. 24, viii, 18, x, 15, xxix, 28; 1 Kgs iii, 6, viii, 24, etc. Here its appropriateness is not obvious; these formulas tend to creep in where they are not required.

31. deliver up before thee] See i. 8. The Sg. is retained as original by Steuern, presumably on the ground of its being addressed to Moses.

Sihon] LXX, Sam. add king of Heshbon, the Amorite.

32. unto battle at Jahaz] E, Num. xxi. 23; went out to meet 1.

towards the wilderness, came to Yahas and fought Israel. See on Kedemoth, 7. 26. The Moabite stone (18-21) implies that Yahas was near Dibon; Jer. xlviii. 21 places it on the Mishor or Moab plateau (see iii. 10); and 'Isai.' xv. 4 some distance S. of Heshbon. In our God delivered him up before us; and we smote him, 34 and his 'sons, and all his people. And we took all his cities at that time, and "utterly destroyed every "inhabited city, with the women and the little ones; we left none remaining:

1 Or, son 2 Heb. devoted. 3 Heb. city of men.

Eusebius' day it was pointed out between Madaba' and Dibon (On. Sacr. Laera). Musil (Mesh., pof., 122) suggests Umm-el-Walld, ruins on a strong site S.E. of Madaba on the right bank of the W. el-ller; undoubtedly a suitable place for Sligh in oneel Israel. But there are other ruined sites equally suitable on the probable line of Israel's magch and on the E. of the plateau.

33. delivered him up before us] See on i. 8.

his sons] So the Heb. vowels, LXX, Sam. E, Num. xxi. 24a:

smote him with the edge of the sword.

36. And we took all kit cities [1, 2, Num. xxi: 34a, passessed kit lean and from Arman unto Jabobs; 1, 3, 2; 1 trased took all three cities and duted in all the cities of the America, Heshbon and her towns. Anciently this part of the Plateau was thickly populated. From almost every devastion several groups of ruins are visible, mostly dynamine, are proposed to the proposed of the part of the Plateau and the proposed of the proposed proposed of the proposed proposed of the proposed proposed

utterly destroyed every inhabited city, with the women and the little ones Devoted-put to the herem or ban-every city-full of males, with, etc. The first mention in Deut, of a custom practised also by other Semites. Mesha (Moabite Stone, 14-17) records that having taken Nebo from Israel he slew the whole population for he 'had devoted it to Ashtar-Chemosh'; the same verb as in Heb. To Israel as to other peoples a war was from first to last a religious process (see on xx. 1 ff.) and the herem was the climax of a series of solemn rites. It consisted of the devotion to the deity, by destruction, of the captives and spoil. The name is from the root hrm, 'to set apart' or 'shut off' (cp. Ar. haram 'sacred precincts' and harim) and was not confined to war. By the earliest code every idolatrous Israelite was put to the herem, E, Ex. xxii. 20 [19]; cp. Deut. xiii. 6-11 of idolaters, and 12-18 [13-19] of an idolatrous city; P, Lev. xxvii. 28 f. In war the full process was the slaughter of the conquered population and their cattle, the burning of combustible spoil, and the oblation of the rest to the sanctuary. So in the story of the fall of Jericho and Achan's trespass, Jos. vi. f. (especially vi. 17-19, 21, 24, vii. 1, 11 ff.), which however contains many editorial additions. But as we see from several narratives and laws, the actual practice varied from time to time under the competing influences of religious feeling, material considerations and humane impulses. The most illustrative

¹ The various forms of this name are:—Heb. Mêdebā; Moabite Mehêdebā; Arab. Mâdabā; Greek Maiδaβa, Μεδαβα, Μηδαβαβ; Lat. Medaba.

only the cattle we took for a prey unto ourselves, with the 35 spoil of the cities which we had taken. From Aroer, which 36 is on the edge of the valley of Arnon, and from the city that passage is I Sam, xv. Samuel charges Saul to devote all 'Amalek and their cattle; Saul spares the king and the best of the cattle. Either his excuse, that he reserved them for sacrifice, is an afterthought; or from the first he had been unwilling that the best cattle should be rendered by the herem unusable by the people in sacrificial feasts. Was the king moved by feelings of humanity? Samuel condemns his action as disobedience against Jehovah; so absolutely at that time was the herem conceived by the religious leaders. The deuteronomic directions, all in the Sg. address, distinguish between Israel's treatment of the seven Canaanite nations and of Israelite idolaters on the one side, and their treatment of other nations at a distance:-(a) vii. 2: the seven nations are to be put to the herem because of their idolatry and no league with them is allowed; 25 f. their idols are to be burned with the silver and gold on them, for they are herem and if used by Israel would make the people herem or devoted to destruction. Similarly in xiii. 15 f. every Israelite community falling to idolatry shall be devoted, and their city, cattle, and spoil burned to Jehovah thy God. But (b) xx. 10 ff. directs that distant enemies if they submit shall be spared, though they must become tributary; while if they resist only the males shall be slain, the women, children, cattle and spoil being treated as booty. And in xx. 16, 17 it is repeated that the nations of Palestine shall be devoted. Religious feeling, the desire that Israel shall not be infected by the idolatry from which they ran most risk of infection, is obviously the paramount motive of these laws, But it is remarkable that the only instances of the herem recorded in Deut., those against Sthon and 'Og, fully agree neither with the treatment enjoined by the deuteronomic laws against the seven nations, nor with that enjoined against distant enemies, but combine features of both. The captive men, women, and children were slain, but the cattle and spoil reserved for booty, ii. 34 f., iii. 6 f. So too in Ios. (outside the story of Achan):-viii. 2, 27 spoil and cattle reserved, x. 28 ff., only the people devoted; xi. 9 horses houghed, chariots burned; 11-15, people devoted, cattle and spoil reserved. Except xi. g these passages appear to be editorial. - In connection with this subject note that Amos (i. 6, 9) condemns as inhuman the selling into captivity of a whole population, just as to-day it is contrary to the Arab conscience to extinguish a kabila or tribe in war (Doughty, Ar. Des. 1. 235). Yet, just as by Samuel in the case of Saul, and in Deut., this natural conscience has often been overborne by the rigorous religious demands of Islam. The parallel is instructive; cp. xx. 10-18. - See on the use of the term in a criminal case, Ex. xxii. 20, with Driver's note.

See previous note. From Aroer, which is on the edge of the valley of Arnon | The

is in the valley, even unto Gilead, there was not a city too high for us: the LORD our God delivered up all before us: 37 only to the land of the children of Ammon thou camest not

Nahal 'Arnon = Wâdy Môjeb, see above v. 24. Edge, Heb. lip. 'Arô'er is frequently given in the O.T. as a S. limit:-e.g. of the territory taken by Israel from Sîhôn (here, and iii, 12, iv. 48, Ios, xii, 2, xiii. 9, 16); of the kingdom of Israel (2 Sam. xxiv. 5 emended after LXX; 2 Kgs x, 33), 'I built,' says Mesha (Moabite Stone, 27), 'Arô'er and made the high-way by the 'Arnon.' Jer. xlviii. 10 connects 'Arô'er with a high-road. Eusebius describes it as above 'Arnon, 'on the evebrow of the hill.' To-day the Khirbet 'Arâ'er, ruins of a walled town on the N. edge of the W. Môjeb, here nearly 2000 feet deep, with an ancient zig-zag road down the precipitous slopes to the bed of the Wady (Tristram, Moab, 125 ff.; Musil, Moab, 331, with plan and views). It lies nearly 2 miles E. of the Roman road, the present high road across 'Arnon, and must not be confounded with the ruins called 'Akraba close to the latter (co. Brünnow, Provincia Arabia, 1. 31; and the present writer, PEFO, 1905, 41); an error into which several travellers have fallen.

the city that is in the valley | The valley or nahal is, of course, the 'Arnon or Wady Môjeb, the S. frontier of Sihôn's kingdom. The site of the unnamed city is uncertain. Its frequent association with 'Arô'er as on a S. frontier (e.g. here, Jos. xiii. 9, 16, 2 Sam. xxiv. 5) may imply that it lay close under 'Arô'er on the stream; where to-day ruins stand with the name Khreibet 'Ajam'; in which case the city has been added to 'Arô'er in order to define the exact border as the stream, and its namelessness is explicable by its having been a mere suburb or the toll-town of 'Arô'er. Or else, since 'Arô'er lay towards the W. end of the S. frontier of Sîhôn's kingdom formed by the 'Arnon, the city in the valley lay further up the 'Arnon and so defined the E. extremity of the S. border. Musil suggests Medevyneh on the upper stretch of 'Arnon, now the W. Sa'ideh or Sa'ideh (Moah, 328 ff.). It lies on a projection of the plateau into the Wâdy, and might well be described as the city in, or in the midst of, the nahal. This is the same site as Musil proposes for 'Ar or 'Ir of Mo'ab, also given as a limit (see on ii. 18); the identification of which had already been made on Biblical data alone (Dillm. in loco).

Biblical data atone (Dilim. In toco).

even unto Gilead] E, Num. xxi. 24, defines more exactly unto the Jabbok, the next great natural frontier N. of Arnon. Gile'ad lay on both sides of Jabbok, which divided it into halves.

too high for us] The Heb. phrase is found in prose only here, and elsewhere in the O.T. only in Job v. 11. Further see i. 28. before us] Sam. LXX: into our hands.

37. Change to the Sg. address. This, with the fact that the clause ¹ There are other ruins a little further E. up the stream at its confluence with that from the S. and these Grove (Smith's D. B. 1st ed.) takes as the city in question.

near; all the side of the river Jabbok, and the cities of the hill country, and wheresoever the LORD our God forbad us.

is a mere qualification not necessary to the context, has led some to take it for a later addition.

all the side of the river Jabbok, and the cities of the hill country] This defines the land of 'Anmön, which lay at that time on the upper stretch of Jabbok, where the stream runs from S.W. to N.E. before turning in its main course W. to Jordan; cp. JE. Num. xxi. 24. The country there is hilly in contrast with the Mo'ab lotter is hilly in contrast with the Mo'ab lotter.

and wheresoever] So Sam.; LXX according to all that, forbad us Rather commanded us: suitable to the preceding read-

forbad us Rather commanded us: suitable to the preceding reading of the LXX. Us is wanting in Heb. but is given by Sam. and LXX.

CH. III. 1-7. DEFEAT OF 'OG, KING OF BASHAN.

Israel advancing N. towards Bashan encountered 'Ôg at Edre'i (1). Jehovah delivered him into their hands (2 f.); they took all his cities, oi n Argob, his kingdom within Bashan, fenced cities, with also many unwalled towns (4 f.); and devoted them to Jehovah, reserving the

cattle and spoil for themselves (6 f.).

Parallel are Num. xxi. 33-35, attached to the IE narrative. Of these 33 f. agree verbally (except that the 3rd sing, is used for the 1st plur.) with vv. 1 f. of this section, while v. 35 summarises vv. 3-7. But while, as we have seen, D is usually based on IE (more particularly on E), the prevalence of deuteronomic phrases not used in 1E supports the opinion (from Dillm. onwards) that Num. xxi, 33-35 is an edirial addition to JE, borrowed from D. The campaign against 'Og is found elsewhere in Hex. only in Deut. i. 4, iv. 47, xxix. 7, the deuteronomic Jos. xii. 4, and Num. xxxii. 33; Jos. ix. 10, xiii. 30 f., all of late date. Thus the campaign against 'Og has not the same documentary evidence as that against Sîhôn, and is questioned by many who accept the latter. Proof one way or the other is impossible. On the one hand 'Og is associated with the mythical Repha'in; a campaign in Bashan carries Israel away from their objective, the crossing of lordan; and nothing is said of the conquest of the intervening Gile'ad at this time; though the phrase in ii. 36, unto Gile'ad, may be intended to cover all Gile'ad to the Yarmûk, this is not probable; and there are indications that Israel's conquest of Gile'ad took place from W. Palestine at a later date (see on v. 14). On the other hand, 'Og's defeat is bound up in Heb. tradition with that of Sihon; it is hard to see how or why it can have been invented by the deuteronomists ('the tradition of the defeat of 'Og at Edre'i is probably, predeuteronomic': Cheyne, E.B.). It is possible to argue that 'Ôg's kingdom included Gile'ad N. of the Jabbok; there are no geographical or historical obstacles to a campaign by Israel in Bashan, but on the

3 Then we turned, and went up the way to Bashan: and Og be ke king of Bashan came out against us, he and all his 2 people, unto battle at Edrei. And the Lord said unto me, Fear him not: for I have delivered him, and all his people, and his land, into thy hand; and thou shalt do unto him as thou didst unto Sihon king of the Amorites, which dwelt at 3 Heshbon. So the Lord our God delivered into our hand Og also, the king of Bashan, and all his people: and we

contrary it is as credible that Israel should have aimed at the conquest of all E. Palestine before crossing the Jordan as it is certain that Pompey so aimed, and that the first Moslem invaders so succeeded.

1. turned, and went up] See on i. 7.

Bathari] Heb. the Bakhan, so in all historical statements and sometimes in poetry in which however the article is other omitted (HGHL, 4,9 m.,7). In its wider sense the name covered all the land from the Varmik to Hermon, iv. 43, xxxiii. 22. But its proper application was confined to the land immediately N. of the Varmik and E. of Geshur and Mavkah, the present Juain (see below P. 44, iv. 44): the S. end of Hauran, including 'Ashtacoti (perhaps Tell el 'Ashari) on the W., 'i.i., 4, iii. 1; 7, 3); the district known in Greek times as Batanea, and in the 10th century still called 'Ard-el-Bathaniych, containing Edre'i (Idrisi); but today the name has diffled N.E. to the E. of the Lejá. Ar. Bathará means level, loamy land (Freytag) and suits the region.

Qc] The name 'Og, LXX I'ày and 'Tp, does not occur except as that of the king of Bashan; the root meaning 'curved' or 'round' supplies some Ar, geographical names. W. R. Smith (Act. of the Sem. 83) arguing that in Heb. a king's name is usually joined with that of his people or of his capital (e.g. Sthôn, hing of the Amerita; or of Heshbon) and that 'Og's is the only exception, takes 'Og 'who is a mythical

figure' as presumably 'an old god of the region.'

"Edve"] Edve's on the S. frontier of Bashan (v. 10), the Otara's of Egyptian inscriptions, Adra of Ptolemy, Adras of Bush-t, now Edwards, Derr's or on Bedawee lips 'Azzwat', a strong site on the S. edge of the operet that forms the S. limit of Hauran, and further entrenched the partial tributary ravine. In the rock beneath the walled city, a labyrinth of streets with houses and shops was excavated. That this mare is not mentioned in the O.T. proves it of later date, and indeed its not mentioned in inscriptions point to the Greek period: HoffLi, 576, ZDPV, Xx. 118ff. On the only possible remains in Bashan of 'Og's time see Driver, Daut, in Iceo.

2. delivered. ..into thy hand] See 1, 27. As thou didst unto Sthon, it. 33 f.

smote him until none was left to him remaining. And we a took all his cities at that time; there was not a city which we took not from them; threescore cities, all the region of Argob, the kingdom of Og in Bashan. All these were cities 5 fenced with high walls, gates, and bars; beside the 'unwalled towns a great many. And we 'utterly destroyed them, as 6 we did unto Sihon king of Heshbon, utterly destroying every 'inhabited city, with the women and the little ones. But all the cattle, and the spoil of the cities, we took for a 7 prey unto ourselves. And we took the land at that time 8

1 Or, country towns 2 Heb. devoted. 3 Heb. city of men.

3. none...remaining | ii. 34. 4. all the region of Argob | So 13 f.; 1 Kgs iv. 13 and nowhere else. The Heb. for region means a definitely measured or outlined piece of land, and 'Argob seems connected with regeb, clod, and analogous to our 'glebe.' The Targums take it as Trachonitis or the Trachon of the Greek period, now the Lejá, the mass of lava, 24 miles by 10 to 20, which lies on Hauran like an ebony glacier with irregular crevasses. Sharply marked off by its abrupt edge from the surrounding plain it holds considerable means of subsistence, with the ruins of many villages and towns, and might well have been, at this as at other periods, the centre or distinctive feature of a province or kingdom. The identification with 'Argob, accepted by many, is thus not unnatural; nor if we take 'Argob as meaning 'clumpy' is this an unsuitable name for the cleft masses of lava, like frozen mud, of which it is composed. But other parts of Hauran are also distinct from the rest, e.g. the fertile en-Nukra or 'Hollow Hearth' of the Arabs; or the almost as fertile W. slope of the Tebel Hauran. Both of these bear ruins of ancient towns, while some may be of immemorial antiquity. Nothing however has been discovered either there or throughout Bashan which is recognisable as older than the Greek period.-Euseb, and Jer, give Ragaba as a village near Geresa, in Gile'ad, cp. Jos. XIII. Ant. xv. 5; and to-day Rajeb or Rujeb is the name of a Wady and village also in Gile'ad. This is noteworthy in view of the fact that one O.T. tradition appears to connect Argob with Gile'ad : see below.

 the unwalled towns] Heb. towns of the Prazi, or country-folk; perasth, Ezek. xxxviii. 11, are open, rural places in contrast to fenced cities.

6. and we utterly destroyed them, etc.] See ii. 34 f.

8-17. ALLOTMENT OF THE CONQUERED LANDS.

Thus Israel had taken the two Amorite kingdoms, from the 'Arnon to Hermon (8)—on which a note is given (9)—that is, from S. to N.,

out of the hand of the two kings of the Amorites that were beyond Jordan, from the valley of Arnon unto mount 9 Hermon; (which Hermon the Zidonians call Sirion, and

the towns, of the Mo'ab Plateau, all Gile'ad and Bashan (10); then a note on '0g (11), N. from 'Aro'er to half Mr Gile'ad Moses gave to note on '0g (11), N. from 'Aro'er to half Mr Gile'ad Moses gave to Re'uben and Gol, the rest of Gile'ad and Bashan to the half-tribe of Manasseh (12-13a). Follows a third note (3b-1a) with additions from a later hand (3-1a) unless (16) be regarded as original to the discourse.—The narallels are cited in the note of the original to the

8. the two kings of the Amorites] ii. 26—iii. 7. 'Og's people have not previously been called Amorites: cp. iv. 47, xxxi. 4, and the editorial Jos. ii. 10, ix. 10, xxiv. 8, 12b. Amorite apparently in the same general sense as in E, e.g. Jos. v. 1, x. 5. 'Og himself was of

the pre-Amorite Repha'im, v. 11.

beyond forstan! As in i. 5 the writer betrays his standpoint in W. Palestine. On the other hand the standpoint of Moses E. of Jordan is properly observed in 100, 20, 25. Dillim, therefore takes 10. 8 as a later insertion. But must we assume a rigorous consistency in the writer of the discourse?

valley of Arnon | ii. 24.

sating of Ariuni 16. 44 (Hermon) This carries Isuael's conquest further N. than must mount Hermon). This carries Isuael's conquest further N. than previously described; another sign of a later hand? Hermon, from the role of the state of th

9. **ARCHAROLOGICAL NOTE. As a natural boundary, separating several nations, Hermôn has a name in the language of each. The Phoenicians, Heb. Sidonians, on the W. called it Siribin (cp. Ps. xxx. 6), the when the crossed from the coast towards Damascuss Winckler, ACL 1914, 44, 1901. These names may have been applied to different parts of the long Mt.; in I. Chr. v. 23, Senft; is joined with, but apparently distinct from, Hermôn, cp. Ezek, xxvii. §, Cant. iv. 8; and Arta geographers gove the name felted Sanir to the part between Bit-blek, and

the Amorites call it Senir;) all the cities of the 'plain, and to all Glieta, and all Bashan, unto Salecah and Edrei, cities of the kingdom of Og in Bashan. (For only Og king of tr Bashan remained of the remnant of the Rephaim; behold, his bedstead was a bedstead of iron; is it not in Rabbah of the children of Ammon? nine cubits was the length thereof, and four cubits the breadth of it, after the cubit of a

1 Or, table land

 all the cities of, etc.] This follows immediately on v. 8, showing that 9 is an inserted gloss, and details the land summarised in 8, from 5, to N.

the plain] Rather, Plateau (Heb. ham-Mishôr), i.e. of Mo'ab;

E, Num. xxi. 20: field of M.

all Gilead] From the N. end of the Plateau (exact frontier uncertain) up to the Yarmûk; divided into halves by the Jabbok.

all Bashan] All N. of the Yarmûk; see on v. 1.

muto Salcada and Edwar] Sadrbań (with soft k) is the Arab. Salkhad, the Sarkhad of the Arab, geographers, the present Salkhad (Merrill, E. of Jordan, 80 fl.; Burckhardt, 100 fl.) some 40 miles E.S.E. of Edward and Salkhad (Merrill, E. of Jordan, 80 fl.; Burckhardt, 100 fl.) some 40 miles E.S.E. of Edward (Merrill, 100 fl.) some 40 miles E.S.E. of Salkhad (Merrill) some 50 fl. of Edward (Merrill) some 50 fl. of

11. ARCHAROLOGICAL NOTE. 'Ôg was the last survivor of the Replam' fise con i. 183. Bedietant, rather surcophagues, for though the Heberge elsewhere means cond, its synonyms witch (z Sam. iii. 31) and the condition of the co

DEUTERONOMY

12 man.) And this land we took in possession at that time: from Aroer, which is by the valley of Arnon, and half the hill country of Gilead, and the cities thereof, gave I unto 13 the Reubenites and to the Gadites: and the rest of Gilead,

and all Bashan, the kingdom of Og, gave I unto the half

12. And this land we took | The discourse resumed from v. 10; a more exact definition of the same lands.

from Aroer ... by the valley of Arnon] 13 MSS and some Versions read on the lip of A., as in ii. 36. half ... Gilead | As far as the Jabbok; to Reuben and Gad.

P, Num. xxxii. 1 ff.: land of Ya'zer and Git ad.

13. the rest of Gilead | From the Jabbok to the Yarmuk. This, with all Bashan, the kingdom of 'Og, fell to the half-tribe of Manasseh. and is further defined as all the region of Argob (see v. 4). R.V. following the Heb. punctuation adds even all Bashan, but as Rev. Marg. suggests, this phrase is part of the next note: all that Bashan is called a land of Repha'im. In Num. xxxii. 1-32, 34-38 (a section with obvious marks of P but containing earlier elements) only Re'uben and Gad are assigned land E. of Jordan. Moses' allotment there to the half-tribe of Manasseh is recorded in deuteronomic passages, as here and Num. xxxiii. 33 (editorial); while Deborah's song, Judg. v. 14, takes Machir as a W. clan, but J, Num. xxxiii. 39, 41, assigns the conquest of Gile'ad to Machir, son of Manasseh, and the capture of its towns to Ya'ir, son of Manasseh: v. 40, adding that Moses gave Gile'ad to Manasseh, is regarded as a later insertion both because of the statement just cited from Deborah and because Judg. x. assigns the Hawwoth-Ya'ir to Ya'ir, a Gileadite in the days of the Judges. There thus appear to have been two traditions of the occupation of Gile'ad by part of Manasseh, one as early as J (Num. xxxiii. 39, 41) followed by D, which dates it under Moses; and one, which records the conquering clan as settled first in W. Palestine, and thence invading Gile'ad under the Judges. This second tradition is preferred by many, e.g. Wellh. Gesch. [2] 33, and Budde, who points out that the Bne Yoseph could not have complained to Joshua, Jos. xvii. 14-18, that they had only one lot if, besides this western territory which he gave them, part of them had already received from Moses land E. of Iordan. He proposes to insert Gile'ad in Ios. xvii. 18, so as to make it the new lot granted by Joshua. But in that case some allusion to the crossing of Jordan would have been natural, nor would the occupation of Gile'ad have helped the Joseph tribe against the Canaanites of W. Palestine. Moreover, Gile'ad is said to have been the father of Abi'ezer and Shechem (JE, Jos. xvii. 2; P, Num. xxvi. 29 ff.) and therefore older in Manasseh's line than these W. septs of the tribe. So there is something to be said for the occupation of Gile'ad by Manasseh under Moses. But the whole matter is obscure. See further Hastings, D. B. 111. 230 f., HGHL, 577. Cp. the next notes.

tribe of Manasseh; ¹all the region of Argob, 'ewen all Bashan. (The same is called the land of Rephaim. Jair 14 the son of Manasseh took all the region of Argob, unto the border of the Geshurites and the Maacathites; and called them, even Bashan, after his own name, Havvoth-jair, unto this day.) And I gave Gilead unto Machir. And unto the '5 Reubenites and unto the Gadites I gave from Gilead even

Or, all the region of Argob (All that Bashan is called &c. Or, with

14. ARCHAEOLOGICAL NOTE. It begins with the last clause of v. 13; see above. This reference of the conquest of Argob to Ya'ir contrasts with pv. 4-6, which assign it to Israel under Moses, and differs from Num. xxxii. 41, which places the Ḥawwoth-Ya'îr in Gilead; cp. 1 Kgs iv. 13, and 1 Chron. ii. 22, and as we have seen, on v. 13, Ya'ir is assigned by Judg. x. 3 ff. to the time of the Judges. The phrase unto this day also implies a date for this note later than that of Moses, which is assumed through the rest of the discourse. The opinion, therefore, is reasonable, that the note is a harmonising insertion altered from Num. xxxii. 41. Note the awkward construction. The word them in called them Hawwoth Ya'ir, confirmed by Sam. and LXX., has no proper antecedent (it cannot of course be explained by the preceding border), while in Num. xxxii. 41 it correctly refers to the preceding tent-villages. Note, too, the awkwardness of all Bashan as it stands. Moreover, the characteristic of Argob was not tent-villages but fenced cities (v. 4) .- The Geshuri and Maiakathi are placed by Jos. xii. 5, xiii. 11 between Gile ad and Hermon to the W. of Bashan; that is the mod. Jaulan (Gaulanitis), but the Masakathi spread across Iordan N.W. to Abel-beth-Ma'akah in Naphtali, 2 Sam, xx, 14 f., etc. These two were Aramean (Gen. xxii. 24; 2 Sam. xv. 8; 1 Chron. xix. 6); Israel failed to expel them (Jos. xiii. 3); David fought the king of Ma*akah (2 Sam. x. 6, where the LXX Αμαλήκ is probably an error; the Geshur of 2 Sam. iii. 3, xiii. 37 f. may be another tribe of that name S. of Judah, Jos. xiii. 2; 1 Sam. xxvii. 8); 1 Chron. ii. 33, where G'shur is said to have taken the H. Ja'îr, and xix. 6, are corrupt.-Hawwoth, cp. Ar. hiwa'at "a collection of tents."

15. And I gave Gilead unto Machir] Not irreconcilable with v. 11 where the N. half of Gile'da is assigned to half-Manasseh, for Manasseh, and paperently, is even taken for all Manasseh (104, v. 14, Nunn. sext. 297). apparently is even taken for all Manasseh (104, v. 14, Nunn. sext. 297). written 11 f. could hardly have immediately added the variant v. 14, hence the latter is reasonably taken as, like v. 14, a later insertion.

derived from Num. xxxii. 40.

 And unto the Reubenites, etc.] Since this verse repeats what is already stated, it also is regarded as secondary. 'The language of unto the valley of Arnon, the middle of the valley, 'and the border thereof; even unto the river Jabbok, which is the 'Ib border of the children of Ammon; the Arabah also, and Jordan 'and the border thereof, from Chinnereth even unto the sea of the Arabah, the Salt Sea, under the 'slopes of Pisgah eastward.

1 Or, for a border 2 Or, springs

16, however, is harmonious with that of ii. 36, and it is possible that this sequence represents the older form of the narrative, before the incorporation of the account of Og, for there seems no reason why an editorial expounder should thus imperfectly reproduce statements already made." (Oxf. Hex., 11. 25.1)

the middle of the valley for a border | That is, the exact border

was not the edge, but the stream-bed of the wady.

17. the Arabah also, and fordan for a border] The territory included the E. strip of the 'Arabah-hence eastwards at the end of the verse-with the Jordan as its W. limit, and this between Chinnereth on the N: and the Sea of the 'Arabah on the S. On the 'Arabah see i. 1. Kinnéreth was a town (Ios. xi. 2. xix. 35; the plur. Kinneroth a district, 1 Kgs xv. 20) either giving its name to, or taking its name from, the Sea of Kinnereth (Num. xxxiv. 11, P); probably the latter, if K. be from kinnor, harp, as this suits the shape of the Lake; in later times called the L. of Gennesaret, a name frequently but not plausibly derived from Kinnereth (HGHL, 443). The Sea of the 'Arabah (so iv. 40: 2 Kgs xiv. 28), the Salt Sea (so Gen. xiv. 3; Num. xxxiv. 3, 12; Jos. xv. 2, 5, xviii. 19); both names as here in Jos. iii. 16, xii. 23; called also front or E. Sea (Ezek, xlvii, 18; Ioel ii. 20; Zech, xiv. 8) in contrast to the Mediterranean the back or W. Sea, xi. 24. The Greeks gave the name Asphaltitis. 'The Dead Sea' first occurs under Augustus. Ar. Bahr Lût, 'Lot's Sea.'

the slopes of Pitgoth So iv. 49; Jos. xii. 3, xiii. 20. The Hebi-wheldth is slopes rather than 1-prings (A.V.) as appears from the massform of the word, Num. xxi. 15 (the exhel of the whiles, which struckeds is -dr's site and learn on the border of Model); slopes, too, is most suitable in Jos. x. 40, xii. 8, and with the use of the prepos. under in this able in Jos. x. 40, xii. 8, and with the use of the prepos. under in this verse. The Piggat (always so) is the name attached by E (Num. xxi. (G. B. Gray), or the beadlands, of the Maabite Plateau at the XEcorner of the Dead Sea. The headland of the Fitgoth, which Moses ascended, v. 27; is in xxxii. 49 (P) Mt. Nebo (ep. their identification in xxiv. 1), that headland S. of the W. Uyûn Musa, which bears the names en-Neba' and Rás en-Neba', just opposite the N. end of the sea (HGIII., 567). One of its lower steps, called Wat en-Na'am, is identified by Musil (Model, 27): 274) with the slopes of the Pitgoth. The deep W. en-Seyalle which cleaves this he takes as Abel Shittim And I commanded you at that time, saying, The LORD 18 your God hath given you this land to possess it: ye shall pass over armed before your brethren the children of Israel, all the men of valour. But your wives, and your little ones, 19

(Num. xxxiii. 49); but the latter is probably part of the Jordan valley. See further on Beth-P*or, v. 29. The name Pisgah has disappeared, unless we are to recognise it in the almost equivalent Rås Feshkhah, a headland on the opposite coast of the sea.

18—22. DIRECTIONS TO THE TWO-AND-A-HALF TRIBES AND TO JOSHUA.

At that time Moses charged the two-and-a-half tribes to send their warriors over Jordan till the conquest there was completed, leaving their families and cattle in the cities already given them (18-20). At that time, too, he charged Joshua (21 f.) .- To the charge to the twoand-a-half tribes the parallel is Num. xxxii. 16-32, which says that Reuben and Gad (these alone) offered to send their warriors to the W. campaign after building or fortifying cities for their children, and folds for their cattle E. of Jordan; and that Moses enforced this plan with threats of disaster if it was not carried out. Of this composite passage various analyses have been made; all that is clear is that JE narrated some such episode.—To the charge to Joshua, at that time, the Pent. has no parallel. On the ground that it anticipates 28 f. and xxxi. 7 ff. it is removed by some after v. 28, where indeed it is suitable. but by others has been taken to be no original part of the First Discourse by Moses. Yet the Discourse is not so compact and free of repetition that we need deny to its author such an anticipation of his own words; nor would it be surprising that in the traditions with which he worked there were recorded more than one charge to Joshua or at least several emphases of the fact that Joshua was exhorted by Moses; cp. i. 38. On the mixed forms of address, thou and you, see notes below.

18. And I commanded you] Them would be more natural, which some read; retain you, a symptom of the want of absolute preciseness in the writer's style.

armed] It is doubtful whether that is the original meaning of the Heb. word or with loins girt, or stripped of superfluous clothing, expeditus; the same word in Num. xxxii. 21 ff. (JE?): P also uses it but with a following noun id. 27, 29 and Josh. iv. 13.

children of Israel Not deuteronomic. See on iv. 44.

all the men of valour! Heb. sons of strength or valour. Like our
force the Heb. hail is also used for army, but with the article (e.g.
2 Sam. xxiv. 2), which does not occur in this phrase. The meaning is
all tapable of bearing arms.

and your cattle, (I know that ye have much cattle,) shall 20 abide in your cities which I have given you; until the Lorn give rest unto your brethren, as unto you, and they also possess the land which the Lorn your God giveth them beyond Jordan: then shall ye return-every man unto his 21 possession, which I have given you. And I commanded Joshua at that time, saying, Thine eyes have seen all that the Lorn your God hath done unto these two kings: so shall the Lorn do unto all the kingdoms whither thou goes 22 over. Ye shall not fear them: for the Lorn your God, he it is that fighteth for you.

19. much cattle] Cp. Num, xxxii. 1. In the O.T. Mo'ab, Gile'ad and Bashan, the seats of the two and a half tribes, are celebrated for their cattle, imported thence to W. Palestine, which has inferior pastures. See the writer's ferusalem, 1. 307, 321 ff. and HGHL. 523 f.

which I have given you] v. 12 f.; so Num. xxxii. 29, 33, 40.

20. until the LORD give rest | So xii. 10, xxv. 19, the deuteronomic

Josh. i. 13, 15, xxi. 44, xxii. 4, xxiii. 1, and not elsewhere in the Hex. in this sense, though the verb occurs in other meanings. beyond fordar! The standpoint of the speaker correctly observed as

in v. 25.

unto his possession] See ii. 5.

21. Thine eyes have seen] Rather, Thine own eyes are they that saw. The appeal to personal experience is characteristic of Deuteronomy: cp. iv. 3, xi. 7. LXX reads your eyes; but thine is confirmed by Sam.

your God LXX B our God. Omit with Sam. The formula has

been added by a copyist.

22. Ve shall not faur them] We may either take this PL as intended to comprise all the people with Joshus, or read, with Sam, some cold. of the LxX, and the Syriac, thou shall not four them, which was the original it is impossible to say. All the version for the original to the proposition of t

23-29. Moses' Prayer and its Rejection.

At that time Moses besought God to finish what He had begun and show him all His greatness (2; f), by letting him cross Jordan and view the whole land (2;). Wroth with him on Israel's account God refused (2f) and bade him ascend the Pisgah and thence view the land (27): also he must charge Joshus as his successor in leading Israel to their And I besought the LORD at that time, saying, O Lord \$\frac{3}{2}\$ (Gop, thou hast begun to show thy servant thy greatness, and thy strong hand: for what god is there in heaven or in earth, that can do according to thy works, and according to thy mighty acts? Let me go over, I pray thee, and see the 2g good land that is beyond Jordan, that goodly mountain, and Lebanon. But the LORD was wroth with me for your sakes, 26 and hearkened not unto me: and the LORD said unto me, Let it suffice thee; speak no more unto me of this matter. Get thee up into the top of Pisgah, and lift up thine eyes 27 let thee up into the top of Pisgah, and lift up thine eyes 27 let the up thou the top of Pisgah, and lift up thine eyes 27 let the up thou the top of Pisgah, and lift up thine eyes 27 let the up thou the top of Pisgah, and lift up thine eyes 27 let the up the the property of the up the

heritage (28). They abode in the ravine opposite Beth-Pero (z_0). $-T_0$ bits paryer there is no parallel in $|E_E|$ of the |E account of the ascent of the Fisgah see xxxiv. i.8f. Nor does |F| record the prayer; it ascribes the theorem of the Pisgah see xxxiv. i.8f. Nor does |F| record the prayer; it ascribes the |F| th

And I besought the Lord] In the Pent, the Heb, verb is used with the Deity only here; but to beseech man in E, Gen. xlii. 21.
 O Lord Goo] Heb, my Lord Jehovah.

thou hast legong) But not fulfilled in my sight! A pathetic emphasis, Moses prayed to see with his own eyes the completion of the great Providence carried so far at his hands. This temper is characteristic of all Deuteronomy: the passion to experience the full-rounded Providence of God in this life, absolutely no hope of another! As time went on a noble trust was born. The servant of febrovah out off from the land of the tiving, yet nee of the travail of his rout and is natified (1s. liii. 1); and [see showing defent even under the depthing it have [1th. 1]. Let this cop pass from me, accordinately well to have (1st. 1s.). Let this cop pass from me, accordinately well for dome.

thy greatness] v. 24, ix. 26, xi. 2; and thy strong hand, see iv. 34. what god is there, etc.] Ex. xv. 11. 25. the good land 1), 35.

that goodly mountain. To this day in Syria a whole range is called in the sing mountain; and in fact from Nebo and the Châr below it

in the sing, mountain; and in fact from Nebo and the Ghôr below it all W. Palestine appears one compact mountain-mass.

and Lebanon! In clear weather Hermon, the summit of what is

and Leonnor In clear weather Hermon, the summit of what is now particularised as Anti-Lebanon, is distinct from above Jericho and the concepts hills as one looks up the Châr

the opposite hills, as one looks up the Ghôr.

26. But the LORD was wroth with me] Heb. hith abber (lit. to exceed bounds) was enraged, a stronger term than that in i. 37, the note on which see for the whole of this verse.

27. the top of Pisgah] Rather, the headland of the Pisgah. See on 7. 17. and cp. xxxii. 48 ff., xxxiv, 1, and small print on xii. 2.

westward, and northward, and southward, and eastward, and behold with thine eyes: for thou shalt not go over this 28 Jordan. But charge Joshua, and encourage him, and strengthen him: for he shall go over before this people, and he shall cause them to inherit the land which thou 29 shalt see. So we abode in the valley over against Bethpeor.

28. But charge Joshua] See notes introd. to this and the previous section. In P (Num. xxvii. 15—21) the charge to Joshua preedes the arrangement with the two and a half tribes (Num. xxxii.), while in D it follows. No stress can be laid on this difference as D's term at that time is vague. But see Dri. in loco. Cp. also xxxi. 1—8.

29. the valley over against Beth peor] Heb. the gai = hollow, glen, ravine, inapplicable to the Iordan plain; rather one of the glens descending to this from the Moab-plateau. That suits the probable meaning of Pe'or, gap or cleft (Ar. fughrah, 'a river-mouth'; cp. the 'other Phogor' of Euseb. and Jer. near Bethlehem, the modern Kh. Fâghûr, PEF Map Sh. xvii.), Beth-Poor abbrev, from Beth-Ba'al-Pe'or, shrine of the B. of P. (cp. iv. 3). This gai of Israel's encampment, where also Moses was buried (xxxiv. 6), unnamed, but defined as over against Beth-pe'or (so too iv. 46), is also nameless in E, Num. xxi. 20, defined as in the region of Moab, and these words are added, headland of the Pisgah that looks upon the Y'shimon; and Num. xxiii. 28 gives a headland of Pe or that looks out upon the Yeshimon; while Beth-Pe or is placed by P. Josh, xiii, 20, with the slopes of the Pisgah and Beth-Yeshimôth. Again Euseb. and Jer. describe Beth-phogor as near Mt Phogor opposite Iericho 6 Roman miles above Livias, the mod. Tell er-Rameh, on the Jordan plain. These data suit the identification of the gai with the W. 'Uyûn Musa, on the N. of the Nebo or Pisgah headland (see on v. 17). So Dillm., G. A. Smith (HGHL, 564) and G. B. Gray (Num. xxi. 20). Further, Musil (Moab, 344 f., 348) suggests for the headland of Peter the headland to the N. of W. 'Uyûn Musa, and for Beth-Pe'or the ruins and shrine esh-Sheikh Jâyel on one of the steps of that headland, 'thence one gets the best view of the lower slopes and of the Jordan valley.' The stream of the wady between these two headlands, before it reaches the Dead Sea, passes the ruins es-Sueimeh, in which there is a possible echo of Yeshimon, and Yeshimôth; and the bare district about this lies in full view of both headlands. There is, therefore, no need to read Pisgah for Pe'or in Num. xxiii. 28 on the basis of Num. xxi. 20. On the whole the above identification of the Gai with the W. 'Uvun Musa is preferable to that with the next wady to the N., the W. Hesban (Driver). Conder's proposal for Beth-Pe'or (Heth and Moab, 146), the headland by 'Ain el Minyeh, would remove the Gai too far south,

And now, O Israel, hearken unto the statutes and unto 4 the judgements, which I teach you, for to do them; that ye may

CH. IV. 1-40. HORTATORY PART OF THE FIRST DISCOURSE.

The historical review closing with iii. 29, the rest of the discourse consists of exhortations to practise the Laws about to be announced and appeals to the nation's experience. Four obvious divisions: (1) 1-8. Commands to keep the Laws, with a reminder of Ba'al-Pe'or; (2) 9-24, Against idolatry, with memories of Horeb: (3) 25-31, Predictions of the nation's destruction by exile if they fall into idolatry and of God's mercy if they then repent; (4) 32-40, Appeals to their experience of the uniqueness of their God .- Though all four are concerned with the spiritual nature and uniqueness of Jehovah, their form and their contents both raise doubts of their unity, and of their connection with i. 6-iii. There is no regular progress; repetitions of, and apparent discrepancies with, i. 6-iii. occur; the passages on exile and repentance confined to 25-31 are held to be exilic; though the language is mainly deuteronomic there are curious outcrops of terms either found only in D and P, or elsewhere confined to v.-xxvi. On all these see below. Opinion is, therefore, divided as to the unity of this section, its integrity with i. 6-iii., and its date. Moore, Enc. Bibl., holds these further reasons for its exilic origin, that its monotheism is loftier than that of v.-xi., and that the greater part of it is but a homily on v. 25 ff. The first of these reasons is questionable-cp. v. 10-and even if true would be a precarious symptom of date: the second is also doubtful.

1-8. ENFORCEMENT OF THE IMPENDING LEGISLATION.

The main purpose of the discourse, the enforcement of the Law about to be given, for on the practice of these depends Isarel's survival in the Land (i f.)—let them remember Ba'al-Pe'or' [f.]—as well as their wisdom and fame as a people [f. f.], what other has such a God which indeed requires some such practical conclusion as is, provided in it, i.—a, and the unity of these w. with i.6—iii. is generally recognised, but as we shall see there is no reason to doubt that πr_0 . S=8 also belong to that unity.

And now] Emphatic call to the practical purpose of the discourse; the same in x. 12, the beginning of the last stage of the second introduction to the Code.

O Israel, hearken Se, imper, confirmed by Sam, and LXX in a

context using the Pl. form of address; an instance of the natural transition by the same author from one to the other, ep. v. § and i. 8. the statutes and ...the judgements! Heb. bubble and minipatin, a common title for the deuteronomic Laws, iv. 1, 5, 8, 14, v. 1, xi. 32, xii. 1, xxvi. 16; sometimes combined with or varied by migraah, commandument, and *vdavbil*, solemnly pronounced dozers (see or v. 45).

live, and go in and possess the land which the LORD, the 2 God of your fathers, giveth you. Ye shall not add unto the word which I command you, neither shall ye diminish from it, that ye may keep the commandments of the LORD your 3 God which I command you. Your eyes have seen what the LORD did because of Baal-peor: for all the men that followed

Hôk means engraven or instituted, a statute covering 'positive institutions or enactments, moral, ceremonial, eivil (e.g. vii. 1-3, xii., xiv., xvi. f. etc.)'; mishpat, lit. judgement, judicial decision, 'the

provisions of the civil and criminal law' (Driver).

which I teach you The participle, am about to teach you; cp. v. 5. It is remarkable that in the Pent, D alone uses this verb-teach and learn -of religion and the Law, and this no fewer than 17 times. The idea is the same as that of the prophets, especially Hosea and Jeremiah, that true religion rests on the knowledge of God, the people sinning because not understanding with the heart (Heb. for the practical intellect) what God is and demands; and perishing for lack of knowledge.

that ye may live] as a nation! That the national existence depends on the keeping of the Law is a principle of the deuteronomic writers. Understood in a thoroughly spiritual temper it is uncontestable. Every nation lives by loyalty to law, and the people who were loyal to the spirit of this law would be strong and survive. As a matter of fact Israel preserved its identity among the nations and survived the influences which overwhelmed the religions of its neighbours by its obedience. The Law was a fence about the people. But their danger was to substitute the letter for the spirit, as according to both Jeremiah and Jesus they did. On line cp. xxx. 6.

Ye shall not add unto the word ... neither ... diminish from it | So xii. 32 [Heb. xiii. 1], cp. Jer. xxvi. 2, Rev. xxii. 18 f. That the Law was tampered with in Iosiah's day is implied in Ier, viii, 8, the false pen of the scribes has wrought falsehood. Our verse and xii. 32 have been interpreted as if the deuteronomic law gave itself forth as the full, final letter of the Divine Revelation. This is not so: cp. its promise of a

new prophet like to Moses, xviii. 15 ff.

which I command you | Again the participle, am about to command. Sam, and Luc. add this day. Your eyes have seen | Cp. iii. 21.

because of Baal-peor] Heb. in Ba'al-Pe'or (=in Beth-Ba'al-Pe'or), a place-name as in Hos. ix. 10; cp. iii. 29. The sin and its punishment are related by IE, Num. xxv. 1-5; then follows, 6-16, a similar story about Israel and Midianite seductions, from P. Ba'al of Pe'or was a local deity, otherwise unknown to us. Driver (Deut. 63 f.) questions the usual opinion that he was a priapic deity, yet the close association of the charge of worshipping him with that of illicit interBaal-peor, the Loko thy God hath destroyed them from the midst of thee. But ye that did cleave unto the Loko your 4 God are alive every one of you this day. Behold, I have 5 taught you statutes and judgements, even as the Loko my God commanded me, that ye should do so in the midst of the land whither ye go in to possess it. Keep therefore and 6 do them; for this is your wisdom and your understanding in the sight of the peoples, which shall hear all these

course with the daughters of Moab, combined with the notorious impurity of the Syrian religions, appears to confirm the opinion. thy God...from the midst of thee] Note the change to the Sg. here

from the Pl. in the beginning of the verse. Sam. and LXX, probably less originally, give Pl. throughout. For similar changes see 777. 25, 29, 34; xi. 13, 14.

ye that did cleave unto the LORD your God] See on x. 20.
 Behold, I have taught you] The perf. of the verb in contrast

o. nesseld, i. newe naugest you! The perf. of the verb in contrast with the flut, in v. I raises questions. Does Moses now refer to laws which he has already promulgated from Horeb onward (see Driver)? newer than the promulgation of the deuteronomic laws (Dilling, neutral as: v. i. Oris this verse out of place here, and borrowed from an address by Moses after the promulgation of the deuteronomic laws (Dilling, westplas, Steuern, etc.)? Or is it the mistake of a scribe (Kosters)? Bertholet seeks a solution in the fact that when the Heh. worb for helded (refs, sing. but Sam. and LXX plur); is followed by a finite verb the perfect is used even where we should expect a future (e.g. Gen. 14, 41, 1 Chr. xiz. 23). Thus the action in view is represented as if the continuous c

whither ye go in to possess it] The only Pl. passage which gives this phrase (though v. 1 has a variant) so distinctive of the Sg. passages

that in them it occurs to times. See on vi. 1.

6. Keep therefore and do them] So eight times in D (as also eight in P); the similar keep (or observe) to do occurs some 20 times both with Sg. and Pl. This practical emphasis is characteristic of the Book. Men are often content to remember the commandments.

for this is your wisdom and your understanding] Not your mere possession of the law, but this your doing of it, shall be your intellectual

strength. Cp. John vii. 17.

in the sight of the peoples, which shall...say] So actually it came to pass. Loyalty to the Law ensured not only the national existence of srael (see on v. 1), but their fame among the Gentiles; who shall say. This great nation is a wise and understanding people. Most signally

statutes, and say, Surely this great nation is a wise and 7 understanding people. For what great nation is there, that hath 'a god so nigh unto them, as the Lordo our God is 8 whensoever we call upon him? And what great nation is there, that that statutes and judgements so righteous as all this

1 Or, God

fulfilled by the fame of the Jews among illuminated Greeks after Alexander's conquest of Asia. Hexaneus of Abdera, Clearchus, Theophrastus, Megasthenes, Hernippus all call the Jews the philosophers of the Sast Jerinathm, il., 40; etc.). The cause of such a fame was not of course the wise details of the Law, nor even that the mation possessed and lived by it, in a way upparalleled by any nation in religious spirit of the Law, its unique nonothetim. And so the discourse now proceeds to speak of Israel's God.

Surely] Heb. rak. See on x. 15.

7. For what great nation ... hath a god so nigh Both noun, elohim, and adj., kerobim, are plural. Elohim may signify a god, or gods, as vi. 14 and elsewhere; or the general idea of Deity, this chiefly but not always in the mouth of, or addressed to, the heathen, e.g. v. 24. Gen. xx. 13, Exod. xxxi. 18; or may stand for the God of Israel (cp. the deuteronomic 2 Sam. vii. 23). Here it is either of the first three—a god, gods or God (R.V. marg.). The rest of the verse explains what is meant by nigh: He hears prayer and answers it by actual deeds. The prophets' contrast of Israel's experience of God with that of other nations is constant and remarkable-a proof of the experimental, practical quality of their religion. Jeremiah insists that the gods of the heathen are vanities and do not profit them (ii. 8, 11, 13; broken cisterns, 28, xvi. 10 f. etc.); cp. the Prophet of the Exile ('Isai,' xliv. 0 f., xlvii, 12, xlviii. 17) and his argument that Jehovah alone promises and fulfils ('Isai,' xli, 21 ff.). To all the prophets, but especially to Isaiah, God is not only the infinitely sublime, but the infinitely near, hearing prayer, ready to help, interested, vigilant and active in all the details of their everyday life. Legal Judaism lost this sense of the constant nearness of God, and did not compensate for the loss by its apocalypses.

8. And what great nation...hath stanter... or righteout] This challenge is as just as the preceding. Other great codes and systems of ethics there undoubtedly were in Israel's world (e.g. the Code of Hammurbi and various systems in Egypt). But the deuteronomic Tornh is rightly exalted above them—because of its pure religious fervency, its revelation of the Divine character, and its enforcement, in the details of human conduct, of the example of God Himself. Moreover, the Law of no other nation in Israel's world has sexered so practical an influence on the ethics of mankind. How necessary it was to impress Israel, both immediately before and during the Esile, with the dis-

law, which I set before you this day? Only take heed to 9

tinction which the Law gave them among the nations is seen from such passages as Eack. xx. 32, xx. 8. The heathen said Israel is like all the nations, and Israelites were tempted to fall back upon the easier thics of their neighbours, we will be at the heathen. This is the temptation of all recipients of high ideals and duties; none are more exposed to it than Christians; they must remind themselves, as this discourse insists, of the privilege and responsibility of those who having known the better date to the country of the control of the

set before you] Not prescribe or enforce; but offer for your decision and acceptance. So xi. 26, 32, xxx. 1, 15, 19. The affirmation of the people's responsibility is characteristic of D.

9-24. AGAINST IDOLATRY.

The truth that is beneath the whole Law: God is revealed not in minges, but by works and deeds of redemption. Warned to lay their experience to heart (o), Israel are reminded of the revelation at Horek, solely by words and the covenant (10–14); let them recall they saw no form (13) lest they make any idol of any living thing in earth, air or other peoples (10), but no gods for those whom He hath redeemed for Himself (20). For their sakes, Moses is not to cross Jordan (2+f.) so he enjoins them to take heade. Jehowsh is a devouring fire (13f.);

In substance the passage is a unity-except perhaps v. 19. In form it is in the Pl. address with a few transitions to the Sg.; all, except 77, 10, confirmed by Sam, and LXX. These are typical of the various causes which may have led to frequent transitions. The Sg. is logically explicable in v. 9, perhaps too in 10; coincides in 19 with the only change of subject, and so possibly marks a later addition; in 21 may be due to the later addition of a formula; while 24 is possibly a quotation and the preceding thee in 23 due to the attraction of its Sg. The language is in the main deuteronomic, but the section has been taken (along with 32-40) as from another hand than i. 6-iv. 8 (alternatively i, 6-iv, 4) on these grounds: that the same author would not have repeated in 21 f. what he has narrated in iii 26; that 10 ff. imply that Moses is addressing the same generation as was alive at Horeb and are therefore discrepant with i. 35 ff. and ii. 16, while agreeing with the Second Discourse, cp. vii. 16; that of the phrases used some are found in D only in v .- xxvi., xxviii. (lest thou forget, 9, 23, vi. 12, viii. 11, 14, 19, ix. 7, xxv. 19; which thine eyes have seen, 9, vii. 19, x. 21, cp. xi. 7; all the days of thy life, 9, vi. 2, xvi. 3, xvii. 19); thyself, and keep thy soul diligently, lest thou forget the things which thine eyes saw, and lest they depart from thy heart all the days of thy life; but make them known unto 10 thy children and thy children's children; the day that thou stoodest before the Loxn by God in Horeb, when the Loxn said unto me, Assemble me the people, and I will make them hear my words, that they may learn to fear me all the

others are found only in P (made and female, winged fourl, anything, that creepts, 17, 10 or other late writers (figure, 6, irmn furnate, 10). Note, too, people of inheritance, 10, for the usual peculiar people. The discrepancy (see below) is not conclusive; neither does the language necessarily imply an exilic date; even the phrases found elsewhere nowly in P are very general. The similarities to v.—xxvi, xxviii, may imply a date subsequent to the latter; but are too few to render such an inference certain.

Ouly] Not restriction to one point, but emphasis on the principle
of the whole of the Law. For the use of this restrictive adverb so frequent in D see on x. 15.

take heed to thyself] Found in JE, Gen. xxxi. 24, etc., but frequent

in D-9 times thus, and 5 more generally.

keep thy soul diligently] Rather, guard well thyself (cp. 23 Pl.)

or thy life; cp. 1, that ye may live.

lett thou forget the things which thine eyes saw] The experience of the nation as a whole is meant, and not only that of the generation addressed. So the prophets frequently call on their contemporaries to remember what happened to the nation long ago. Hence the transition in this verse to the Sg. is natural and does not imply another author. Similarly throughout the following discourse v—xi. See on x. 21.

thy heart] The seat not of the emotions but of the practical intellect, or, as here, of the memory. Cp. our 'to get by heart,' 'lay to heart.'

make them known unto thy children] First instance of the frequent enforcement to hand on the religious tradition: 10, vi. 7, 20 f., xi. 19, xxxi. 13, xxxii. 43.

10. the day Governed by lest thou forget in v. 9; or an acc. of

thou stoodest before...thy God] So Sam., the nation being still regarded as an individual; LXX re stood.

Assemble me the people] See below on v. 22.

may learn to foar! The frequent commands to fear, or learn to fear, God, v. 29, vi. 24, viii. 6, x, 12, xiv. 23, xvii. 19, xxviii. 58, xxxi. 13, associate that temper with hearing, reading, or doing God's law, or outling in His roays. It is thus no inarticulate, brutish awe before the unknown, which we call supersition, but the vigilant, scrupulous temper of a servant to whom his lord's will has been fully declared—

days that they live upon the earth, and that they may teach their children. And ye came near and stood under the 11 mountain; and the mountain burned with fire unto the heart of heaven, with darkness, cloud, and thick darkness. And the LORD spake unto you out of the midst of the fire: 12 ye heard the voice of words, but ye saw no form; only ye heard the voice of words, but ye saw no form; only ye heard the commanded you to perform, even the ten

cp. Lat. 'religio' and our general use of 'religious' and 'religiously'
—an earnest, anxious obedience; never a mere feeling, but the intelligent
and loyal practice of a trust. See also on xiv. 23.

11. ye came near and stood under the mountain] E, Exod. xix. 17, took station in the nether part of the mount.

burned with fire] J, Exod. xix. 18, Mount Sinai was all on smoke ...

as the smoke of a furnace, and ...quaked greatly; E, Exod. xx. 18, thunder, lightning, and mount smoking.

unto the heart of heaven] A characteristic deuteronomic addition;

cp. i. 28.

voith darkness, cloud, and thick darkness] The accumulation is characteristic; cp. E, Exod. xix. 16, thick cloud; xx. 21, thick darkness; P, xxiv. 15, b, 18 a, cloud.

12. the LORD spake...out of the midst of the fire | So 15, 33, 36, v. 4, 22, 24, ix. 10, x. 4. J. Exod. xix. 18, descended in fire; P, Exod.

xxiv. 17, the glory of Jehovah like devouring fire.

the voice of words...only...a voice] E, Exod. xix. 19, God answered by a voice; P, Exod. xiv. 16, called out of the cloud; E, Exod. xix. 16, 19, xx. 18, reiterates the sound of a trumpet, exceeding loud. The omission of this by D is noteworthy.

ye into no form! Hels. Findinals, form or shape; E. Exod. xx. 4. This feeling, that seeing is more sensuous than hearing, was shared by the prophets, who forbad the presentation of God in any physical shape, yet did not hesitate to use words describing Him in the likeness of a man; father, husband, warrior, even as a travailine woman.

xxxii. 18, 'Isai.' xlii. 13 f.

13. his covenant, which he commanded you! Heb. Brith [prob. from a root = 06 him] meant any compact, contract or largain: between friends, 1 Sam. xviii, 3; man and wife, Prov. ii. 17; master and servant, 105 kil. 4; kiing and people, 2 Sam. v. 3; former foes, whether individuals, of lii. 12., or peoples, [1, Exol. xxiii, 32] Dent. wii. 3 (the only 1 Sam. xii. 1

1 commandments; and he wrote them upon two tables of stone. 14 And the LORD commanded me at that time to teach you statutes and judgements, that ye might do them in the land 15 whither ye go over to possess it. Take ye therefore good heed unto yourselves; for ye saw no manner of form on the

1 Heb. words.

Used first in a religious sense by JE, Gen. xv. 18, etc. of God's covenant with the patriarchs; Exod. xix. 5, xxiv. 7 ff. etc. with Israel at Horeb; less used by the prophets, e.g. Hos. vi. 7, viii. 1; Jer. xi. 10, xxxi. 32; but very frequent in Deut., iv. 31, vii. 12, viii, 18, etc., with patriarchs (cp. vi. 18, ix 5, xi. 9, etc.); iv. 13, 23, v. 2, ix. 9, 11, 15, at Horeb; xvii. 2(?), xxix, 1, 0, 12, 14, 21, 25 renewed in Mo'ab. The terms commanded by God were the words of the covenant, J, Exod. xxxiv. 28, or the covenant alone as here, i.e. the Decalogue, but in xxix. 1 the whole Deuteronomic Code; book of the covenant, E, Exod. xxiv. 7, the Horeb legislation, but in 2 Kgs xxiii. 2f., 21, cp. Deut. xxix. 21, the Deuteronomic Code. The tables of the Decalogue were the tables of the covenant, ix. 9, 11, 15; hence D's characteristic name for the Ark, the Ark of the Covenant, x. 8, xxxi. 9, 25 and in Josh. A covenant was solemnised by a sacrificial feast, Gen. xxi. 28 ff., xxxi. 46, 54. Hence probably the phrase to cut or strike a covenant (karath berith), cp. δρχια τέμνειν. Beyond the frequent use of this phrase, e.g. iv. 23. D nowhere associates the covenant with sacrifice. God makes (karath) it and it is His; swears to it; forgets it not, keeps, fulfils and establishes it, iv. 31, vii. 12, viii, 18, etc.; keeping covenant and true love, vii. 9, 12. Israel enters into it, xxix. 12, and is bound to keep and to do it, passim.

the ten commandments] Words. So also x. 4. E, Exod. xx. 1, all these words. A gloss in Exod. xxxiv. 28 has the ten words. See Driver's

note on both passages; and below on v. 5, 'The Ten Words.'

he wrote them upon two tables of stone | See below on v. 22. On the 'covenants' mentioned in the Pentateuch see Driver, Exod. p. 175.

14. And the LORD commanded me at that time, etc. 1 Heb. emphasises me; these additional laws given through Moses appear, from the following phrase, to be the laws he is now about to publish, cp. v. 5; yet the words at that time point to the inclusion with them of the laws at Horeb, E. Exod. xx. 22-xxiii. 33.

whither ye go over to possess it] A phrase peculiar to passages in the

Pl. address. Contrast v. s. See on vi. I. Vv. 13 f. form a slight digression from the main subject of 0-24, and are taken by some as a later intrusion. But this is to forget the general

discursiveness of the author. See too next note.

15. ye saw no manner of form] Resumes and repeats the reminder in v. 12 in a way that would have been unnecessary but for the digression in 13 f.; and proves that the latter is original. Form, Heb. temanah.

day that the LORD spake unto you in Horeb out of the midst of the fire; lest ve corrupt yourselves, and make you a 16 graven image in the form of any figure, the likeness of male or female, the likeness of any beast that is on the earth, the 17 likeness of any winged fowl that flieth in the heaven, the 18 likeness of any thing that creepeth on the ground, the likeness of any fish that is in the water under the earth: and 19 lest thou lift up thine eyes unto heaven, and when thou seest the sun and the moon and the stars, even all the host of heaven, thou be drawn away and worship them, and

16. lest ve corrupt yourselves | Act perniciously.

a graven image] Heb. pesel: any idol carved in stone or wood. figure] Heb. semel, only here; Ezek. viii. 3, 5; 2 Chron. xxxiii. 7, 15, the Phoen. apparently for a statue, dνδριds (CIS I. i. 41, line I; 88, lines 2, 5; 91, 1). So here of the human figure as the following words show.

the likeness, etc.] Rather, the build or mould, Heb. tabnith, of male or female.

17. the likeness | Again tabuith.

winged fowl] Heb. bird of wing : cp. P, Gen. vii. 14; i. 21. 18. the water under the earth] The Hebrews conceived the sea not

only as lower than and round the earth, but as passing beneath it (the earth being established or fixed over it) and so forming the source of all fountains, many of which in Syria are salt, and of all streams. Cp. Pss. xxiv. 2, xxxvi. 6, the great deep; Am. vii. 4; Jon. ii. 3-6, and see below on xxxiii. 13.

19. lest thou lift up thine eyes unto heaven] Change to Sg., confirmed by Sam. and LXX.

and when thou seest the sun, etc.] xvii. 3: sun, moon or any of the host of heaven. Unlike the warnings against idolatry this one is not found in IE or P. The host of heaven was the dominant influence in Babylonian religion, and though there are traces of astral worship from the earliest times in the neighbourhood of Jerusalem (cp. Bit-Ninib in the Tell-el-Amarna Letters, Beth-shemesh, etc.), it first became an active danger to Israel, when under Ahaz Assyrian example began to tempt the people of Jehovah, and in the last days of N. Israel, 2 Kgs xvii. 16, and in Judah under Manasseh, 2 Kgs xxi. 3, 5, xxiii. 4, 5, 11, Assyria imposed on her tributaries the forms of Babyl, culture. Cp. the preexilic prophets Zeph, i. 5: Ier, vii. 18, viii. 2, xix, 13, xliv, 17: Ezek. viii. 16. These show that the worship was both national, in the temple, and domestic. On the temptations in Jerusalem to the worship of the heavenly host see Jerusalem, 11. 186 f. The natural seductiveness of the worship is well indicated by the successive verbs used here.

thou be drawn away] Rather reflexive, let thyself be drawn, xxx. 4, 17: cp. the active form, xiii. 5, 10, 13 [Heb, 6, 11, 14].

DEUTERONOMY

serve them, which the LORD thy God hath divided unto all 20 the peoples under the whole heaven. But the LORD hath taken you, and brought you forth out of the iron furnace, out of Egypt, to be unto him a people of inheritance, as at 21 this day. Furthermore the LORD was angry with me for your sakes, and sware that I should not go over Jordan, and that I should not go in unto that good land, which the LORD 22 thy God giveth thee for an inheritance; but I must die in

worship them, and serve them] Rather, bow down to them and worship them. Cp. v. q. viii. 19, xi. 16, xvii. 3, xxix. 26 (25), xxx. 17. and the addition to E. Exod. xx. 5.

which the LORD thy God hath divided unto all the peoples] Distributed, or allotted. An interesting attempt by the writer to reconcile his great truth that Jehovah is God alone with the fact that the other nations worship other gods (cp. xxix. 26). This is part of His supreme Providence. Some find also in the words the feeling that such cults preserved the Gentiles from utter ignorance of God, and cite Clem. Alex. (Strom. VI. 14, 110 f.); the stars have been assigned to them, Ira μη τέλεον άθεοι γενόμενοι τελέως και διαφθάρωσιν, and as a guide to God Himself, όδὸς γάρ αθτη δοθείσα τοῖς ἔθνεσιν ἀνακθψαι πρὸς θεόν.

The coincidence of the change of address to the Sg. with the change of subject leads some to take the verse as an intrusion by a later hand. But it may be a later addition by the author of the context himself on consideration of xvii. 3, and as this is in the Sg. form it would account for his change to the Sg. here. But note the parallel under the whole heaven with ii. 25. In any case there is no need to take the passage as post-exilic: the danger it would avert was, as the passages cited show, especially strong before the exile.

20. But, etc.] Heb. But you, emphatic, hath Jehovah taken. Israel,

so taken and redeemed, must worship Him alone.

out of the iron furnace, out of Egypt] Cp. the deuteronomic 1 Kgs viii. 51 and Jer. xi. 4. The increase of references to iron-smelting from the 8th cent. onwards is noteworthy; Jerusalem, 1. 332.

a people of inheritance] cp. xxxii. 9; elsewhere in D a peculiar people, cp. vii. 6.

as at this day | See ii. 30.

21. Furthermore the LORD was angry with me for your sakes | See on i. 37, iii. 26. The fact is again introduced here as a relevant motive to the following exhortation; this answers the proposal to treat it, on account of its repetition, as an intrusion.

that good land] Heb. the; see on i. 35.

which the LORD thy God giveth thee for an inheritance Heb. partic. is about to give thee, xix. 10, xx. 16, xxi. 23, xxiv. 4, xxvi. 1; as an inheritance to possess it, xv. 4, xxv. 19; cp. xix. 31; only in D, and almost always with the Sg. address, but cp. xxix. 8. The transition to the Sg. is confirmed by Sam. and LXX.

this land, I must not go over Jordan: but ye shall go over, and possess that good land. Take heed unto yourselves, 23 lest ve forget the covenant of the LORD your God, which he made with you, and make you a graven image in the form of any thing which the LORD thy God hath forbidden thee. For the LORD thy God is a devouring fire, a jealous God.

When thou shalt beget children, and children's children, 25 and ye shall have been long in the land, and shall corrupt

23. Take heed unto vourselves | See on vv. q. 15; covenant, see on v. 13; and for the rest v. 16.

24. a devouring fire | Cp. ix. 3: a frequent description of God in

Isaiah: xxix. 6, xxx. 27, 30. a jealous God] v. 9, vi. 15. J, Exod. xxxiv. 14, Jehovah whose

name is Jealous is a jealous God. These two expressions always occur in Sg. passages; and the Sg. here may be explained as a quotation. On jealous see Driver on Ex. xx. 5.

25-31. THREAT OF EXILE WITH PROMISE OF GRACE ON REPENTANCE.

If, with the slackness of increasing years, Israel give way to idolatry (25) Moses testifies that they shall perish from the land (26), and be scattered among the peoples (27) where indeed they must worship senseless idols (28). So far the Pl. address. But if-change to the Sg.-in these latter days of tribulation the nation seeks and returns to lehovah it shall find Him (29 f.). He will not fail nor forget His covenant (31) .- As we shall see from the notes the threat of exile is no sufficient ground for judging 25-28 to be an exilic addition, but there are several phrases which only D and P have. Others are found only in xxviii. The exilic origin of 20-31 is more probable. Dillm. denies a connection between 25 and the preceding; it seems to the present writer that 25-28 is a natural continuation of v. 23. This, however, by itself does not prove identity of authorship.

25. When thou shalt beget ... and ye shall have been] Read, ye shall The sentence illustrates the difficulties raised by the variant forms of address. So quick a change from Sg. to Pl., confirmed by LXX (though Sam. has Pl. for both verbs), is logically possible (thou = the mother nation; ye=the nation and its children). Yet the Sg. is more probably due to the attraction of the previous Sg., a copyist naturally continuing the latter till the changed form arrested him. For thy God both Sam. and LXX read your God. Thus the Pl. is complete throughout 25-28. The word for beget only here, xxvii, 1 and in P.

ye shall have been long Or grown oid or stale, used of old corn, Lev. xxvi. 10, and inveterate leprosy, xiii, 11. Here not merely living long

yourselves, and make a graven image in the form of any thing, and shall do that which is evil in the sight of the 26 LORD thy God, to provoke him to anger: I call heaven and earth to witness against you this day, that ye shall soon utterly perish from off the land whereunto ye go over Jordan to possess it; ye shall not prolong your days upon it, but 25 shall uterly be destroyed. And the LORD shall scatter you among the peoples, and ye shall be left few in number among the pations, whither the LORD shall lead you away.

among the peoples, and ye shall be left rew in number among the nations, whither the Lors ball lead you away. 28 And there ye shall serve gods, the work of men's hands, wood and stone, which neither see, nor hear, nor eat, nor in the land, but growing aged in spirit, losing spiritual freshness.

Similarly the prophets judged the wilderness days to have been the ideal period of Israel's history, the subsequent ages decadent. corrupt yourselves] See on v. 16; graven image, etc., ibid. do evil in the eyes of the LORD] ix. 18, xvii. 2, xxxi. 20, and P, Num.

xxxii. 13; or good, vi. 18, xii. 28.

to provoke him] ix. 18, xxxi. 29, xxxii. 16, 21, also in deuteronomic passages in Kings and in Jeremiah.

26. I call heaven and earth to mines: against you. So xxx. 19, xxxi. 28, Rerth, points out that the older style earth and hearon. In controversy between God and Isanel nature is introduced as the executioner of His indegements, or as suffering these with man; or as illustrating the steady laws or principles on which God acts in the moral sphere; or as here, Mic. 14: His as witnessing against man. Enduring, the heavens God and man, and when His evits come will be able to testify that God had warned the people. But differently in xxxii. 1, 4, 2.

ye shall soon utterly perish from off the land Perish that is as a nation, vii. 4, xi. 17, xxviii, 20 and the deuteronomic Josh, xxiii, 16,

Soon, vii. 4, 22, ix. 3, 12, 16, xxviii. 20.

whereunto ye go over fordan to possess it] characteristic of the Pl. passages. See Introd. and on 27. 5, 14 and vi. 1.

ye shall not prolong your days] Again, as a nation. In the Hex. only here and iv. 40, v. 33, xi. 9, xvii. 20, xxii. 7, xxx. 18, xxxii. 47;

and passive, v. 16, vi. 2, xxv. 15. Cp. E, Josh xxiv. 31.

27. few in number] Heb. idiom men of a number, easily counted, instead of being innumerable, as the stars in heaven for multitude.

28. ye thalf serve gods, the wave of mor's hands, etc.] The acme of their punishment. They have chosen to serve idods; idols must be serve in a land where the worship of Jehovah is impossible. This scorn an escential temper of monotherism, appearing also in Hos. viii, 6, xiii. z: lasi. ii. 8, zo, etc.; Jer. ii. 8, x. i.—i. o, and most frequently in 'Isai'. xl. inf., xii, x. ii., xl. ii. g. xii. y. y. o, xl. ii. f. f.

smell. But if from thence ye shall seek the LORD thy God, 29 thou shalt find him, if thou search after him with all thy heart and with all thy soul. When thou art in tribulation, to and all these things are come upon thee, in the latter days thou shalt return to the LORD thy God, and hearken unto his voice: for the LORD thy God is a merciful God; he will 31 not fail thee, neither destroy thee, nor forget the covenant

Or, if in the latter days thou return

29. But if from thence ve shall seek ... thou shalt find | The Pl. ve is due either to the attraction of the plurals of the previous verses or to a dittography. How easily the former worked is seen from the LXX which carries the Pl. as far as search after him. Read with Sam. thou shalt seek. Thus the Sg. stands throughout 20-31. Omit him after find; cp. Jer. xxix. 13.

with all thy heart and with all thy soul | Heart the seat of the practical intellect (see on v. 9); soul of the desires, the two thus covering the whole man. See vi. 5, x. 12, xi. 13, xiii. 3, xxvi. 16, xxx. 2, 6, 10 (vi. 5 adds with all thy force), and deuteronomic passages in Josh. and Kgs; once in Jer. xxxii. 41 of God. This enforcement of spiritual thoroughness is characteristic of D.

30. all these things] Implied in 26 f.
in the latter days] The end or issue of the days; frequently in the prophets of what is beyond the period with which they are engaged. and hearken unto his voice! Found also in IE, this phrase much

oftener occurs in D; no less than 17 times. 31. a merciful God] Cp. JE, Exod. xxxiv. 6.

he will not fail thee] Rather, will not let thee drop (Driver); will hold thee fast. Cp. xxxi. 6, 8; Iosh. i. s.

nor forget the covenant] See on v. 13.

Further Note on 25-31. The two parts of this 25-28 and 29-31 are probably separate; note the change of address. Berth, says that the whole 'bears clearly the stamp of exilic authorship.' This is not true of 25-28, the threat of exile. After the exile of N. Israel in 721 and the precedents in prophecy for a threat of exile (cp. Amos, Isaiah and Ieremiah), and the notorious policy of Assyria towards subject races. it would on the contrary have been strange not to have found in the pre-exilic deuteronomists, with their prophetic temper, some foreboding of exile. Dillm. rightly says, 'the threat of exile has nothing surprising in it,' if we compare ch. xxviii. But the case is different with the promise contingent on the conversion of the people in exile. In itself it is as conceivable in D as in the prophets (whom it is impossible to regard, as a powerful school of criticism does, as predicters only of judgement), but as Dillm, points out it lies here too far away from the purpose of the exhortation1. Add to this reasons of form, (1) that the

1 There is an analogy, however, in xxix, f.



32 of thy fathers which he sware unto them. For ask now of the days that are past, which were before thee, since the day that God created man upon the earth, and from the one end of heaven unto the other, whether there hath been any such thing as this great thing is, or hath been heard like 33 it? Did ever people hear the voice of God speaking out of 34 the midst of the fire, as thou hast heard, and live? Or hath

for introducing 32 ff. has no relevancy to 29-31, but continues 25-28 (see Driver), and (2) the change from the Pl. to the Sg. address-and there is a strong case for taking 29-31 as a later exilic insertion like xxx. 1-10. Berth.'s argument that 32 naturally follows v. 24 is met by the fact that it more naturally follows v. 28, and we have already seen that 25-28 are the natural continuation of v. 23. We may, therefore, take 25-28 as integral, and only 29-31 as a later exilic intrusion.

32-40. THE UNIQUENESS OF THE GOD OF ISRAEL.

This further appeal to the sole deity of Israel's God is founded upon the nation's experience of the unparalleled revelations He has made to them, the unparalleled deeds which He has performed for their deliverance (32-30); and it closes on the note with which the ch. opened, the enforcement of the practice of His laws (40). - Throughout in the Sg. form of address; for apparent exceptions see on v. 34. The section is joined by Berth. with 9-24 as one separate discourse, but as we have seen 32 connects even more naturally with 28. Over against the change to the Sg. address we have to place the sympathy of the contents and the similarity of the style with those of vv. 1-8, Vv. 32-39 best develop v. 7, while 40, which there is no reason for supposing with Steuern, to be a mere scribal addition of formulas, suitably rounds off the whole by a return to the keynote of v. 1. If iv. 9-40 be a later addition to i. 6-iv. 8, it has been very skilfully and sympathetically added.

32. For] The connection, as we have seen, is not with the imme-

diately preceding 29-31, but with either 28 or 24,

ask now, etc.] The challenge is bold and characteristic of D. From the first of time, from one end of heaven to the other, nothing has ever happened like that which Israel has experienced at Horeb or in the deliverance from Egypt to which the next verses proceed.

the day that God created man] P, Gen. i. 27, v. 1, created, bara', P's characteristic expression for I's made and formed.

whether there hath been] Heb. brought itself into being, happened. 33. voice of God | Rather, the voice of a god, and with Sam, and LXX add living. Cp. v. 26.

and live v. 23 ff. The well-known belief of ancient man that it meant death to come into close converse with the Deity.

God assayed to go and take him a nation from the midst of another nation, by ¹temptations, by signs, and by wonders, and by war, and by a mighty hand, and by a stretched out

1 Or, trials Or, evidences

34. Or hath God assayed] Rather, hath a god. The verb nissah is rendered in xxviii. 56 adventured. It is also used for the tempting or testing of Israel by God, viii. 2, 16, xiii. 3 (4) (also in E), or of God by Israel, vi. 46 (also in IE).

to go] Heb. to come, which is better, meaning to come upon earth.

by temptations, by signs, and by wonders | vii. 19, xxix. 2 (partly vi. 22, xi. 3). Temptations, rather tests, provings or experiments, massôth (from the verb explained in previous note), such as those applied to Phara'oh: not only to prove him, but to offer him proofs that God was with Israel-so in the account of the plagues in JE, especially Exod. viii. off., ix. 27. Signs or evidences, 'othoth, in the widest sense, any distinguishing mark (e.g. blood on the doorposts of the Israelites, Exod. xii. 13; a family mark or ensign, Num. ii. 2); but usually of an action or event attached to an oracle, either to illustrate or enforce its meaning (Isaiah stripped and barefoot, Isai. xx. 3) or to prove its divinity (Isai. vii. 3, etc.). These last, though startling, were not necessarily miraculous; cp. 1 Sam. ii. 34, the death of Eli's sons, Isai, viii. 18, the prophet's sons with the ominous names and as above, Isai, xx, 3; but as in the cases before us they might be so. Orientals make no distinction, except of degree, between one kind and another. Wonders, mophethim (usually with signs; in addition to deuteronomic passages quoted above, and xiii. 1 (2), see Isai. viii. 18, xx. 3), rather portents, more closely attached to the idea of the extraordinary than sign is. Also with the particular sense of foreshadowing, prodigium; cp. Zech. iii. 8. See also Driver's Exedus p. 50.

by wor! To ask whether this implies a supernatural element, or simply the inspiration of Israefs armies, is to ignore the fact that Israel themselves made no such distinction. Jebovah himself was their warpace; Vi. id. 24. He discondition the Egyptian twist; J. id. 23, He take off their chariet-wheels, so that the Egyptian said, Jebovah Eghtelh for them. But in other cases Israel themselves also fought.

by a mighty hand] In D to times, both with Sg, and Pl.; iii. 24, thy mighty hand; followed by outstretched arm, as here, v. 15, vii. 19, xi. 2, xxvi. 8; alone, vi. 21, vii. 8, ix. 20; followed by great terrorz, xxxiv. 12. In JE (2), Exod. iii. 19, vi. 1, alone; cp. xiii. 14, 16, strength of hand.

and by a stretched out arm] In D 6 times both with Sg. and Pl.; of which five times (as above) with a mighty hand, and once ix. 29 with great power. Elsewhere in the Hex. only in P, Exod. vi. 6, which also uses the verb stretch forth in Exod. vii. 5.

arm, and by great terrors, according to all that the Losa 55 your God did for you in Egypt before your eyes? Unto thee it was shewed, that thou mightest know that the Losa 6 he is God; there is none less beside him. Out of heaven he made thee to hear his voice, that he might instruct thee: and upon earth he made thee to see his great fire; and thou 37 heardest his words out of the midst of the fire. And because he loved thy fathers, therefore he chose their seed after them, and brought thee out with his presence, with his great spewer, and the seed of the greater and mightier than thou, to bring thee in, to give yothee their land for an inheritance, as at this day. Know

by great terrors] Heb. môra'im, terrifying things. LXX ὁράματα, marr'im, accepted by Geiger; but it is weaker than the other. Cp. x. 21, great and terrible things.

for you] LXX omits and for your God gives our God. The only

plurals in this section; probably editorial.

before your eyes] Heb. thine eyes; the your of both EVV shows how

easy it is to change the original forms of address under the influence of attraction: there is a similar instance in A.V. iv. 3 you for thee.

35. Unto thee it was shewed Heb. Thou, thresh; wast made to

See it. Again an emphasis on the experimental character of Israel's religion. Jehovah does something! The formative effect of the tradition of the Exodus on that religion cannot be overestimated.

36. See on v. 15.

that he might instruct thee] discipline thee, 'that the people might be

brought to a temper of becoming reverence' (Driver).

37. And because he loved thy fathers] So Hos, xi, tf. In Pentouly here and x. 15; but cp. vii. 8, 13, xxiii. 5. The free grace and election of God is to the prophets and D the original motive of the wonderful and unparalleled history.

and chose their seed after thein] So Sam., LXX, Syr., Targ, and Vulg. Heb. has his seed after him which would mean Abraham. The change to the Sg. is interesting as showing how easily a writer pa-sed

from one number to the other. On chose see vii. 6.

38. to drive out nations from before thee] Helv to dispossoss. from before thee; ix. 4, 5, xi. 23, xviii. 12 (and the probably editorial Exod. xxxiv. 24); cp. vii. 17, ix. 3, 5. For another form of same vh also with obj. of person see on ix. 1. Both are characteristic of D and occur both with Sg. and Pl.

greater and mightier than thou] vii. 6. See ix. 1. to give thee their land for an inheritance] See on i. 38, v. 31.

as at this day] 'The reference may be either to the territory E. of Jordan, or (by an anachronism) to Palestine generally; the similar

therefore this day, and lay it to thine heart, that the Lorn he is God in heaven above and upon the earth beneath: there is none else. And thou shalt keep his statutes, and 40 his commandments, which I command thee this day, that it may go well with thee, and with thy children after thee, and that thou mayest prolong thy days upon the land, which the Lorn thy God giveth thee, for ever.

language of vii. 1 end, ix. 1, xi. 23 favours the latter interpretation (Driver).

39. Know therefore] The apodosis in the long sentence 37 - 39 begins here and not as the R.V. gives it with chose in v. 37. See on

lay it to thine heart Heb. bring back to thy heart, i.e. mind or

memory. See on v. 29, and v. 6.
40. thou shalt keep his statutes and his commandments | Return to the kernote in v. 1.

prolong thy days | See on v. 26.

41-43. HISTORICAL NOTE.

Then, i.e. at the time of the preceding discourse in Moab, Moses set apart three cities E. of Iordan as asylums for men, who unwittingly and without previous hatred had slain their fellows: Beser, on the Plateau, Ramoth in Gilead, and Golan in Bashan .- The style of this fragment is deuteronomic (see notes below). But had it belonged to the previous historical discourse it would surely have appeared somewhere in iii, 18-20 (before the subsequent exhortations); and have been expressed in the 1st instead of the 3rd pers. sing. Nor is it alluded to, nor presupposed by, D's law on the Cities of Refuge, xix. I ff.; indeed, it cannot have been known to the author of this law which directs Israel to set apart three cities in the midst of the land which God is going to give them, i.e. the whole land both E. and W. of Iordan (with the proviso that if God shall enlarge the land they may add three more). The fragment cannot have belonged, therefore, to the original D. P. in Num. xxxv. q-34, records a law, as given to Moses in Moab, on the same subject; but states it (1) far more elaborately, (2) in a different vocabulary, and (3) with some differences of substance (see for details, Intr. to Pent. 121f.). The cities are to be six, three on either side Jordan, and to be appointed after the people have passed over Jordan. In another P passage, Jos. xx. 1 f., this is said (again with some difference of terms) to have been done

¹ This is the only fair interpretation; if the law xix. 1 ff, had meant three cities in W. Palestine in addition to the three already set apart by Moses on the E. of Jordan, it would surely have alluded to the latter. The law was obviously made in consequence of the institution of the single sanctuary and without regard to any historical tradition of what Moses or Joshua had done.

Then Moses separated three cities beyond Jordan toward 42 the sunrising; that the manslayer might flee thither, which slayeth his neighbour unawares, and hated him not in time past; and that fleeing unto one of these cities he might

by Joshua; and the three E. cities named by him are the same as here. From all these data the most reasonable inference is that this fragment is the work of a deuteronomic editor either employing a tradition unknown to P; or (more probably) with P before him 1 and making from it the natural inference that Moses had himself named the three cities E. of Iordan.-If this be correct the fragment is an interesting illustration of the tendency (in many nations) to develop historical narrative out of law. In the earlier legislation (E, Exod, xxi. 12-14; see Driver's Ex. 215 f.) asylum is granted at every altar to him who has slain a man accidentally (but not to the wilful murderer). When all the altars were abolished by the deuteronomic legislation, except that of the Single Sanctuary, it became necessary to sanction asyla at a certain number of other places. This is done by D (Deut, xix, 1 ff.). The places were chosen partly (as is evident from the towns named W. of Jordan, Kedesh, Shechem, and Hebron) because they contained ancient sanctuaries and partly because of their convenience (evident equally from the towns chosen E. and W. of Jordan). From this arose the tradition2 that the selection had been made in the earliest times; but one form of the tradition assigns the naming of the three towns E. of Jordan to Moses: the other assigns the naming of all six to Joshua.-Why the deuteronomic editor should have put the former just here it is impossible to determine.

41. Then Moses separated | Rather, set apart. In x. 8 the verb is used of God's solemn separation of Levi to bear the ark, etc., and in xxix. 21 (20) of the idolater to evil. The form of the verb here has the force of began, or proceeded, to set apart.

three cities On the number, and its contradiction of xix. 1 ff., see

above, note introductory to this fragment.

beyond Jordan | As in i. 1 the writer writes in W. Palestine. This is put past doubt by the additional clause, toward the sunrising, cf. v. 47. P omits sun and writes towards the rising, v. 49 and Num. xxxii. 19, xxxiv. 15.

42. unawares, and hated him not in time past] The same terminology as in xix. I ff. For this E has lies not in wait but God delivers him into his hand (in contrast with wilfully), Exod. xxi. 12-14; but P gives another term, in error or inadvertence, Num. xxxv. 11, 15. Josh. xx. combines both phrases vv. 3, 5, 9.

1 The editor who compiled P with JED.

^{*} The above data shew that the tradition (1) could not have been earlier than the deuteronomic legislation, for every altar before that provided an asylum; and (2) that it was later than the deuteronomic legislation.

live: namely, Bezer in the wilderness, in the ³plain country, 43 for the Reubenites; and Ramoth in Gilead, for the Gadites; and Golan in Bashan, for the Manassites.

1 Or, table land

43. Bezer? Bapar; described, as here, in Josh. xx. 8; and in Josh. xxi. 36 along with Yahas, Kedemoth, and Mepha'ath. The name also occurs on the Moabite stone, line 27. No modern equivalent has been recovered. The meaning of the name is the general one of wall or fence.

Ramoth in Gilmal J Josh. xx. 8, xxi. 28 (with Mahnanim), Ramoth of Gilead, 1, Rigs iv. 13, etc. It has been variously identified with Ex-Sult (because of the military and administrative importance of this site, and the satement of Easebins and Jerome that Ramoth Gilead iny rains called al-Jal'uif, of miles N. of ex-Sult. The Biblical data, however, imply a site N. of the Jabobo. Some have fixed on Jerash, but a site still further N. seems necessary. There Gadara (because it must always have been a fortress of importance, delateable between Israel and Aram, and because it is not otherwise mentioned in the O.T.) and Remtheh (both because it its position and its names) seem most suitable. Some the control of the control

Goldan Josh, xx. 8, xxi. 27. The Pawháry of Josephus (XIII. Jahr. x) 3.1 B.J., iv. 4, 8) was in Eusebius' time 'a very large village in Batanca.' To-day the name Jaulian corresponds to the Pawharfrist of the Greek period, E. of the Lake of Gailliee and between the Yarmik' and Hermon. Schumacher identifies the town with the modern Sahen-tlaulan, 17 mills E. of the Lake. See HGHL 444 n. 2, x569.

44—49. Introduction (or Introductions) to the following Discourses and Laws (v.—xxvi.).

The appearance of a fresh heading at this point—between the two distinct sets of discourses i. 6—iv., 40 and v.—xi., which are further separated by the historical fragment, iv. 4; —43—raises questions at the heart of the problem of the structure of the book of Deuteronomy. Does it signify that once the book began here and consisted only of the discourse v.—di. and the laws xii.—xxvii.; i. 6—iv., 40 having been periored later? So Graf, Kue, Wellin, König, etc. Or is the appearance in—xxvii. is the work of one author? So Dillin. and Driver on the ground that a new title would not be unnatural where the actual experience of the contraction of the lateral term of the contraction of the lateral term of the product of the contraction. Other alternatives arise from the structure of the heading. Like that in i.—1 it is apparently composite. V. V. 44, 45 seem two

And this is the law which Moses set before the children

independent titles; vv. 46-49 not only accumulate details after the manner of some other titles in the O.T. but contain a slight difference of style: in 47 D's towards the sunrising, but in 40 P's shorter form of the same (see on v. 41 and the notes below). Other non-deuteronomic phrases are set before and children of Israel, thrice (see below on v. 44); but both the contents, and with one exception the language, of 46-40 closely recall parts of chs. ii. and iii. Recently there has been a general disposition to break up the heading. Steuernagel supposes 44 and 45 to be respectively the titles of the two documents, in the Sg. and in the Pl. form of address, which he traces throughout chs. v. ff. : Bertholet takes 44 as the transition from the first introductory address, i .-- iii., to the legislation proper, xii. -- xxvi.; and 45--40 as an introduction to ch. v.; Cullen takes 44 with 45c, 46 a as the title to the original environment of the Law code or 'Torah,' but 45 ab, 46 be as that of the first combined edition of the 'Miswah' and 'Torah' (see Introd. § 1). The variety of these hypotheses alone shows their precariousness; and there is this further objection to finding in the double title, 44 and 45, headings to the original documents of D, viz. that even in these verses non-deuteronomic phrases occur. The whole passage looks editorial: one piece (Dillmann) in the cumulative style beloved by later scribes rather than a growth from an original nucleus (Driver). Why then was it inserted just here? Dillm.'s and Driver's answer, because at last with ch. v. begins the actual exposition of the law, is hardly relevant; because in that case v. 44 or v. 45 would have contained some such verb as the expound which we find in the title i. 5. Indeed, that title is more suitable here than where it stands, for it describes better the expository and hortatory character of v. ff. than the prevailing historical style of i. 6-iv. 40 .- On a review of the data and these arguments it seems to the present writer more possible. and even probable, that part of i. 1-5 (and more particularly 5) originally formed the introduction to the combined discourses and laws, v.-xxvi.; that it was divorced from these by the prefixing to them of i, 6-iv. 40; and that when the whole book i, - xxvi, was thus constituted, it was found convenient for its practical use to supply a new heading to chs. v. ff. (v. 1 being too slight for the purpose), which should at once indicate that a new set of discourses begins here, and at the same time furnish a summary of the historical situation in which the discourses and legislation were delivered as described in chs. ii., iii. Such a suggestion is at least suitable to the salient features of iv. 45-40: that the language is partly post-deuteronomic and that part of the substance is based on chs. ii., iii

44. And this is the law So too Sam.; LXX, Vg. and Pesh. omit and. A slight symptom of the fact that this title once stood at the very beginning of an edition of D, the conjunction having been added when other matter was prefixed to it. On law, Tôrah, see i. 5, xxxi. 1, etc.

of Israel: these are the testimonies, and the statutes, and 45 the judgements, which Moses spake unto the children of Israel, when they came forth out of Egypt; beyond Jordan, 46 in the valley over against Beth-peor, in the land of Sihon king of the Amorites, who dwelt at Heshbon, whom Moses and the children of Israel smote, when they came forth out of Egypt: and they took his land in possession, and the 47 land of Og king of Bashan, the two kings of the Amorites. which were beyond Jordan toward the sunrising; from 48

set before] Heb. sam liphne instead of the synonymous nathan liphne usual in D.

children of Israel Heb. bne Yisra'el. So E, x. 6; JE (?), xxxi. 19, 22 f.; P, i. 3, xxxii. 51, xxxiv. 8 f. and in titles here, vv. 45, 46, xxix. 1 (xxviii. 69). In D the usual term is all Israel. (Bue Yisra'el in iii. 18, xxiii, 18 is no exception, for there and probably also in xxiv, 7 it means

only sons, i.e. males, of Israel.)

45. the testimonies] An unsatisfactory translation of Heb. 'Edoth. As the kindred verb signifies to solemnly affirm, attest, protest and warn, 'edőth may mean either (1) decrees or edicts, or (2) solemn exhortations. Its association with statutes and judgements, here and again in vi. 20, and with commandments and statutes in vi. 17, where it stands not before but between these two legal terms, favours the former alternative. Similarly Puses the related form 'edūth for the Decalogue. Steuernagel's opinion that 'edoth here covers the following hortatory discourses is therefore, while possible, less probable. Bertholet, limiting the reference of vv. 45-49 to ch. v. (see introd. to this section), suggests that 'edoth means the Decalogue in ch. v. statutes, and the indorments | See v. 1.

children of Israel] See v. 44.

when they came forth out of Egypt An illustration of the writer's late perspective. For thus to date legislation given in Moab forty years after the actual Exodus, was not possible for Moses himself or for a writer contemporary or nearly contemporary with him; but only for one viewing the whole progress of Israel from Egypt to the Promised Land from a very distant standpoint.

46. beyond Tordan | See i. 1.

the valley over against Beth-peor] iii. 29. whom Moses and the children of Israel smote, etc.] This part of

2. 46 and 2. 47 are, of course, superfluous after chs. ii, and iii, But their superfluity does not necessarily prove that they were placed here before i. 6-iii. was prefixed to chs. v. ff. For vv. 48 f. are based on

47. toward the sunrising | See v. 41.

48, 49. from Aroer, etc.] These two vv. are a summary, with one addition, of what has been narrated in ii. 36, iii. 8, 17, q.v.

Aroer, which is on the edge of the valley of Arnon, even 49 unto mount Sion (the same is. Hermon), and all the Arabah beyond Jordan eastward, even unto the sea of the Arabah, under the 'slopes of Pisgah.

1 Or, springs

mount Sion] Still another name for Hermon (see iii. 9), confirmed by LXX. The Pesh. Sirion is probably derived from iii. 9. The Heb. Si'on (not to be confounded with the Jerusalem Siyyon, A.V. Zion) means elevation.

eastward] ad orientem, P's equivalent for D's towards the sunrising. See \approx 41.

B. CHS. V.—XI. THE SECOND DISCOURSE INTRODUCTORY TO

This discourse is characterised throughout by emphasising, as the foundation of everything, Israel's relation and duty to Jehovah their God. Without love, fear, and loyalty towards Him, without a knowledge of what He is and has shown Himself to be in their experience, without a grateful remembrance of what He has done for them in Egypt and the wilderness, and an equal sense of their utter dependence upon Him for the blessings of the Land to which He is bringing them-without in short a jealous guarding of their heart in reverent awe and warm, undivided affection to Himself-they cannot keep His Laws with any constancy or power. It is the warmth and singleness of aim with which this spiritual theme is pursued that weld these chapters into a unity. There are, however, not only many small intrusions by the hands of editors, interrupting what is the particular theme of the discourse for the moment (on these see notes to separate verses), but signs that the main body of the discourse has been compiled from more than one source. Throughout the Sg. and Pl. forms of address succeed each other for longer and shorter sections; and these sections are at the same time marked by certain differences of subject, of attitude and temper, and of language. The two principal sections in the Pl., chs. v. and ix. 7b-x. 11, are mainly historical and retrospective; and the former includes the Decalogue in the Sg. as obviously a quotation. The Sg. sections which form the bulk of the discourse are mainly, though not exclusively, hortatory; and it is they alone which dwell on the beauties and blessings of the Land, to which Israel is coming. For further details of the distinction between the two, see the separate notes; and for the general questions raised see the Introduction, § 8.

CH, V. PROLOGUE TO THE SECOND DISCOURSE INTRODUCTORY TO THE LAWS.

This chapter is fairly complete in itself; and—apart from its quotation of the Decalogue—carries throughout the Pl. form of address, whereas

immediately after it in ch. vi. a change is made to the Singular, which then prevails for several chapters. On these grounds and because the subject is peculiar to itself Bertholet takes ch. v. as a separate discourse designed-perhaps for a 'people's edition' of the deuteronomic codeto correlate the Decalogue with that code. But there is no reason why such a design should not have been carried out by the authors of the Code, whose scope included history as well as legislation. Steuernagel, who analyses v.-xi. into two documents, one in the Pl. address and mainly historical, and one in the Sg. and mainly hortatory, takes ch. v.,

of course, as belonging to the former.

Moses (no date or place is given, but the discourse is under the title iv. 45-49 which gives both) summons Israel to hear laws which he has to speak to them (v. 1). But first he tells them of the origin of these (which is also alluded to in iv. 11-14). He reminds them that at Horeb and with the present generation (this in contradiction to ii, 14 f.), God had made a covenant, addressing them directly out of the fire (while Moses stood between to declare the purport of the awful Voice) (2-5). The words of that covenant were the Ten Words which he now quotes (6-21). To these, spoken to the whole Assembly, God added no more but wrote them on two tables of stone (22). Moses witnesses that having heard the voice of God and being still alive the people had yet feared that the fire would consume them and if they heard any more they would die (23-26); that they had begged him to go near and hear for them what God had still to say, promising their obedience to it (27). Hearing their words God had directed Moses to dismiss them to their tents (28-30), but himself to stay and receive a command, statutes and judgements to teach the people to do in the land He was about to give them (31). Instead of immediately announcing these commandments, uttered to himself alone at Horeb, he first exhorts the people to obey them (32 f.).

This parrative is expanded, with some alterations of terminology. from the fragments of E concerning the theophany and publication of the Decalogue on Horeb; Ex. xix. 15, 17, 19; xx. 1-21. (For the evidence that in Ex. xix. and xx. two accounts of the theophany at Horeb have been mingled and for the discrimination of E from J see Driver's Exod. 168 ff. and W. R. Smith, OTIC2, footnote on 336.) E states that God descended on Horeb in thunder and lightning (D with fire and darkness) and agrees with D (but see below) that the Decalogue was then pronounced from the mount in the hearing of all the people, that fearing death they begged God might speak to Moses and not to themselves, and that Moses drawing near received additional laws. Then there is a great difference. In E the laws communicated to Moses alone are presumably the so-called Book of the Covenant which immediately follows, xx. 22-xxiii. 33; in D they are, it is evident, the deuteronomic Code xii .- xxvi., not revealed by Moses till the people were in Moab 38 years from the time they had been at The interesting suggestion is made by Kuenen that originally E had similarly assigned the publication of the 'Book of the Covenant' to the time in Moab, but when that Code was replaced by the deutero-

And Moses called unto all Israel, and said unto them, Hear, O Israel, the statutes and the judgements which I speak in your ears this day, that we may learn them, and observe 2 to do them. The LORD our God made a covenant with us in 3 Horeb. The LORD made not this covenant with our fathers. but with us, even us, who are all of us here alive this day. 4 The LORD spake with you face to face in the mount out of

nomic legislation, it was removed to the account of the occurrences at Horeb.

1. called unto] i.e. summoned together. So rightly LXX. all Israel D's characteristic phrase for the people : see iv. 44. Hear, O Israel] The verb is the only Sg. in this Pl. passage. So in the same association in other Pl. passages: iv. 1, xx. 3 (cp. i. 8).

the statutes and the judgements] also characteristic of D. observe to dol also characteristic of D; occurring some 20 times both with Sg. and Pl.; but many of the instances are editorial.

 covenant] See iv. 13.
 not...with our fathers] Rather, forefathers, i.e. the Patriarchs— 'those great Grandfathers of thy Church1'-with whom, however, D recognises a previous covenant, iv. 31, vii. 12, viii. 18. The immediate fathers of the generation had all passed away before the entry into Moab, according to ii. 14 f. Here it is said emphatically that those with whom the covenant at Horeb had been made were still all-us, all of us-aline here this day. Dillmann meets the contradiction by taking ii. 14 f. as a later gloss. Others find in it a proof of the difference of authorship between the first discourses i. 6-iv. and the present series; but this still leaves unsolved the difference within the former between i. 30 and ii. 14 f. A more probable explanation is that the speaker is made to ignore the tradition of the death of those who had been adults at Horeb (of which the author cannot well have been ignorant) for rhetorical purposes: (1) to emphasise the contrast between the Patriarchs and Israel after the Exodus; and (2) to emphasise the new responsibility which the Horeb covenant had laid on the latter, in all its successive generations. What Dillmann on i. 30 says of the previous discourse is true of this one (cp. xi. 2-7): 'In the whole discourse Moses conceives the present generation as identical with the previous one.'

4. face to face] i.e. person with person, without the intervention of another. The metaphor is hardly an instance of the tendency of D's style to hyperbole2. For although all that the people perceived was a

1 Donne, The Litanie, vii.

It is, however, an interesting illustration of how an O.T. writer (like so many of the prophets), while forbidding strenuously the representation of the Deity in any material form, does not hesitate to use anthropomorphisms in describing His appearances to men. Ch. iv. 12, 15 emphasise that Israel saw no manner of form in the Mount; while v. 4 now asserts that God spake face to face with the people. What is denied in fact, so as to exclude every excuse for plastic representations of the Deity, is allowed in metaphor.

the midst of the fire, (I stood between the LORD and you at 5 that time, to shew you the word of the LORD: for ye were afraid because of the fire, and went not up into the mount;) saying,

wire, or raund, of word; (iv. 1a), this came at first directly to the whole people, and it was because they feared the effect of its directness that they begged Moses to mediate (w. 21—27). But if not a hyperbolic the phrase fixe to face needs qualification—it was only with Moses that God talked (morally speaking) face to face (xxxiv: 1o, Ex. xxxiii) and qualification is given immediately in parenthesis in the next verse.

out of the midst of the fire] So in iv. 12 (but without the phrase preceding in the mount), 15, 33, 36; and y. 22, 24, ix. 10, x. 4.

6. "I took detroom the Libbs and you, to thew you the word! In the a circumpantial clause: I standing between felowsh and you at that time, in order to publish, or declare, to you the word, etc.; to articulate what though directly declared had been in its awdites but a sound of words (iv. 1). It is impossible to say whether this at that time). See on is, o.

'THE TEN WORDS.'

In this series—see Driver, Excalar, 191 ft; cp. Chapman, Introd. 6, the Pent. 113 ft.—the 'Ten Words' have already been introduced, analysed and annotated. But a statement of the textual data and the questions they start is necessary also here, especially with reference to the relations of the two cellitions (in D and E) of 'the 'Ten Words' to the relations to other 'Words' and (by E and J) to have been delivered at Horeb.

First, the Names for this central Hebrew code: (a) 'Words,' so E. Exod. xx. 1 (all these words); either in the broadest sense of the term sayings, utterances, or more specifically words of command or order as used for a king's decree, I Chron. xxi 4, 6, or for God's, Gen. xliv. 2, xlvii, 30 and often elsewhere. (b) 'The Ten Words' only in D (iv. 13. v. a) rendered by A.V. The Ten Commandments, which has thus become the ordinary English title; the LXX translates more broadly τὰ δέκα ρήματα and οι δέκα λόγοι, whence the single term ή δεκάλογος. The Decalogue, the earliest known occurrence of which is in Clement of Alexandria. Paedame, III. 80, etc. (c) 'The Covenant.' also only in D; iv. 13 (His covenant), 23, v. 2f.; cp. tables of the Covenant, ix. 9, 11, 15; ark of the Covenant of Jehovah, x. 8, xxxi. 9, 25 f.; when the same phrases occur in JE or other pre-deuteronomic writings they are to be explained as later intrusions (cp. Driver, Exod. 193); a fact sometimes betrayed by the disturbance of grammar which the intrusion has caused, e.g. Josh. iii. 14, 17; the deuteronomic origin

DEUTERONOMY



of this name can hardly, therefore, be doubted. (d) 'The Testimony' ('edūth), rather attestation or solemn edict (see above on v. 1), P's name, occurring 36 times in P and nowhere else.

Second, the Two Editions of 'the Ten Words' and their relations to

each other and to other 'Words' given at Horeb:

Like so much else in D'the Ten Words,' as revealed from God to Israel at Horeb, are also recorded in E (Ex. xx. 1 ff.), but in a form unusual in E for it contains a considerable number of deuteronomic phrases (vv. 2, 4b, 5a, 10a, 10b, 12b). It has besides a sentence (5b, 6) which echoes I; and another which both reflects the style of P and contains a statement found elsewhere only in P (Gen. ii. 3; cp. Exod. xxxi. 17b); on all these see the notes on Ex. xx. 1 ff. and the notes below.-Further, this E edition of the Ten Words is not called a 'Covenant' as in D, nor connected with a Covenant. E, however, does record a Covenant between Jehovah and Israel at Horeb, Ex. xxiv. 3-8, but associates this with other 'Words,' evidently the 'Words,' or decrees of moral and religious law, in Ex. xx, 22-26, xxiii. 10-33, which are distinct (as is now generally recognised) from the 'judgements' (mishpatim) or decisions in civil and criminal law, Ex. xxi.-xxiii. 9, embedded between their two groups1. These 'Words' show a few striking parallels to the Ten Words.

I also records a Covenant at Sinai, Ex. xxxiv. 10, based upon 'Words,' 11-27, which have been called 'a second Decalogue.' But they are rather parallel to E's Covenant words, and like them are more in number than ten. (See the notes to Ex. xxxiv.) The phrase 'ten

words' in v. 28 is probably a gloss.

In D's edition of the Ten Words now before us we find again all the features of E's edition except the last sentence of the 4th commandment, the sentence which reflects P (another of the many facts which support the argument that P is later than D). Instead another reason is assigned to the commandment in the language, and characteristic of the humane spirit, of D. In the same commandment D has its common keep or observe for E's remember, and adds the clauses as Jehovah thy God commanded thee, nor thine ox nor thine ass nor any of (thy cattle); in the 5th it adds the phrases as Jehovah thy God hath commanded thee and that it may so well with thee; in the oth it gives a wider term groundless or vain for E's false; and in the 10th it adds to and rearranges the details with a finer ethical discrimination, using two verbs for covet or desire, and putting the wife of thy neighbour first and by herself, distinct from the rest of his household. Further, D asserts (v. 22) in contradiction to E that the Ten Words were the only words spoken to Israel at Horeb; and adds that He wrote them on two tables of stone. Note, also, that in D the Ten Words are introduced as a quotation in the So, form of address in a discourse which uses throughout the Pl. P does not record the Ten Words. The legislation which it assigns

to Sinai, Ex. xxv.--xxxi. (with a variant edition xxxv.--xl.), consists of

1 On this distinction between the 'words' and the 'judgements,' see Driver's Exadus, 202, 252 ff.; and the Oxford Hexateuch.

directions, given to Moses on the Mount and afterwards proclaimed to the people, as to the sanctary and priesthood (see Driver on these passages). The only parallel which this legislation offers to the Decadogue is the law-off the Sabbath (xxxi, 22-27, xxxv. r. fl.). But P mentions incidentally the Testimony owhich I shall give they keep the manning with thin upon Mount Sinat the two tables of the testimony (xxxi, x18).

Such are the principal data of the various traditions of the legislation at Sinai-Horeb. They start serious questions of literary construction and historical fact, to which several hypothetical, but no certain, answers

are possible.

The question which mainly concerns us here is that of the relation of the two editions of the Ten Words in E and D. To the argument that because so much else of law and narrative in D is based on E, therefore D must also have derived the Ten Words from E, there are the following objections: (1) E's edition has not only many deuteronomic phrases. but in the 4th commandment reflects P; while D's is in style and spirit consistently deuteronomic. (2) E connects the Covenant at Horeb not with the Ten Words but with others. (3) These other Words, while offering some parallels to the Ten, are of a distinctly less spiritual character and apparently from a more primitive stage of ethical development; and it is difficult to conceive that E could have first recorded the Decalogue as given at Horeb and then based the Covenant there on other words of an inferior character. (4) Nor is it clear that E's narrative of the theophany, Ex. xix, 14-17, 10, xx, 18-21, implies that the people heard from God any articulate words at all, before Moses (because of their apprehension that God would speak directly to them) entered the darkness out of which His thunder had come and received for them the Words (Ex. xx. 22-26, xxiii, 10-23) on which the Covenant was based.

On these grounds a strong case has been made out for the hypothesis that E did not originally contain the Ten Words; that these were the work of the deuteronomic school, based on the teaching of the 8th century prophets and expressed throughout in deuteronomic phraseology; that D, while borrowing from E the tradition of a Covenant at Horeb, substituted them as the basis of that Covenant for the other words which E had connected with it, or else did not know of those other words in E. for he distinctly asserts (v. 22) that God added no others to the Ten at Horeb; and finally that a late editor, with both D and P before him, intruded the Ten Words into E repeating most of their deuteronomic phraseology, but substituting in the 4th commandment for one of D's phrases a phrase based on P. This hypothesis finds support in the substance of the Decalogue, which it is maintained is suitable for an agricultural and not for a nomadic people; and especially in the prohibition of graven images, the early date of which is difficult if not impossible to reconcile with the use of images in Israel before the 8th century and particularly in the N. kingdom in which E was composed.

All the data, however, do not thus support the hypothesis of the

priority of D's Decalogue. It may not be certain that E's remember the sabbath-day is earlier than D's keep or observe, nor is E's false witness necessarily more primitive than the wider vain, or groundless, which D employs-although they would appear to be so (with the former cp. I's remember in the same sense, Ex. xiii. 3). But D's form for the 10th commandment, because more developed and of a finer ethical standard, is almost certainly later than E's; and so are the additions to the 4th and 5th commandments. Further, in the E edition the name of the Deity even in association with creation is not Elohim, but Jehovah.

This, however, only leads to the further question whether behind both editions there was not an earlier and much simpler form. In both the Ten Words are of very unequal length. In the 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th and 10th the excesses over the others are hortatory enforcements in the language of D and in harmony with D's usual method of elaborating his materials and adding reasons and enforcements: teaching and exbounding the Law to use his own terms. Remove these excesses and there remain, besides the preface. Ten Words of similar length and

divisible into two tables of virtually equal size.

I am Jehovah [thy God which brought thee out of the land of Egypt out of the house of slaves].

Thou shalt have no other gods before Me. Thou shalt not make thee a graven image.

Thou shalt not take the name of Jehovah in vain. Remember the sabbath day to keep it holy.

Honour thy father and thy mother.

Thou shalt do no murder. Thou shalt not commit adultery.

Thou shalt not steal. Thou shalt not bear false witness [against thy neighbour].

Thou shalt not covet [thy neighbour's house].

To sum up-it appears necessary to postulate some such brief form of the Ten Words as prior to the editions of them in E and D on these grounds: that all of the contents of these editions which is over and above this form consists of easily separable expansions of a hortatory or explanatory character, expressed in the language and the spirit of D; and that it was the general practice of D thus to expand, refine and enforce the materials of earlier traditions. Also D treats the Ten Words as a quotation (see above).

Whether this pre-deuteronomic Decalogue was originally part of E is more than doubtful. In E there is neither room nor reason for any 'Words' at Horeb before those on which E bases the Covenant; nor any trace that the Divine voice became at all articulate before the latter were spoken. The double tradition of E and I is that the Covenant 'Words' spoken by God in Horeb-Sinai, while offering certain parallels to the Decalogue, were more primitive than this. And that excludes the only possible alternative theory, that, if these 'Words,' now associated in E with the Covenant, along with 'the judgements' that are

embedded within their two sections, were originally assigned to Israel's residence in Moab, their removal to the Horeb period (see above) displaced the Decalogue from its association with the Horeb Covenant and pushed it forward to a point in the narrative at which it has no

proper connection with its context. From the literary data, therefore, the most probable conclusion is that the Decalogue came to D from a source independent of I and E. Whether its origin was earlier than E and may even have been Mosaic or was later, and in fact the result of the teaching of the 8th century prophets, are far more difficult questions; for which answers must be sought, not in the literary forms, so much as in the substantial ideas, of the Decalogue. The theory that the Decalogue is later than E gets rid of the historical difficulties for an early date for the 2nd commandment which arise from the use of images by leaders in Israel and especially in the N. kingdom, without any rebuke from prophets before the 8th century, and for an early date for the 4th commandment as one impossible of fulfilment by, and therefore unnatural to prescribe to, a people still in the pastoral stage of culture. And if J and E's record of a more primitive form of Covenant words at Horeb be regarded as reliable this is also a reason for assigning the Decalogue to a later stage in Israel's social and ethical development. On the other hand, there are good grounds for the possibility of the prohibition of images as early as Moses. Not only do the 'Words' assigned by E to the Covenant at Horeb forbid gods of silver and gold (Ex. xx. 23) and by J molten gods (Ex. xxxiv. 17); but E and J never impute the use of images to the Patriarchs, while E (Ex. xxxii.) records Moses' anger and God's threat to destroy the people because of the golden calf which they had fashioned. More significant is the absence from all the historical records of any mention of an image in connection with the Ark, or the sanctuary at Shiloh or Gibeon or Jerusalem, or other place before the disruption of the kingdom. As to the Sabbath-law, the presumably oldest form of it is perfectly possible for a purely pastoral people; while the fuller forms, though evidently designed for an agricultural people, could not be literally observed even by them (unless the Heb. term for work be limited to field-work), because they continued to have flocks and herds. As for the other Commandments there is not one of them in its shorter form which makes a date for it impossible before the settlement of Israel in Canaan-not even the first commandment, for it merely forbids the worship of any gods but Jehovah (henolatry), and does not assert His sole deity (monotheism). possibility of the Mosaic origin of the Decalogue is, therefore, clear so far as its ideals are concerned. The real difficulty with regard to it rests upon its superiority to the 'Words' which the other traditions describe as the laws of the Covenant at Horeb. See further 'The Date of the Decalogue,' App. IV. to Driver's Exodus.

From whatever source the deuteronomists derived the Decalogue it is interesting that they developed it in more than one edition. For this we shall find analogies in their practice with regard to other laws (xii.xxvi.).

The Decalogue with its Preface has been variously arranged and divided. The LXX (cod. B) makes the commandment against adultery follow immediately on that to honour parents, thus naturally bringing together the two commandments which concern family life; in Ex. that against murder follows, but in D precedes, that against theft. In the N.T. the order varies, following the Heb, order in Matt. v. 21, 271 (so far as murder and adultery are concerned), xix. 18, and Mark x. 19; but the Greek of D in Luke xviii. 20, Rom. xiii. o. The Talmud takes the Preface as the 1st commandment and the prohibitions of other gods and of images as together the 2nd, on the ground presumably that the reason annexed to the latter is equally, or even more, suitable to the former. This conjunction was accepted by Augustine and through him by the Roman and Lutheran Churches, but they keep the Preface as such and divide the 10th commandment into two (though the latter half as we have seen is not original). Philo, Josephus, Origen and other fathers, the Greek and Reformed Churches and most modern scholars divide as follows: Preface: 1, Other gods: 2, Images: 3, Name of Jehovah; 4, Sabbath; 5, Parents; 6, Murder; 7, Adultery;

8, Theft; o, False witness; 10, Covetousness. With regard to the scope and spirit of the Ten Words it is enough to say that they lay down the double duty of Israelites towards God and towards men: religion and morality. The duty towards God is expressed with regard to the special temptations of the people at the time-the belief that there were other gods actually existent and with divine powers and spheres of action, and the custom of worshipping the deity in images. The 1st commandment is not the expression of a pure monotheism, and it is remarkable that the deuteronomists did not expand it as well as those which follow it (but see below on v. 7). Yet it has been found a suitable statement, not only of the sovereignty but of the oneness of the Deity. Similarly the 2nd has been understood as a statement of His spirituality. The 3rd forbids the irreverence which is the sin equally of the ignorant and careless and of the familiar but formal worshipper. Duty towards men is covered in its main aspects in the life of the family and of society by the 5th to the 10th 'Words,' the last adding the sphere of thought and feeling to that of action detailed in the others. Between these two groups the 4th commandment forms the transition, for while it expresses man's due to God in setting apart a regular portion of time to Him, it also in its expanded form enforces that the Sabbath was equally a duty to himself, his family, and his dependents. How fine and true was the instinct of the deuteronomists in thus expanding the Sabbath-law is shown by the saving of Christ that the Sabbath was made for man, not man for the Sabbath2.

¹ So R.V.; but A.V. following another text has the order: adultery, nurrier. Matthew, Mark and Lake all give the 4th Commandment after the 6th –9th.
² The following may be noted among the Christian expositions of the theological anal chiciac contents of the Decalogue. From the Roman side, Catechism of the Council of Trent, Pars III. Capp. 1.—X. From the Protestant, the Larger Westminster Catechism, John Forbes ('the Aberdeen Dotter'), Tembejas Morallt, and R.W.

I am the LORD thy God, which brought thee out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of 1bondage. Thou shalt have none other gods *before me.

1 Heb. bondmen. 2 Or, beside me

For full notes on the separate verses the reader is referred to Ex. xx. 1-17. The following may be added: they are chiefly on the matter found only in Deut. or here expressed differently from Ex. xxi. 1-17.

'The Preface' to the Ten Commandments: the same as in Ex. xx. 2. The phrases used, though occurring much more frequently in D, are also found (either exactly as here or with grammatical variations) in I and E (see on Ex. xx. 2); so it is difficult to say whether the original form was simply I am Jehovah or the long one before us. A Preface longer than each of the separate words is not unnatural; yet the original may have been simply I am Jehovah thy God as in ch. vi.

The Preface states the Lawgiver's Name, and His obligations upon Israel, 'whereby He prepares their minds for obedience',' by calling on their loyalty and gratitude. This tenderness of the Preface (Matthew Henry contrasts it with the awfulness of the Theophany from which it issues) and its appeal to high motives are characteristic of D. But in all the traditions of the origins of Israel's religion the note of redemption is fundamental; Grace is prior to Law, God's saving deeds to His commandments. The stress laid upon the Preface by theologians in their practical application of the Decalogue to Christianity is therefore just. The form of the Preface is similar to the opening phrases on several Semitic royal monuments: the Moabite stone, 'I am Mesha son of Kemosh'; the Byblus stele, 'I am Yehawmilk, King of Gebal, etc.'; the Sidon sarcophagus, 'I am Tabnith...King of the Sidonians, etc.' But see Driver, Sam. 2 p. xxiv. The prologue to the Code of Hammurabi is a record of the lawgiver's achievements. house of bondage] bondmen, see on vi. 12.

7. The First Commandment as in Ex. xx. 3. in front of me] a strong phrase, but of what exact degree of strength is doubtful. - Literally over against my face, or presence. By D it is elsewhere (xxi. 16) taken as in precedence, or preference, to; but in Job xvi. 14 it merely means in addition to. Calvin regards in preference to as 'too frigid' here, not sufficiently exclusive of other gods; and takes the idea to be ' that God will not have companions obtruded upon Him.' Others expand 'as if to provoke Him' or 'dare Him to His face.' Unless some sense of rivalry is meant the phrase is superfluous to the rest of the commandment; and the selection of the strongest of three kindred forms ('al-pānai, 'eth-p., and Pphānai) suggests some idea of affronting or provoking (cf. v. q). There is no statement here as to the real existence of other gods: real or unreal Israel is not to have

Dale, The Ten Commandments. See also Prof. W. P. Paterson's art. 'The Decalogue,' in Hastings' Dict. of the Bible. Calvin.

Digitized by Google

Thou shalt not make unto thee a graven image, the likeness of any form that is in heaven above, or that is in the g earth beneath, or that is in the water under the earth: thou

shalt not bow down thyself unto them, nor serve them; for

I the LORD thy God am a jealous God, visiting the iniquity of the fathers upon the children, and upon the third and 10 upon the fourth generation of them that hate me; and shewing mercy unto thousands, of them that love me and keep my commandments.

Thou shalt not take the name of the LORD thy God 'in vain: for the LORD will not hold him guiltless that taketh

his name 1in vain. Observe the sabbath day, to keep it holy, as the LORD 13 thy God commanded thee. Six days shalt thou labour, and

1 Or, for vanity or falsehood

them. Unlike its successors this commandment is without expansion. probably because vv. 9b, to were intended to cover both the first and second commandments; unless indeed (as some suggest) they originally belonged to the first.

8-10. The Second Commandment; the differences from Ex. xx. 4-6 are very slight (Ex. has the conjunction before any form and omits it before the third) and the Versions show them to be uncertain. On the questions of date raised by the prohibition of images see above, p. 85. The substance of the commandment is very fully treated in Driver's notes on Ex. xx. 4—6, which see.

8. any form | See on iv. 12.

9. a jealous God | See on iv. 24.

10. shewing mercy] better, loyal or true love; cf. vii. 9, 12 keeping covenant and true love (Sg.). The Heb. term hesed as including both affection and constancy is peculiarly appropriate here.

11. The Third Commandment exactly as in Ex. xx. 7. On the need for this in Israel see on vi. 13.

12-15. The Fourth Commandment as in Ex. xx. 8-11 with the

following differences : 12. Observel A.V. keep, instead of remember, Ex. xx. 8. In D remember is used almost exclusively of historical facts, e.g. v. 15, vii.

18, viii. 2, ix. 7, xv. 15, xvi. 3; but once with God, the giver of wealth, as the object, viii, 18. Observe or keep, used of the feast of unleavened bread by E Ex. xxiii. 15, by J xxxiv. 18; the Sabbath by P Ex. xxxi. 13 f., 16, Lev. xix. 3, 30, xxvi. 2 (H); the month Abib by D xvi. 1. In Ps. ciii. 18 keep His covenant and remember His precepts are parallel.

as the LORD thy God commanded theel not in Ex. xx. 8; cf. v. 16.

do all thy work: but the seventh day is a sabbath unto the 14 LORD thy God: in it thus shalt not do any work, thun, nor thy son, nor thy daugher, nor thy manservant, nor thy maidservant, nor thin eas, nor any of thy cattle, nor thy stranger that is within thy gates; that thy manservant and thy maidservant may rest as well as thou. And thou shalt 15 remember that thou wast a servant in the land of Egypt, and the LORD thy God brought thee out thence by a mighty hand and by a stretched out arm: therefore the LORD thy God commanded thee to keep the sabbath do

here and there a needless expansion, for it cannot refer to some previous institution of the Sabbath.

14. in it] not in Heb. text either here or in Ex., but supplied in both places by Sam. and LXX; so too in the Nash papyrus (see Driver, Exad. 417).

**nor thy bondman]* Ex. xx. 10 omits the conjunction. So too Sam.

and LXX here.

nor thine ox, nor thine ass, nor any of thy cattle] another obvious

expansion. Ex. has only nor thy cattle.
that thy bondman and thy bondwoman may rest as well as thou

an additional characteristic of the humane spirit of D; cf. in the Laws xii. 12, xiv. 26, 29, xv. 13 f., xvi. 11, xxiv. 14—18.

16. A different reason for the keeping of the Sabbath from that

10. A different reason for the keeping of the Sabbath from that given in Ex. s.x. i. It is redeemed to D's addition in the previous x, present in Ex. s.x. i. It is redeemed to D's addition in the previous x, law to slaves, remember thou worst at homboard in the land of Erpft and fehreath thy God brought the cent; but before it closes it bases the whole observance of the Sabbath on the deliverance from Erpft as if the S. were a memorial of that even—abstracting-followed thy God bath businaity enforced by it are characteristic of D. But Ex. sx. 11, under the influence of P. P. recites as the motive for the observance of the S. God's rest on the seventh day from the work of creation. The influence of P. Dez. proves the D form to be the more original. Note that while it enforces the pillamitropic motive for Sabbath-observance that the ultimate sanction of the Sabbath.

remember that thou wast a bondman! The same motive is expressed for the laws enforcing liberality to slaves, xv. 15; the duty of sharing the joy of the feasts with needy dependents, xvi. 12; and justice and

generosity to the poor, xxiv. 18, 22.

a mighty hand and ... a stretched out arm] See on iv. 34.

to keep] lit. to do or make, i.e. to carry into effect; used by D also of the Passover, xvi. 1; more frequently in P: of the Sabbath, Ex. xxi. 16; of the Passover, Ex. xii. 47 f.; Num. iv. 4—6, etc.

- Honour thy father and thy mother, as the LORD thy God commanded thee: that thy days may be long, and that it may go well with thee, upon the land which the LORD thy God giveth thee.
- 7 Thou shalt do no murder.
- Neither shalt thou commit adultery.
- Neither shalt thou bear false witness against thy neigh-
 - 16. The Fifth Commandment as in Ex. xx. 12, with however two additions:
 - as Jehovah thy God commanded thee] See on v. 12. and that it may go well with thee] Cp. v. 29.

giveth thee] is giving or about to give.

37—30. The Sixth to the Nuth Commandments, as in Ex. xx. ya. 1—16, except that for the simple not used there, we have here and not entitled, to introduce the Seventh, Eighth and Ninth Commandments; and that in the Sixth in instead of helper paties of Ex. xx. is there is the wider term istar's evolution; groundless, as in the Third Commandment, and patient of the patient of th

21. The Tenth Commandment, carrying the Law from the sphere of action into that of thought and feeling, and therefore not superfluous even in so brief a summary of the Law nor after the Sixth, Seventh and Eighth Commandments (cp. Calvin, in loco). How necessary the Commandment is not merely as an addition to these Commandments, but as focussing the spirit of them all is clear from the experience of St Paul, who selects the Tenth Commandment to illustrate the power of the whole Law .: Rom. vii. 7, 8; cf. 14, the law is spiritual. The nature of this Commandment renders it peculiarly susceptible of expansion (as the Sixth to the Ninth are not); details naturally offer themselves under so general a precent; and here the deuteronomists had the opportunity which they loved to use, and were upon their own ground; cp. vii. 25, where the desire for, as well as the actual appropriation of, unlawful silver and gold is forbidden. The two expanded editions of the Decalogue here exhibit the most interesting of the differences which distinguish them. Ex. xx. 17, preserving the original form of the Commandment. Thou shalt not covet thy neighbour's house, and repeating the verb, simply details, as upon the same level, the constituents of the house: wife, slaves, animals, all that is thy neighbour's. But this later edition in Deut, makes among these a fundamental distinction of far-reaching moral consequence; takes the wife first in a class by herself, then—under another verb, as if to emphasise the difference-gives the rest together; and, with the peculiar Neither shalt thou covet thy neighbour's wife; neither as shalt thou desire thy neighbour's house, his field, or his manservant, or his maidservant, his, ox, or his ass, or any thing that is thy neighbour's.

These words the LORD spake unto all your assembly in 22

regard which D has for the rural life, adds to them the field of thy

neighbour, cover I he same Heb. verh as in Ex. xx. 17. The rendering of the revisers is not a happy one, because though the English cover originally meant incorditate desire, it is now generally used with other objects than wife. The A.V. desire literally renders the Heb. verb, the Ex. xxxiv. 24. of dishonest desire for land; in Dr. vii. 25 for silver and gold (ep. Jos. viii. 21, JE; Mic. ii. 2 (ep. Ahab and Naboth's vineyard) for fields and houses. But in Prov. vi. 25 it signifies lust after the beauty of women. So it should be rendered here, and so some of the older Eng. Versions render it. Similarly the drobugher of the LXX, always so in Greek when a person is the object; 1, via guitate the Assuzich viverlangent tagen, and in Josh. vii. 2, vi. de guitate the state of the distance of the contral properties of the contral properties of the contral properties.

thy neighbour's wife] The way in which (in contrast to Ex.) the wife is placed here first, in a class by herself, may be compared with other laws of D which also seek the elevation of woman, xxi; 10—14. xxiii.

13 ff., xxiv. 1 ff. desire! Instead of the repetition in Ex. of the original verb, another verb is employed here of stronger meaning but apparently intended as only 'a rhetorical variation' (Driver) rather than as a climax. Of

longing for water, 2 Sam. iii. 15; for dainties, Prov. xxiii. 3, field.] The noun audo to radiat, which in Heb. poetry (e.g. xxxii. 13; ludg. v. 4) appears to have the meaning of mountain that it has in Assyrian, and which in earlier Heb. prove (EB) means pasture ground (so too in D. xi. 15 and probably in xxi. 1, contrasted with rifty, xxi. 2, 27) uncultivated and the home of wild heasts, de-least of the field, private property. It is so used by the prophets of the 8th cent.; 1.8. v. 8. Mei. it. 2, 4. See the present writer's fermation. 1, 10, 10.

22. The Close of the Ten Words and the writing of them.

your attembly] or congregation. The Heb. \$\frac{a}{a}da, iti, \$\textit{cathcing}\$ is throughout the O.T. for any assembly of the people or in representatives for organised, national action: (a) In the earlier writing, it is most usual of the solomn gathering before God of all \$\text{cathcing}\$ is most usual of the solomn gathering before God of all \$\text{cathcing}\$ is the solomn gathering before God of all \$\text{cathcing}\$ is a solomn gathering before God of all \$\text{cathcing}\$ is a solomn gathering before God of all \$\text{cathcing}\$ is a solomn gathering before God of all \$\text{cathcing}\$ is a solomn gathering before God of all \$\text{cathcing}\$ is a solomn gathering \$\text{cathcing}\$ is sponsymous with army, xvii. \$\text{i}\$, xxxviii. \$\text{d}\$, \$\text{t}\$ is \$\text{mon symbol}\$ is given their verificit or to execute [using, Jer. xxv. '\text{i}\$, \$\text{t}\$].

the mount out of the midst of the fire, of the cloud, and of the thick darkness, with a great voice: and he added no more. And he wrote them upon two tables of stone, and 23 gave them unto me. And it came to pass, when ye heard

the voice out of the midst of the darkness, while the mountain did burn with fire, that ye came near unto me, even all 24 the heads of your tribes, and your elders; and ye said,

15; cp. Ezek, xvi. 40; Prov. v. 14. (c) Also of the whole organised commonwealth or congregation of Israel, Mic. ii. 5; and in the deuteronomic laws, xxiii. 1, 2, 3, 8. But D specially applies the term to the gathering of Israel to the Covenant at Horeb, so here (cp. the use of the verb in iv. 10), the assembly, the day of the a. ix. 10, x. 4 (Pl.), xviii. 16 (Sg.). In the laws xxiii. 1, 2, 3, 8 (Sg.) it is called the a. of Jehovah. To this assembly P, which also uses kahal, applies his more favourite term 'edah, congregation of the sons of Israel, Ex. xxxv. 1. 4. 20 (a term never used in IE or D. but occurring over 100 times in P, which also sometimes combines the two, cp. Prov. v. 14). Otherwise deuteronomic writers use kahal only of peaceful gatherings of the people; to hear the Song of Moses, xxxi. 30; to hear the Law read at Shechem, Josh. viii. 35; and for the consecration of the Temple, 1 Kgs viii. 14, 22, 55 (1 Kgs xii. 3 is a doubtful instance; LXX omits it). For the post-exilic use of kahal and 'adah see the present writer's Jerusalem, I. 380 ff.

fire...doud...darkness...] See on iv. 11. Sam. and LXX add darkness before cloud. The comparison of E, Ex. xx. 18-21 is very

instructive: thunderings, lightnings, mountain smoking.

and he added no mare? On this contradiction of E see above, p. 83; two tables of brown So iv. 31; xs. 9—11, x. 1, 3; the tables of the covenant, ix. 9, 11, 15; 1], two tables of some New Xxxiv: 1, 3; the tables of the covenant, ix. 9, 11, 15; 1], two tables of stone, Ex. Xxxiv: 1, 4; E, tables, ix. 18, a, xxxiv: 1, 2, xxxiv: 9, P, two tables of the estimancy, Ex. 18, a, xxxiv: 18, a, xxxiv: 19, The statement of the writing of the tables is not really an anticipation of is. 9, ff. and therefore to be deleted seesondary (Steuernagel), but is necessary here for the completion of the record of the Decalogue. See on ix. 9 ff.

23—27. The people, fearing the fatal effect of hearing God's voice directly, request Moses to act as mediator. See Ex. xx. 19—21, E, a much simpler form of the narrative, but containing in v. 20 a saying of Moses not repeated here.

23. ye came near unto me] i. 22.

even all the heads of your tribes, and your elders] Perhaps a gloss (so Dill. Steuern., Berth.), for v. 24 continues and ye (not they), and through the rest of the section the people as a whole are addressed.

24-26. See on iv. 33. It was contrary to expectation that the people survived the voice of God: they would not repeat the risk,

Behold, the LORD our God hath shewed us his glory and his greatness, and we have heard his voice out of the midst of the fire: we have seen this day that God doth speak with man, and he liveth. Now therefore why should we die? for 25 this great fire will consume us: if we hear the voice of the LORD our God any more, then we shall die. For who is 26 there of all flesh, that hath heard the voice of the living God speaking out of the midst of the fire, as we have, and lived? Go thou near, and hear all that the LORD our God 27 shall say: and speak thou unto us all that the LORD our God shall speak unto thee; and we will hear it, and do it. And the LORD heard the voice of your words, when ye 28 spake unto me; and the LORD said unto me, I have heard the voice of the words of this people, which they have spoken unto thee; they have well said all that they have spoken. 'Oh that there were such an heart in them, that 20 they would fear me, and keep all my commandments always,

Or, Oh that they had such an heart as this alway, to fear me, and keep all my commandments, that &c.

24. his greatness] See iii. 24.

26. flesh] Emphatic; it cannot endure immediate contact with spirit

(Is. xxxi. 3).

the living God. Rather, a living God, ep. iv, 33. The phrase always occurs in the O.T. without the article even when as in 1 Sa. xvii. 26, 65, and Jer. xxiii. 36 its the living God who is meant. In Jer. x. 10, 65, and Jer. xxiii. 36 its the living God who is meant. In Jer. x. 10 its indefinites a here. These are all the instances of this form. Kindred forms in Jos. iii. 10 indefinites J. 10. ii. 1, 2 Kgs. xix. 4, 16

27. Go than mar! The technical term for approach to the Deity,

and to His representatives (v. 23 and i. 22). E. using another verb, has and Moses drew near (Ex. xx. 21). For the rest of the verse E has simply Speak thou with us and we shall hearken (Ex. xx. 19).

28-30. Jehovah approves the people's request and dismisses them to their tents. E simply, the people stood afar off (Ex. xx. 21).

28. And Jehovah heard the voice of your words] i. 34.

they have well said] xviii. 17. Yet-

Oh that there were such an heart in them, etc.] heart is in antithesis
to the said and spoken of the previous verse. Approving their present
mood as evinced in their words, God doubts its constancy.
all my commandments] Sam. and LXX omit all.

always] Heb. all the days. One of the many points of similarity between Hosea and Deut. is doubt, if not of the sincerity, yet of the

that it might be well with them, and with their children for gever! Go say to them, Return ye to your tents. But as all the commandment, and the statutes, and the judgements, which thou shalt teach them, that they may do them in the 32 land which I give them to possess it. Ye shall observe to

32 land which I give them to possess it. Ye shall observe to do therefore as the LORD your God hath commanded you: 33 ye shall not turn aside to the right hand or to the left. Ye constancy, of the nation's feeling of repennance or obedience; ep-

constancy, of the nation's feeling of repentance or obedience; cp. Hos. v. 15.—vi. 3, Israel's repentant prayer, with vi. 4—6, God's rejection of it: your goodness is as a morning cloud and as the dew that goeth early. See on i. 41. Both the prophet and D insist upon heart in religion. that it might be well voith them! Vv. 16, 33, vv. 40.

31. Moses is commanded to stand by God in order to receive other laws (than the Ten Words) to teach the people subsequently.

all the commandment] or charge; Heb. migraph. "The for this commandment] "recurs vi, vi, vii, vi, xx. vi; viii vi all, vii, z, y, viii, vi, xi, z, y, xi, y, x, xvii, vi (of a special injunction), xxii, z, xxi, z, xxi, y, xxii, v, xxii

the statutes, and the judgements] With Sam. omit the preceding and. The statutes and judgements (the usual deuteronomic phrase) are thus the contents or detailed applications of the Miswah, the separate laws to be subsequently given in Moab on the eve of the people's entrance to the promised land (as the rest of the verse declares), and which are

contained in chs. xii.-xxvi.

the land which I give them I Rather, am About to give them. So without addition iv, 1, si. 1, 7, in the Pl. address, and xv, 7, xviii. 9, xxvi : 1, xxvii : 2, xxviii. 8, 23, all passages in the Sg. address. With 1 the addition to pourse it as here, iii. 18 (hoth given), Pl. 1; is, 6, xviii. 1, xviii. 14 (hoth gusses), xix. 14, all Sg. (except perhaps xii. 1, which is doubtfull). With the addition of or an inheritance, iv. 21, xv. 4 (+to passes) ii), xix. 10, xxiv. 4, xxv. 19, xxvi. 1, all Sg. Cp. xii. 10 causels you, xix. 2 causels thee, to inherit

32. 33. Exhortations to obey this new charge: a number of characteristic deuternomic formulas. Because of this and specially because of the phrase which I thread your God has commanded you, these verses are taken be soone to be a later addition. Ver it was surely quite logical for the writer of the regt of the chapter to part the phrase in with these laws; the phrase does not imply their previous publication.

ye shall not turn aside, etc.] xvii. 11, 20, xxviii. 14, and in deuteronomic passages in other books: cp. ix. 2. shall walk in all the way which the LORD your God hath commanded you, that ye may live, and that it may be well with you, and that ye may prolong your days in the land which ye shall possess.

the way which 3 showsh pour Got has commanded you! that is through en and which I am now about to show you. The phrase is also found ix. 12, 16, xi. 28, xxxi. 29 [all Pl.), and in xiii. 5 (Sg.). Tweath in 18 in cary, xiii. 6 (Sg.), xi. 22 [Pl.]. Buhl (Sezial. Perhalitu. der Isr. 9) remarks on the suitability to nomads of this metaphor; but surely it was equally suitable for pressure. No inference as to date can therefore be drawn from it. Cp. in the N. T. § 850 Acts ix. 2, xix. 9, 23, xxii. 41 Italy 18, years Sur. 1.

may be well with you] tv. 16, 29, iv. 40.

prolong...days] used both in Pl. here and in iv. 26 (cp. xxx. 18), xi. 9, xxxii. 47, and in Sg. iv. 40; that thy days may be long, v. 16, vi. 2, xxv. 15; cp. xxii. 7.

CH. VI. 1-25. THE FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF THE LAW: GOD'S NATURE AND ISRAEL'S DUTY.

Moses continues his discourse: After stating that he has now to give Israel the Charge (Miswah) given to him in Horeb, and statutes and judgements for observance in the promised land (1); Moses explains the motives for these: the fear of God and the benefits to be derived from observing them (2 f.). Follows the solemn enunciation of the basal principle, the oneness of Jehovah, and Israel's basal duty: undivided love to Him (4 f.). Therefore these words which he is about to give must ever be in the people's heart and mind and be diligently taught to their children (6-9). Especially must Israel not yield to that temptation to forget Jehovah, to which the people will be exposed among the material blessings of the land whither He brings it (10-12); nor go after the gods of that land; else He will destroy Israel (13-15). Israel must not try Him as at Massah, but diligently keep His laws, in order that it may be well with them, and entering the land they may possess it and see their enemies thrust out before them (16-10). When in future the children ask the meaning of these laws, their origin must be explained as the great deliverance from Egypt. Then was the nation born; by these laws it lives. Then Iehovah revealed His grace; these are to establish the fear of Him upon His people (20-25).

The construction of the ch. starts difficult questions as to its unity: for the same puzzing phenomena meet us here as elsewhere—the double forms of address Sg, and Pl., with the rapid transitions between them, and the accumulation of the usual deuteronomic formulas. Do the former indicate two sources? Or do both prove that editorial hands have expanded the discourse? On the possible answers see the notes.

Now this is the commandment, the statutes, and the judgements, which the LORD your God commanded to teach you, that we might do them in the land whither ve go 2 over to possess it: that thou mightest fear the LORD thy God, to keep all his statutes and his commandments, which I command thee, thou, and thy son, and thy son's son, all

1. Not a fresh title, marking the beginning of a separate discourse, but the natural continuation of the discourse from the previous ch. and still couched in the Pl.

And this is The conjunction not merely continues the discourse, but has an antithetic force, therefore not too strongly rendered now by A.V. and R.V. What at that time in Horeb was delivered to Moses himself (as described in v. 31) he now in Moab proceeds to present. this is the commandment, the statutes, and the judgements | LXX

these are the commandments, but Sam. configures Heb., which is the more probable. Because this, not these, is used, and because the separate laws do not come till ch. xii., the words statutes and judgements are regarded by some as an editorial intrusion. But this is not certain: this with three objects following, and two of them in the plural, is grammatically possible in Heb., and Moses was now about to declare to the people in Moab not only the Charge or Miswah, but the statutes and judgements as well. The point is not important. What is clear is that Miswah or Charge (see v. 31) is the enforcement of general principles underlying the Law, which proceeds till the end of ch, xi. For after this discourse is finished, the title in xii. 1, where the separate laws at last begin, drops the term Miswah and reads only these are the statutes and the judgements. Cp. Westphal, Sources du Pent,

whither ye go over to possess it] A formula distinctive of the Pl. passages occurring, besides here, iv. 14, xi. 8, 11; whereas when the Sg. passages use the verb go over they add the Jordan, ix. 1, xxx. 18, but elsewhere prefer the equivalent phrase, the land whither thou art entering (or thou art entering the land), vi. 18, vii. 1, ix. 5, xi. 10, 29, xii. 29, xviii. 9, xxiii. 20, xxviii. 21, 63, xxx. 16. The only verse in which this phrase occurs with the Pl. is iv. 5b(q.v.); while iv. 1 (Pl.) gives a variation. 2, 3. Transition to the Sg, with a somewhat loose accumulation of

common deuteronomic formulas; on these grounds regarded by some as an editorial addition. This is not certain, but very probable. Omit vv. 2, 3, and v. 4 follows naturally on v. 1 as the beginning of the Miswah, but couched, like the Decalogue in ch. v., in the Sg. At the same time all of vv. 2, 3 need not be editorial. Note that the one Pl. clause they contain is not a common formula.

2. fear Jehovah thy God | x. 12, 20.

all his statutes and his commandments] Note the variation from v. 1. which I command thee am about to command thee.

-the days of thy life; and that thy days may be prolonged. Hear therefore, O Israel, and observe to do it; that it may 3 be well with thee, and that ye may increase mightily, as the Lors, the God of thy fathers, hath promised unto thee, in a land flowing with milk and honey.

that thy days may be prolonged] See on v. 33.

3. observe to dol See on v. t.

that ye may intereast mightly] A partial return to the Pl., and, with such a verb, logical and natural. The phrase is not found elsewhere. This therefore may not be a mere editoral echo. But the idea of the multiplication of the people as a Divine Delssing is constant in Deut. as in other O.T. writings. In their world of war all Semilie tribes anturally prayed for large numbers. Cf. Doughty on the Arabs: 'the soul of them is greely first of their proper subsistence and then of their proper increase.'

the God of thy fathers] i. 21, xii. 1, xxvii. 3; of your f., i. 11, iv. 1,

cp. xxix. 25. So E, Ex. iii. 15 and J, Ex. iii. 16.

unto thee...a land, etc.] The construction is defective: in supplied by R.V. is not in the Heb. LXX adds to give thee, which affords a good connection and is probably original; as the eye of a Heb. scribe may easily have confused the first and second thee's.

a land flowing with milk and honey] found in J and E and in both

the Sg. and Pl. passages of Deut. For a list of the instances, and the meaning of the phrase, see on Ex. iii. 8. "Only where rich wells or running water produce sufficient pasture for the whole year, is it possible always to get fresh milk; and therefore the desert-dweller dreams of such regions in which water and in consequence milk always flows: give you milk and honer? (Musil, £thn. Ber. 14s. 18s).

4-9. The Essential Creed and Duty of Israel, with enforcement of

them. Known from its initial word as The Shkona* (=Hoar), this section (along with x, i.g.—1 and Nx. xx, 3;—2;—1) thas been for many ages the first bit of the Bible which Jewish children have learned to say and to read, just as it has for many ages formed the confession of faith and to read, just as it has for many ages formed the confession of faith. The Bible for Home Reading, Pt. 1, 12). The later law required its recital by a Jew twice daily; for particulars see Schuiere, Gerch. dea find. Folker, § 27 and Appendix (grd Germ. ed. 11, 459.f; ET. Div. 11, 10, 11, 78.). The LNX inserts before it a longth title-ly, which sections of Deut. continued, and explains some similar headings in the Heb. text.

1 'And these are the statutes and the judgements which the Loro commanded to the children of Israel, when they were coming out of the land of Egypt.'

DEUTERONOMY

Digitized by Google

m

Hear, O Israel: the LORD our God is one LORD: and thou shalt love the LORD thy God with all thine heart, and
Or, the LORD our God, the LORD is one Or, the LORD is our God,

1 Or, the LORD our God, the LORD is one Or, the LORD is our God the LORD is one Or, the LORD is our God, the LORD alone

4. Henr, O Lorael So ix. 1, xx. 3, and similarly iv. 1, vi. 3; and nowhere else in the Hexateuch. The Sg. ix to be explained as in v. 1; but the continuance of the Sg. through the rest of this section is (especially if it is to follow immediately on v 1, see above) analogous to the appearance of the Sg. of the Decologue in a Pt. context. There, ax God area him at Horeb in the Sg.

the LORD our God is one LORD As the R.V. marg. shows, this is one of four possible translations of the elliptic Hebrew: Jehovah our-God, Jehovah One. The other three are : Jehovah our God, Jehovah is One: Ichovah is our God, Jehovah is One; Jehovah is our God, Jehovah alone. But the four are resolvable into these two: First, Jehovah our God is One, an expression of His unity, appropriate at a time when we know from Jeremiah that by the multiplication of His shrines the people of Judah conceived Him, as Baal or Ashtoreth was conceived, not as One, but as many deities with different characteristics and powers over different localities, cp. Jer. ii. 28. Second, Jehovah is our God alone; i.e. Israel's only God, cp. Zech, xiv. o; Song of Songs vi. 9: 1 Chron. xxix. 1. These passages are all post-exilic, and in the first two one may mean unique, but that here it means only (for Israel) is probable from the following verse. Some interpreters take the verse as 'a great declaration of monotheism' (so Driver). But had that been the intention of the writer the clause would have run 'Jehovah is the God, Jehovah alone.' The use of the term our-God shows that the meaning simply is Jehovah is Israel's only God. Nothing is said as to the existence or non-existence of other gods, and the verse is therefore on an equality with v. 7, the First Commandment, and with vii. 9. which implies no more than that Jehovah is a or the God indeed; cp. the curious iv. 19 b which seeks to reconcile His sovereignty with the fact that other gods are worshipped by other nations. Only in iv. 35, 30 does an explicit declaration of monotheism appear in Deut.; it is to be remembered, however, that on other grounds the post-exilic date of these verses is possible1. At the same time the phrase used here lends itself readily to the expression of an absolute monotheism, which later ages of a wider faith read into it. It is interesting to compare with our verse St Paul's statement 1 Cor. viii. 4-6; we know that no idol is anything in the world and that there is no God but one: for though there be that are called gods ...; as there be gods many and lords many, yet to us there is One God, the Father, of whom are all things. Note even here yet to us!

5. and thou shall love Jehovah thy God] Love, mentioned in JE as ! This is not meant to imply that some in Israel had not thrown off belief in the reality of other gods before the Exile. Jeremiah certainly had: e.g. ii. 11. with all thy soul, and with all thy might. And these words, 6 which I command thee this day, shall be upon thine heart: and thou shalt teach them diligently unto thy children, and 7

an affection between human beings (father and son, husband and wife, slave and master) and in H as a duty both to neighbour-Israelites and to strangers (Lev. xix. 18, 34), is never in the Hexateuch described as entering into the relation of man to God except in D and deuteronomic passages, where it is enforced with impressive frequency and fulness as the fundamental religious duty; in the deuteronomic expansion of the Decalogue Ex. xx. 6 = Dt. v. 10; cp. vii. q, also x. 12, xi. 1, 13, 22, xiii, 3, xix, 0, xxx, 6, 16, 20 (of which only xi, 13, 22 and xiii, 2 are Pl.), and the deuteronomic passages Josh, xxii, 5, xxiii, 11. It must be noted that prophecy had already used the term ethically (Am. v. 15 love the good) and religiously, for Hosea, besides frequently emphasising God's love to Israel (iii, 1, ix, 15, xi, 1, 4, xiv, 4), and in terms so warm as to inevitably excite their love to God, describes also the relation of men to their gods as one of love and calls Jehovah the husband of Israel (ii. 7, 13, ix. 10). In this also, therefore, we may venture to see Hosea's influence on D, but D has developed it with an originality and fulness that are very conspicuous and potential in the O.T. and in the N.T. still regarded as final. To D love to God is the distinctive mark of His true worshippers, Israel's necessary response to His mercies especially in redeeming them from Egypt (cp. We love Him because He first loved us, 1 Jo. iv. 19), their central obligation, motive and power to keep His laws; in Christ's words, the first of all the Commandments (Mk xii. 29 f.). See further on Ex. xx. 6.

with all thine heart, and with all thy soul] a favourite phrase in D. See on iv. 20 for meaning and list of instances. Here is added with all thy might, as in 2 Kgs xxiii. 25. 'The One God demands the whole man' (Smend, Rel. Gesch.' 286).

6-9. Further enforcement of this creed and duty.

these words with which I am charging thee this day.] Elsewhere
the phrase in whole or part refers to the whole discourse of Moses (e.g.
xi. 18), but here it must mean the two preceding verses as the essence
of the law.

shall be upon thine heart] xi. 18, lay up in your heart and in your soul; Jer. xxxi. 33, I put my law in their inward parts and write it upon their hearts. As the heart was the seat of the practical intellect, this means to commit them to memory; but with a conscience to do them.

7. teach them diligently] lit. whet or tharpen, xxxii. 41; make incisive and impress them on the children; rule them in, Germ. einschäfen. The Eng. metaphorical use of 'sharpen' or 'whet' ('whet on,' whet forward') has usually for object the mind, not the material employed on it. Yet cp. Shakespeare's

'Thou hid'st a thousand daggers in thy thoughts, Which thou hast whetted on thy stony heart To stab at half-an-hour of my frail life.'

7-

shalt talk of them when thou sittest in thine house, and when thou walkest by the way, and when thou liest down, 8 and when thou risest up. And thou shalt bind them for a sign upon thine hand, and they shall be for frontlets between 9 thine eyes. And thou shalt write them upon the door posts of thy house, and upon thy gates.

o And it shall be, when the LORD thy God shall bring thee

unto thy children] So not only in D, v. 20, iv. 9, xi. 19, but also J, Ex. xiii. 8, etc.

talk of them, etc.] xi. 19. With LXX and Sam. read the for thine before house.

8. thou shall bind them for a sign...for frontlets, etc.] See for the exact meanings the notes on Ex. sili. 9, 16. As there, so here probably the injunction is to be taken metaphorically and not literally, as the later Jews understood it, though they carried it out not by tattooing, sili. 1 and 1

9. door posts] It was the custom of the ancient Egyptians to inscribe on lintels and door-posts sentences of good omen (Wilkinson-Birch, Anc. Epytians2, 1, 361 f.); but we are not to infer that it was thence derived by the Hebrews (Driver), for it was the custom too in the Semitic world (for two inscribed tablets from Assyria in Brit. Mus. see King. Z. A. XI. 50) and prevails among modern Egyptians (Lane, Mod. Egypt. ed. 1806, 262 f.), and among the fellahin of Hauran, who in their belief in the magical efficacy of the written word will place the most inappropriate ancient Greek inscriptions (tombstones and the like) above or beside their doors, sometimes upside down! Later Jews have given the name mesusah (=door-post) to the small metal box or skin-bag containing the above inscription and hung on the right-hand door-post inside. As he enters the pious Jew touches or salutes it (Driver, i./.). It is not necessary to interpret even this verse in so literal a sense (Driver); even this the deuteronomist may have intended to be metaphorical (Marti in Kautzsch's Heil. Schr. des A.T.).

10—15. The chief temptations to forget the duties just enforced will meet Israel when they enter upon the enjoyment of the civilisation of the land they are about to reach: a civilisation to which they have not contributed, and which they may be moved to impute to other gods than their own who is bringing them to it. The relevancy of this section to the preceding, and their close connection, are closured.

10. And it shall be, when Jehovan thy God shall bring thee into, etc.] A formula partly derived from J (Ex. xiii. 5, 11, the land of the Canaantie), but varied by D, which adds thy God and otherwise

into the land which he sware unto thy fathers, to Abraham, to Isaac, and to Jacob, to give thee; great and goodly cities, which thou buildedst not, and houses full of all good things, 11 which thou filledst not, and cisterns hewn out, which thou hewedst not, vineyards and olive trees, which thou plantedst not, and thou shalt eat and be full; then beware lest thou 12 forget the Losto, which brought thee forth out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of bondage. Thou shalt fear the 12 LORD thy God; and him shalt thou serve, and shalt swear

characteristically expands it. Similarly vii. 1, xi. 29. See also iv. 38, vi. 23, viii. 7, ix. 4, 28, xxxi. 20, 21.

38, vi. 23, viii. 7, ix. 4, 28, xxxi. 20, 21. which he sware] i. 8. Thus in the forefront of the warning not to yield to the worship of the gods of their new land the fact is emphasised in solemn phrases that it is Jehovah who brings them into it.

11. and houses...and cisterns...winepards and olive trees...) With Sam. and LNX omit and before houser and cisterns. Such things form the principal wealth of the cities, better towns, of p. 10. That grain and flocks are not also mentioned (as in xxxii; 14) is not surprising. The description is a summary one; it is an agricultural civilisation to which Isaral: is succeeding, and in the agriculture of the W. Palestine hills fruit-trees were more valuable than either wheat or barley, and also their value was more dependent on the labour of previous generations.

and thou shalt eat and be full) viii. 10, 12, xi. 15, xxxi. 20; cp. xiv. 29, xxvi. 12, xxxii. 15 (LXX).

12. heroure] give heed to thysieff or be on guard with respect to thysief, apparently a common phrase from one person to another, Ex. x. 28 (I), etc.; addressed to Israel in the editorial passage, Ex. xxxiv. 12 and frequently in D: vi. 9, viii, 11 (both followed, as here, by let thou forget), xii. 13, 19, 30, xv. 9, all Sg. and in the Pl. vv. 23, xi. 16 (ep. december 1).

which brought thee, etc.] Once more an emphasis on the providence of Israel's God.

house of bondmen] So in J, Ex. xiii. 3, 14; in Deut. only in Sg.: v. 6, vi. 12, vii. 8, viii. 14, xiii. 5, 10; the slaves' quarter (ergastulum).

13. him thall thus four ...rev...reven by his name! Intended to cover the whole sphere of religion: the spiritual temper (on the frequent enforcement of the fear of God and its meaning see on iv. 10); acts of worship (the Hebrew term, though technically used of these, may cover other duties as well, see Driver, i.l. and cp. on x. 12); and loyalty to God in all one's intercourse by word and deed with one's fellows. The reason for this last, which to our ears sounds strange in so brief a summary of religious duty, is clear. All the details of life are more explicitly connected with religion by primitive man than by ourselves. He natively and constantly appeals to his god for the truth of his state.

14 by his name. Ye shall not go after other gods, of the gods 15 of the peoples which are round about you; for the Lown thy God in the midst of thee is a Jealous God; lest the anger of the Lown thy God be kindled against thee, and he destroy thee from off the face of the earth.

16 Ye shall not tempt the LORD your God, as ye tempted 17 him in Massah. Ye shall diligently keep the commandments of the LORD your God, and his testimonies, and his statutes, 18 which he bath commanded thee. And thou shall do that

ments and the honesty of his business transactions. So was it in the Israel of the deuteronomists' time, Jer. v. 2. Thus a man's oaths were in his everyday life the profession of his faith. If he swore by Baal, Baal was his god. Hence the need of the command to Israel here and in Jer. iv. 2, xii. 16. It is the duty of carrying out one's religion into the momentary details of life. Hence, too, the definition of Jehovah's true worshipper as he that sweareth by Jehovah, Ps. lxiii. 11. But hence also the need for the presence among the Ten Commandments of one not to take Jehovah's name in vain. For the practice, however sincere in its origins, was terribly open to abuse, and was (and is) abused among Semitic nations beyond all others. Of the modern Arabs Doughty says, 'they all day take God's name in vain (as it was perhaps in ancient Israel), confirming every light and laughing word with cheerful billahs,' and 'they will confirm any word with an oath' (Ar. Des. 1. 265, 269). So Christ commanded, swear not at all. 14. Ye shall not go after other gods, etc.] only states explicitly what

is implicit in the preceding verses. As it is superfluous and introduces the Pl. form into a Sg. context, it may be confidently regardled as an editorial addition. *Other gods*, specially characteristic of D and deuteronomic passages in the Hexateuch, occurs some 20 times; for go after after gods see viii. 19, xi. 28, xiii. 2, xxviii. 14, etc.

15. in the midst of thee] So vii. 21, xxiii. 14 (contr. i. 42). Hosea has the same thought, xi. 9, and Jeremiah, xiv. 9.

a jealous God] As in iv. 24, v. 9; see note on Ex. xx. 5. lest the anger. etc.] Cp. vii. 4, xi. 17.

16, 17. Another interruption by the Pl. Because of this; because the reference to Massah is hardly relevant to the context, and because the perfect, he hath commanded, is not yet true of the separate laws; these sentences seem to be a later editorial insertion. The return to the Sig. at their close is explicable by the attraction of the Sig. in v. 18. Is. Y. shall not tempt, etc.] Rather, try, or put to the proof. On

Massah cp. ix. 22, xxxiii. 8, and see on Ex. xvii. 2, 7,
18, 19. Resumption of the Sg. address; in spite of this the
originality of these verses also has been doubted. It is at least curious
that we have in them the divine name alone without the addition thy
God, characteristic of D.

Digitized by Google

which is right and good in the sight of the LORD: that it may be well with thee, and that thou mayest go in and possess the good land which the LORD sware unto thy fathers, to thrust out all thine enemies from before thee, as 19 the LORD bath spoken.

When thy son asketh thee in time to come, saying, What so mean the testimonies, and the statutes, and the judgements, which the Lord our God hath commanded you? then thou 22 shalt say unto thy son, We were Pharaoh's bondmen in Egypt; and the 'Lord brought us out of Egypt with a mighty hand: and the Lord shewed signs and wonders, 22 great and sore, upon Egypt, upon Pharaoh, and upon all his house, before our eyes; and he brought us out from 23

18. do that which is right, etc.] Cp. xii. 25. mayest go in and possess] See above on v. 1.

19. to thrust out, etc.] The Heb. is used of this event only here and ix. 4 (Sg.); also in the deuteronomic Jos. xxiii. 5.

as Jehovah hath spoken] Ex. xxiii. 27 ff.

20—23. These verses return to a favourite theme of Deut.: the close relation between febovah's laws and His Deeds. When a future generation shall ask the meaning of the Laws it shall be referred to the Lord's deliverance of the anion from bondage in Egypt and His conduct of them to the land He promised. Having thus made them a nation, It evodd now preserve them as such by the Laws which He anion, It would now preserve them as such by the Laws which I te tain nothing which lends us to doubt their originality. See on 8, 14, 20. When, etc. Read, with Sam and L.N.Y., And 14 shall be when,

as in the opening of v. 10 and in Ex. xiii. 14 (J), which the rest of this

clause follows.

the testimonies...the statutes, and the judgements] as in iv. 45 g.v. With Sam. omit and before the statutes; the statutes and the judgements are the contents of the testimonies.

our God] For the reason of this instead of the usual Sg. thy God see on v. 24.

hath commanded you] The perfect is natural to the time of the questioners' generation, when the laws would already have been published. You (so Sam., but LNX us) is, of course, the older generations; this, therefore, is not an instance of the PL address.

21. bondmen] See on v. 6. mighty hand] See on iv. 34.

22. signs and wonders ... before our eyes | See on iv. 34.

23. and he brought us out] This translation stifles the emphatic and even exultant note of the order in the original: But us He brought out from thence, cp. iv. 20.

hath commanded us.

thence, that he might bring us in, to give us the land which 24 he sware unto our fathers. And the Loon commanded us to do all these statutes, to fear the Loon our God, for our good always, that he might preserve us alive, as at this day, 25 And it shall be righteousness unto us, if we observe to do all this commandment before the Loon our God, as he

that he might bring us in] See on v. 10; some LXX codd. omit.

which he invare] i. 8.

24. Johovah commanded us to do all three statutes] This phrase is natural to the time and standpoint assumed throughout vp. 10–12, yu. those of the later generation before which the statutes will always have been published. Notice, too, how naturally Jehrozh is used instead of the deterroome Jehrosh or there we say not a statute of the deterroome Jehrosh or the statute will always the statute will be the statute will always the statute will be statute with the statute will be statute will be statute will be statute with the statute wi

might preserve us alive] Sustain the national existence which He had begun by the redemption from Egypt (v. 21). The Law is given to preserve the life born in that deed of grace. See above.

alire, as at this day! "It deserves attention that this points to the composition [of the passage] as pre-exilie, for the Exile was felt as death' (Bertholet). This would be a good argument if the words were part of Moses' direct address to Israel, but they are spoken from the standpoint of a generation settled in Palestine.

25. It shall be rightenumen unto ut] The thought of the previous verse shows that rightenumes here does not mean goodness, uprightness, but rather justification, vindication, the right to live, and by consequence that life itself. Cf. the post-scale "Issish, bit. 1, 1, 1si. 1, 2, 1n which rightnesses to grantle or roomen, to achieve and more of the previous of the previous control of the property of the previous of the previous control of the previous con

before sehovah our God] Cp. xxiv. 13, where this phrase (thy God) follows immediately on rightenumess unto thee. That may, as some suggest, have been the order here, too, but the transposition is not necessary. 'To fulfil the commandment before Jehovah means so to fulfil it that He sees it, and that is a speaking feature of legal piety (Xeh. v. 19, xiii. 14, 22, 31) (Bertholet).

When the LORD thy God shall bring thee into the land 7 whither thou goest to possess it, and shall 'cast out many nations before thee, the Hittite, and the Girgashite, and the

1 Heb. pluck off.

CH. VII. 1-26.

The discourse returns to the theme of vi. 10 ff., Israel's temptations in the promised land. He is to make no contract, nor show friendliness, nor intermarry with its peoples lest he be drawn to idolatry (1-4), but is to destroy their altars and other religious symbols (5). For Israel is holy and peculiar to Jehovah, who hath chosen him because He loved him and redeemed him in order to keep His oath to his fathers (6-8). He is faithful to His own to a thousand generations, but requites His haters by destroying them; Israel must therefore keep His laws (q-11). If so. Iehovah will keep His covenant with the people, securing the fertility of themselves, their soil and their cattle, and turning disease from them upon their enemies (12-15). These Israel must consume ruthlessly, for their gods will be a snare; and if Israel is afraid of them he must remember that what his God has already done to Pharaoh and Egypt He will do to them, for He is in the midst of Israel a great God and terrible (16-21). He will destroy them gradually (for His people's sake), but utterly (22-24). The chapter closes on its keynote: Israel must destroy the images of the gods of these peoples, not coveting even the silver and the gold upon these, which must be an abomination to Israel (25-26).-Apart from certain editorial additions (see the notes), there is no reason to doubt the substantial integrity of the chapter; save with these additions-pv. 5, 7, 8 (except last clause), 12a -it maintains the Sg. address.

1. shall bring thee into, etc.] See on vi. 10.

shall cast out, etc. | strip, or clear, off ; v. 22, 2 Kgs xvi, 6; the only applications of this verb to the extirpation of human beings; in xix. 5 intrans, of the slipping of an axe-head from the heft, xxviii, 40 the dropping of olives. JE of drawing off sandals, Ex. iii. 5; Jos. v. 15.

The list of seven nations which follows is of a kind frequent in IE, D (xx, 17) and deuteronomic passages in other books; 'in many cases probably-los, xxiv. It is one that is very clear-introduced by the compiler' (Dri.), but always with a rhetorical purpose. The order and even the contents of these lists vary; for details see Driver on this verse, and on Ex. iii, 8.

Hittite] Egyptian and Assyrian monuments record a Hittite power in N. Syria with a centre at Kadesh on the Orontes. Judg. i. 26, iii. 23, Jos. xi. 3 (in these last two read Hittite for Hivite) bring the name as far as the S. end of Mt Hermon. P mentions people of the same or a similar name in S. Palestine as owning the land about Hebron (Gen. xxiii. 3, 10), and gives Esau wives of the daughters of Heth (Gen. xxvi. 4, xxvii. 46). Ezekiel (xvi. 3, cp. 45) calls the mother of Jerusalem a Amorite, and the Canaanite, and the Perizzite, and the Hivite, and the Jebusite, seven nations greater and mightier 2 than thou; and when the Loro thy God shall deliver them up before thee, and thou shalt smite them; then thou shalt "utterly destroy them; thou shalt make no covenant with them, no show mercy unto them; neither shall thou make

1 Heb. devote.

Hittie. On these grounds (and others) the existence of a least Hittie colonies or succrinities in S. Palestine has been maintained. But in P Hittie may be used in the same general sense as Amorite in E and D and Camannite in J; cp. Jos. i. A (deuteronomic) all the land of the ℓl : all Syria, which the Assyrians also mean by 'the land of the Khatti', and P's Hittites at Hebron are called Amorites by E, Jos. v. 5; while Excklel, too, may have no ethnological distinction in mind, but may mean only to emphasise the indoor heathersian of Jerusalem. The question is still uncertain and of no importance for the understanding $t_{\rm color} = t_{\rm color} = t_{$

Girgarhite] in but a few of the lists; here, Jos. iii. 10, xxiv. 11; Gen. xv. 21. Gen. xv. 16 (J) puts them under the political supremacy of Canaan (begetten by C.) or Phoenicia. Their territory is unknown. The name seems onomatopoetic like Zamzummim (ii. 20); cp. Arab.

'garas,' to make a low sound or speak softly.

Amorite...Canaanite] See on i. 7.

Persist() in all but two or three of the lists. J mentions this people, along with the Canannite, as Israel's predecessors (Gen. siii, 7, xxxiv. 30; Judg. i. (4), 5), and their land as in the centre of the range of W. Palestine (Jos. xvii. 13). The name has been derived, but not certainly, from prasad, 'open region' or 'region of unwalled towns,' prasi, 'the inhabitant of such ('iii. 5).

Hivite] in all the lists. In J they are subject to Phoenicia (Canaan, Gen. x. 17) and the Gibeonites are called Hivites [Jos. ix. 7; cp. the deuteronomic xi. 19). In 2 Sam. xxiv. 7 their cities are coupled with those of the Canaanites as now Israel's. The Heb. Hivevii seems con-

nected with hawwah, tent-village.

februite] in all the lists save one; according to J and other sources the inhabitants of Jerusalem and its land till their conquest by David [Jos. xv. 63;] udg. i. 11, xis. 11; 2 Sam. v. 6, 8); cf. l's the shoulder of the februite, that is ferusalem, Jos. xviii. 16, 28. See the writer's ferus. 1. 226f., 11, 18, 28.
2. deliver them us before! See on i. 8.

thou shalt utterly destroy them] put to the ban, herem. See on ii. 34.
make no covenant with them] no treaty or alliance; so in JE, Ex.
xxiii. 32, xxxiv. 12; cp. Jos. ix. 6, 1 Sam. xi. 1 fl. (instances of such).
3. neither ...make marriages with them] In the narratives in Genesis

marriages with them; thy daughter thou shalt not give unto his son, nor his daughter shalt thou take unto thy son. For 4 he will turn away thy son from following me, that they may serve other gods: so will the anger of the LORD be kindled against you, and he will destroy thee quickly. But thus 5 shall ye deal with them; ye shall break down their altars, and dash in pieces their 'pillars, and hew down their Asherim, and burn their graven images with fire. For thou 6

1 Or. obelisks

and Judges marriages are regarded as best when between members of the same family or tribe (Gen. xxviii. 2, 8 f.) and as unfortunate when the wives are foreign (Gen. xxvi. 24 f., xxvii. 46: Iudg. xiv. 3). But no law against marriage with foreigners is either assumed or implied. On the contrary, Moses (Ex. ii. 21), David (2 Sam. iii. 3), Solomon (1 Kgs xi. 1), Ahab (1 Kgs xvi. 31), all marry foreigners, and there are other instances (Bath-sheba and Uriah, etc.). The deuteronomic veto, therefore, may be assumed to be the earliest law against such marriages (Ex. xxxiv, 16 is editorial) and to have become necessary by the experience of their evil consequences, conducive to idolatry (Judg. iii. 5 f., deuteronomic). At the same time D allows marriage with a foreign woman taken in war (xxi. 10). That the law was not kept is seen from the Book of Ezra.

4. turn away thy son from following me] Expressed differently in Ex. xxxiv. 16b but to the same effect, that the influence of the foreign wife on her Israelite husband will be to lead him into idolatry. From after me (lit.); as the speaker is Moses, the me has been taken to be due to abbreviation of the divine name, and fehovah is read; but in that case we should have had Jehovah thy God. Therefore retain me and take this as an instance, occurring again in xvii. 3, xxviii. 20, xxix. 5 (4), and frequent in the discourses of the prophets, of the merging of the speaker's personality in that of the Deity, for whom he speaks,

against you Transition for the moment to the Pl. (confirmed by Sam. and LXX). It is impossible to say whether this is original or an editorial addition.

quickly] iv. 26.

5. The change to the Pl., together with the fact that the v. does not direct the destruction of the persons of the heathen (which would have been relevant to the preceding), but only of their altars, etc., marks this verse as a quotation or later insertion. V. 6 follows on 4. So Steuern., Berth. Cp. the editorial passages Ex. xxiii. 24 b, xxxiv. 13. The original of all three passages may be the deuteronomic law, xii, 3, pillars ... Asherim | See on xvi. 21 f.

6-11. The reasons for the previous commands to destroy the peoples of the land, and to abstain from traffic with them, leading as this would to participation in their worship of other gods. Israel are for Jehowah alone: to this end He loved, chose, and redeemed them. This so noe of the many cases in Deut. in which the principles or ideas offered for certain practices or acts of conduct commanded to Israel are of a far higher standard than these practices themselves, and thereafter have endured as the essentials of religion when the practices are either no longer prescribed or actually forbidden (as in Christianity). The passage, which might apopear to be founded on Ex. xix. ξI_n , is not certainly so; for Ex. xix. ξI_n (on which see the note) has probly been expanded. The address changes to the Pl. in πn . 7, 8, which are probably a later insertion: see below.

6. an hely people unto Jehovah hy God | Sox viv. 2, 11, xxvi. 19, xxi. 1

HOLINESS IN DEUT. AND OTHER O.T. WRITERS.

The adj. holy (kadosh), and the noun holiness (kodesh), with the various forms of the verb (prob. denominative) to be holy, and to hallow or sanctify, require a separate note, especially in view of certain phenomena which distinguish the use of these terms in Deut. The meaning of the root 'k-d-sh' appears to be physical; 'cut off,' 'separate,' 'set apart,' But in Heb. and other Semitic languages the words derived from it are always used in a religious sense, both of God or the gods and of things and men in their relation to the deity. It is not certain whether they were first applied to deity as separate from, or at a distance above men, and then transferred to men and things belonging to the deity; or whether they were originally used of these as set apart from common use for the use of the god and then transferred to himself. But this is clear, that as the meaning of the terms grew in Israel's use of them, the chief influence in that growth was the revealed nature of Israel's God. At first the meaning of holy and holiness was purely formal, without ethical content, and negative. Even in Israel, and even with prophets who had very rich conceptions of the moral and metaphysical nature of God, the terms still often retain their original and negative character. To Hosea God is Holy as the Utter Contrast of man, xi. 9: God and not man, the Holy One in the midst of thee; to the Prophet of the Exile He is the Incomparable, 'Is.' xl. 25: to whom will ye liken me, that I should be equal to him? saith the Holy One. But as these passages show, the terms could not remain negative when used of God, but became positive and equivalent to godhead. In Phoenician (as A. B. Davidson points out) the phrase 'the holy gods' just means the divine gods. Similarly in Israel the contents of the term Holy came to be the contents of the nature of Jehovah as these were revealed to the prophets. To Hoosa (si. 9, see above) God's holiness, His utter contrast with men, is His love and power of forgiving. To Isaish it is His transcendence, majesty and awful purity, crushing and bewildering sinful man (vi. 1—5, high and lifet up, the foundations mered...ht house war, filled with mobe...moe is me, I am undom...a man of unicoun liph, and His righteomesses or justice v. 16, the Holy One is half by righteomensy; it is parallel to His glovy (vi. 9, the Ver none of these attributes are synonyms of holiness strictly; they are rather

elements in holiness' (Davidson). As applied to things holy simply means that they have been ceremonially set apart for the deity; so of the Sabbath (hallow it), the firstborn (sanctify them to me | they are mine), the sanctuary (mikdash), its furniture, priests' clothing, and foods (virtually equivalent to clean), etc. Similarly men are holy not because of their character, but from their devotion to the deity or His service, e.g. 1 Sant, xxi, 5 f. of soldiers (of divers characters) consecrated to war (see on xx. 1 ff., xxxiii. 3); of a prophet, 2 Kgs iv. 9; and frequently in P of priests, Levites and Nazirites. In E, Ex. xxii. 31, holy is applied to the whole nation: they must not eat flesh torn by beasts of the field and not slain ritually, because they are men holy to fehovah, His own and set apart for Him; while in Jer. ii. 3 holy = inviolable : as holiness to Jehovah, early Israel could not be devoured by other nations without guilt falling on these. Here also, however, the character of the God to whom Israel was sacred, gradually ethicised the term holy. This appears as early as I. Ex. xix. 5f. (unless this passage is editorial), where it is announced that the people will be holy if they obey God's voice and keep His covenant: and it is very clear in the formula, Be ye holy for I am holy, because of its connection with moral requirements, Lev. xix. 1-3, xx. 7. Even when Israel's holiness is emphasised as incompatible with attendance on heathen cults, the notoriously immoral character of these implies that the holiness is not merely ceremonial but ethical as well. In Pss. xv. and xxiv. only the upright and pure are fit to dwell in the holy place of God; vet even here holiness may mean no more than an awful sacredness (cp. Is. xxxiii. 14f.). On the whole subject see A. B. Davidson, Theol. of the O.T. 144 ff., and J. Skinner, art. 'Holiness in the O.T.' in Hastings' D.B.

In Deut, in which the use of holy and holinear is not so frequent or characteristic as it is in the Prophets and P, we find only some of the meanings described above; the whole range of them is not covered. The purely ritual sense, applied to things and men consecrated to God, is oftenest expressed: v. 12 (the Sabbath); vv. 19 (firstling malles); vs. 6x, xxiv. 13, (all this play things, vows and titues of the increase of fields and flocks); xxiii. v4 (the camp, because of God's presence); cp. and flocks); xxiii. v4 (the camp, because of God's presence); cp. holinear or conversation; answire of the properties of the state of the short of the state of the short of the short

art an holy-people unto the LORD thy God: the LORD thy God hath chosen thee to be a peculiar people unto himself, 7 above all peoples that are upon the face of the earth. The

1 Or, out of

nations, and high above them in renown; and in another, xxviii. 9 (as the context shows), an inviolable people just as in Jer. ii. 3, though the condition of such inviolableness is moral, their obedience to all the commandments of Jehovah. In two others, xiv. 2, 21, the phrase is used as the ground for their abstention from mutilation for the dead, and from eating what has not been ritually slain; while in vii, 6 it is given (as we have seen) as a reason for not treating or trafficking with the heathen or engaging in their cults. In these last three cases a moral meaning is doubtless implied in holy, because of the notoriously immoral character of such cults, but it is not explicit. This is strange after what we have seen of the moral contents of the term holy in the Prophets. But stranger still as coming after the Prophets (see above) is the fact that holy is nowhere in Deut, applied to God Himself (though in xxvi. 15 heaven is called His holy habitation); and He is not styled as Isaiah so frequently styles Him the Holy One of Israel. Did the deuteronomists purposely avoid the association of this name with lehovah because of some superstitious use of it (cp. Ieremiah's repudiation of Isaiah's conviction of the sanctity of the Temple, when this had become a mere fetish with the people), or because it was also applied to heathen gods?

Jehovah thy God hath chosen thee The order of the original is much more emphatic: And (so Sam., LXX and some Heb. MSS) thee hath Jehovah thy God chosen. Similarly iv. 37, x. 15, xiv. 2 with Sg., and with Pl. only vii. 7. The idea and its expression are characteristic of D; it is not found in other documents of the Hex. nor in predeuteronomic writings (vet cp. Amos iii, 2), but occurs frequently after D, in the deuteronomic Jer. xxxiii. 24, and t Kgs iii. 8; and frequently in 'Is.' xli. 8, 9, xliii. 10, xliv. 1, 2; cp. xlii. 1, xliii. 20, xlv. 4, xlix. 7: also of God's restoration of the exiled Israel 'Is.' xiv. 1. We must not impart into the phrase the full meaning of 'election' in the N.T. or Christian theology. As the passages in 2nd Isaiah show, 'election' by God is election to service (see the writer's Isaiah xl.-lxvi. pp. 237 f.), and as ler, xviii, shows, it may be annulled if the object of it prove to be unworthy; yet, according to 'Is.' xiv. I, it may, on repentance being shown, be renewed; cp. below xxx. 3 ff-

a peculiar people] Lit. a people of special possession; in late O.T. of the privy property of kings, I Chr. xxix. 3, Eccl. ii. 8; in N. H. the verb from which it is derived means to acquire property. Also in xiv. 2 and xxvi. 18, like this passage, in Sg. Not certainly found before D, for Ex. xix, 5 is editorial. For details see note on that verse. The adj. has the sense which the noun 'peculiar' retains in Eng.

7, 8. Change to the Pl. address. Because of this and because the

Lord did not set his love upon you, nor choose you, because ye were more in number than any people; for ye were the fewest of all peoples: but because the Lord loveth 8 you, and because he would keep the oath which he sware unto your fathers, hath the Lord brought you out with a mightly hand, and redeemed you out of the house of bondage, from the hand of Pharaoh king of Egypt. Know therefore 9

choice of Israel by Jehovah is not mentioned in other Pl. passages, and also because these verses are not necessary to the connection, they are

probably a later editorial insertion-or at least a quotation.

7. iet åis leve uppen you! The radicat meaning of the verb is to fix or bind, and it is used of a man's falling in love with a woman, xxi. rx; Gen. xxxiv. 8; ep. the Eng. use for this of "attachment" (also of a passion for building. Kgs. ix, 10). Of Jehovath's bowe for Jamel only complexable to be for the property of the property

ye were the frevest of all peoples! Cp. iv, 38, xii. r, ix. 1, all Sg., and xi. 23 Pl. as here; on the other hand i. 10 Pl., x. 22 Sg. as the stars of heaven, iv. 6 Pl. a great nation, xxvi. 8 Sg. great, mighty, populous. The representation of Israel's numbers and power appears to vary in different passages, according to the thought which the writer at the

time desires to express' (Driver). Yet see on i. 10.

8. Inserth yor! With Israel's love to God [see on vi. 2] God's love to Israel is equally characteristic of D and not found elsewhere in Hexatench: first expressed and very fully in Hos. i.—iii. and si. ——i. In Deut. of God's love to the fathers of the nation, iv. 37, x. 15, both Sg; to the nation, vii. 13, to Si; to the stranger, x. 18 Se. Pl. (editorial), vii. 13, xxiii. 5 Se;; to the stranger, x. 18 Se.

the oath which he sware | See ix. 5.

mighty hand] See on iii. 24.

redeemed you] Heb. thee, and the Sg. is confirmed by Sam, and most MSS of LXX. This Sg. clause follows, not only conveniently upon v. 6, the last clause in Sg., but very appropriately because of its redeemed and the peculiar people of that clause.

redeemed The ordinary term for ransoming beast or man from slavery

or death (see on Ex. xiii. 13), is used of the redemption of Israel from Egypt in D here, xiii. 5, xv. 18, xxiv. 18, all with the Sg., and in ix. 26 in a Pl. context; and so nowhere else in the Hexateuch.

9, 10. A free paraphrase of the Second Commandment.

9. Know therefore! A frequent formula in D in Sg, and Pl. iv. 3g (+ and lay it to thine heart), viii. 5 (A.N. and thou shall consider in thine heart), ix. 3, 6 (A.N. understand therefore), xi. 2 (and heave yet) cp. xxix. 4 Pl. (a heart to know); the passages where the object is other gods and the meaning therefore is to have experience of them, xiii. 6, 3g, xxviii. 5g (Sg.), and xi. 8g (Fl.), also xix. 5g (Xxxii. 7g); and in a

that the LORD thy God, he is God; the faithful God, which keepeth covenant and mercy with them that love him and lo keep his commandments to a thousand generations; and repayeth them that hate him to their face, to destroy them:

he will not be slack to him that hateth him, he will repay 11 him to his face. Thou shalt therefore keep the commandment, and the statutes, and the judgements, which I command thee this day, to do them.

And it shall come to pass, because ye hearken to these

similar sense, of other nations axviii, 33, 36 (Sg.), and of the disseases of Egypt vii. 15, (Sg.); and of mann aviii. 3, 16 (Sg.); also of Good proving His people in order to know, i.e., find out, what was in their heart, viii. 2 (Sg.), xiii. 3 (Pl.). These passages and their contexts show that D uses the verb to know with the same practical force, especially intelligent to the same and the same practical force, especially religious matters, with which Hosen uses it. If it is not to know so as to acquisition and mastery, but as impression, passion. To quote Paulyschended. It leads to a vivid result—either warm appreciation, or change of mind or practical effort... It is knowledge that is followed by shame, approaches the meaning of our conscience.' (The Twelvie Prophets, i. 332: see the whole chapter there on the subject).

he is God] the God, or God indeed, iv. 35, 39, x. 17; affirming not the soleness (Dillm.) so much as the reality of Jehovah's deity, as shown

(the vv. go on) in His working in history. faithful] A participle with gerundive force, who shows Himself One

to be trusted, i.e. by His deeds.

ketheth covenant and mercy! The conjunction shows that the Heb.

word trans. mercy, tested, is, as especially in Hosea, more than an affection; it is a relation and duty better rendered by loyal love. But see Driver's note in loco.

that love him] See on vi. 5.

a thousand generation; 'a rhetorical amplification, rather than an exact interpretation, of the thousands of Ex. xx. 6' [Dt. v. 10] (Driver).

10. to their face] i.e. in their own persons; inserted lest the sinner might flatter himself that the punishment of his sin would be deferred to a later generation (v. 11).

he will not be slack] Rather, he will not delay (it).

the commandment, and the statutes, and the judgements See on vi. 1. San. again omits and before statutes.
 And it shall come to pass Cp. vi. 10.

because] better than A.V. if; Heb. means in consequence of, or as a reward for.

judgements, and keep, and do them, that the Lorn thy God shall keep with thee the covenant and the mercy which he sware unto thy fathers: and he will love thee, and bless 13 thee, and multiply thee: he will also bless the fruit of thy body and the fruit of thy ground, thy corn and thy wine and thine oil, the increase of thy kine and the young of the flock, in the land which he sware unto thy fathers to give thee. Thou shalt be blessed above all peoples: there shall 4, not be male or female barren among you, or among your cattle. And the Lorn will take away from thee all sickness; 15 and he will put none of the evil diseases of Egypt, which

ye hearken...and do them] Another Pl. clause and superfluous. The next clause resuming the Sg. follows suitably v. 11.

Jehovah thy God shall keep with the the covenant, etc.] Expansion

of v. q, q.v.

13. hove...biss...and multiply thee] Cp. Gen. xxii. 17 (E2), xxxii. 20, biter and multiply; not the characteristic addition fowe by D. The biesings which follow are material; similarly but variet in xxviii., 41. The biesings which follow are material; similarly but variet in xxviii., 41. The similar lists: beaut, word, which place is a first probability of the first probability of first probability of the first probability of first prob

fruit of thy body] womb, as in A.V.; Gen. xxx. 2 (E).

corn., seinte., off) xi. 14, xii. 17, xiv. 23, xxviii. 51. The terms used denote these products in a less manufactured gate. Wine is rivide not yein, corn degen not hittin, oil yither not shinon. Trieds, though not shinon to the control of the co

the increase of thy kine] xxviii. 4, 18, 51: what drops from or is cast by, an animal; Ex. xiii. 12 (1) that cometh of a beast. Nowhere else.

Kine, rather cattle, the noun is masc.

the young of thy flock] Lit. the 'Ashtoreths. 'A phrase like this, which

has descended from religion into ordinary life, and is preserved among the montheistic Hebrews, is very old evidence for the association of Astarte with the sheep.' (W. R. Smith, Rel. of the Semiter, 458.) in the land, etc.] See vi. 10: after sware, Sam. and LXX read februah.

14. not...barren] Ex. xxiii. 26 (edit.); cp. above on v. 13. 15. take away...all sickness] Ex. xxiii. 25 (edit.).

eril diseases of Egypt] In Ex. xv. 26 (edit.) the sicknesses (another

thou knowest, upon thee, but will lay them upon all them to that hate thee. And thou shalt consume all the peoples which the Lorn thy God shall deliver unto thee; thine eye shall not pity them: neither shalt thou serve their gods; for that will be a snare unto thee. If thou shalt say in thine

17 that will be a snare unto thee. If thou shalt say in thine heart, These nations are more than I; how can I dispossess 18 them? thou shalt not be afraid of them: thou shalt well remember what the LORD thy God did unto Pharaoh, and to unto all Exont: the great 'lemptations which thine even

19 unto all Egypt; the great 'temptations which thine eyes saw, and the signs, and the wonders, and the mighty hand, and the stretched out arm, whereby the Lorn thy God brought thee out: so shall the Lorn thy God do unto all 20 the peoples of whom thou art afraid. Moreover the Lorn

1 Or, trials See ch. iv. 34, and xxix. 3.

word) refers to the special plagues brought on the Egyptians by Jehovah for Israel's sake. Here the reference is rather to the natural silments of men of which in antiquity Egypt was notoriously the source elephantisals. "Aegypti peculiare malium (Pliny, T.M. XXXVI.) ophthation, dysentery, but especially be bubonic plague (Hexateous of, Help Land, 132, 16, 76): and op. below note on saville 27.

which thou knowest] hast had experience of, see on v. 9.

shall deliver] See on v. 2.

The rest of the v. Steuern, takes as an addition, because the theme of 12—16 is what Jehovah does; and this, a warning for Israel, breaks the course of the thought. But this is to impute too fine a logic to such a

course of the thought. But this is to impute too fine a logic to such a discursive writer.

thine eye shall not pity them] xiii. 8, xix. 13, 21, xxv. 12, all Sg.; elsewhere in Hex. only in the edit passage, Gen. xlv. 20, and with a different object, but common in Ezek., of God's eve on the people, and

also found in Jer. and other post-deuteronomic writings. Cp. v. 2, thou shalt not pity them, with another vb. neither shalt thou serve their gods...snare unto thee Similarly in

edit. Ex. xxiii. 33, xxxiv. 12. See note on former.

17. say in thine heart] say to thyself, or think, or imagine; but with

the force of really think, ix. 4, xviii. 21.

18. afraid of them] So simply, xx. 1; for the longer characteristic phrases see on i. 21.

what Jehovah thy God did] iv. 34, vi. 21 f.

19. temptations...signs...wonders | See on iv. 34.

which thine eyes saw] iv. 9. mighty hand, and...stretched out arm] See on iv. 34. thy God will send the hornet among them, until they that are left, and 'hide themselves, perish from before thee. Thou shalt not be affrighted at them: for the Loxo thy 21 God is in the midst of thee, a great God and a terrible. And the Loxo thy God will cast out those nations before 22 thee by little and little: thou mayest not consume them 'at once, lest the beasts of the field increase upon thee. But 23 the Loxo thy God shall deliver them up before thee, and

1 Or, hide themselves from thee, perish

2 Or, quickly

20. And also the hornets will Jehovah...send, etc.] E twice, Ex. sxiii. 38, Jos. xxiiv. 12. '89 also D indicates that he will have the hornets understood not as the only weapon of God, but as an example of His weapons; by the rest of the verse he makes it sufficiently clear that he takes hornets in the proper sense of the word, in so far as they penetrate, into holes and corners' (Dillmann).

21. Thou shalt not be affrighted This, combined with the verb he afraid (v. 18), is found in Pl. passages.

in the midst of theel vi. 15.

great God and...terrible] Cp. x. 17, xxviii. 28, the same epithets of the wilderness i. 19, viii. 15, and of Jehovah's deeds x. 21. Terrible, in E. Gen. xxviii. 17 of the presence of God; nowhere else before D, for Ex. xxxiv. 10 is editorial, but very frequent in post-deuteronomic writings.

22. "ant onf] See on rs. 1.

1. itlit and little? So, with the same reason attached, E. Ex. xxiii. 19, 19, on which see the note. This is a good instance of Dx relaction, and more fluent expression, of earlier statements. That D should not be supported to the statements. That D should the carried the statements of the property of the statement of the property of the land, as recorded in the older documents [10, xiii. 13, xv. 0.5, xv. 10, xv. 11, vv. 11, 11-18]; Judg. i. 19, 11 ft, ii. 10-iii. 4; most probably all J), it is against the conception on oneyed by the deuternomie sections of Joshan, that Israel's conquest of the peoples was rapid and complete (Jos. x. supposits) the verse to be an intrinsion as Steuern, does; in any case it is

let the beasts of the field increase upon thee! Field, here in its earlier sense of uncultivated territory; beasts of the field are therefore wild beasts. That this danger was real and great in partly depopulated lands is illustrated in 8 Kgs xvii. 24. How constant the war of man against wild animals was in ancient. Falestine may be felt from the promise of Group of the state of

23. deliver them up] See on v. 2.

8-2

deuteronomic.

shall discomfit them with a great discomfiture, until they be 24 destroyed. And he shall deliver their kings into thine hand, and thou shalt make their name to perish from under heaven: there shall no man be able to stand before thee, until thou 25 have destroyed them. The graven images of their gods shall ye burn with fire: thou shalt not covet the silver or the gold that is on them, nor take it unto thee, lest thou be snared therein: for it is an abomination to the LORD thy 26 God: and thou shalt not bring an abomination into thine

discomfit] an onomatopoetic word implying the confusion, turmoil, and panic of defeat, especially under Divine judgement. 24. make their name to perish, etc. | Cp blot out, ix. 14, xxv. 19.

xxix. 20.

stand before thee] Lit. keep himself standing to thy face, hold his post in face of thee : only here, ix. 2 Sg., xi. 25 Pl., in this sense.

The graven images ... burn with fire v. s. Curiously in the Pl.,

as there is an otherwise Sg. context (the text is confirmed by Sam, and LXX). Steuern. marks the verse as secondary, but unnecessarily; the isolated Pl. may be due to a scribe whose eye or ear was impressed with v. 5 (so, too, Bertholet). Burn, the body of the image therefore was of wood, but plated or ornamented with metal (yet cp. Ex. xxxii. 20). Hence further-

thou shall not covet the silver or the gold that is on them] Cp. los. vii. 1, 21, Achan's trespass in the devoted thing. The former of these is editorial; the latter, with Achan's confession that he had coveted 200 shekels of silver and a wedge of gold, belongs to JE.

snared] See on v. 16.

an abomination] The Heb. to ebah is that which is ritually unlawful, and therefore unclean and abhorrent, in respect to some religious system. Thus it is used of Israel's own sacrifices as unlawful in Egypt, which the Egyptians would stone Israel for performing there, Ex. viii. 26, I (see note on that verse). Similarly it is frequently used in D (either alone or followed by Jehovah) of the rites and religious practices of heathen nations as unlawful and unclean for Israel, xii. 31. xiii. 14 (the effort to seduce to those rites), xvii. 4, xviii. 9, xx. 18; and by metonymy of the things used in those rites, vii. 25, 26, xxvii. 15 (images, cp. xxxii. 16 parallel to strange gods); of a blemished sacrifice, xvii. t, and unclean food, xiv. 3; and also of persons participating in such rites, xviii. 12, xxiii, 18, or following other unlawful courses, xxii. 5 (wearing the garments of the other sex), xxv. 16 (using unjust weights); and finally, xxiv. 4, of re-marriage with one's divorced wife after she has been married to another. All these 16 instances occur in Sg. passages with two exceptions, xx. 18, a Pl. clause in a Sg. context. and xxxii, 16 a line in the Song (the verb, to abhor, vii, 26, xxiii, 7). No such use of the noun with reference to Israel occurs in IE, but in house, and become a devoted thing like unto it: thou shalt utterly detest it, and thou shalt utterly abhor it; for it is a devoted thing.

And the commandment which I command thee this day 8 shall ye observe to do, that ye may live, and multiply, and go in and possess the land which the LORD sware unto your fathers. And thou shalt remember all the way which the 2

Lev. xvii.—xxvi., the Holiness-Code, it is used several times of the sin of unchastity. In Proverbs *fchovah's abomination* has an ethical force.

26. a devoted thing] herem, see on ii. 34; cp. xiii. 17 (18). Persons using or touching anything that was herem or under the ban, themselves

became kerem, cp. Jos. vi. 18, vii. 12.

utterly detest...utterly abhor] The latter verb is that of the noun

to ebah, aboutination, see v. 25; the former verb, shikker, with its

noun, is also used with respect to what is ritually forbidden or unclean,

but chiefly in P. e.g. Lev. v. 10—13, 20, 23, 41 f.

CH. VIII. FURTHER REMEMBRANCES AND WARNINGS FOR THE PROMISED LAND.

Remembering God's guidance through the wilderness, how it was both material and moral, sustenance and chastisement (t-9), Israel must keep His commandments (6); and in the land, whose richness contrasts so forcibly with the wilderness, must take heed not to forget contrasts to controlly with the wilderness, must take heed not to forget use wealth on which it is to enter (y-y). He is the giver of this, in pursuance of His covenant (8). If Israel forgets all that and worships other gods, it shall surely perish (19, 20). This section of the discourse is fully simple and compact yet in any other style than the deuterness of the surface of the surface

1. The change from Sg. to Pl. is confirmed by Sam. LXX has Pl. throughout the N Is the Heb. and Sam. Sg. in the first clause due to the attraction of the Sg. in the previous verses? Or is the LXX Pl. due to a harmonising purpose? It is impossible to say. The assignion of the originality of the state of the state

 thou shalt remember all the way Another of the many calls in D to remember God's Providence (v. 1s, vii. 18, etc.), but this time to fresh aspects of that Providence, cp. xxix. 5. Lorn thy God hath led thee these forty years in the wilderness, that he might humble thee, to prove thee, to know what was in thine heart, whether thou wouldest keep his 3 commandments, or no. And he humbled thee, and suffered thee to hunger, and fed thee with manna, which thou knewest not, neither did thy fathers know; that he might make thee know that man doth not live by bread only, but by every thing that proceeded to ut of the mouth of the

forty years in the wilderness] See on ii. 7.

humble thee, to prove theel Cp. vv. 3 and 16, xiii. 3. On prove (whether as here of man by God, or of God by man) see on iv. 34, and Driver's note on Ex. xvii. 2 (E). J also speaks of the manna as God's

proof of Israel, Ex. xvi. 4.

to know what was in kline hort! (Cp. xiii. 3(4), and note on vii. 9, whether thou twollate keep his commandment!) Steemagels argument, that because the law was not yet given at the time of the provings described, therefore this clause must be regarded as a later addition, is quite insufficient. For either we may take it as implying some previous charges by Got to Israel, without which Israel could not have set out in the wilderness (so Bertholel); or better, we may take these trials as of the people's personal confidence in Jelovala and these trials as of the people's personal confidence in Jelovala and the state of the people was little and the people was little state the facts by which God's purpose of proving the people was littlestate the facts by which God's purpose of proving the people was

carried out. In the main these were two: first the hunger of the people and then the provision of manna.

suffered thee to hunger] Heb. one verb, only here and in Prov. x. 3. and fed thee with manna] For manna see the full notes by Driver,

Ex. xvi. 14 ft, 31-35.

Ex. xvi. 14 ft, 31-35.

See on vii. 9. So J, Ex. xvi. 15,

what is it? for they wist not what it was.

that not upon bread only doth man live but upon every thing that preceded on to the mants of photoval. The language—in particular every thing—is ambiguous. It is usually read as expressing an anti-thesis between bread, the natural or normal support of man and fails, the creative word of God with whatever (=cvery thing) it may produce (so Diver and Bertholte, etc., with differences). But the antithesis is rather between only and every thing: man lives not upon the produce of the proceed only, but upon everything produce do the proceeded only of the control of the control of the proceeded only of the proceeded only of the proceeding of the proceedi

Losp doth man live. Thy raiment waxed not old upon a thee, neither did thy foot swell, these forty years. And thou s shalt consider in thine heart, that, as a man chasteneth his son, so the Losp thy God chasteneth thee. And thou shalt 6 keep the commandments of the Losp thy God, to walk in his ways, and to fear him. For the Losp thy God bringeth 7 thee into a good land, a land of brooks of water, of fountain 8

thing the LXX sways the meaning in another direction: that man lives on toly material food only but by the spiritual guidance of God; and this is the antithesis which Christ appears to present in Matt. iv. 41. Although such a higher spiritual meaning is not expressed in this verse, it underlies the context, which reminds Israel that God's providence of them has been not only physical, but moral as well.

4. Thy raiment waxed not old upon thee] Similarly xxix. 5, Pl.;

Neh. ix. 21. On raiment see xxiv. 13.

neither did thy foot swell) or rise in blisters, only here and Neh. ix. 21. Rhetorically applied to the nation as a whole; the Pl. passages dwell more on the damage to the nation and the destruction of one

whole generation of them during the forty years, cp. ii. 14.
5. And thou shalt consider in thine heart | Lit. know with thy heart;

cp. 'conscire sibi,' and see above on vii. 9. .

as a man chairmeth his son] disciplinath, cp. iv. 36, $\dot{x}_1 = 2\alpha_{xx}$. Hos, $\dot{x}_1 = 2\alpha_{xx}$ is also ii. 4, on the wildenses as a school of discipline. In Deut, which so frequently emphasises physical suffering and adversity as God's punishment for sin this explanation of them as signs not of His hootility, but of His fatherly providence, is remarkable. It anti-North and the control of t

6. This v. has been marked by Steuernagel as a later addition on the ground that it gives a strange turn to the main thought of the context. But the enforcement of the keeping of the commandments is the chief purpose of the whole discourse; and is more particularly relevant their particularly relevant the next verses. Besides the for of v. 7 follows more naturally on v. 6 than on v. 5.

bringeth thee] is about to bring thee: see above on vi. 10.
 a good land)
 i. 35: Sam. and LXX add here and a large (Ex.
 iii. 8).

brooks of water...fountains...depths] The principal and characteristic waters of Palestine (for the hydrography of the land see especially

In his Symptic Gaspels Mr. C., G. Montefiore limits the meaning of Jesus to that of God will provide for his physical needs. God can by his creative word fashion material whereby man's life can be sustained, as he did in the case of the manna. More simply, God will provide for the physical needs of his messenger.'

8 and depths, springing forth in valleys and hills; a land of wheat and barley, and vines and fig trees and pomegranates; 9 a land of oil olives and honey; a land wherein thou shalt

Robinson, Phys. Geog. of the Holy Land, ch. ii., Trelawney Saunders, Introd. to Survey of W. Pal.; also the present writer's HGHL, 77 f., 657 f., and Jerusalem, Bk I. chs. iii .- v.), Brook: nahal (ii. 13) is the Ar. wady, applied both to a valley with only a winter-torrent (e.g. Kidron) and one with a perennial stream (e.g. Arnon and Jabbok), the more exact name for which is nahal 'ethan (HGHL, 657). Fountains: "ayanoth, springs of living water as distinct from cisterns (id. 77 f.). Depths: thomoth, pl. of thom, the mythical name not only of the open ocean round the earth, but of its supposed continuance under the earth (iv. 18, v. 8), from which the fountains, salt and fresh alike, seemed to be derived (Am. viii. 4); the depths here are therefore either the lakes of Palestine, perennial (Phiala or Birket er-Ram, Huleh, Gennesaret and the Dead Sea) and seasonal (e.g. Merj el-Ghuruk, HGHL, 327 n.), a possible meaning for thomoth in Ps. cxxxv. 6; or the larger outbursts of water from underground, the births of full rivers (as at Tell el Kady) so characteristic of Palestine. This second meaning is the more probable here both because of the following springing forth, and the parallelism between depths and fountains (the larger word for fountains) in Prov. viii. 24. See below on xxxiii. 13.

ipringing forth in valleys and hills] Lit. in the valley and on the mountain. The phenomenon is due to the limestone formation of the land, the larger outbursts occurring mainly at the foot of a hill or great mount, where the harder dolonitic limestone imponentable by water stratal lie deep the water sinks through them and fountains are either scartly or all open the strate of the property of the property of the scartly or all open the water sinks through them and fountains are either scartly or allogether wanting. Palley, 61/49 At (Bell. 54, 14).

8. what and barly?] Not the most characteristic products of Palestine, but put first as the staple food of man and the principal distinction of the cultivated soil from the desert, the land not sown (1er. ii. 2). On the distribution of wheat and tarley in Palestine see Armandam, 1. 1985. These two grains are followed by four fruits, wines and fig. treus and pomegranates, ...iol sitvey! Year more than

any grain the staple products of the Judaean range have been its fruittrees and especially the great triad of the Olive, Vine and Fig. the three which in the ancient parable the trees desire in turn to make their ling '(Lernation, 1. 29 ff. which see for the distribution of these trees and their power as factors in civilisation and human wealth). Here the clive is taken apart from its usual companions Vine and Fig either because of its importance or for the rhythm of the prose. Oil abirst, lit. the elite of eith ecul trivial and grafted, as distinguished from the wild, olive. Cp. 3 Kgs xviii. 32 with the other word for oil, yi/har, used above vii. 13 (g.n.); here it is shemen.

honey] See on vi. 3.

eat bread without scarceness, thou shalt not lack any thing in it; a land whose stones are iron, and out of whose hills thou mayest dig brass. And thou shalt eat and be full, and to thou shalt bless the LORD thy God for the good land which

 without scarcenss] The noun is found only here, and its adjithrice only in the late Eccl. iv. 13, ix. 15, i. 7, v. 13. v. 10. Scarcily of bread is a great curse of the desert nounds! some tribes taste it but once a month, others not so often, and it is regarded as a luxury control of the control of the control of the control of the Chanley of the control of the control of the control of the Chanley of the control of the control of the control of the of their rads on the fellahm (for an instance see ver Oppenheim, Foundation).

Mittelmeer zum Pers. Golf. 1, 260).

whose stones are iron] Whether iron here means basalt as in iii. 11 (q.v.) is doubtful, for basalt is not confined to fertile lands, but is also found in the desert. More probably it is iron proper: not introduced to Palestine till the arrival of Israel or perhaps later. Like copper it came from the North (Ier. xv. 12), where the Phoenicians and Arameans seem to have moulded and worked it in the Lebanons (Ramman-Nirari III of Assyria records it as tribute from Aram-Damascus; and Idrisi, see ZDPV, VIII. 134, mentions a mine above Beyrout). Josephus speaks of the Iron Mountain running as far as Moabitis (IV. B.J. viii. 2) and the Letter of Aristeas says that both iron and copper were brought before the Persian period from the Mts of Arabia. 'Some have denied that the promise to Israel of iron in the rocks of their own land is justified by the geological facts. But ancient sources of the ore have been discovered at Ikzim on Mt Carmel, and near Burme, N. of the Jabbok' (Jerus. 1. 332). Some of the hot springs of Palestine are impregnated with iron (Driver quoting Burckhardt, 33 f.). The excess of the references to iron and to furnaces in Ier, and Deut, over those in previous writers points to an increase of the metal in Israel before 650 B.C.

Design 1-1s the O.T. this never refers to the alloy of sine to which the term is now confined* (J. H. Gladstone, **PEC, 1898, *33, n.) but means either bronze, copper with alloy of tin, or pure copper. In W. Asia no source of thin has been certainly identified. But in a paper on "Copper and its Alloys in Antiquity" (reported in **Athenason, opinion that hornes was made directly from a copper or econtaining to opinion that hornes was made directly from a copper or econtaining to topper for Palestine were Cyprus, the Lebanons (the land of Nhabashhi' or bronze). Edom, and N. Arabia (Tell-el-Amarna Letters (Winckler** 6.1, 28, 7; all f.; see the present writer's article "Irade, etc." in Euc. at Feina, the Phainton of antiquity, see Missi, Edom, 1: 161, 187; 187, 187, 197, 198, 3;3;1. T. 7.5).

10. And thou shall cat ... and ... bless, etc.] 'The verse is the proof-

11 he hath given thee. Beware lest thou forget the LORD thy God, in not keeping his commandments, and his judgements, 12 and his statutes, which I command thee this day: lest when

thou hast eaten and art full, and hast built goodly houses, 13 and dwelt therein; and when thy herds and thy flocks multiply, and thy silver and thy gold is multiplied, and all

multiply, and thy silver and thy gold is multiplied, and all it that thou hast is multiplied; then thine heart be lifted up, and thou forget the Lorenthy God which brought thee forth

and thou forget the LORD thy God, which brought thee forth out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of bondage; who, led thee through the great and terrible wilderness, wherein

were fiery serpents and scorpions, and thirsty ground where text for the Jewish custom of prayer at table; possibly, however, the custom is older than our passage; cp. 1 Sam. ix. 12 (Bertholet). D's

text for the Jewish custom of prayer at tause; possioly, nowever, the custom is older than our passage; ep. 1 Sam. is. 13' (Bertholet). D's renewed emphasis that Jehovah is the giver of the land and its fruits: see on vii. 13.

11. Beware lest thou forget, etc.] vii. 12, viii. 14.

11. Beware lest thou forget, etc. J vi. 12, viii. 14

in not keeping his commandments, etc.] That this formula is a later intrusion (so Steuernagel) is possible: it changes the direction of the exhortation (10-17) which is not against disobedience, but against the nation imagning themselves to be the authors of their wealth, which was entirely the gift of Jehovah: in fact v. 12 follows well on v. 10.

21, 32 ontain in their proper order such times as characterise the con-

11. 12 contain it unerproper order state in tents as characterise in encourage static content of the decision of the decision

14. thine heart be lifted up] xvii. 20; Hos. xiii. 6. house of bondage] vi. 12.

15. great and terrible wilderness] i. 19: cp. vii. 21.

fory serpents and scorpions! The former, in the collective singular madata suraph, are described in the plural in Num. sxi. 6 E: ep. 1s. xxx. 6: the flying suraph. If suraph really means burning and is not a foreign word (for dragon or the like), it refers to the inflammation produced by the serpent's bite. Scorpions is added characteristically by D.

was no water; who brought thee forth water out of the rock of flint; who fed thee in the wilderness with manna, which 16 thy fathers knew not; that he might humble thee, and that he might prove thee, to do thee good at thy latter end: and 17 thou say in thine heart, My power and the might of mine hand hath gotten me this wealth. But thou shalt remember 18 the LORD thy God, for it is he that giveth thee power to get wealth; that he may establish his covenant which he sware unto thy fathers, as at this day. And it shall be, if thou 19 shalt forget the LORD thy God, and walk after other gods, and serve them, and worship them, I testify against you this day that ye shall surely perish. As the nations which the LORD 20

out of the rock of flint] Ex. xvii. 6 (E); Num. xx. 8, 11 (JE): in both cases only the rock. D's characteristic rhetoric adds of flint. The word does not occur before D, and elsewhere only in xxxii. 13; Ps. cxiv. 8; Job xxviii. 9; Is. l. 7.

16. See on vv. 2, 3 and iv. 34.

to do thee good] xxviii. 63, Pl., xxx. 5, Sg.
thy latter end] Misleading translation. Lit. thine afterness, thy later years. There is nothing eschatological in the phrase. Steuernagel marks 146, 15 and 16 as an intrusion on the grounds that they but repeat 26, 3, and spoil the connection between 14a and 17. But the deuteronomic style is given to repetition, and here the writer not only repeats but carries his argument to a climax in the phrase to do thee good in thy later days.

17. thou say in thine heart | That is not only as if convinced : but. whether or not thou sayest this expressly with thy lips, thou feelest and practically behavest as if thine own power and might had gotten thee

this wealth.

18. Renewed emphasis on the writer's chief principle that Ichovah is the author of the people's blessings and that because of His faithfulness vii. o. 12 ff., etc., etc.

as at this day] The writer again betrays his date; it is when Israel is securely established in the enjoyment of the wealth promised them: cp. ii. 30.

19. 20. The change from the Sg. to the Pl. address (substantially so in Sam, and LXX) suggests that an expanding hand has been at work in these verses; and the suggestion is confirmed by the fact that the leading phrases in them are found elsewhere only with the Pl. Further, the destruction of the nation seems regarded as imminent. 19. I testify against you] Here begins the Pl. : the phrase is found

only with Pl. passages, here, iv. 26, xxx. 19, xxxii. 46, cp. xxxi. 26, 28; elsewhere only in Jer. xi. 7, xlii. 19.

ye shall surely perish] Only here, iv. 26, xxx. 18 all Pl.

maketh to perish before you, so shall ye perish; because ye would not hearken unto the voice of the LORD your God.

Hear, O Israel: thou art to pass over Jordan this day, to

20. maketh to berish is about to, etc. Here the writer is true to the standpoint of the speaker.

because ve would not hearken, etc.] The construction is found elsewhere only in another Pl. passage, vii. 12.

CHS. IX .- X. 11. WARNINGS AGAINST SELF-RIGHTEOUSNESS, ENFORCED BY A RETROSPECT OF THE PROPER'S BEHAVIOUR.

Israel about to cross Jordan and face nations mightier than itself must know that Jehovah goeth before, quickly to destroy them (1-3). Israel must not thereafter say that He hath done this for Israel's righteousness, for He shall do it because of the wickedness of those nations and to establish His promise to the fathers (4, 5). Israel itself is not a righteous but a stiffnecked people, provoking and rebellious from Egypt till now (6, 7). This is illustrated by a narrative of their conduct at Horeb, where, while Moses was on the Mount, receiving the two stone tables of the covenant, Israel made a molten calf, God threatened to destroy them. Moses brake the tables and fasted 40 days and nights before God, fearful of His wrath; but at his intercession God relented both with regard to the people and to Aaron, and Moses destroyed the calf (8-21). At other places also Israel provoked God, and have been always rebellious (22-24). But Moses' intercession at Horeb prevailed (25-29), and on two new tables of stone God wrote again the Ten Words and Moses put them in the Ark of wood which he had been bidden to make (x. 1-5). There follow a fragment of a subsequent itinerary of the people with the death of Aaron (6, 7); a record of the separation of the tribe of Levi to bear the Ark (8, 9); and a renewed statement of Moses' intercession on the Mount with the command he then received to continue to lead the people towards the land (10, 11) .- So long as the discourse is hortatory it remains in the Sg, form of address (ix. 1-7a); but changes to the Pl. when the speaker begins the historical review, and the Pl. continues to the end of the section except for a couple of instances of the Sg. (ix. 76-x. 11); when with the resumption of exhortation, x. 12 ff., the Sg. is also resumed. For such a historical review a reporting author might naturally use another source; and in this case the supposition is supported by the sudden and clear change from Sg. to Pl. which is not explicable otherwise, e.g. on psychological grounds; but finally confirmed by what commentators do not appear to have noticed, the fact that in the historical section the divine name lehovah is nowhere (save in ix. 16, 23) followed by your God as almost invariably in the hortatory sections. On the historical section see below on v. 7h. Both it and the hortatory portions bear marks of expansion by editorial hands. 1. Hear, O Israel | vi. 4.

go in to possess nations greater and mightier than thyself, cities great and fenced up to beaven, a people great and fall, 2 the sons of the Anakim, whom thou knowest, and of whom 4 hou hast heard say. Who can stand before the sons of Anak? Know therefore this day, that the Loro thy God is 3 he which goeth over before thee as a devouring fire; he shall destry them, and he shall bring them down before thee: so shalt thou drive them out, and make them to perish quickly, as the Loro hath spoken unto thee. Speak 4 not thou, in thine heart, after that the Loro thy God hath thrust them out from before thee, saying, For my righteousness the Loro hath brought me in to possess this land:

thou art to pass over fordan this day Similarly xxx. 18 (and cp. ii. 18), Sg.; iv. 14, 26, xi. 31, xxxi. 13, Pl., but apparently editorial. to possess or dispossess. ii. 12, 21 f., xi. 23, xii. 2, 29, xviii. 14, xix. 1, xxxi. 3, with personal object as here. For another form of

same vb. see on iv. 38.

nations greater and mightier than thyself [So iv. 38 also Sg.; xi. 23.
Pl.: cb. los. xxiii. 9.

cities .. fenced, etc.] See on i. 28.

2. great and tall...Anakim] See on i. 28.
whom thou knowest, etc.] vii. 15; and hast heard say, i. 28; Num.
xiii. 28.

3. Know therefore] See on vii. 9.
he which goeth over before thee] xxxi. 3 (cp. los. iii. 11).

a devouring fire Only here and iv. 24.

he shall destroy ... and he he emphatic.

bring them down before then In D the verb is found only here; it is used also of the subjection of Israel's enemies in the deuteronomic Judg. iii. 30, iv. 23, viii. 38, the late passage I Sam, vii. 13, and otherwise only in late writers; except for Judg. xi. 33 and z Sam, viii. 1 which may be pre-deuteronomic.

guickly D mitted by LXS B, but otherwise confirmed. See on

quickly] Omitted by LXX B, but otherwise confirmed. See on vii. 22.

as the LORD hath spoken unto thee] Ex. xxiii. 23 (edit.), 27 (E).

thrust them out] See on vii. 19.

For my righteousness | Here ethical: contr. vi. 25.

whereas for the wickedness...from before thee] The whole clause is wanting in LXX B and seems a gloss or expansion anticipating the next v. and weakening the connection (Valeton, Dillm., Driver, Steuern., Berth.).

5 drive them out from before thee. Not for thy righteousness, or for the uprightness of thine heart, dost thou go in to possess their land: but for the wickedness of these nations the Lord thy God doth drive them out from before thee, and that he may establish the word which the Lord sware unto thy fathers, to Abraham, to Isaac, and to Jacob. 6 Know therefore, that the Lord thy God giveth thee not this good land to possess it for thy righteousness; for thou 7 art a stiffnecked people. Remember, forget thou not, how thou provokedst the Lord thy God to wrath in the wilderness; from the day that thou wentest forth out of the land of

6. dust then go in to posses? Characteristic of the Sig. passages. the vaicebauses of these undirest) whicebauses the direct opposite of rightenumers; in disputes as to justice the whiceba is the man who is in the wrong (xxx. v; 12 x. li. z, Q), xxiili. v, 7 (2), see note; 18 x. v. 2)1; so whicebaes in xxv. 2. Both ndj, and noun are largely used especially nater writings of all in opposition to Jehovsh and His people; but the terms also cover a wider ethical range, Ezek. xviii. y₁, xxxiii. to the terms also cover a wider ethical read, Ezek. xviii. y₂, xxxiii. to the terms also cover a wider ethical read, Ezek. xviii. y₂, xxxiii. to the terms also cover a wider ethical read, the term of the terms also cover a wider ethical read to the terms of the terms also described in the terms also the terms also the terms also cover a wider ethical terms also the term

thy God | Sam. and LXX B omit.

establish the word, etc.] See on viii. 18; establish the communi, etc. It is true that the people must fulfil their side of the covenant by obsellence to its laws without which they shall not receive these material blessings in the land; but God made the covenant out of His own free will, vii, 7, and will keep it because of His faithfulness, vii. 9, and not because of any merit of the people.

which the LORD sware] Sam. and LXX B etc.: which he sware.

6. Know therefore] See on vii. 9: the verse begins by giving the

conclusion of the previous proof, but adds also another—

conclusion of the previous proof, but adds also another—

for thou art a stiffnecked people] Apparently first used of Israel (in
connection with the golden calf) in J, Ex. xxxiii. 3, xxxiv. 9 (Ex. xxxii.

xxxiii. 5 are editorial); then here and v. 13: cp. x. 16. xxxi. 27.
 Cp. Is. xlviii. 4: thou art obstinate, thy neck is an iron sinew: the figure is of an animal refusing to turn in the direction his rider desires.
 Remember, forget thou not] More musical without the intervening and which Sam, inserts.

thou provokedst ... to wrath] See on i. 34.

7b. It is in this clause that the Sg. form of address ceases and the Pl. begins, to continue up to x. 9 or 11. Coincidently exhortation is

Egypt, until ye came unto this place, ye have been rebellious against the Lord. Also in Horeb ye provoked the Lord $8 \,$ to wrath, and the Lord was angry with you to have destroyed you. When I was gone up into the mount to $9 \,$

replaced by a historical retrospect; a retrospect similar to the discourse in chs. i .- iii., not merely by being couched in the Pl. as that also is, but by other features of its style and by its dependence (even more full and literal) on IE. With no reference to the P narrative with which the IE has been interlaced, Ex. xxiv. 12-xxxi. it is supplementary to i.-iii. for it gives an account of the legislation at Horeb, which that discourse lacks. On these grounds the section has been assigned to the same author as i .- iii. (Horst, Bertholet, etc.); while Steuern, takes it as the continuation of the Pl. discourse in ch. v., and as having originally formed with that the introduction to the Law Code by the writer who used the Pl. address throughout (see Introd.). On this compare supplementary note at the end of the section; and for possible additions especially in vv. 10-14 see the separate notes. Driver, Deut, 112, gives a comparative table of the section and the corresponding passages in IE on which it is based. Notice how the divine title is given simply as Jehovah without the usual deuteronomic addition thy God (nowhere except in ix. 16, 23). The style of the section is instructive both as to the way in which the original deuteronomic writer expanded JE and subsequent editors made further expansion by the addition of deuteronomic formulas. Sam. and LXX differ from Heb. as to where the Pl. begins, reading

ye went forth for thou wentest forth; possibly original, the Heb. Sg, being due to the omission of a consonant before its double in the next word!; and the transition being more likely just here. Whether 74 and even 8 as Steuern, suppose are from the hand of the editor who joined the originally separate sections is uncertain. Notice in 7 m phrases which like the rest of this Pl. section recall chs. 1—31.8

until ye came unto this place] i. 31.

ye haw been rebellious against Jehovah] been acting rebellion (part. with auxil. verb: a frequent constr. in Deut.) with (i.e. in your dealings with) feboush. The same constr. v. 24, xxxi. 27. A different constr. of same verb i. 26 q.v.

 Even (or particularly) in Horeb] The most notorious rebellion of all. Here begins the recital of the sin of the golden calf as in Ex. xxxii.—xxxiv., 1E.

provoked, etc.] v. 7.

was angry] See on i. 37.

Based on Ex. xxiv. 13, 15a, 18b, E, xxxiv. 28, J, this verse omits E's reference to the elders and Aaron, Ex. xxiv. 14, and of course has no reference to the interlaced sentences of P, id. 15b-18a; to the

¹ Does the Pasak in the Massoretic text indicate a lost letter?

receive the tables of stone, even the tables of the covenant which the Lokn made with you, then I abode in the mount forty days and forty nights; I did neither eat bread nor to drink water. And the Lokn delivered unto me the two tables of stone written with the finger of God; and on them was worlden according to all the words, which the Lokn spake with you in the mount out of the midst of the fire in 11 the day of the assembly. And it came to pass at the end of forty days and forty nights, that the Lokn gave me the 12 two tables of stone, even the tables of the covenant. And the Lokn said unto me, Arise, get the down quickly from hence; for thy people which thou hast brought forth out of Egypt have corrupted themselves; they are quickly turned aside out of the way which I commanded them; they have 1 made them a molten image. Furthermore the Lokn spake

tables of tone it adds even the tables of the overnant, etc. [ve. 11 and 1; see ev. 13 and 1; see ev. 13 and ve. 3 the last fate, I did neither and bread new drink water, was either transferred by D from 15 story of Mose's second ascent of the Mount, Ex. xxxiv. 38; or was found by him in Ex story of the first ascent from which it has now dispense. The second is the second water than the second

from Ex. xxxi. 18b; E: the divine name is not changed to the usual the LORD thy God. With His own voice, face to face, God spake the words of the covenant (v. 12f, v. 4) and now with His own finger wrote them. Thus by a double metaphor is the directly divine origin surpress ancitiv of the Ten Words emphasised.

all the words, which the LORD had spoken] Ex. xxiv. 3, E.

out of the midst of the fire] iv. 12, v. 4, 22.

day of the assembly] x. 4, xviii. 16. See note on v. 22.

The verse seems superfluous after 9 and before 11, and is regarded as a later intrusion (Steuern, Berth.). Note that—

11 follows naturally on 7. 9.

12. Taken from E, Ex. xxxii. 7, 8a (on which see notes) with the addition of prickly from here and the substitution of brought forth (D's favourite expression) for brought up; and the omission of calf.

corrupted themselves] iv. 16, 25, xxxi. 29 also Pl. passages: while the Sg. passages use one form of the verb only in the sense to destroy: iv. 31, x. 10, xx. 19, 20: cp. ix. 26.

the way See on v. 33. Here the particular reference is to the

a molten image] Heb. a molten (thing), Ex. xxxii. 4, 8 molten calf. Steuern. takes this v. as another doublet superfluous before 13, and,

unto me, saying, I have seen this people, and, behold, it is a stiffnecked people: let me alone, that I may destroy it, them, and blot out their name from under heaven: and I will make of thee a nation mightier and greater than they. So I turned and came down from the mount, and the 15 mount burned with fire: and the two tables of the covenant were in my two hands. And I looked, and, behold, 16 ye had sinned against the Loro your God; ye had made you a molten calf; ye had turned aside quickly out of the way which the Loro had commanded you. And I took 17 hold of the two tables, and cast them out of my two hands, and brake them before your eyes. And I fell down before 18 the Loro, as at the first, forty days and forty nights; I did

along with r. 10 when compared with the expanded Heb. text of Ex. xxxii; —9_0 (of which the LNX omits parts), illustrative of the manner in which an editor expanded parallel passages with each other's contents. But the superfully of the r. is not so apparent. Some mention of the molten image seems necessary here.

13. stiffneeded) See on v. 6.

14. let me alone] desist from me; Ex. xxxii. 10 let me rest, give me

peace.

destroy | See on i. 27.

blot out their name, etc.] xxix. 20, xxv. 19: cp. synonym in vii. 24 q.v. Not in Ex. xxxii. 10.

a nation mightier and greater] Expansion of great nation, Ex. xxxii.

10. This whole v. is illustrative of the expansive style of D. Bertholet sees the immediate continuation of the v. in x. 10 and points out how excellently v. 15 follows on v. 12. This would account for the omission of Moses' first intercession while still on the Mount, Ex. xxxii. 11—14.

15. So I turned and came down, etc.] Ex. xxxii. 15, and the mount burned with fire] A circumstantial clause: the mount all the time burning with fire: not in Ex. In the next clause D adds two to hands.

16a. Substantially the same as Ex. xxxii. 19 a.

16b. Purely deuteronomic tradition: see v. 12 b.

17. Vivid variation and expansion of Ex. xxxii. 19 b: and Moses' anger vaxed hot and he cast the tables out of his hands and brake them beneath the mount.

38. as at the first] Refers to what follows it—the length of time and the fasting—not to what precedes—the falling down before God. This intercession seems to be the same as that described in x, 10 and 'anticipated here on account of its importance in the argument '(Driver). Cp. Ex. xxxii. go which says that on the morrow of his meeting with the people Moses returned to the Mount to intercede for them with

DEUTERONOMY

neither eat bread nor drink water; because of all your sin which ye sinned, in doing that which was evil in the sight 10 of the LORD, to provoke him to anger. For I was afraid of the anger and hot displeasure, wherewith the LORD was wroth against you to destroy you. But the LORD hearkened 20 unto me that time also. And the LORD was very angry with Aaron to have destroyed him: and I prayed for Aaron

20 unto the that time also. And the LORD was very angry with Aaron to have destroyed him: and I prayed for Aaron 21 also the same time. And I took your sin, the calf which ye had made, and burnt it with fire, and stamped it, grinding it very small, until it was as fine as dust: and I cast the dust thereof into the brook that descended out of the 22 mount. And at Taberah, and at Massah, and at Kibroth-

23 hattaavah, ye provoked the Lord to wrath. And when the Lord sent you from Kadesh-barnea, saying, Go up and possess the land which I have given you; then ye rebelled against the commandment of the Lord your God, and ye 24 believed him not, nor hearkened to his voice. Ye have

God; and Ex. xxxiv. 9 which says that he again interceded in the second forty days which he spent on the Mount. Which of these is intended here?

all your sin] Sam., LXX: sins.

in doing that which was evil, etc.] iv. 25.

to provoke him] A different verb from that in vv. 7, 8, and the same as in iv. 25 (q.v.), xxxi. 29; and not so characteristic of D as the other.

19. For I was afraid] or trembled xxviii. 60.

that time also Obscure, and probably an editorial addition, unless the reference is to v. 10 or to Ex. xv. 25, xvii. 4f. and other occasions. It is possible there was originally no mention of God's answer here. It seems a little premature for the purpose of the discourse; and may have been added from x. 10.

20. To this there is no reference in Exodus.

Characteristically expanded, with variations, from Ex. xxxii. 20: one item in the latter, and made the children of Israel drink of it, is omitted.

22, 23. Other instances of Israel's rebelliousness: Tab'erah, 'Burning-place,' because fire broke out on them there, Num. xi. 1-3, E; Massah, 'Proof,' for there they put God to the proof, Ex. xvii. 7, J; Kibroth-hat-ta'@ah, 'Graves of Lust,' Num. xi. 3t-34, J., ye provoked, etc.] As in rv. 7, 8.

Kadesh-barnea] See on i. 19 f. ye rebelled, etc.] As in i. 26 q.v.

24. Ye have been rebellious] As in v. 7.

been rebellious against the LORD from the day that I knew you. So I fell down before the LORD the forty days and 25 forty nights that I fell down; because the LORD had said he would destroy you. And I prayed unto the LORD, and 26 said, O Lord Goo, destroy not thy people and thine inheritance, which thou hast redeemed through thy greatness, which thou hast brought forth out of Egypt with a mighty hand. Remember thy servants, Abraham, Isaac, and Iacob; 27 look not unto the stubbornness of this people, nor to their wickedness, nor to their sin: lest the land whence thou 28 broughtest us out say, Because the LORD was not able to · bring them into the land which he promised unto them, and because he hated them, he hath brought them out to slav them in the wilderness. Yet they are thy people and 29 thine inheritance, which thou broughtest out by thy great power and by thy stretched out arm.

26. So I fell down, etc.] Having recounted in 2v. 22--14 the accumulated burdens of the people's sins (there is therefore no need to doubt the originality of these verses, as Steuernagel does) under which he fell down, the speaker returns to the fact of his falling; and im-

39-39. And I prayed, etc.] details his intercession. Cp. Ex. xxxii. 11:-13, I.B., tut probably editions1. Here the determonic additions are which then hast redeemed through thy greatness (greatness in Pl. passages v. 42, here and xi. 2); look and not the stubborness of this peofs, nor to their volcedness (the mase, noun, while the fem. is used in rev. 4, 5). The third probability of the stretched out are (see on iv. 34); and there are some variations.

CH. X. 1-3. THE HEWING OF NEW TABLES OF STONE AND THE MAKING OF THE ARK.

The account of the former is extracted verbally from Ex. xxxiv. t-4, E, which adds other details, but has now no mention of the making of the Ark. It is, however, more than probable and 'practically certain' that D derived his words about the Ark, equally with those on the tables, from the original text of JE, and that they were afterwards outlied from JF. by the compiler as inconsistent with the more detailed by the contract of the Ark and opinions as t re is not and also the hirtod, to the t0, t1 km of the Ark and opinions as t1 re is only necessary to state here that the

9-2

10 At that time the LORD said unto me, Hew thee two tables of stone like unto the first, and come up unto me into the mount, and make thee an ark of wood. And I will write on the tables the words that were on the first tables which thou a brakest, and thou shalt put them in the ark. So I made an ark of acacia wood, and hewed two tables of stone like unto

date of the disappearance of the AJk from Israel's central suctuary is not known. No Ark was in the Second Temple, but whether it had perished in the fall of Jerusalem, 887 n.C. (cp. 2 Eufr. x. 22), or even carrier, and therefore was not existent in the time of the deuteronomists (as may be inferred from the absence of any mention of it in the history after Solomon, and in the Prophets except for the quite ambiguous fer. iii. (b) is uncertain. See A. R. S. Kennedy, "Ark in J. Hastings" J. A. T. S. Solomon, and the prophets of the property of the Ark in P. which raises interesting questions that cannot be pursued here.

1. Hew thee two tables of stone like unto the first] So Ex. xxxiv. 1 a, JE, and come up unto me into the mount] So probably in the original E; I has, come up in the morning unto Mt Sinai and present thyself to me.

etc., followed by a command to keep the Mount free of men and cattle,

and make the an ark of tworf. Almost certainly from the original E; ese general note above. Ark or thett, so in Asyr, and Arabic, e.p. 2 Kgs xii, 9f., a chest for the temple-offerings, a money box; in Phoen. a coffin or sarcophagus, and so in Gen. 1. 26. O' nowd, in P. Ex. xxv. 10-16, of acacia wood (as below in v. 3) with the dimensions 2½ x1½ x1½ cubis, to be overlaid, in and out, with pure gold, with a moulding and rings of gold, and staves of acacia wood likewise overlaid with gold. A great contrast to the very simple statement of D.1. Further, according to P, the divine direction is not that Mose shall make the Ark, but that Ady shall make it.

And I will write...which thou brakest] So exactly Ex. xxxiv. 1b,
 E: cp. above v. 22, and tables of the covenant, ix. 9, 11.

and thou shalt put thom in the arts. Not now in E for the reasons given above. Hence D's name, the ark of the covenant. See above on p. 64. For the same reason P calls the tables the tables of, and the Ark the Ark of, the testimony.

3. So I made an ark of acacia twod] Not now in IE. see above.

P. Ex. xxv. 10, xxxvii. 1, B*alcl made the ark of acada wood, acada wood acada wood planks of shiftin, the plur of the tree shiftah = shiftah, Ar. sant, a name given to several species of the thorny acada; in Egypt to 'A. (minosa) Nilotica' (Lane, Ar. Eng. Lex.); and by the Arabs of the Desert of the wanderings of Israel to the 'A. tortilis' and "A. lacta' (Hart, Fauma and B/D) are of Simal, Pera and Br.

the first, and went up into the mount, having the two tables in mine hand. And he wrote on the tables, according to 4 the first writing, the ten 'commandments, which the LORD spake unto you in the mount out of the midst of the fire in the day of the assembly: and the LORD gave them unto me. And I turned and came down from the mount, and put the 5 tables in the ark which I had made; and there they be, as

1 Heb. mords.

Araba, 52). More probably the former, an upright tree, 10 or 15 feet high, with a thick trunk and occasionally very numerous (e.g. a grove of acacias, chiefly 'tortilis,' ten miles long in the Arabah, id. 31, cp. 8, 12, 92, and found on W. el Ithm, by which Israel probably passed to the Edomite plateau); the 'A. laeta' is a tropical tree found only in the Ghor, and there seldom. Both Tristram (Nat. Hist. of the Bible, 208 f.) and Post (Flora, 208 f. and art, 'Shittah' in Hastings' D.B.) identify the Shittah tree with the Seyyal acacia, but this is never called 'Sunt' by the Beduin to-day, and indeed is distinguished by them from 'Sunt' (Hart, op. cit. 52). Doughty mentions an acacia, called by the modern inhabitants of the Arabian peninsula 'tolh,' the only acacia wood which is not brittle, and is used by the Solubba, or tribe of smiths and carpenters, for saddle-trees and frames and vessels for milk, and also on the Arabian coast for ship-building (Arabia Deserta, 1. 280, 11. 91, 678).

and hewed two tables of stone like unto the first | So Ex. xxxiv. 4 a. IE. and went up into the mount, with the two tables in mine hand] So

substantially Ex. xxxiv. 4 b, J. 4. And he wrote on the tables ... the ten words | Ex. xxxiv. 28, I.

This adds the words of the covenant, for which D has according to the first writing, cp. ix. .10. the ten words | See above p. 81.

in the mount out of the midst of the fire] Above ix. 10. in the day of the assembly | See on ix, 10, v. 22.

5. And I turned and came down from the mount | So ix. 15 and Ex. xxxii. 15, E, but of Moses' first descent with the tables. and put the tables in the ark] This also certainly from the original

form of E; see above, general note on vv. 1-3. P. Ex. xl. 20 has put the testimony in the ark.

and there they be Whether this is said in accommodation to the date of the speaker, or as still true of the writer's time in the seventh century, is uncertain. See above, the general note on vv. 1-3. All that is certain is that such was the fact till at least the time of Solomon, cp. 1 Kgs viii. 9.

6. 7. Interruption of the address by a piece of narrative, recording certain stations of Israel with Aaron's death and Eleazar's succession, in 6 the LORD commanded me. (And the children of Israel journeyed from 'Beeroth Bene-jaakan to Moserah : There Aaron died, and there he was buried; and Eleazar his son 7 ministered in the priest's office in his stead. From thence they journeyed unto Gudgodah; and from Gudgodah to 8 Jotatahah, a land of brooks of water. At that time the

1 Or, the wells of the children of Jaakan

which Israel are spoken of in the ard pers., and the phraseology is not deuteronomic. Obviously the fragment of an old itinerary. Although the names it contains are also found in an itinerary given by P. Num. xxxiii., they occur here in a different order; another name is given to the death-place of Aaron than P gives, nor do we find P's usual formula for Israel on the march they journeyed from ... and pitched at The fragment is therefore from another source than P. That this was E (D's main source) is almost certain. The fragment uses E's formula, they journeyed from thence to ..., and may originally have formed part of the same itinerary of E, from which there are fragments in Num. xxi.; E, too, assumes the succession of Eleazar to Aaron, Jos. xxiv. 33, and therefore probably had already mentioned this. (So already Vatke, Einl. i. d. A. T. 377 f., 383; but more fully Bacon, Triple Tradition of Exodus, 207 f., 257 f., 343 f. So, too, Driver, Steuern., Bertholet, and Marti on this passage, and Cornill, Einleitung). Why the fragment should be inserted here is not clear, unless the historical retrospect originally concluded with x, s. It seems more in place after v, 11, but may owe its position here to the design of some editor to ascribe the consecration of the tribe of Levi to a later date than Horeb, in the attempt to harmonise the conflicting data of D and P concerning the tribe of Levi and the priesthood. For other explanations see Driver's Deut. 120.

6. children of Irnael Non-deuteronomic; see on iv. 44. Beeroth Bene-jaakan] Wells of the tribe so-called; in P, Num. xxxiii. 31, the place name is simply that of the tribe, without nells. 'Akan, Gen. xxxvi. 27 = Ya'a'kan, I Chr. i. 42, was a Horite tribe. The place would probably be in the 'Arabah.

Moserah] Num. xxxiii. 31, Moseroth; the place is unknown.

there Aaron died, and there he was buried This happened at Mt Hor acc. to P, Num. xx. 28, xxxiii. 38.

and Eleazar his son, etc.] P, Num. xx. 25-28, xxxii. 2, 28; but see

above, general note.
7. From thence they journeyed] E's formula, Num. xxi. 12, 13.

Gudgodah to Jotbathah] P, Num. xxxiii. 31 f.; Hor-haggidgad and Votbathah—unknown. Both names are possibly derived from the character of the landscape. Ar. 'gadgad' is hard, level ground; and Votbah, or Votbathah, is probably goodliness or pleasantness: a land of

LORD separated the tribe of Levi, to bear the ark of the

brooks of water. On all these names Doughty's remarks (Ar. Des. I.

Here a word of the camping grounds of Moses; all their names we may never that again in these countries,—and wherefore: Because they were a good part come of the dark manner. There is yet another kind of names, not rightly come of the collection of the collection of the collection of the control of the co

6.9. The setting apart of the tribe of Levi to bear the Ark and perform other priestly functions. It is not wholly certain whether this passage belongs to the address itself or is another intrusion; yet with another produced to the address itself or is another intrusion; yet with and the appointment of the bearers of the Ark follows naturally upon t-5, which record the making of the Ark; see further on v. 8. The general question arising from the difference between the data of Deut, and the pre-deuteronomic writers) and those of I* regarding the tribe of a suitably discussed later on an agency to the whole tribe, will be more suitably discussed later on an open and the production of the area of the area

At that time] Cp. ix. 20, x. 1. If, as we have seen to be most probable, vv. 6, 7 are a later intrusion and out of place where they stand, that time is not that of the sojourn at Yotbathah after Aaron's death (though the editor who inserted vv. 6, 7 may have meant to imply this; see the general note to these vv.), but the time at Horeb (x. 1). This conclusion is confirmed (a) by the subsequent v. 10, in which the retrospect still rests on Horeb; (b) by the natural connection between the mention of the making of the Ark and that of the appointment of its bearers: (c) by the fact that another line of tradition. P. assigns to Horeb the consecration of Levites to priestly duties, and also makes this follow the order to build the ark (and sanctuary): and (d) because, although no such setting apart is recorded in IE, these lines of the tradition may also have originally contained it and even hint at it in Exod, xxxii, 20, immediately after the account of the zeal of all the sons of Levi in the punishment of the people's apostasy with the golden calf (see Dillmann on that and on this passage; also Driver's note on Ex. xxxii, 20).

the Lord reparated] set apart, with a solemn religious sense, as for thimself; the verb is used when He takes Isane from other people. Lev. xxx. 24 (H1); or when Moses is directed to separate the Levite she from the midst of the children of Israel, Num. xvii. 9 (P), that Levites may be mine, Num. viii. 14 (P1); or of the separation of the Levites may be mine, Num. viii. 14 (P1); or of the separation of the to cities of refuge, iv. 41, xix. 2, 7; and even of separating a position to evil, xxix. 21 (20), and putting aside beasts that are unclean, Lev. Xx. 25.

the tribe of Levi] Unambiguous, leaving no question possible as to

covenant of the LORD, to stand before the LORD to minister

whether they are meant in part or whole-a question which would have

arisen had the term the Levites (in view of its narrower meaning in P) been used. Cp. xviii. 1, all the tribe of Levi.

to bear the ark of the covenant of the LORD | D's name for the Ark; see on v. 2. The O.T. data of the bearing of the Ark are summarily these. In JE, Jos. iii. 6, the priests bear the Ark; and the priests bear it also at the consecration of Solomon's Temple. 1 Kgs viii. 3, 6. Here in D the office is assigned to the whole (see above) tribe of Levi. These terms are combined in xxxi, o according to which the Ark is borne by the priests the sous of Levi; cp. the deuteronomic verse, Jos. Siii. 3, the priests the Levites bearing it. in P, Num. iv. 1, 4, 15, the bearing of the Ark is specially allotted to one clan of Levi, the Kohathites, who are distinguished from the priests-in P, Aaron and his sons-by being forbidden to perform the more sacred priestly functions, Num. iv. 15, 17-20. Clearly then P differs from D, in which the whole tribe of Levi is regarded as priests and as such carry the Ark, besides performing the other more sacred functions which now follow.

to stand before the LORD to minister unto him | Both vbs. which are used of a servant's attitude and duty to his human master (stand before, 1 Kgs x. 8; minister, Gen. xxxix. 4) are also employed (with and without the name of God, and either together or separately), specially to express religious service and in particular the distinctive office and functions of the priests, xvii. 12 (before Jehovah) + Jud. xx. 28 (before the Ark), Ezek, xliv, 15; 2 Chron, xxix, 11. In D these are laid upon the whole tribe of Levi as here, in xviii. 5, 7, God hath chosen him, Levi, out of all thy tribes to stand to minister in the name of Jehovah, him and his sons for ever; and xxi. 5, the priests the sons of Levi ... for them hath Jehovah chosen to minister unto him. P uses the phrase to stand before Jehovah neither of the priests nor of the Levites, but says that the Levites stand before the congregation. The verb to minister (shārēth) P. both of Aaron and his sons, the priests, and of the Levites. Of the priests either absolutely Ex. xxviii. 35, xxxix. 26, or within the holy place xxviii, 43, xxix, 30, xxxix, 1, and in the priests' office xxxv. 19, xxxix. 41; or of their ministry of the altar, i.e. the sacrifices, xxx, 20; and only once with regard to God Himself, xxviii. 41, to minister unto me. Of the Levites Pnever uses to minister to Jehovah; but either to minister alone, Num. iii. 31; or to the camp, iv. q; or in the sanctuary, iv. 12, cp. i. 50; or at the altar (in preparing it for the priests), iv. 24; or to Aaron, xviii. 2. P and D then differ thus, that while D uses the double phrase, stand before and minister to Ichovah of the whole tribe of Levi, P says that the Levites stand before the congregation, and uses the phrase minister to Jehovah only of the priests, and intends by it the most sacred priestly functions of sacrifice. etc., the Levites' ministering being confined to less sacred duties in

unto him, and to bless in his name, unto this day. Where 9 fore Levi hath no portion nor inheritance with his brethren; the Lord is his inheritance, according as the Lord by God spake unto him.) And I stayed in the mount, as at 10

regard to the care of the fabric of the Tabernacle and the Camp and

in assisting the priests.

to bless in his name] So xxi. 4 again of the sons of Levi, the priests. This is another of the distinctive priestly duties (though sometimes discharged by kings, 2 Sam. vi. 18; 1 Kgs viii. 14, 55). It is twice assigned by P to Aaron: Lev. ix. 22, Num. vi. 23; and it is included in t Chr. xxiii. 18 among the offices to which Aaron was set apart.

unto this day] Cp. for ever in xviii. 5.

Our detailed examination of this verse, and other O.T. passages relevant to the subject, makes it clear that in the Book of Deuteronomy all the tribe of Levi or sons of Levi are regarded as priests; and that every son of Levi, or Levite, could perform the distinctive priestly functions; whereas in P all these functions are limited to Aaron and his sons, except the bearing of the Ark, which is assigned to a Levite clan the Kohathites; while Levite has become a technical name for the non-Aaronic members of the tribe, to whom priestly functions were forbidden and who had less sacred duties about the altar and sanctuary. These distinctions are unknown to D: to him Levites and priests are identical terms. It is impossible to suppose that D silently presupposed the distinctions in P. There is not the slightest sign anywhere in his language that this was the case. On the contrary his addition, that the exercise of the priests' office by all Levites continued to his own day and was for ever proves that he did not know P. And this is confirmed with its consequence, a late date for P, by the evidence of the earlier historical writings and especially by a comparison of Samuel and Kings with Chronicles. See further Chapman in Int. to the Pent, (in this series), pp. 154 ff., and App. VII. 5 and cp. below on xviii. 1-8. . 9. Wherefore i.e. because of God's separation of the tribe to Him-

self.

Levi hath no portion nor inheritance] xii. 12, xiv. 27, 29, xviii. 1 f.

In P of Aaron Num. xviii. 20.

the LORD is his inheritance] What this means is defined in xviii. t, they shall eat the offerings of fehovah made by fire, and his inheritance; details follow in 3 f.

according as...spake unto him] This is not recorded in the Pent., but may have been found in the original form of [E; see on v. 8. LXX om. the LORD thy God, and so relieves the text from one of the two instances of the Sg. address in this section.

10, 11. These vv. present no little difficulty alike by their position, their language and their substance. They are separated from the historical retrospect by vv. 6—9. They are in the Sg. address, while it is in the Pl. Do they belong to it, or to vv. 12 fl., which continue

the first time, forty days and forty nights: and the Lord hearkened unto me that time also; the Lords would not 11 destroy thee. And the Lord said unto me, Arise, take thy journey before the people; and they shall go in and posses the land, which I sware unto their fathers to give unto them.

the hortatory discourse? They record an intercession by Moses, and compare it with a previous intercession or intercessions. Is this identical with one of those recorded in the historical retrospect or a fresh one? The explanations have been many and various, but may be grouped under three heads: (a) v. 10 is secondary, the result of various attempts by scribes, working on Ex. xxxii .- xxxiv. and this passage, to arrange the different references to intercessions by Moses; while v. 11 a is the continuation of v. 5 and the conclusion of the historical retrospect (Steuernagel); (b) vv. 10, 11 are the natural sequel to ix. 13, 14, and with these form a summary parrative parallel to the rest of ix. 9 ff.; they belong not to the retrospect, but to the hortatory discourse . continued in vv. 12 ff. (Bertholet, who omits with LXX the troublesome words as at the first time). These arguments, though ingenious, are not convincing. On the whole, the most probable explanation is (c) that which takes v. 10 as a natural recapitulation of ix. 18 ff., carried in v. 11 to its proper conclusion. This view is supported by the possible Heb. pluperfect in v. 10, I had stayed; by the repetition from ix. 19 of the words: 'and Jehovah hearkened unto me at that time also' (yet see on ix. 196); by the fact that it was natural to repeat these words once again after the prayer ix. 26-29, which otherwise remains without answer to it being recorded; and by the unfinished condition in which the retrospect would be left without v. 11 (Steuern.'s instinct is right in retaining at least v. 11 a). The single Sg. would not destroy thee is a difficulty, but may be explained as due to the attraction of the neighbouring Sg. in vv. 12 ff. Almost all MSS of LXX have you.

10. And I stayed] The Heb. may well be translated, And I had stayed.

as at the first time] om. by LXX.

11. take thy journey] get thee to thy journey, lit. to thy breaking of camp. See on ii. 1.

CHS. X. 12-XI. FINAL EXHORTATIONS, INTRODUCTORY TO THE LAWS.

Enforced by the preceding Retrospect, the discourse continues to urge its practical conclusions of full fear and love to God, by worshipping and obeying Him (12 and 13); because, though all heaven and earth is His, He was pleased to love the fathers of Israel and to choose their posterity (14, 15). Changing to the Pl. address the discourse urges Israel to circumicse their hearts and be no more And now, Israel, what doth the LORD thy God require of 12

stiffnecked, for their God is the greatest God and Lord, mightiest and most terrible and absolutely impartial (16, 17). He secures justice for the widow and orphan and loves the stranger, as Israel, themselves strangers in Egypt, must do (18, 19). Returning to the Sg. exhortations follow to fear, worship, and cleave to Jehovah, for He is Israel's God who has done all these mighty things for the people, and out of seventy individuals who went down to Egypt, made them a multitude like to the stars; therefore loving God they shall keep His commandments (20-xi. 1). Once more in the Pl., Israel are reminded of the discipline of God, which they themselves have experienced in their deliverance from Egypt and guidance through the desert, and in the punishment for rebellion of Dathan and Abiram (2-7); therefore they shall keep the commandment, that they may be strong, possess the land and prolong their days upon it (8, 9). Oscillating between Sg. and Pl. there follows a description of the distinction of the land from the flat and rainless Egypt, irrigated from the Nile by the foot of man; it is a land whose water comes from heaven and God's eyes are always upon it (10-12); if Israel observe His commandments (here the discourse passes from Moses to the person of the Deity). He will give the rains in their seasons and fulness of crops (13-15). Let them not turn away from Him to other gods, lest in His anger He send drought and they perish (16, 17). Therefore they shall lay His words to heart, bind them as signs on their hands and brows, teach them to their children, and write them by their doors and gates that their days, and their children's, may be long in the land (18-21). For if they keep all his commandments (the discourse is already again in the person of Moses) God will expel all these nations and give them every part of the land they tread, from the desert to Lebanon and from the Euphrates to the Western Sea (22-25). The speaker, in short, has set a blessing and a curse before Israel on conditions respectively, and they shall put them up on Gerizim and Ebal on the other side of Jordan, which they are about to cross and then they must keep all the statutes and judgments now to be delivered to them (26-32) .- So we reach the close of the discourses introductory to the Laws. The frequent changes between the Sg. and Pl. forms of address, sometimes coinciding with transitions to subjects not always relevant to the main theme of the discourses, are proof of the composite character of this closing section; and after the text (which, as the versions show, is by no means certain) has been corrected, furnish material for the question whether it is possible to discriminate two original discourses, introductory to the Code, one Sg. the other Pl., or whether the changes of address may be explained by the expansion of one original at the hands of editors.

12, 13 sum up once more the main demand of the discourses.

12. And now in conclusion: in the same way opened the concluding

stage of the first discourses, iv. 1.
What doth...require of thee] what is...asking of thee. Cp. Mic. vi. 8,

thee, but to fear the LORD thy God, to walk in all his ways, and to love him, and to serve the LORD thy God with all thy heart and with all thy soul to keep the commandments of

13 heart and with all thy soul, to keep the commandments of the LORD, and his statutes, which I command thee this day for 14 thy good? Behold, unto the LORD thy God belongeth the

heaven and the heaven of heavens, the earth, with all that is therein is. Only the LORD had a delight in thy fathers to

seeking from thee. The force of the question lies in this, that it is nothing impossible or extraordinary or complicated, that God demands, but what is simple and within the people's duty.

to fear] iv. 10 (q.v.), vi. 2, 13, x. 20. to walk in all his ways] See on v. 33.

to love him ... with all thy heart, etc.] See on vi. 5.

to serve] or worship; see on iv. 19, vi. 13.; combined with love or fear, v. 20, xi. 13, xiii. 4, etc., and deuteronomic passages in other books.

13. to keep] or in that thou keepest, for this is how they are to fear and love Him.

commandments...and statutes] Varied from vii. 11. for thy good, vi.
24. That the verse is made up of formulas does not necessarily prove

its secondary character (Steuern.).

14. This and the next v. state motives for the fear and love just enjoined: for fear, because He is the greatest God, to whom all things belong; for love because, though He is such, He yet loved Israel's fathers and chose their posterity, even those whom Moses is addressing.

the heaven, etc.] A characteristic deuteronomic accumulation.

housen of hoursun] i.e. the highest heavens (the same idiom as in v. 17). Whether this idiomatic superlative (first here and then echoed in later passages, 1 Kgs viii. 27; 2 Chr. ii. 6; 1 Neh. is. 6; 1 Ps. Ivini, 32, exlvii. 4, 07 the plural positive hoursun was the germ of the later idea of the plurality of heavens (in the Jewish apocalyptic hooks and the NT., e.g. 2 Co. si. 2; Egh. iv. o. K. k.) is uncertain; but the develop-cosmologies and exchatologies. See S. D. F. Salmond, art. 'Heaven' in Hastings' J. D.R., and Charles, Secrets of Enoch, 8xx.—Alviii.

10. Only Heb. raß. The use of this restrictive adverb with disjunctive force—a sharp word with the sound of a wrench in it—is found in many O.T. writings, but is particularly frequent in Deut, occurring no less than 10 intex, and in deuteronomic passages else-contrasts to, what has preceded them. The exact menting varies contrasts to, what has preceded them. The exact menting varies according to the context, and therefore it is transl. by different English adverbs or conjunctions in R.V. It introduces exceptions to, or exervations upon, statements of fact, only or but dit. 18, 36, 37, iii.

love them, and he chose their seed after them, even you 'above all peoples, as at this day. Circumcise therefore the 16 foreskin of your heart, and be no more stiffnecked. For 17 the Lord your God, he is God of gods, and Lord of lords,

1 Or, out of

11. 19), or laws, netwithstanding, only (better, saving that), but (sii, 15, 16, 23, 46, xv. 23, xvii, 16, xx. 14, 20); or a different side of different circumstances, but (xx. 16); or an indispensable condition to a command or promise, only, if only (v. 9, xv. 3); or an antithesis to command or promise, only, if only (v. 9, xv. 3); or an antithesis to statement, as if singling out the set along the product of the commander of the commander

had a delight in See on vii. 7, set his love upon you.

chose] See on vii. 6.

even you] The only Pl. in this section, 12-15. It is explicable either by the attraction of the following Pls., or as a later insertion, and this is supported by its abruptnes; even is not expressed in the text.

16-19. The form of address changes to Pl., and a qualification is made of the great statement just given. Though God has elected (for reasons of His own) to love Israel's fathers and to choose their posterity after them out of all peoples to be His peculiar people, He is not one that regards persons, but as He takes the part of the helpless within Israel so He loves also the foreigner resident among them, and therefore Israel must love the foreign sojourner, having themselves been sojourners in Egypt. No doubt all this is more or less relevant to the main theme of the discourse, but it is outside it, and as its introduction is coincident with the change to the Pl. address, the passage must be considered as a later addition, or additions (for 18, 10 is still a further departure from 16, 17). The same idea, that Israel cannot count on God's partiality for them if they continue to be stiffnecked, had been already put by Amos in a more striking form, Am. iii. 2, you only have I known of all the families of the earth : therefore I will wisit on you all your iniquities. Cp. John viii, 31-45; and Acts x, 34; Rom. ii, 11; Gal. ii. 6, in which the argument of this passage is developed.

16. Circumcise the forestion of your hoard. The same metaphor in Jer, iv., (ep.; x, 23); whether it is original to the prophet or to D is impossible to determine. In view of the style of Jeremiah's earlier discourses, in which aburut and unrelated metaphors are frequently conjoined, and of the secondary character of these verses before us, the presumption is that the metaphor is here derived from Jeremiah. Woll be Jeremias ursprünglich, Wellh. Comp. Hex. 193. Steuernagel states the converse opinion.

stiffnecked] See ix. 6 Sg. and 13 Pl.

17. God of gods, and Lord of lords] Heb. idiom for the highest God and Lord (cp. v. 14, heaven of heavens).

the great God, the mighty, and the terrible, which regardeth 18 not persons, nor taketh reward. He doth execute the judgement of the fatherless and widow, and loveth the 19 stranger, in giving him food and raiment. Love ye therefore

the stranger: for ye were strangers in the land of Egypt.
Thou shalt fear the LORD thy God; him shalt thou serve;
and to him shalt thou cleave, and by his name shalt thou

and to him shalt thou cleave, and by his name shalt thou 21 swear. He is thy praise, and he is thy God, that hath done

the great God, the mighty, and the terrible] The Helb. can also mean, as in A.V., a great God, etc.; or the superlative, the God, the greatest, most mighty, and terrible. This is probably to be preferred. Yet even so there is no assertion, such as we find in exilic and post-exilic writers, of the sole Godhead of Jehovah. See above on vi. 4.

regardath not perioni) Lit. lifeth not up face (opposed to turning areasy faces), i.e. either by granting their requests (Gen. xix. 21) or receiving them graciously (Gen. xxxii. 20); or by being inordinately influenced by them (Job xxxiii. 21); or, as here, by showing them an unjust partiality (cp. xxviii. 50). The same idea concerning human judges is found in 1. 17, but expressed by another verb.

reward] or, bribe, Ex. xxiii. 8, R.V. a gift. See further on xvi. 19.

18. fatherless, widow, and stranger] i.e. the foreigner sojourning in Israel. See on xxiv. 17. The three are combined there and in xxiv.

19, 20, 21, also in Ex. xxii. 21, 22.

19. Low ye the stranger] This carries the principle further than it is expressed in Ex. xxii. 21, and even almost as far as Christ carried it. Cp. P. Lev. xix. 33.

for ye were strangers] So Ex. xxii. 21 (editorial) and frequently in D.

20—x1. 1. Resumption of the Sg. address in possible, but not necessary, continuation of vv. 14, 15. V. 20 naturally suggests the opening of 21, and is therefore not to be taken as a later intrusion because it repeats vi. 13 (Steuern.).

20. See on vi. 13, which this repeats (with LXX, Sam., read, as there. and him) but adds another clause,

and to him that thus cleave] This verb dabab is used in J of close and warm affection from man to woman (Gen. ii. 24, xxxiv. 3), and in J and D of the adhesion of evil (Gen. xix. 19.) Dt. xiii. 71 (8) of the devoted thing, xxxiii 2.1, 65 of diseases). It is not applied to the relation of Israel to God in the Pent. except in D. x. 20, xi 22, xiii. 4, 4(3), xxx. 20, in which passages it is combined with some or other of the verbs love, Gen. obey, serve, walk after. In iv. 4, xiii. 4, xxiii. 8, usuel by itself. Cp. deuteronomic passages in Joshua Xiii. 4, xxiii. 8 usuel by itself. Cp. deuteronomic passages in Joshua Xiii. 4, xxiii. 8

thy praise] Either the object of thy praise (cp. Ps. cix. 1, God of my

21. He] in an emphatic position.

for thee these great and terrible things, which thine eyes have seen. Thy fathers went down into Egypt with three- 22 score and ten persons; and now the LORD thy God hath made thee as the stars of heaven for multitude.

Therefore thou shalt love the LORD thy God, and keep 11 his charge, and his statutes, and his judgements, and his

praise), or cause of thy fame, thy renown, viz. by the deeds He has

done for thee, Jer. xvii. 14.

great and terrible things] iv. 34 great terrors; cp. vi. 22, vii. 19.

which thine eyes have seen | So iv. 9, vii. 19, xxix. 3 (2), all Sg. as here: but in xxix. 2 (1) before your eyes; cp. xi. 2. The nation is regarded as identical through all its generations. See on iv. o.

22. Thy fathers went down, etc.] A.V. and R.V. miss both the emphatic order of the original and an idiom in it. Translate, Seventy persons did thy fathers go down into Egypt, but now, etc. The number is found elsewhere only in P, Gen. xlvi. 27, Ex. i. 5, and this verse is regarded as derived from P and therefore a late addition to D. Yet this round number may have been a common tradition once found in JE; and indeed P treats it as an accepted fact, to which he has to reconcile his other data. 'The number 70 is not invented by P, since he puts it together in Gen. xlvi. 8-27 only with trouble and difficulty (Cornill, Einleitung, 35 f.). There remains, however, the term nephesh for person, very characteristic of, though not confined to, P. With the whole v., cp. xxvi. 5.

made thee as the stars, etc. | See on i. 10. .

XI. 1. Therefore The conclusion of the preceding verses,

thou shalt love | See on vi. 5. keep his chargel 'Only here in Dt. : often in P (esp. Numbers), but

usually in a technical sense, with genitive of the object to be kept, as Num. i. 53, iii. 28: "Jehovah's charge" (of a specific duty), Lev. viii. 35, xviii. 30, xxii. 9; Num. ix. 19, 23; in a more general sense, as here, Gen. xxvi. 5 (IE); Jos. xxii. 3 (D2); 1 Kgs ii. 3 (Deut.) (Driver). There is therefore no conclusive proof that this v. is secondary. Yet the recurrence of a phrase so characteristic of P after another in the previous v. is significant.

statutes, judgements, commandments | See above.

2-9. A Pl. section recalling God's discipline of the very generation which is being addressed. The change from Sg. to Pl. has been explained on the logical ground that the speaker is no longer regarding the nation as a single whole, but is addressing the adult generation as individuals distinct from their children (Bertholet). This, of course, is possible. Yet the alternative supposition, that some other source is here used by the compiler, besides being probable from what we have seen in other cases of the change of address, receives some support from the broken construction of the opening sentence as though it were a bad joint. It is significant, too, that the resumption of the Pl. coincides as 2 commandments, alway. And know ye this day: for I gwoich not with your children which have not known, and which have not known, and which have not seen the 'chastisement of the Lord your God, his greatness, his mighty hand, and his stretched out arm, and his signs, and his works, which he did in the midst of Egypt at unto Pharaoth the king of Egypt, and not all his land; and what he did unto the army of Egypt, unto their horses, and to their chariots; how he made the water of the Red Sea to overflow them as they pursued after you, and how the Lord hath cleaning the state of the Red Sea to overflow them as they pursued after you, and how the Lord hath cleaning the state of the Red Sea to overflow them as they pursued after you, and how the Lord hath cleaning the state of the Red Sea to overflow them as they pursued after you, and how the Lord hath cleaning the state of the Red Sea to overflow them as they pursued after you, and how the Lord hath cleaning the state of the Red Sea to overflow them as they pursued after you.

1 Or, instruction

in ix, 8-x, 11 with a historical retrospect. On the one Sg. clause in the section see on v. 8.

2. Ant howe ye! For this deuteronomic form see on vii. Q. Knew what? The defective construction which follows leaves this obscure. Some suppose that in the course of his involved sentence the writer has foregiven the object of home as well as the verb which about the control of home as well as the verb which about the control of home as well as the verb which about not with your children! I speak, who have not home me sent his distipline of Jehward your Gori, and that the antithesis is reached in v., hat that your own oye, etc. It is, however, difficult to understand why by a solemn formula they should be called to recognise so obvious a distipline of Jehward as the object of home and what comes have the control of the distipline of Jehward as the object of home and what comes between as a parenthesis. But whichever way the sentence is read the words it Jepak must be added.

the characterist [] 'mita' denotes nettler intrinction (see on iv. 30) for charitment (though this may be included, by its moral characterist (bough this may be included, by its moral characterist (bough this may be included, by its moral characterist (bought in the characterist))). The characterist (bought i

religious nature' (Driver).

his greatness, his mighty hand, and his stretched out arm] See on iii. 24, v. 24, ix. 26; and cp. iv. 34, greatness.

3. and his signs, and his works] See on iv. 34; cp. vi. 22, vii. 19.

 the Red Sea] On the Heb. name, probably Sea of Reeds or Sedge, see note to Ex. xiii. 18. On the passage of the sea, see Ex. xiv. D does not mention it elsewhere than here; but see i. 1, 40.

destroyed them] This form of the verb, 'ibbed, found in D only here and in xii. 2, 3, another Pl. passage. But both Sg. and Pl. use another form of the same verb.

you in the wilderness, until ve came unto this place; and 6 what he did unto Dathan and Abiram, the sons of Eliab, the son of Reuben; how the earth opened her mouth, and swallowed them up, and their households, and their tents, and every living thing that followed them, in the midst of all Israel: but your eyes have seen all the great work of the LORD 7 which he did. Therefore shall ye keep all the command-8 ment which I command thee this day, that ye may be strong,

5. unto this place] i. 31.

6. what he did unto Dathan and Abiram] The severity of God's discipline was not only shown to Israel's enemies, but in the midst of all Israel to rebellious Israelites. Without such a recollection, the description of that discipline, especially in view of the alarm it was fitted to inspire, would not be complete. This answers Steuern,'s argument that the verse is secondary, on the grounds that there was no reason to mention specially this one out of all the divine punishments inflicted on Israel, and that with the phrase in the midst of all Israel the people are not directly addressed, and that the form of the discourse is thus broken. On the contrary, as shown above, the phrase suits the speaker's purpose, cp. xvii. 4, 7, xxiii. 16 (17). The event is described in Num. xvi., a passage compounded of IE and P (see Numbers in this series). This verse partly repeats the phraseology of JE, with some variations (e.g. a different verb for opened), cp. Num. xvi. 1 b, 26, 27 b (tents), 30 (all that appertained unto them), 32 a. And, like JE, D mentions Dathan and Abiram alone as the victims of the judgement. Instead of them P mentions Korah. This is another illustration of the consistency with which D follows JE, and was either ignorant of, or deliberately ignored P. It is interesting that Sam, adds to D's statement 'and all the men belonging to Korah.'

7. But your eyes are those that have seen | Cp. x. 21 Sg. all the great work] LXX works; cp. the deuteronomic passage,

Jud. ii. 7. 8. On such recognition (v. 2 But know ve) of the awful discipline of

God the discourse now bases another of its many appeals to the people to observe the Law, with the usual promise of consequent benefits. That the appeal and promise are composed in the usual deuteronomic phrases is no ground, by itself, for considering that the verse is an editorial addition. (So Steuern., who finds the immediate continuation of v. 7 in v. 16.) Nor are the phrases all repetitions; that ve may be strong is new.

keep all the commandment | Again the Miswah of v. 31 g.v., vi. 1 and vii. II.

which I command thee this day The one Sg. clause in the section. Sam, and LXX codd. A etc. have Pl., LXX cod. Vat. agrees with the Heb. Sg. It is a good illustration of how many are the possible

DEUTERONOMY

and go in and possess the land, whither ye go over to possess 9 it; and that ye may prolong your days upon the land, which the LORD sware unto your fathers to give unto them and to

to their seed, a land flowing with milk and honey. For the land, whither thou goest in to possess it, is not as the land of Egypt, from whence ye came out, where thou sowedst thy seed, and

explanations of these smaller and sporadic changes of address. Either bg.g. is a clerical error which has slipped into the Heb. test and is to be corrected by the Versions; or it is original, and the readings of these rea harmonistic, as in A.V. Or, if the Sg. is the correct reading si may be either a mere inadvertence on the part of the original writer, or the clause may have been inserted by an editor with the echo of vii. 11 in his ear. This last seems to the present writer the most probable explanation. But any of the others is possible.

that ye may be strong, and go in] only here; cp. iv. 1, that ye may live and go in.

and go in and possess the land] Cp. the variation in the Sg. ix. 5, go in to possess their land.

whither ye go over to possess it] a phrase peculiar to Pl.; see on vi. 1.

9. prolong your days] See on iv. 26.

which the LORD sware | See on i. 8.

flowing with milk and honey] See above on vi. 3; and the note to Ex. iii. 8.

10-15. Another picture of the blessings of the land, cp. vi. 10 ft.,

vii. 1 %, viii. 7 %, viii. 9 %, viii. 1 %, viii. 9 %, form of address. But this me was ext he land under a new aspect it is contrast to the flat that rainless Egypt. The section illustrates well both what is obscure in the frequent transition of our Book from the one to the other of the two forms of address. For though it is mainly in the Sg., there are in the present text four interruptions by the PL: one in z. 10 (the Versions add another), one in z. 11, all z. 13, and one in z. 14. The following notes will show that while the last is only an apparent Pl., the Versions supplying a Sg., nearly all the others are clearly editional expansions.

10. whither thou goest in to possess it] The Sg. equivalent for the Pl. whither ye are crossing to possess it (v. 8). Therefore the Pl. reading of Sam. and LXX codd. A etc., ye are going in, is probably not correct. But see next note.

from whence ye came out] This Pl. is confirmed by the Versions. But with the preceding clause, whither thou goest in, &c., it may be a later addition. Neither is necessary, and indeed both rather break

up the comparison which is the writer's main theme for the time, where thou sowedts thy seed | This information is novel. We are not told elsewhere that in Egypt Israel practised agriculture for themselves (thy seed). Yet even if they were confined to the land of Goshen (it is only J which affirms this), that land was partly

wateredst it with thy foot, as a garden of herbs: but the 11

fertile, and even a tribe of shepherds could hardly have refrained from the opportunities which it offered for the richer feeding of their cattle. P's account of Israel in Egypt says that they multiplied so fast that the land was filled with them; and that when the Egyptians brought them under bondage this included all manner of service in the field (Ex. i. 7, 14).

wateredst it with thy foot] The exact reference is doubtful and has been variously explained: to the working of the shaduf or machine by which a bucket of water is lifted from the river bed to the fields above; to the working of water-wheels; and to the distribution of the water through the fields by many small channels in the soft mud, which was removed by the foot of the peasant to allow the water to pass and replaced to divert it (Manning, The Land of the Pharaohs, 1887, p. 31, cited by Driver, Deut.3 p. xxi). The use of the shaduf in ancient Egypt is illustrated on the monuments (for an example see Erman, Life in Anc. Egypt, 426); but the employment of the foot in working it, i.e. by pushing or keeping down the weight that balanced the bucket, though recorded, does not seem to be usual. Again, 'water-wheels cannot be proved to have been known in ancient Egypt' (W. M. Müller, art. 'Egypt' in E.B. col. 1226, n. 1); though Niebuhr saw one worked by the foot in Cairo, and named accordingly (Reisebeschreibung, I. p. 148, pl. xv.), and Robinson saw others in Palestine (B.R. 11. 351, 111. 21). The third explanation, the guidance of water by the foot of the peasant through the fields, seems therefore the most probable (cp. Conder on this method in Palestine, Tent Work in Palestine, 328); though W. M. Müller (loc. cit.) says 'most probably "watering with the foot" means carrying water. (It ought not to be overlooked that the words with thy foot may also have been meant to qualify thou sowedst thy seed; in Egypt, however, it was animals who were employed for tramping the scattered seed into the soft mud, rams (Erman, 420) or pigs (Herodotus, II. 14, Pliny, H.N. XVIII, 47).) But to know the exact meaning of with thy foot is not necessary for the understanding of the writer. He is contrasting the laborious personal labour required in bringing water to the fields of rainless Egypt, which Erman describes even after a high Nile as incessant over a large part of the country, and as an arduous, servile business necessarily enforced upon the peasants by an anxious government, with the heaven's own direct watering of the Palestine fields without any labour on the part of man. The contrast is, of course, not utter as the deuteronomist in his characteristic style describes it to have been (he himself immediately qualifies it by his reference to the garden of herbs, which in Palestine it was customary to water by channels, cp. Is. i. 30). Nevertheless it is in the main true that in Egypt the fields depended for water on human drudgery of the most arduous kind; in Palestine their watering was the direct boon of heaven, beyond man's responsibility. In this connection Erman's remarks (14) on the influence of the Egyptian landscape are

10-2



- land, whither ye go over to possess it, is a land of hills and lz valleys, and drinketh water of the rain of heaven: a land which the Lord thy God 'careth for; the eyes of the Lord thy God are always upon it, from the beginning of the year even unto the end of the year.
- 13 And it shall come to pass, if ye shall hearken diligently unto my commandments which I command you this day, to love the LORD your God, and to serve him with all your

1 Heb. seeketh after.

relevant. The landscape is monotonous, not calculated to swaken the impiration of the soul; unconsciously the dweller in this country will become sober and prosale, and his gods will be pale forms with whom he has no sympathy. In fact, the Egyptian peasant could scarcely understand a living personal relationship between the individual and the delty... Thus the Egyptian grew up under conditions unfavourable understanding and practical industry. And he contrasts the more vivid religious influences which the Greeks experienced from their landscapes—their mountains, forests, meadows and rains. This is virtually the same contrast as the deuteronomist here paints between the flat, rainless Egypts, and Palestine with its rains, holis and vales, and consequent of them by God Himself (et al., of more easily feed the personal care of them by God Himself (et al., of more easily feed the personal care of them by God Himself (et al., of them of them by God Himself (et al., of them of them by God Himself (et al., of them of them by God Himself (et al., of the proposal care of them by God Himself (et al., of the proposal care of them by God Himself (et al., of the proposal care of them by God Himself (et al., of the proposal care of them by God Himself (et al., of the proposal care of them by God Himself (et al., of the proposal care of them by God Himself (et al., of the proposal care of them by God Himself (et al., of the proposal care of them by God Himself (et al., of the proposal care of the god Himself (et al., of the proposal care of the proposa

as a garden of herbs] 1 Kgs xxi. 2; Pr. xv. 17. The inference is that the irrigation which in Palestine was only applied to special spots was

universal in Egypt; see previous note:

11. whither ye go over to possess it] This Pl. interruption is re-

dundant even for the deuteronomic style (cp. 8 and 10) and unnecessary for the contrast which the writer is making: most probably editorial.

a land of hills and valleys! This, too, is essential to the writer's contrast of the land with Egypt: for the configuration of the land (cp. Erman's remarks on Egypt and Greece above) is not only utterly

different from the flatness of Egypt, but affects the distribution of the rainfall, and is responsible for numerous springs (viii. 7).

According to the rain of homen it drinkth water! So the emphatic

order of the original.

13. a land which the Lown thy God careth for] lit. soekth after. The verb is used both in the sense of resort to or frepanet (iii. 5, 4with another construction. Am. v. 3), or investigate (xiii. 14 (14), xvii. 4, xii. 18), or to visit so as to care from (fer. xxx. 14, yr; 12) bii ii. 4; 14, is. ixii. 12). The last is of course the meaning here: a land which is under the personal supervision and providence of God; contantuly are the eyes of Johnson khy God styon it from the beginning of the year and even to the end of the vare. Such is the emphatic Heb. order.

13. The verse is not only in the Pl. and a repetition of certain

heart and with all your soul, that I will give the rain of your 14 land in its season, the former rain and the latter rain, that thou mayest gather in thy corn, and thy wine, and thine oil. And I will give grass in thy fields for thy cattle, and thou 15

formulas, but it also changes the speaker (my commandments can only mean God's). It is evidently inserted by an editor (so too Steuern. and Bertholet) (who also altered the opening of the next verse, 4x-5) because the thought it again necessary to safeguard the promise by because the thought it again necessary to safeguard the promise by the contrast between the two writer which for the moment is only the contrast between the two lands. On the contents of the x-se on x. 12. x.

14. that I will give the rain of your land] The Heb, text is evidently due to the same hand which inserted v. 13, for it immediately follows that verse, and as evidently the original reading is that of Sam, LXX and Vulg.: that he will give the rain to thy land, which connects with v. 12.

in its season, etc. 1 The agricultural year in Palestine consisted of two seasons, a rainy and a dry. 'Towards the end of October heavy rains begin to fall, at intervals, for a day or several days at a time. These are what the English Bible calls the carly or former rain, Heb. yoreh, the bourer. It opens the agricultural year; the soil, hardened and cracked by the long summer, rainless since May, is loosened, and the farmer begins ploughing. Till the end of November the average rainfall is not large, but it increases through December, January and February, begins to abate in March, and is practically over by the end of April. The latter rains. Heb. malkash, from a root meaning to be late, are the heavy showers of March and April. Coming as they do when the grain is ripening, and being the last before the long summer drought, they are of far more importance to the country than all the rains of the winter months, and that is why these are so frequently passed over in Scripture, and emphasis is laid only on the early and latter rains1' (HGHL, pp. 63. 64). The annual rainfall is considerable: at Ierusalem it averages over 25 inches, about the same as the annual rainfall in London. Whether it was more copious in ancient times is a question much debated. For this and other details see the present writer's Jerusalem, I. 19, 77 f. The growth of the vine and olive depend, like the ripening of the corn, essentially on the latter rain; and the olive requires the rainless summer for the ripening of its berries (et. cit. 200).

15. And I will give] with Sam. and LXXB read he will give. grass] rather, herbage (essb), including grass (dsbh'); for cattle as here, Jer. xiv. 6, Ps. cvi. 20; but of human food, Gen. iii. 18.

¹ This has given people the idea that there are only two periods of rain in the Syrian year, at the vernal and the autumnal equinoses; but the whole of the winter is the rainy season, as indeed we are told in the parallel lines of the Song of Songs; Lee the winter is best, the rains is over and gone (ii.).

16 shalt eat and be full. Take heed to yourselves, lest your heart be deceived, and ye turn aside, and serve other gods, 17 and worship them; and the anger of the LORD be kindled

17 and worsing time; and the anger of the LORD be kindled against you, and he shut up the heaven, that there be no rain, and that the land yield not her fruit; and ye perish quickly from off the good land which the Lord giveth you.

18 Therefore shall ye lay up these my words in your heart and

18 Therefore shall ye lay up these my words in your heart and in your soul; and ye shall bind them for a sign upon your hand, and they shall be for frontlets between your eyes.

19 And ye shall teach them your children, talking of them,

shalt eat and be full] vi. 11 (q.v.), viii. 10, 12 as here, with Sg.

16, 17. The enjoyment of so much blessing in the land suggests, as usual (cp. vi. 14, vi. vii. 14). As avarning against being deserved into attributing it to other goods, i.e. the Baalina, laready regarded in the this warning is from the same hand as the proceding rev. is difficult to determine. The fact that it is in the Pl. while they are in the Sg., and that it is not so necessary to their argument as it is to the context in vi. 14. and viii. 191, suggests here another hand. At the same time it is relevant to what proceeding, and in c. 17 directly attached its of to that.

16. Take heed to yourselves] See on iv. 9; only here and iv. 23

with Pl.

lest your heart be deceived] So Job xxxi. 27.

17. the anger of the LORD, etc.] See vi. 14 f., vii. 4.

and he shift up the heaven, fruit]. These clauses found in D only here (lust px. swiii. 34, fand the deuternomic r. Kgx viii. 33, Pruit, rather produce, ½63t, Gound, save for Judges vi. 4, only in the later O.T. writings from Excisic (sxxiv. 2) and D onwards, cp. xxxii; 32. Thus not only in the climate of Palestine, blessed directly from heaven, but in its interruptions also Israel are to see the personal Providence of their God.

and ye perish quickly, etc.] So, with slight variations, iv. 26.

18—25. The Pl. address is continued in a series of formulas, repeated

with some variations from previous passages. The secondary nature of part of this section cannot be doubted. The emergence of the Sg. in π_1 to ghow that the passage is a quotation (slightly varied) of v_1 . G_1 that been partly adapted to the compiler's P_1 , while v_2 za naturally follows on to v_1 . P_2 . The rest only partly repeats, and contains some matter peculiar to this section of Deut.

18—21. See on vi. 6—9. Besides the form of address, Sg. there, Pl. here, there are the following differences: vi. 6—9 has shall be upon thine heart, and wants and in your soul; takes next thou shall teach, them diligently to thy children (a more natural place and a sign of the

when thou sittest in thine house, and when thou walkest by the way, and when thou liest down, and when thou risest up. And thou shalt write them upon the door posts of 20 thine house, and upon thy gates: that your days may be 21 multiplied, and the days of your children, upon the land which the Lord sware unto your fathers to give them, as the days of the heavens above the earth. For if ye shall 22 diligently keep all this commandment which I command you, to do it; to love the Lord your God, to walk in all his ways, and to cleave unto him; then will the Lord drive out 23 all these nations from before you, and ye shall possess nations greater and mightier than yourselves. Every place 44 whereon the sole of your foot shall tread shall be yours: from the wilderness, and Lebanon, from the river, the river Euphrates, even unto the 'hinder sea shall be your border.

1 That is, western.

originality of vi. 6-9), and wants v. 21, which is repeated from other passages. See iv. 40, vi. 2, xi. 9. In v. 19 read with Sam., LXX, in the house. Vv. 18-21 break the connection: v. 22 follows naturally on v. 17.

21. at the days of the housest above the earth] Not repeated in Deut; the phrase is equivalent to for ever, ep. S. Isxxix, 29; Joh xiv, 12. The eternity of the heavens was self-evident to primitive Israel, and for long it appeared that they could be shaken only by the appearance of the property of the shaken only by the appearance of the property of the passing wave both of heaven and earth.

Repetitions of previous verses: diligently keep all this commandment, v. 31, vi. 17 (the commandments), vi. 1, this is the commandment; to love, vi. 5; to walk, x. 12; to cleave, x. 20. To I command you, Sam., LXX add to-day.

23. drive out] iv. 38.

possess nations greater, etc.] ix. 1, but Sg.

nohereon the sole of your foot shall tread] For the idiom see ii.
 j. Jos. i. 3.
 from the wilderness, and Lebanon] Jos. i. 4; perhaps we should read

and unto Lebanon (Grätz, Dillm, and others).

and from the river, the river Euphrates | See on i. 7.

unto the hinder sea] i.e. according to the Semitic orientation, the western sea, the Mediterranean. These limits are, of course, ideal, but observe how the promise is limited by the words every place whereon the sole of your foot shall tread.

25 There shall no man be able to stand before you: the LORD your God shall lay the fear of you and the dread of you upon all the land that ye shall tread upon, as he hath spoken unto you.

26 Behold, I set before you this day a blessing and a curse; 27 the blessing, if ye shall hearken unto the commandment of 28 the Lors your God, which I command you this day: and the curse, if ye shall not hearken unto the commandments of the Lors your God, but turn-aside out of the way which I command you this day, to go after other gods, which ye have not known.

29 And it shall come to pass, when the LORD thy God shall bring thee into the land whither thou goest to possess it, that thou shalt set the blessing upon mount Gerizim, and 30 the curse upon mount Ebal. Are they not beyond Jordan,

25. There shall no man, etc.] So vii. 24, but Sg. the fear of you and the dread of you So ii. 25, but Sg.

26—28. The summing up and clinching of the whole discourse, v.—xi.: a blessing to Israel if they obey the commandments of God, a curse if they do not obey but turn after other gods. Cp. xxx. 1, as here, blessing and curre; 15, 19, life and death, good and evil.

27. if ye shall hearken, etc.] See vii. 12, Pl.; xv. 5, xxviii. 13, Sg.

28. turn aside | See v. 16, ix. 12, 16, xiii. 5, xxxi. 29. to go after other gods | vi. 14.

which ye have not known] See above on vii. 9, viii. 3.

29—30. A return to the Sg. form of address, with phrases peculiar to that form (see vi. 10, vii. 1). Whether it is original here, or dependent on xxvii. 12f. (cp. Jos. viii. 32f.), is doubtful.

29. shall bring thee unto the land, etc. | So vii. 1, q.v.

the bissing nyön meant Gerisin, and the curre nyon meant Ebal The two most prominent tills on the Western Range, whether seen from the Mediterranean or from the E. of Jordan, on either side of what is not only the natural centre of Western Palestine, but the part most open to approach from E. Palestine. See the present writer's WGHL, ch. V11, and pp. 33f. B. Geritin lies to the S., or according to Semitic orientation, the right hand and lucky quarter of the heavens; Elad on the N., the left or sinister quarter. But the visitor to the locality will also be struck by the sympathy between our verse and the concertain, the mount of blessing dis the more fertile; the open fine of 'Ebal, the mount of lessing dis the more fertile; the open fine of 'Ebal, the mount of curse, much the more bare. 30. A geographical gloss similar to those in i. 2, and in chs. ii. iii.

and introduced by are they not, as iii. 11.

beyond fordan True to the speaker's position on the E. of Jordan,

behind the way of the going down of the sun, in the land of the Canaanites which dwell in the Arabah, over against

so iii. 20, 25. Contrast, as untrue to the speaker's position, iii. 8 (part of Moses' speech), i. 1, 5, iv. 46, 47, 49 (all titles), and iv. 41 (a

historical fragment).

behind the 'coay of the going down of the una] Of doubfall meaning. Behind is, of course, wat of (according to the orientation alluded to above). But what is the way? It has been understood by most as the great road traversing Wettern Palestine from N. to S., to the immediate west of which the two mountains lie (Dillim, who quotes Ritter, Erdhunde von Azine, XV. 1685. E. Goge, of Pal. IV. 293 ff., Driver, Marti). Steuern, proposes, by the addition of one letter, to read weat of it, i.e. the profund, and to translate the rest in the direction of the monthing; cp. the LNX brists obbs (not 586) benjar Splaw behind eletternomies efficient. Such returnations in uncharacteristic of the destreamonic efficient.

in the land of the Canaanites | Not D's usual name for the inhabi-

tants of the land; see on i. 7.

tohich dwell in the Arabah See on i. 1: the Jordan valley, not relevant to the position of 'Ebal and Gerizim. The whole clause is very probably a still later addition, especially as the following clause connects naturally with that position. So, too, the Massoretic punctuation of the text implies.

over against Gileal, beside the oaks of Moreh | The Gileal, i.e. stonecircle. There were several places of this name W. of Jordan and still marked by Arabic forms of it (see 'Gilgal' in E.B. by the present writer): (1) One was the Gilgal near Jericho, and with this certain Rabbis, followed by Eusebius, Jerome, and a constant Christian tradition, have identified the Gilgal of our text. So, too, a number of modern commentators. Others, changing the punctuation, refer the words over against the Gileal to the Canaanites which dwell in the 'Arabah. (2) A second Gilgal lay on the Western Range above Bethel (2 Kgs ii. 1-8) and has been identified with the present Tiliilyeh seven miles N. of Bethel, which, though actually lower than Bethel, stands on a hill so bold and isolated that the phrase to go down thence to Bethel would not be inappropriate. This also has been identified with the Gilgal of our text, yet it is at a good distance from Gerizim and 'Ebal, and stands in no definite relation to them. (3) Dillmann supposed some Gilgal near Shechem, and his hypothesis has been justified by the discovery of the name Juleijil (Ar. dimin. of Gilgal) on the plain one mile E. of the foot of Gerizim and 24 miles SE. of Shechem. This suits the data of our passage (including the following oaks or terebinths of Moreh), and its claims have been defended in detail by Schlatter (Zur Topogr, u. Gesch. Palästinas, 246 ff.) and accepted by Buhl (Pal. 202 ff.); ep. the present writer in Critical Review, Oct. 1895, 346 ff., and art. 'Gilgal' in E. B.; and Driver, Deut. ard ed. (1901), p. xxi. In 1901 the present writer visited Juleijil, and a thorough examination of the site convinced 31 Gilgal, beside the ¹oaks of Moreh? For ye are to pass over Jordan to go in to possess the land which the Lorn your God giveth you, and ye shall possess it, and dwell therein.
32 And ye shall observe to do all the statutes and the judgements which I set before you this day.

1 Or, terebinths

him that it is the Gligal of our text. A hill, some two hundred feet highries from the Makhneh plain just opposite the valley between Gerizim and 'Elal. The trace of a broad winding road leads to the summit, which is covered with ancient remains, including those of a large stonecircle composed of huge blocks. There is no more suitable site for a sanctuary in all W. Palestine. C. De, G. Höksher, ZDPP, XXXIII. 1021.

when the east of Moroll Rend, with Sami, and LXX, Assauch. The case or retrobute of Morol. Rend, with Sami, and LXX, Assauch. The case or retrobute of Morol. In Revealer, that us lack to Abram, who found it here by Sheehem and built an altar, Gen, xii, 6 (I), from which the above mention of the Cananaines (it is 1's word for the inhabitants of the land) may have been derived by the annotating editor. On trees, as impressing especially the nomads of the treeless desert with their speaking and oracular powers, see on xii, 2 and the present writer's Early Polary of Irand, xi E.

31—32. Resumption of the Pl. form of address; either an editorial addition to mark the transition to the actual laws which begin with xii. 1, or the close of an original introduction, in the Pl., to the Code. The former is the more probable as the vv. are compounded of phrases characteristic both of the Sg. and the Pl. forms of address.

31. For ye are about to pass over fordan] A Pl. phrase; see on iv. 14, vi. 1.
to yo in to possess the land Mainly a Sg. phrase; see on vi. 1.

which the LORD your God is about to give you.

32. and ye shall observe to do] v. 32, etc.

all the statutes and the judgements | See on v. 31.

C. CHS. XII.—XXVI. THE STATUTES AND JUDGEMENTS.

The Deuteronomic Code, of which all the rest of the book is the religious and historical introduction and enforcement, consists of some seventy separate laws, connected by and mingled with exhorations and religious formulas in a style similar to that of the introductory discourses. The laws fall into four divisions of unequal size, consisting of smaller groups distinguished by their separate subjects: the whole upon a manifest plan of arrangement which however is not perfectly observed but is broken at several points by the appearance of single laws or small groups of laws out of their proper relation. This will be seen from the following conspectus:—

- ,.			
xii. 1		de	Title to the whole Co
xii. 2-xvi. 17	Worship	nstitutions and	Laws of Religious I
21-vvii 2			
xii. 2-28	s)	(in several for	Of the One Altar
Other	Vorship of C	Rites and the	Against Heathen
			Gods
os xvi. 21-xvii. 7	with perhap		
xiv. I, 2			Against Rites for t
3-21	C	clean Beasts, e	Of Clean and Unc
22-29			Of Tithes
		or Release	Of the Remittance
-11).	reditors (1-	and foreign	(1) for Israelite
		(12-18)	(2) for slaves
19-23			Of Firstlings
aber-	Weeks, Ta	asts: Passover	Of the Three Fer
xvi. 1-17			nacles
21, 22		and Massebôt	Against 'Asherim
xvii. ı		Sacrifices	Against Blemished
2-7	ods		Against Worshipp
	. 29-xiii.	ee see above x	For the last thre
-20, xvii. 8-xviii.	xvi. 18-	uthority	Laws of Offices of A Of Judges and Jus Of Judges of Final
xvi. 18-20		tice	Of Judges and Jus
xvii. 8-13		Appeal	Of Judges of Final
14-20	*	11	Of the King
xviii. 1—8			Of Priests, Leviter
	re Anoure	ntrast to Divir	Of Prophets (in co
			Laws mainly on Cri
	aver	a for the Man	Of Cities of Refug
	ayer	I andmode	Against Removing
14		Landmarks	Of Witnesses
15-21		W	Of the Conduct of
xxi. 1—9	a Murder	sponsibility for	Of Communal Res
10-14	ve	a remale Cap	Of Marriage with
15-17		e Firstborn	Of the Right of th Of Disobedient Sc
		ons	Of Disobedient Sc
22, 23		actors	Of Hanged Malefa
	ections:-	s in various di	Of Humane Dutie
dere- pro-	bird (6, 7);	lost property ing the mother with parapets	
	ex (5); mix		Against Various N Wearing clothes of seeds (a), a
12		Garments	Of Tassels on the
13-30	ity :	ases of Uncha	Of Procedure in C
erers	-21); adulte	a bride (13-	Charges against

¹ From this to the end of ch. xxiii. the verses are numbered one more in the Heb. text, in which xxiii. 1 is the Eng. xxii. 30.



IV.

'	DECIERONOMI AII.	
	discovered in the act (2); intercourse with a betrothed virgin with (2,4) for without (1,5), for consent; with a virgin no betrothed (28,1); with a father's wife (20). Of Right to Enter the Congregation:— Denied to the mutilated (1), the illegitimate (2), Ammonites and Moabites (3—6); but granted to third generation of Edomies and Egyptians	xxiii. 1—8
	(7 f.)	
	Of Ritual Cleanness in the Camp	9-14
	Of Runaway Slaves	15, 16
	Against Hierodules	17, 18
	Against Exaction of Interest from Israelites	19, 20
	Of Vows	21-23
	Of Use at Need of Others' Fruits and Corn	24, 25
	Of Re-marriage after Divorce	xxiv. 1-4
	Of Equity and Humanity in various directions:-	xxiv. 5-
		XXV. 4
	Exemption of newly-married from war-service (xxiv. 5.) a gainst taking in pledge the necessaries of life (6, 10-13, 17 f.), stealing Israelites for slaves (7), neglect of leprosy (8 f.), withholding wages (14 f.), putting the fathers, to death for the children or rice versal (16), and inequity to strangers, fatherless, and widows (17 f.); on leaving for these parts of	
	the harvest (19-22); against excessive punishment (xxv. 1-3), and muzzling the labouring ox (4)	
	Of Levirate Marriage	xxv. 5-10
	Of Reckless Assault	11, 12
	Against Divers Weights and Measures	13-16
	On 'Amalek	17-19
	Laws of Ritual Procedure with Proper Prayers	xxvi. 1-15
	In Offering First Fruits	xxvi. I—II
	In Distributing Tithes	12-15
	Concluding Exhortation	16-19
		.0 .9

Within this Code the laws are never called Toroth (applied in the Code only to the oral directions of the priests, xvii. 11, xxiv. 8) but always Hukkim and Mishpatim, Statutes and Judgements. If we may distinguish these terms, as on the one hand decrees of religion, worship, and the theocratic constitution, and on the other civil and criminal laws and sentences with their relevant procedures (cp. debarim and mishpatim, Ex. xx. 22-xxiii. 33, Driver, p. 202), then to such a distinction the above arrangement roughly conforms. For of its four main divisions I, II and IV are of the former class, but III of the latter.

As in the Decalogue and the law-book of E, Ex. xx. 22-xxiii., the laws of religion and worship come first because of their sacred character, but also for the further reason, peculiar to D, that the law of the One Altar with which they open is the practical corollary to D's fundamental doctrine of the Unity of Israel's God (see on xii. 2-28). Accordingly this law is immediately followed by laws against heathen rites and seductions to the worship of other gods, xii. 29-xiii., among which the similar laws, xvi. 21-xvii. 7, seem originally to have stood. law of clean and unclean foods, xiv. 3-21, based on religious grounds, falls naturally into this group (though it may be a later addition); and the rest of the division, xiv. 22-xvi. 17, also deals with religious practices and institutions. The Second place is naturally assigned to offices of various authority in the theocracy, xvi. 18-20, xvii. 8-xviii. The Third division, xix .- xxv., enforces the duties of the individuals of the commonwealth in their family, civic, and military relations; and deals with crimes against these social interests and the relevant procedures. In the Fourth, xxvi., more detailed ritual is enjoined with regard to two of the offerings commanded under the first group.

The chief interruptions in the plan of the Code, which is so manifest throughout, are the separation of the religious laws, svi. 12.—svii. 7, sxiii. 1–8, 17,1 and xxiv. from Division I to which by their subjects they properly belong. But farther in Division III the laws on marriage in xiv. 1–4, and lone in xxv. ξ —10; as are those on murler, xix. 1–13, xix. 1–2, axiii. 9–14, xxiv. 5, and the subordinate groups on equity and humanity, xxiii. 9–14, xxiv. 5, and the subordinate groups on equity and humanity, xxiii. 9–14, xxiv. 5, and the subordinate groups on equity and humanity, xxiii. 1–4, 6–8, xxiv. 5, and the subordinate groups on the repropulse are curious interruptions and isolations; that on humanity, xxii. 1–4, 6–8, xxiv. 5, anglant wearing the clothes of the other zeas, which below the xxiv. 5, against wearing the clothes of the other zeas, which Altogether the Code transgresses its own prohibition of the confusion of things naturally diverse. 'Moss sometimes mixes together precepts

respecting different things' (Calvin on Ex. xxiii. 19).

Sometimes this disorder is necessitated by the overlapping or crossing of the subjects of various laws; sometimes, as in the separation of xvi. 21-xvii. 7 from xii. 29-xiii., it may be due to the carelessness of a copyist. Other possible causes are the gradual growth of the Code by the addition of laws instituted or adopted later than its original form, and the compilation of the whole Code from separate smaller Codes (as in the case of the Code of E; see Driver's Exod. 202 ff.). Of the former cause ch. xxvi. may be an illustration. But while gradual additions may have been made from time to time to the Code, the chief impression which the above list makes on the mind is that the whole Code, as it stands, is a compilation from various sources. And this impression is corroborated by the facts that several of the laws appear in more than one form-especially the first and fundamental law of the One Altar, but cp. also the Laws on the Passover and the Priests-and that some of these doublets are distinguished by being couched in different forms of address, Sg. and Pl. Thus the same phenomena as those which betray a plurality of sources in the introductory discourses, i.-xi., persist in the Code, xii.-xxvi., and prove the composite character of even

12 These are the statutes and the judgements, which ye shall observe to do in the land which the LORD, the God of thy fathers, hath given thee to possess it, all the days that ye

this the central portion of the Book of Deuteronomy. The proofs will

be given in the detailed notes.

The bulk of the laws are based either on those of E and (in fewer cases) of J, or upon the consuetudinary laws of which the Codes of E and I are the other precipitates. But their chief distinction from the Codes of E and J is that the latter have no counterpart to the law of the One Altar in D. On the contrary they imply that Israel may sacrifice to their God at many altars, wheresoever He records His Name (cp. Chapman, Introd. to Pent. 131 ff., and Driver, Exod. 207 f.). The law of the One Altar necessitated many other differences between the Code of D and the earlier legislation; for example in permitting at a distance from the One Altar the slaughter and eating of domestic beasts without ritual; in the laws on Tithes and Firstlings; and most of all in the institution of the Cities of Refuge, for which no equivalent was required in the earlier legislation, since according to this the man who slew his brother accidentally might find asylum at any of the many altars which it sanctions. On the details of the relation of D's laws to those of H and P see the notes below; here it need only be said that the laws of H and P give proof of belonging to a later stage than D's in the social and ecclesiastical development of Israel; and that in particular many of their differences from D's are due to the increased influence of the priesthood, its separation from the general body of the Levites, and its encroachment upon their rights and the rights of the lay worshippers.

CH. XII. 1. THE TITLE TO THE CODE.

Like some other titles this is mixed of the Sg. and Pl. forms of address. Sam. confirms the Heb. text. The LXX harmonising gives Pl. throughout.

These are the statutes and the judgements] As in vi. 1 but minus
the Commandment or Charge (Miswah) because this, the introductory
enforcement of the religious principles on which the laws are based,
is now finished.

observe to do] See on iv. 6, v. 1. God of thy fathers] See on vi. 3.

all the days, etc.] Cp. iv. 9, 10, xxxi. 13.

 FIRST DIVISION OF THE LAWS: ON WORSHIP AND KELIGIOUS INSTITUTIONS—xii. 2—xvi. 17, xvi. 21—xvii. 7.

Some 16 laws occupying because of their subject the premier place in the Code.

2-28. THE LAW OF THE ONE ALTAR AND ITS COROLLARY.

As we have seen the law of One Sanctuary for Israel was, in the circumstances of that people in the 7th century, an inevitable consequence from the prophetic proclamation of One God for Israel. For the practice of worshipping Him at many shrines, sanctioned by Himself in the earlier period of Israel's settlement, had, especially as many of the sites chosen were those of the Canaanite worship of local Ba'alim, tended to break up the people's belief in His Unity. He became to their minds many Jehovah's (see above on vi. 4); and at the same time their conceptions of Him were degraded by the confusion of His attributes with those of the deities to whose shrines He had succeeded. Therefore as the Unity of Jehovah and His ethical character are the burden of the Miswah or Charge introductory to the Code it is appropriate that the first of the laws should be that abolishing the custom of sacrifice at many sanctuaries and limiting His ritual to a single altar. Note, too, how this is immediately followed by a warning against the worship of other gods (vv. 20-31); and that the next laws (xii, 32xiii.) deal with those who entice, or are enticed, to that worship. Nothing could more clearly show how urgently the concentration of the worship of Jehovah was required in the interest of faith in His Unity and in His spiritual nature. How thoroughly such a law contradicts the earlier legislation about altars, as well as the divinely sanctioned practice of sacrifice in Israel after the settlement; and how far it is incompatible with the corresponding laws in P, will appear in the notes.

The chapter has some obvious editorial insertions disturbing the connection (vv. 3, 15, 16, 32); but there are besides repetitions of the central injunction of the law in the same or similar phraseology and introduced or followed by different reasons for it. A careful analysis shows that these are not due to the discursiveness of one writer, but are statements of the same law from different writers of the same religious school. This conclusion is confirmed by the prevalence in vv. 2-12 of the Pl. and in vv. 13-28 of the Sg. form of address. But even within vv. 2-12 there is a double statement of the central injunction; on the other hand in vv. 13-28 the repetitions are either clearly editorial insertions, or due to the necessity of repeating the central injunction of the law in a practical corollary permitting the non-sacrificial enjoyment of flesh to Israelites, too far from the One Altar to be able regularly to consecrate it there. Thus we may distinguish three statements or editions of the law, 1st pp. 2-7 Pl.: and vv. 8-12 Pl.; 3rd vv. 13-19 Sg., with the practical corollary or supplement to the law, vv. 20-27, the whole enforced by a general exhortation in v. 28. All three statements have much in common: defining the One Sanctuary as the place which Jehovah your (or thy) God shall choose to put His name there (1st and 3rd) or cause His name to dwell there (2nd); detailing the same list of sacrifices and offerings which are to be brought (1st and 2nd) or offered (3rd which has also 2 live upon the earth. Ye shall surely destroy all the places, wherein the nations which ye shall possess served their gods,

take and go), but with some variations, for while all have burn offerings, vows, tithes, contributions (A.V. and R.V. heave offerings), choices vows, the grd defines the tithes to be in kind, the 1st and grd add freewill offerings and firstlings and the grd speaks of holy things. The variations in the descriptions of how the feests are to be enjoyed and who are to enjoy them are just such as might be made by different but sympathetic writers with the same aim. But all three give different prefaces to the law, the first two containing different reasons for it. As it is uncertain whether we have these three readings of the law complete, it is impossible to say which of them is the earlier. It is natural to suppose priority for the Sg, satement; but as they stand the 1st is the suppose priority for the Sg, satement; but as they stand the 1st is the added to it the practical corollary of permission for the non-sacrificial endowment of flesh.

2-7. FIRST STATEMENT OF THE LAW OF THE ONE ALTAR.

In the Pl. address, with one later insertion, r. j., and possibly another \$6 \circ the rest is a unity. It appropriately opens with the command to destroy all the places at which the nations worship, whom Israel is about to disposses; for it was the use of these sanctuaries for the worship of Jehovah and the consequent confusion of Him with the contamite dieties that produced the cuils from which the only practical escape was by concentrating His worship. The preface to this first from of the law differs from that to the second which is also Pl.

2. surely destroy] A form of the vb. used only with Pl. address, xi. 4. xii. 2. 3. Another form of the same vb. is used both with Sg.

and Pl., vii. 24, viii. 20, etc.

all the places.] The Heb. makkm, lit. place of standing up but used in the widest sense of spot or kearling, is to be understood throughout this ch. as holy or sacred place (ep. Gen. xii. 6, the makkin of Medel of Medel

wherein the nations which ye are to dispossess worshipped their gods] On dispossess see ix. 1. Worshipped or have worshipped may be a sign of the writer's own time when the Canaanites were no more; yet

it is not incompatible with the standpoint of the speaker.

upon the high mountains, and upon the hills, and under

upon the high mountains, and upon the hills, and under every green tree A frequent combination in O.T. The part of a hill selected for a shrine was not the top but either one of the lower promontories (so, and not tops, in Hos. iv. 13; Ezek. vi. 13), or a hollow below the summit or between two summits (e.g. the high-place at Gezer discovered by Mr Macalister) within reach of water. Green can hardly be the meaning of the Heb. ratanan, which is either luxuriant, branching and overshadowing, or mobile and wavy, or full of sound; as variously appears from the forms of the same root in Ar. (=loose, with much motion, quickly changing, but also redundant and bulging), from the LXX translations of the Heb. (leafy, overshadowing, and the like), and from such passages as Hos. iv. 13 (they sacrifice under oaks, poplars, and terebinths, for their shade is good), Ezek. vi. 13 (under every spreading tree and thick oak), xx, 28 (every thick tree), 'The luxury of the trees' (Bacon), 'her leafy arms with such extent were spread' (Dryden). The presence of a god was suggested not merely by the power of life manifest in the greenness of the tree (W. R. Smith, Rel. Sem. 173) nor only by its conspicuousness in the landscape and the shade it gave from a glowing atmosphere, but also by the mobility (cp. the N.H. ra'al, to wave, and the Syr. rula, shaking) and the rustling of the tree which suggested the movement or speech of the deity; the sound of a marching in the tops of the mulberry trees ... Jehovah gone forth before thee (2 Sam. v. 24), the sound of Jehovah God walking in the garden in the wind (Gen. iii. 8), and terebinths of Moreh, i.e. Revealer, oracle-giver (xi. 30; Gen. xii, 6). It is among these ideas of luxuriance, shade, mobility and sound that the meaning of ra'dnan is to be found. That it cannot mean green is also proved by its application to oil, Ps. xcii, 10 (11), where LXX renders it by rich.

These sires, naturally sympathetic to worship, were used by the Semices as by other Sec. On moration, or especially places of hours of ordering, see W. R. Smith, Bellieved by modern Araba to be inhabited by spirits, Muni. Ethn. Beriekel, 39; I believed by modern Araba to be inhabited by spirits, Muni. Ethn. Beriekel, 39; I believed by modern Araba to be inhabited by spirits, Muni. Ethn. Beriekel, 39; I believed by the second of the search of the search of the second of the search of the

Digitized by Google

.

3 every green tree: and ye shall break down their altars, and dash in pieces their 'pillars, and burn their Asherim with fire; and ye shall hew down the graven images of their gods; and ye shall destroy their name out of that place.

1 Or, obelisks

was bidden to go to Carmel, and build there an altar to Jehovah (xviii, 10 f., 22), and again went to Horeb the Mount of God (xix, 8 ff.). Deut, itself repeats the account of Moses' intercourse with Jehovah on the Mount (ix., x.) and contains (xxvii. 4 ff., partly from E?) the command to put up stones inscribed with the Law and an altar upon Mt Ebal. Therefore down at least to the building of the Temple in Jerusalem, it was the custom in Judah and Benjamin to worship Jehovah on such high places as those at which the Canaanites worshipped their gods, and this custom was continued in N. Israel by Elijah. By the 8th century Israel appears to have promiscuously adopted the Canaanite shrines, and the prophets complain of their apostasy and licentious rites on the headlands of the mountains and on the hills and under every spreading tree with special mention of eaks, poplars, and terebinths and predict the futility and disappointment of their trust in such places (Hos. iv. 12 f.; Is. i. 29; Jer. ii. 20, iii. 6, 8, 13, 23, xvii. 1 f.; Ezek. vi. 13, xvii. 5 f. xx. 28; 'lsai.' Ivii. 5, Ixv. 7). The prophets regard all this as a *acchaiding from the pure worship of earlier times. Israel ought to have known better than sink to such traitorous and degrading practices. But the prophets appeal to no law on the subject and it is clear that their objections to sites so natural for worship, and used by the Patriarchs and leaders of Israel with the sanction of Israel's God, is due both to the emergence with prophecy of a purer religion and to the experience throughout the intervening centuries of the evil effect on Israel of the associations of these sites with the immoral practices of the Canaanites and of the trust in purely material objects which they engendered in the worshippers. Nothing could overcome these evils except the destruction of the high places and the concentration of the worship of Jehovah upon one altar. Hence the rise of D's law, clearly unknown to the Judges, Prophets, and Kings of Israel at least down to Solomon and also to Elijah. The law is therefore the result of the teaching of the prophets of the 8th century; but this conclusion does not preclude the possibility of earlier sporadic attempts, especially in Judah, to do away with the heathen sanctuaries (see Introd. \$ 11).

 Destruction of altars, and other sacred objects in the Canaanite places. Similarly viii. 5; cp. Ex. xxxiv. 13. But here the verse is evidently a later intrusion; it breaks the connection between 17. 2 and 4. break dozen! Rather, tear down; in O.T. of altars, high places,

walls.

altars] Lit. positions for slanghter and sacrifice. See Driver on
Ex. xx. 24.

pillars...Asherim] For these see on xvi. 21, 22. The verbs burn and hew down ought probably to be transposed (Grätz), cp. LXX and vii. 8, 28.

graven images of their gods] Apparently distinct from the pillars and 'shertm. Heb. pastl as in vii. 5, 28 (also in Hos. and Mic.) another form of peed, iv. 16, 23, 25, v. 8

and destroy their name out of that place] \(\tilde{\text{ii}}\) \(24\), with another form of the same vb.; see on \(\text{iv}\). \(25\) \(\text{To destroy the worship of a god is to prevent his manifestation to men, so that it is as if he ceased to he. Cp. the analogy in Israel, when Moses pleads that Jehovah will not destroy for His name's sales; if they perish, who will perpetuate His name, i.e. His worship, His revelation, Himself? See on \(\text{iv}\), \(45\).

Ye shall not do so unto the LORD your God. But unto the place which the LORD your God shall choose out of all your tribes to put his name there, even unto his habitation shall ve seek, and thither thou shalt come; and thither ve shall 6

Ye shall not do so unto the LORD your God | Clearly this follows

not the preceding verse but v. 2.

5. the place which the LORD your God shall choose | Place, Sg., in contrast to all the places of v. 2. 'Iehovah chooses it (in contrast to the sanctuaries chosen by Israel themselves) for a sanctuary for Himself, as He has chosen the people that it may be holy to Him (cp. vii. 6). Hesis therefore no limited, local deity, tied to the soil, like the Ba'alim. He might have chosen another place out of all your tribes than lerusalem' (Bertholet). The phrase is D's regular description of the One Sanctuary: either alone, xii. 18, 26, xiv. 25, xv. 20, xvi. 7, 15, 16, xvii. 8, 10, xviii. 6, xxxi. 11; or with additions :- in one of thy tribes (xii. 14) = out of all your tribes (here LXX, in one of your cities); to put His name there, here v. 21, xiv. 24; to cause His name to dwell there, v. 11, xiv. 23, xvi. 2, 6, 11, xxvi. 2. All these except xii. 4, 11 are in the Sg. address. The only other passage in the Hex. in which the phrase occurs is the deuteronomic Josh. ix. 27. In E, Ex. xx. 24, the parallel but contradictory phrase is in every place where I record my name (see Driver's note). For shall choose Sam. has curiously hath chosen, abandoning the standpoint of the speaker, assumed by the Heb. text, for that of the writer. The place is of course Ierusalem (co. 1 Kgs viii. 44, 48 and other deuteronomic passages in Kings). The naming of the place would not be compatible with the standpoint of the speaker, and was superfluous to the generation for whom D wrote.

to put his name there | For other instances of the phrase in D and its alternative; cause his name to dwell there, see previous note. The name of God is just God Himself as manifested to men. So E, Ex. xxiii. 21, of the angel sent by Him before Israel: my name is in him; and I, Ex. xxxiii. 19, of the moral nature of Israel's God: I will make all my goodness pass before thee and will proclaim the name of Jehovah before thee. His sanctuary is the place of Jehovah's name (Is. xviii. 7) because there He reveals Himself to Israel; to Jerusalem the nations shall gather to the name of Jehovah (Jer. iii. 17); cp. the deuteronomic phrase to build an house to the name of Jehovah (2 Sam. vii. 13; 1 Kgs iii. 2, v. 3, 5 (17, 19), viii. 16-20, 44, 48.

even unto his habitation] So Heb.; but LXX (as in v. 11), to cause it to dwell. If this reading be adopted the following vb. must refer back to the words, to the place, at the beginning of the verse.

shall ye seek] A technical term for resort to the Deity or his shrine: 7. 30, after other gods (but with sense of enquiring); J, Gen. xxv. 22, to Jehovah; Am. v. 5, to Bethel. In iv. 20 the sense is not technical but has a moral force. For another meaning of the same vb. see xi, 12. and thither thou shall come The only Sg. phrase in this statement

11-2

bring your burnt offerings, and your sacrifices, and your tithes, and the heave offering of your hand, and your vows,

of the law: but either delete thou shalt come with LXX B. or read ve

shall come with Sam., LXX A and other codd, and Luc.

 Thither all sacrifices and sacred dues are to be brought; for variants in the other statements of the law see vv. 11, 13, 17, 27.

your burnt offerings and your sacrifices] 'Oloth and abahim: the two most ordinary forms of animal sacrifice, vv. 11, 27; Ex. x. 25 (J) and xviii. 12 (E), but in Ex. xx. 24 (E), 'oloth and shelamim. The 'ôlah, what goes up, either upon the altar or in smoke to heaven, was the whole victim (except the hide) and was wholly consumed (hence the LXX, ολοκαύτωμα, Vg. holocaustum); the worshippers took no part of it. The zebah, lit. the slaughtering-at first all slaughter of domestic animals was sacrificial-was the more ancient and common form of sacrifice, of which the blood was poured out and the fat burned as the Deity's portion, certain other parts were given to the priest as his due (see on Frumah below) and the rest eaten by the worshippers. In early Israel the zebah is mentioned along with the minhah (lit, gift), the cereal or 'meat' offering (1 Sam. iii. 14, xxvi. 19). The shelem: R.V. peace offering (after the LXX), according to others thank offering, is more probably, because of its name (from shillem, to fulfil or discharge) and because of its use (instead of zebah) for sacrifices in general, fulfilment, discharge, i.e. of vows, etc. Yet in this case the

form shillum would be more natural. See on xxvii. 7.

These ordinary sterifices, then, which the older law in E directs
shall be made on an altar in every place where jehovah shall record His
name (Ex. xx. 24), must, according to D, be brought to the One Altar.
The necessary corollary is not given in this first statement of the law

but follows in the third, vv. 15 f., 20 ff.

your tithes] or tenths: at first used generally in Eng.—'every tithe soul,' 'the tithe of a hair' (Shakespeare)—but like the Scots 'tiends' generally limited to taxes of one-tenth especially in kind; in D of corn, wine and oil, v. 17, xiv. 23, of the increase of thy seed, xiv. 22, of the increase of each third year, xiv. 28, xxv. 12. See further on these

passages.

the home effecting of your hand] Heb. Perunah from herin, to raive, to a tive, not as the Eng. translation suggests that which is elevated tritually before the altar; but thit which is lifted off or and of a greater mass, assurtanty. In D before which it does not peculy only here and re: 11, 17. Probably it is here intended to cover the firstfiritis of corn, wine, ol and wood, vivi. 4, of all the fruit of thy ground, xxvi. 2 (on which is the result of the probably the probably the probably the first of the ground, xxvi. 2 (on which is the probably the probab

and your freewill offerings, and the firstlings of your herd and of your flock: and there ye shall eat before the LORD 7 your God, and ye shall rejoice in all that ye put your hand

reserved for priests and Levites, Ezek. xlv. 1, 6f.; of the portions for priests lifted off the sacrificial victims, Lev. vii. 14; Ex. xxix. 27f. Contribution is therefore the Eng. word which comes nearest to it, but is not satisfactory! Of your hand: it is not to be abstracted by an official but must be a direct and personal gift of the worshipper.

your vowe? Things toward to God or to the sanctuary in connection with prayers, for deliverance from some pressing danger or the success of an enterprise, see further on xxiii. 21—23, (23—44), and here note only the development from the simple directions of D to the elaborate and discriminating laws of P on the same subject, Lev. xxxii. 1—39; Num. xxx. further in the Mishan tractate Vaderium); and the frash practised with vows, Mal. i. 14, and the casuistry, Matt. xv. 4.6; Mx vii. 626.

your freewill offerings] Sacrifices you are moved to make without previous promise or legal injunction.

firstlings of your herd and of your flock] See on xv. 19-23.

7. and there ye shall eat before the LORD your God] i.e. sacra-

mentally; for this eating is as much a part of the religious rite as the offering of certain portions of the victim on the allar. Byfore pour God (vo. 12, 18, xiv. 23, 46, etc.), in His presence; there is no statement that the feast was shared with Him, though of course the burning of the fat on the altar meant that He shared it; and there can be no doubt that this physical communion of the delty and his worshippers was the original meaning of such sacrifices (see W. R. Smith, Rot. Som. 207fi.). The excepted in D. the statement of any such tide was, however, to be

and pe thall rejoice in all that pe put your hand unto! Rejoic, so simply, xiv. 50; federe febrouch thy God, xiv. 11, xxvii. 7; rejoic, so in the feast, xvi. 14; be altogether jopful, xvi. 15; in all the good which febrouch thy God hand given then, xvv. 15; in all the ministon or endeather of your hand, vi. 16, xvi. 16, xxiii. 10 (31); cp. xxviii. 5 1, 20; blessing; of your hand, vi. 16, xvi. 10, xxiii. 10 (31); cp. xxviii. 5 1, 20; blessing; i. 7, xiv. 10, xxii. 10 and yaronymous with the two-for of thy hand fig. 7, xiv. 20, xvi. 15; xxiv. 10, xxviii. 12, xxx. 9). The sacrament was thus an eucharist; a lankagiving for the success of the year's toil.

It has been rightly emphasised (Steuern. and Berth.) that in so elaborate a list of offerings, apparently meant to be complete, there is no mention of the sin and guilt offerings which are enforced in P; these, therefore, were unknown, or disregarded, by the deuteronomists. The worship to which Israel is commanded in D is, in spite of D's rigorous ethical teaching and sense of Israel's sins, one only of joyous

¹ Transfer or conveyance is also possible.



with P.

unto, ye and your households, wherein the LORD thy God 8 hath blessed thee. Ye shall not do after all the things that we do here this day, every man whatsoever is right in his 9 own eyes: for ye are not as yet come to the rest and to the

communion with Jehovah and thankfulness for the material blessings

which He annually provides.

ye and your households] The family character of the worship is frequently emphasised by D and is very striking in view of his centralisation of Israel's worship. Here again there is a contrast

8-12. SECOND STATEMENT OF THE LAW OF THE SINGLE SANCTUARY.

With a different preface from the first, contrasting Israel's duty after settlement to concentrate on the one altar, not with the practice of the Canaanites, but with that of Israel itself in the time of the wanderings for the rest substantially the same as the first statement, and like it in

for the rest substantianty the same as the irist statement, and like it in the Pl. address, with one doubtful transition to Sg.: see on v. 9.

8. Ye shall not do after all the things that we do here this day! That is in the time of Moses the speaker, and in Moab; but with reference (as the following vn. indicate) to the ritual practice of Israel during the whole forty wears preceding their settlement. There may, however,

be also here a reflection of the religious practice of the writer's own time (Oettil).

every man whatsoever is right in his own eyes] So with regard to the multiplication of local shrines after the settlement in Canaan, Judg. xvii.

6, cp. xxi. 25.

b, ep. NX. 25.

But if Israel and even Moses—ov!—worshipped, where every man thoughly good, what are we to make of P's account of the institution of an other properties of the properties of P's account of the institution of and of P's rigorous and exact laws (e.g. Lev. wii) concerning the ritual? Obviously P either did not exist when D's law of the one alar was written, or was unknown to its author. Amos agrees with D. His challenge to Israel (v. 2s), did ye bring unto Mr surviyee and offering in the wildeness forly year? expects a negative answer in support of his polemic against all sacrifice. Jeremish's report of a word of God (vii. 22)! I spake not into your flather in the day that I brought them out of the land of Ecpt concerning hurst offering or and thought it continues to give expression to the essential contents of the deuteronomic covenant in deuteronomic language it is difficult to reconcile it with such a law as is now before use.

 for ye are not as yet come to the rest, etc.] The present irregular form of Israel's worship is excused by their unsettled, wandering condition. It was then inevitable, but if so what becomes of P's central inheritance, which the Lord thy God giveth thee. But to when ye go over Jordan, and dwell in the land which the Lord your God causeth you to inherit, and he giveth you rest from all your enemies round about, so that ye dwell in safety; then it shall come to pass that the place which the 11 Lord your God shall choose to cause his name to dwell there, thirher shall ye bring all that I command you; your burnt offerings, and your sacrifices, your tithes, and the heave offering of your hand, and all your choice vows which ye you unto the Lord: and ye shall rejoice before the Lord 12 your God, ye, and your sons, and your daughters, and your

sanctuary in the wilderness and his rigorous laws for the ritual? To the rest, I Kgs viii. 56 (deuteronomic); there the erection of the Temple marks the close of Israel's struggles for possession of the land: cp. v. 10 b.

the inheritance which the Long your God is about to give you] See on iv. 21. Heb. thy and thee. But probably your and you should be read with Sam, and some LXX codd, (most read our God giveth you). At the same time inheritance is selswhere used with passages in the Sg, address: if the Sg, be retained here the clause must be a later insertion. 10, when you over fortun! The usual phrase with the PL, see on

iii. 18. iv. 21; but ix. 1 is Sg.

causeth you to inherit] See on i. 38.

giveth you rest, etc.] See on v. 9.

11. See on vv. 5 f, where the expressions are the same or similar:

only cause his name to wheal there for put his name there's, 9,3 at II.

an about to command you (or, +14) freitings and freemild flerings
are constituted; and for vower there is choice wors, Heb. all the choice of
your record-ambiguous, and either only the choice of the theory you
a modification of the other, or the choice things, your vower. More
probable is the former. Of the contrary opinion is Bertholet.

12. See on r. 7: cat found there is here omitted; and pour household is defined as soun, daughters: houdnen and hombaudis, and the Levite within your gates. So v. 18, xvi. 11, 14 (4-teruger, following, and the Levite within your gates. So v. 18, xvi. 11, 14 (4-teruger, following, xvi. 14) (10-teruger) (10-teruger

menservants, and your maidservants, and the Levite that is within your gates, forsamuch as he hat no portion nor 13 inheritance with you. Take heed to thyself that thou offer 4 not thy burnt offerings in every place that thou sees: but in the place which the Lord shall choose in one of thy tribes, there thou shall offer thy burnt offerings, and there 15 thou shalt do all that I command thee. Notwithstanding thou mayest kill and eat flesh within all thy gates, after all the desire of thy soul, according to the blessing of the Lord thy God which he hath given thee: the unclean dath clean may eat thereof, as of the gazelle, and as of the hart. Only we shall not eat the blood; thou shalt poor it

13-19. THIRD STATEMENT OF THE LAW OF THE ONE SANCTUARY.

In the Sg. address and with phrases characteristic of that form. In substance much the same as the two previous statements, the 240ahin being curiously omitted from the list of offerings. V2. 13 f. are clearly a later insertion. We see from this statement how a law tended in the hands of the deuteronomists to grow both in content and form.

13. Take heed to thyself | See on vi. 12. hurnt offerings | 'Oloth alone without stahim. This may have been

the original form of the law. Contrast 22. 6 and 11.

and every thick tree and offered there, etc.

the original form of the law. Contrast vv. or and 11.

In every place that thou seest] Peculiar to this statement: i.e. every sucred place used as such by the Canaanites on the conspicuous positions described in v. 2. Thou seest, cp. Ezek. xx. 18, when I had brought them into the land. then they saw (or looked out for) every high hill

14. See on v. 5: here in one of thy tribes instead of out of all thy

10.16. Natwithstanding...Only] Both = Heb...rsk, used to introduce exceptions or qualifications to the laws, to times, and to more in the rest of the book (see on x. 15). On the contents of these verses were 20-21 which they anticipate, disturbing at the same time the list connection of 17 with 14 is clear. On these grounds vw. 18, 16 are generally taken as a later insertion. Note, too, the Pl. ye shell not an in 16. The Pl. does not occur in the rest of this statement of the law and may well be due to the hand that has made this addition; as so many of these sporadic changes of address are found in editorial due to harmonism. Confirms the Pl. here: the Sam. Sg. may be due to harmonism.

17. Direct continuation of 13, 14, completing the list of offerings to be brought to the one altar. On the contents see on vv. 6 and

out upon the earth as water. Thou mayest not eat within 17 by gates the tithe of thy corn, or of thy wine, or of thine oil, or the firstlings of thy herd or of thy flock, nor any of thy yows which thou vowest, or thy freewill-offerings, nor the heave offering of thine hand: but thou shalt eat them 18 before the Loxe thy God in the place which the Loxe thy God shall choose, thou, and thy son, and thy daughter, and thy manservant, and thy maidservant, and the Levite that is within thy gates: and thou shalt rejoice before the Loxe thy God in all that thou puttest thine hand unto. Take 19 heed to thyself that thou forsake not the Levite as long as thou livest upon thy land.

II: the phraseology is however, unlike theirs, characteristic of the Sg.

passages.

Thou mayest not] Heb., lit. thou shalt not be able: in the sense thou must or darest not only in Sg. passages: here, xvi. 5, xvii. 15, xxii. 3, or with he, xxii. 16, xxii. 19, 29, xxiv. 4.

within thy gates] Thy homestead or town of residence: used almost exclusively with Sg. (v. 14, xii. 17 f., 21, xiv. 21, 27; 28, 29, xv. 22, xvi. 11, 14, xvii. 8, xxiv. 14, xxvi. 12, xxxi. 12, cp. xxviii. 57). Only

one Pl. passage has it, xii. 12.

19. Take heed, etc.] See on vi. 12. thou forsake not the Levite, etc.] So xiv. 27.

20-28. PRACTICAL COROLLARY TO THE LAW OF THE

ONE ALTAR.

Originally among the Semites as among some other races all slaughter of domestic animals was sacramental¹; ep. the 16-b and Arab, word for altar, 1it. Jaughter-place (see on r. 3). But if this law was still to could only be enjoyed at it, and the lawful or 'deam' enjoyment of relath could only be enjoyed at it, and the lawful or 'deam' enjoyment of flesh became impossible to all who lived out of reach of the altar, compare the analogy in Hos is, 2f, where it is said that when learned are exited and cease to dwell in Jehovah's land, where alone sacrifice is legal for them, they must est unclaim food, and become polluted for The confinement of sacrifice to one place therefore rendered it necessary to sanction non-ritual slaughter and eating of animals. This is done in

¹ For the argument that this practice was due to belief in the kinship of the trible (and its god) with its animals and that in consequence these were too sacred to be slain except with solemn rites and in the presence and with the consent of the whole family, clain or tribe, who all partook of the flesh and set apart certain portions and the blood for their god, see W. K. Smith, Red. Seem. Leets. ville, i.x.

When the LORD thy God shall enlarge thy border, as he hath promised thee, and thou shalt say, I will eat flesh, because thy soul desireth to eat flesh; thou mayest eat 21 flesh, after all the desire of thy soul. If the place which the LORD thy God shall choose to put his name there be too far from thee, then thou shalt kill of thy herd and of thy flock, which the LORD hath given thee, as I have commanded thee, and thou shalt eat within thy gates, after

the following verses but on two conditions, (1) that God shall have enlarged Israel's territory, and (2) that the eaters do not live in the neighbourhood of the altar. On these conditions the eating of domestic animals shall be as that of game, in need of no ritual sanction (22). Only their blood must be poured on the ground (23-25). And all holy things, specially consecrated, must be brought to the one altar, and the 'oloth and the blood of the z'bahim put upon it (26 f.). section closes with a general injunction of obedience (28).-There appears no reason to doubt the unity of this supplement to the law of the one sanctuary (apart from small, possibly editorial, insertions). It is throughout in the Sg. address, and logical in its arrangement. The return to the keynote of the law is natural. Note the religious advance which it involves. By separating the enjoyment of animal food from religious rites (as well as by directing the blood of the animals to be poured on the ground), the law cut off the ancient primitive superstitions of the physical kinship of a tribe and their god with their animals, and rendered less possible the animal idolatry which these engendered.

20. shall enlarge thy border] So xix. 8, also Ex. xxxiv. 24, probably editorial.

as he hath promised thee] Heb. has said. To regard this as an editorial addition, on the ground that it anticipates 21 b (Steuern., Berth.), is precarious. The spirit of such a promise is in several previous passages: e.g. i. 21.

thy soul desireth On the soul as seat of the appetite see xiv, 26, xxiv. 15; Gen. xxvil. 9; Pro. xxvii. 7. The frankness of this statement is noteworthy.

after all the (or every) desire of thy soul] The utmost freedom is granted. But the whole passage implies that flesh was eaten only seldom in early Israel, which is confirmed by Nathan's parable and the Book of Ruth (W. R. Smith, OTJC2, 249 n.).

21. /f] Rather, Because. the place which the LORD thy God shall choose, etc.] See on v. s. thou shalt kill The same vb. as is used of sacrifice but here in a

non-ritual sense.

as I have commanded theel Can only refer to v. 15 and if that, as we have seen probable, is a later insertion, this must be of the same character (Steuern., Bertholet).

all the desire of thy soul. Even as the gazelle and as the 22 hart is eaten, so thou shalt eat thereof: the unclean and the clean shall eat thereof alike. Only be sure that thou 23 eat not the blood; for the blood is the life; and thou shalt

within thy gates | See on v. 17.

22. Even as the vazelle and as the hart is eaten Gazelle. Heb. S'bī, and Ar. zaby or thobby (Doughty, Ar. Des. 11. 468) are both properly the gazella Dorcas, a horned animal about the size of a roebuck, but more graceful, numerous in Arabia and Syria; but as saby was used as the more general term for ghazāl or gazelle (Lane), so s'bī probably covered several species of gazelle and antelope. Hart, Heb. ayyal, from 'ul to precede, as leader of the herd, perhaps the fallow deer cervus dama; but Ar. 'iyyal is mountain-goat (Lane). The two names occurring together here, v. 15, xiv. 5, xv. 22, are not to be taken specifically, but generally of many kinds of gazelle, antelope and deer eaten by Israel and the Arabs, but not allowed for sacrifice (except in certain cases among the Arabs, Wellh, Reste d. Arab, Heid, 112). The reason was that wild animals taken in hunting were not akin to man, and therefore needed not to be eaten sacramentally. Hence the following clause-

unclean and clean shall eat thereof alike Both adi., used also in physical and ethical sense, here mean ritually unclean and clean: the injunction is found elsewhere in D, v. 15, xv. 22, and in P. Sam., LXX add among thee. Alike, Heb, together, the one as well as the other. so thou shalt eat thereof] i.e. of domestic animals : out of reach of

the sanctuary they may be slain and eaten without rites. What freedom the deuteronomic law thus effected, in contrast to petty and embarrassing scrupulousness engendered by the legislation of P and its elaboration in later Judaism, can be appreciated only by a study of the N.T. texts on the question of meats. Cp. Acts x. 15, what God hath cleansed make not thou common; 1 Cor. x. 25, xi. 20 ff.; Rom. xiv. 20; I Tim. iv. 4, and for the expression of a still higher principle

Matt. xv. 11.

23. Only] Heb. rak, see on x. 15, and xii, 15, 16.

be sure Lit, be firm or strong: usually in D with another verb-

be strong and courageous; see on i. 38, iii. 28.

that thou eat not the blood That there was at once a strong temptation to partake of the blood and from the earliest times a national conscience against doing so, is seen in 1 Sa. xiv. 32 ff., according to which the people flew upon the spoil-sheep, oxen and calves-and slew them on the ground, without altar or rites, and ate them with the blood So the people sin against Jehovah in that they eat with the blood, and he said, Ye have transgressed. For a similar conscience, and violation of it, among the Arabs, see Doughty, Ar. Des. 11, 238,

for the blood is the life | The identification of blood and life was a matter of ordinary observation; as the one ebbed so did the other, 24 not eat the life with the flesh. Thou shalt not eat it; thou 25 shalt pour it out upon the earth as water. Thou shalt not eat it; that it may go well with thee, and with thy children after thee, when thou shalt do that which is right in the eyes 26 of the Lord. Only thy holy things which thou hast, and thy vows, thou shalt take, and go unto the place which the

22 Losu shall choose: and thou shalt offer thy burnt offerings, the flesh and the blood, upon the altar of the Losu by God: and the blood of thy sacrifices shall be poured out upon the altar of the Losu by God, and thou shalt eat the 18 flesh. Observe and hear all these words which I command thee; that it may so well with thee; and with thy children the chart it may so well with thee; that it may so well with thee.

As life, the blood belonged to the Deity. Cp. P (Gen. iz. 4; 1 Lev. xvii. 1, 14), in which, however, the belief was strengthened by the stress that P lays on the expiatory value of sacrifice. Other Semitic peoples shared the same belief. 'In all Arabian sacrifices, except the holocust... the godbard side of the ritual is summed up in the shedding gathers in a pit (chabdyda) at the foot of the alar folo..., What enters the pit is held to be conveyed to the deity (W. R. Smith, Rel. Semitis 1). The same authority points out that the practice existed also in some Syrian sanctuaries. That it was still older than the Semites is at Geeze. Note, however, that D (unlike P) sest no atoming value on the shedding of the blood or life, nor any ritual significance on the shapther of animals spart from the one alarly the simply states—

24. Thou shalt not eat it; thou shall pour it out upon the earth as

water] It shall have no other significance than that!
26, 27. The return to the fact that solemn sacrifices shall nevertheless

be made at the one altar is natural. On holy things cp. Nu. v. 9f., xviii. 19. On burnt offerings which, of course, included the blood, and on sacrifices see on v. 6. Of both the blood had a religious significance.

28. A closing injunction to keep the whole law of the One Sanctuary.

Observe and hear] See on vi. 3, vii. 12.

that it may go well with thee] iv. 40.

29—31. Transition to the Laws in xiii. (and those in xvi. 21—xvii. 7).

When settled in W. Palestine Israel shall not inquire into the manner of the worship of the local deities, and so be enticed to imitate it in the worship of their own God, for the Canaanites in their worship practise every abomination to Jehovah: they even burn their children

after thee for ever, when thou doest that which is good and right in the eyes of the LORD thy God.

When the Lord by God shall cut off the nations from 20 before thee, whither thou goest in to possess them, and thou possessest them, and dwellest in their land; take heed to 30 thyself that thou be not ensnared 'to follow them, after that they be destroyed from before thee; and that thou inquire not after their gods, saying, How do these nations serve their gods? 'even so will I do likewise. Thou shalt not do 31

¹ Heb. after them. ² Or, that I also may do likewise

to the gods.-Here we meet one of the greater difficulties raised by the order of the laws in the code. For unless this short passage be merely one of the many exhortations, which, like a chorus, break in upon both the narratives and the laws of D, it is meant as an introduction to the laws against seducers to idolatry, which follow in ch. xiii. Yet, as such, it is abrupt and incomplete; v. 31 warns against every abomination to Jehovah, and then, instead of a list of those abominations, gives only one. Now others are given in xvi. 21xvii. 7; and that passage is clearly out of place where it stands, between laws relating to judicial authorities and procedure. The suggestion has therefore been made (first by Dillmann, cp. Driver on xvi. 21 and Bertholet on xii. 20 and Marti in Kautzsch's Heil. Schrift des A.T.) that xvi. 21-xvii. 7 originally stood between xii. 29-31 and xiii. 2 ff. There is much in favour of this suggestion; xvi. 21-xvii. 7 naturally continues xii. 29-31 and has phrases in common with this (which thy God hateth and abomination), while its second part commanding the punishment of idolatrous Israelites as naturally leads up to the three laws in xiii. 2 ff. (xiii. 1 ff.). On the relation to xii. 29-31 of xviii. 9-12, also on the sacrifice of children, see on the latter passage. A further difficulty is xii. 32 (xiii. 1), see the note on it.

 When the LORD thy God shall cut off the nations] So xix. I (cp. deuter, Josh. xxiii. 4.f.); beyond this the verses differ. whither thou goest in to dispossess them] Characteristic of the Sg.

passages, cp. ix. 5; xix. 1 has whose land the LORD thy God is about to give thee.

and thou shalt have dispossessed them | So xix. 1: R.V. succeedest

them.

and dwellest in their land] xix. 1, their cities.

30. take heed to thyself] See vi. 12.

ensuared to follow them snared away after them; cp. vii. 16, 25, inquire not after! See on seek, v. 5.
How do these nations serve, etc.! Rather How used these nations to

worship.
so will I do, I also or in my turn] The lighter form of the pronoun,

so unto the LORD thy God: for every abomination to the LORD, which he hateth, have they done unto their gods; for even their sons and their daughters do they burn in the fire to their gods.

^{3a}ni, used in the Song xxxii. and throughout P, is found in D (which elsewhere uses the heavier form 'ānioki') only here and xxix. 5; and is to be explained by the common O.T. usage of preferring 'ani when the

pronoun is employed in emphasis as here.

The whole verse is true to the religious situation in which Israel found themselves after settlement in Ganaan. They came under the belief, prevalent in antiquity, that not only must the golds of a land be propitisted by its inwaders, but that worship must be offered only after the local mithpat or ritual (1 Sa. xxvi. 19; 2 Kgs xvii. 25 ff.). So by the properties of the control of the worship was and conformed to it the worship of their own God, with the result of confusing Him with the golds of the land.

for even their soms and their daughters do they burn! or used to burn. That the Semites (as well as other ancient races) sacrificed children has been amply proved. Mesha of Moah, hard pressed by Israel, slew his first-born to Kemosh (x Kgs. iii. 27) just as we know, through the Greeks (Diod. Sic. XX. 14, Forph., aguid Euseb. Praze, Erwag, 1v. 04, 4) was the practice of Phoenicians and Carthagnians in times of national danger or disaster. On human sacrifices among them, the Syrians, and the Cananites the evidence of the sacrifice or children by slaughter and burning is conclusive, both from the O.T. texts, and recent discoveries;—

At Gener round the feet of the mappinels (see on axi 22) and 1 were the whole were the High Place the earth was discovered to be a regular centerey in which the sold the High Place the hearth was presented to be a regular centered by which the sold the so

periods of calamity. That even among the Canaanites there was a revolt from it is proved by Mr Macalister's discovery (op, cit, 170.t, PEPC), 1903, 8.t), in some strata of the pre-Israelite-period, of lamps and bowls buried with the jars instead of children and as if in substitution for these.

The practice by Israel of sacrificing children after the same fashion and from the same motives is proved by the narratives and laws of the Old Testament as well as by the prophets:—

The story, which is found in E, Gen. xxii., that the divine word bade Abraham sacrifice Isaac and then revealed a substitute in the ram, is evidence that at one time among the Hebrews the belief had prevailed in the duty of fathers to slay their children, if required, as proof of their fidelity to their God, but that by His mercy a substitute was allowed. This is confirmed by the form of the law in J, Ex. xiii. 12. Though this sanctions the redemption of the firstborn son by an animal, the way in which it opens-thou shalt cause to pass over unto Jehovah all that openeth the womb and every firstling which thou hast that cometh of a beast-indicates that the original principle, on which Israel acted, was that the firstborn of men, equally with those of animals, were due to the deity by sacrifice. In Judah in the 7th century the popular belief was that Jehovah Himself had given a law obliging the burning of children, for Jeremiah (or a deuteronomic writer whose words have been here placed among his prophecies) emphatically denies the existence of such a law: which I commanded not, neither came it into my mind (vii. 31, xix. 5). On the other hand Ezekiel supports the opinion that Israel's God had given such a law and explains that this was in order to punish the second generation in the wilderness, xx. 25: moreover I gave them also statutes not good and judgements whereby they should not live, and I polluted them in their own gifts, in that they caused to pass through (sc. the fire) all that openeth the womb, that I might make them desolate (see A. B. Davidson's note on this passage in Exckiel in this series).

There was therefore a memory in Israel that the fathers of the race had shared the general Semitic conscience that the sacrifice of children was sanctioned or even expressly commanded by God, but that from an early time He had permitted the substitution of an animal, which permission, I tells us, was expressly dictated by Moses at the Exodus. In the early centuries after the settlement there are no instances of child-sacrifice in Israel except in the story of Jephthah (and more doubtfully in that of Hiel, the re-builder of Jericho). And the cases which recur later are all explicable by the bad influence of the neighbouring heather, and the panic produced by national disaster, either actual or threatened. So in the case of Ahaz (2 Ki. xvi. 4), the historical character of which there is no reason to doubt (see as against Moore, E.B. art, 'Molech' the present writer's Jerusalem. 11. 127, 264); and so with the recrudescence of the practice in the 7th century under Manasseh, and the use of the horrible Topheth or Tephath in the valley of Hinnom (Jer. vii. 31, xix. 5; 'Mi. vi. 6 f.; Ezek. xvi. 21, xx. 18 ff., xxiii. 39). The present Hebrew text of Jer. says that these sacrifices were offered to 'Molech,' but 'there are grounds for believing that this was a divine title, Melek or King, rather than a name; and that the awful despot who demanded such a propitiation was regarded by the Jews as none other than their own God ' (Jerusalem, 11, 264). This is clear, as we have seen above, from the passages in Jeremiah and Ezekiel. And the reason is plain why D, a work of the 7th century, should alone of all Israel's law-books be

- 32 What thing soever I command you, that shall ye observe to do: thou shalt not add thereto, nor diminish from it.
- 13 If there arise in the midst of thee a prophet, or a dreamer

ardent, equally with the great prophets of the time, in repudiating child-sacrifice.

32. (xiii. i in Heb.) is remarkable here; and would seem more in place at the beginning of the section before 92. The test is not certain; LXX A harmonises to Sg. throughout, but other versions confirm the Heb., though variously (LXX B yow and the rest Sg., but Sam. thee and the rest Pl.) in a change of address. This and the use of common formulas mark the verse as editorial. It may have been thought necessary, after the removal from here of xvi. 11—xvii. 7 (see above), as an introduction to xiii. If (xiii.) aft in Heb.).

command you] Sam., LXX add to-day. observe to do] See on v. 1.

thou shalt not add, etc.] See on iv. 2.

CH. XIII. 1—18 (2—19 in Heb.). THREE LAWS AGAINST THE WORSHIP OF OTHER GODS.

The first is against the prophet, who, while able to give a sign or wonder, shall say, let us go after other gods; he is only God's test to prove Israel; hearken not to him but walk after Jehovah; as for the prophet, put him to death (1-5). The second is against the relative or friend who may similarly entice; consent not, neither pity, nor spare him, but kill him by stoning (6-11). The third is against any city, drawn away by sons of Belial, to worship other gods; in such a case inquisition shall be made, and if the thing is certain the inhabitants shall be slain and the city and its contents devoted (12-18).-The whole piece is a unity (with few editorial additions); but we have seen that it was originally preceded and led up to by xvi. 21-xvii. 7. Like this it is throughout in the Sg., except for v. 4, which has other signs of being an editorial addition. The variations in the use of the same formulas are interesting (e.g. vv. 2, 6, 13): even a law-giver was not bound to exact repetition! The reader will keep in mind that in the Hebrew text the verses are numbered one later than in the English.

1-5 (2-6 in Heb.). Against the Prophet of Other Gods.

1. If there arize in the midst of thee? So xix. 13 and 16 also Sg., Cp. the synonymous if there he found in the midst of thee xviii. 2, xviii, 10, xxi, i, xxii, 23, xxiv, 7. Steuern, takes this as characteristic of the Pl.-document, but like the other it occurs with the Sg. address; and we have seen that xviii. 2 may originally have belonged to the same section as xiii. 1. No conclusion, therefore, can-be drafter.

a prophet, or a dreamer of dreams] In early Israel regarded as

of dreams, and he give thee a sign or a wonder, and the sign 2 or the wonder come to pass, whereof he spake unto thee, saying, Let us go after other gods, which thou hast not known, and let us serve them; thou shalt not hearken unto 3 the words of that prophet, or unto that dreamer of dreams:

identical; cp. the frequency in E of dreams as revelations, e.g. Gen. xxx.; to Ahimedech, xxxiii. to fir, xxxii. to Jacob, and the oracle quoted in E, Nu. xii. 6: if there be a prephet among you... will speak to thin through dreams... In later times the dream was discarded by the distinguished from the true word of God; the prophet that hatio a dream, let him tell a dream; and he that hatin you word, let him speak my word faithfully. What is the stream to the wheat? (id. x8); that prophet, principle demant (x3); cp. xxxiii, y. xxii. Sk. prophets, ordinayers, my mans, I data not seen them; Zech. x. 2. These dreams of the false prophets ypting for to have been optimistic and unethical in contrast to the true prophets word that convinced of sin and predicted disaster. Decommendment of Lebward (x, 4)ct, and appears to have been optimistic and unethical in contrast to the true prophets word that convinced of sin and predicted disaster.

and he give the a sign or a wonder] or portant (see on iv. 34), not necessarily what we narrowly call mincle (Israel making no distinct on between natural and supernatural). Nor here are they wonders wrought, on the spot such as Moses received as his credentials, Ex. iv. 2-1), and Aaron wrought before Pharaoh, vii. 9, P, nor like the Plagues brought upon Egypt; but (as is clear from the next verse) prefictions of something that shall happen in the future like the signs foretold by Samuel to Saul (t Sam. x. 1—6).

 come to pass] Hebrew come in, arrive (1 Sam. x. 7, 9). Such a fulfilment of the sign is not to be any credential of the prophet's teaching, if he say—

Let us go after other gods] vi. 14 (q.v.), xi. 28, xxviii. 14, all Pl.; viii. 19, Sg.; with or without the addition and serve, i.e. worship, them

as here. Cp. Jer. xxv. 6 (deuteron.?).
which thou hast not known] vv. 6, 13, xi. 28, xxviii. 64, cp. viii. 3.

3. This refusal to recognise minucle as necessarily a proof of the toth of a propel's obsertine is very striking. It is not in harmony with the earlier belief in Israel, expressed in JE and so characteristic of the Semitic genuis (ep. the unwillingness of the heathen Arabis to receive a habin's or prophet's judgement on an ethical question except on the performance of some wonder, Wellhausen, Reste des Arabis Heidenhour; and the readiness with which mostern Arabis and Syrians the state of th

DEUTERONOMY



12

for the Lord your God proveth you, to know whether ye love the Lord your God with all your heart and with all 4 your soul. Ye shall walk after the Lord your God, and fear him, and keep his commandments, and obey his voice, 5 and ye shall serve him, and cleave unto him. And that prophet, or that dreamer of dreams, shall be put to death; because he hath spoken 'rebellion against the Lord your God, which brought you out of the land of Egypt, and redeemed thee out of the house of bondage, to draw thee

Heb. turning aside. of the prophets, who, except in the case of Isaiah, condescending to

the superstitious Ahaz (vii. 10), commend their truth to Israel solely upon its spiritual strength, or if they add proofs, find these in natural phenomena (the success or failure of harvests, plagues and the like) or in the events of history. But see further on xviii. 21 f.

proveth you] putteth to the proof or test. See on iv. 34: cp. viii. 2, 16.

to know] See on vii. 9, viii. 2.

whether ye love] Stronger !- whether it be that ye love.

4. An accumulation of the frequent deuteron, phrases (walk apterwalk in his roys with far or obey: x. 12, xi. 22, xix. 9, xxii. 17, xxx. 16; kept commandments: iv. 2+12 times in Deut, both in Sg. and Pl., either alone or with leave, kept and fart; kept his soice: xxvii. 10, xxx. 2, S, 20; wersthip and clease: see on x. 20, which also sword by his name, xi. 2, xxx. 20. But they are arranged with an emphasis lost in the Eng. transl. Read: After Jahovah your God shall yee go. add Him shall ye forex, and His commandments shall and to Him shall ye cleave. It is a difficult question whether r. a breaking in with the Pl. address is editional; the accumulated phrases point to that, and r. 5 connects with 3, yet the emphatic order is original and is continued into v. 5.

5. And that prophet, etc.] Again emphatic, the usual Hebrew syntax being changed: but as for that prophet, etc. he—

shall be put to death] The formal sentence, so xvii. 6, xxiv. 16 (cp. xxi. 22) and in E, Ex. xxi. 12, 15, 17, xxii. 19. The manner of

death is not enjoined as in the next two laws.

because he hath speken reldition against, etc.] Turning aside, perversion or apostasy; also xix. 16. The corresponding verb is frequent
in Deut. vii. 4, ix. 12, 16, xi. 16, 28, xii. 13, xxxii. 29; with the
addition, neither to the right hand ner to the left, v. 29, xvii. 11, 20,

xxviii. 114; pp. ii. 27, iv. 9, xviii. 17.

the LORD thy God which brought thee] So Sam and LXX. The Hebrew your and you are due to the attraction of the Pl. or. 4. redeemed thee out of the house of bondmen] See v. 6, vi. 12, vii. 8.

aside out of the way which the LORD thy God commanded thee to walk in. So shalt thou put away the evil from the midst of thee.

If thy brother, the son of thy mother, or thy son, of thy 6 daughter, or the wife of thy bosom, or thy friend, which is as thine own soul, entice thee secretly, saying, Let us go and serve other gods, which thou hast not known, thou, nor thy fathers; of the gods of the peoples which are round 7

to draw thee aside] See on iv. 19.

So shall than put away the entil Too weak! Rather burn out or commune, as dung is burned, 1 Kgs xiv. to. The phrase either with from thy midth, here, xvii. 7, xix. 19, xxiv. 9 (innecent blood), xxii. 21, 24, xxiv., 7, or with from Inraed xvii. 12, xix. 13 (innecent blood), xxii. 22, occurs only with the Sg. address. It is always at the end of a law and refers to the punishment of the law-breaker (but see for another application of it xvii. 13, 14), and except in xix. 19 always of capital punishment.

This verse 'shows how the people is already invested with a spiritual character. It has to act as a spiritual community (cp. xvii. 4 ff.) which sits in judgement upon religious seducers, and the means of judgement is as radical as possible. Israel ought to be a community of saints'

(Bertholet).

6-11 (7-12 in Heb.). Against Israelites, who entice to Strange Gods.

A subtler source of seduction to idolatry may be found in one's own kith and kin : one of the many proofs of D's sympathy with, and understanding of, the influences of family life.

6. If thy brother, the son of thy mother] With Sam. and LXX, after brother add the son of thy father or: so that both full brother

and half-brother are included.

or thy son, or thy daughter] Completing the blood relations (very significantly and characteristically father and mother are not mentioned as possible sources of temptation) only after whom we come to—or the voife of thy bosom xxviii. 54, 56, cp. 'Mi.' vii. 5: a tribute (cp.

daughter) to the spiritual influence of women in D's view. As a matter of fact the danger was as great here as anywhere else. or thy friend, which is as thine room soul or self. I Sam, xviii, 1, 3,

xx. 17.

entice] or allure, in D only here.

secretly] In contrast to the public enticements of the prophet. saying, Let us go, etc.] See on v. 2.

of the gods of the peoples which are round about you.] The Pl. you (confirmed by LXX) shows that the words which are round-about you are merely an editorial echo of vi. 14, and ought to be deleted;

12-2

Digitized by Google

about you, nigh unto thee, or far off from thee, from the one end of the earth even unto the other end of the earth; 8 thou shalt not consent unto him, nor hearken unto him, neither shalt thou spare, 9 neither shalt thou conceal him: but thou shalt surely kill him; thine hand shall be first upon him to put him to death, 10 and afterwards the hand of all the people. And thou shalt stone him with stones, that he die; because he hath sought to draw thee away from the Loron thy God, which brought thee out of the land of Egypt, out of the house of bondage. It And all I strate shall hear, and fear, and shall do no more

they are unnecessary and awkward with the following nigh unto thee, etc.

any such wickedness as this is in the midst of thee.

or far off from thee, etc.] By the 8th and 7th centuries (under Ahaz and Manasseh) the evil influence of cults of peoples at a distance had been added to those of the Canaanites, prevalent in the previous centuries.

8. consent] be willing, cp. i. 26.
neither shall thine eye pity him] vii. 16, xix. 13, 21, xxv. 12.

spare] In D only here.
conceal] That is by silence (Pss. xxxii. 5, xl. 11): cp. secretly, v. 6.

9. thou shalt surely kill him] No such previous procedure as in wii. 4 is necessary in this case, for the persons commanded to slay are themselves witnesses of the fact. Note, however, that LXX has here, thou shalt report or demounce him (array/tAkw wfazy/tAkw wfazy/tkw) wfazy/tkw wfazy/tkw wfazy/tkw wfazy/tkw wfazy/tkw) wfazy/tkw wfazy/tkw wfazy/tkw wfazy/tkw).

thine hand shall be first upon him] As that of the witness of his crime and also because the family responsibility precedes that of the people. But—

afterwards the hand of all the people. For throughout D the people is the ultimate judiciary: see on i. 13, xvi. 18.

10. stone him with stones] Also in xvii. 5, xxi. 21, xxii. 21, 24:

cp. Josh. vii. 32. This form of capital panishment was natural because of the ready supply of stones on the soil of Palestine, because it was a form in which all the people responsible for its execution could share, and also because of the belief that by covering the carpus case of the belief that the contract of the contract of the contract of the stoning of women who had revited (or blasphemed) the sun see Musil, Ethn. Rev. 321.

to draw thee away | See on v. 5. house of bondmen | See on v. 5.

11. all Israel] D's usual phrase for the people: see on iv. 44.

If thou shalt hear tell 'concerning one of thy cities, which 12 the LORD thy God giveth thee to dwell there, saying, Certain 2base fellows are gone out from the midst of thee, 13

Or, in 2 Heb. sons of worthlessness.

shall hear, and fear] xvii. 13, xix. 20, xxi. 21.

do no more] Sam., LXX add still or again.

There is no more reason for taking this verse as secondary (Steuern.) than for taking as such the corresponding clause in v. 5 (q.v.).

12-18 (13-19 in Heb.). AGAINST A CITY SEDUCED TO OTHER GODS.

12. In one of the cities | So Hebrew. R.V. concerning is hardly possible (though cp. Ps. xxii. 12). As the words define not the place where the report has been heard, but the subject of the report, the guilty cities | freedress either arging has been carelessly misplaced and should follow hear (tell) (cg. plak. xxii. 11) or more probably the writer has subordinate to the principal clause so as to make it prominent from the first: cp. xxxi. 29 (Dillim., Driv.). Translate: if thou shot hear tell, that, in one of the cities, xwide. Accertain hear fellows here gone out, etc.

citied] or forms; these social forms in Israel are much more frequent in D than relies which under the settled conditions of the people towns gradually displaced; nearly always (exceptions xis. 1, xx. 16 xx. 1 xx. 16 xx. 1 xx. 10 xx. 1 xx. 10 xx. 1 xx.

see also xii. 5, 14).

13. Certain base fellows! Hebrew, men, sons of belial usually but colubifully taken as worthteams (as if a compound = n nex, goad-for-nathing fellows, Scot. "nee'r-do-weels." In early writings of the churish, toll-unouthed, violent, drunken, unchaste, perjurers and alandoud criminals, but also of rebels against authority and religion as here flugds, xix. 2, xx. 13, 1 Sam. 16, iii. 12, x. 27, xxx. 17, 25; 2 Sam. xvi. 7, xxx. 17 1 Kgs xxi. 16, 13l. In D only here and xv. 9 (of a base word or thought), and nowhere else in Hexateuch.

are gone end] The vb. is used of going forth of set purpose to do something (Judg, is. 8; 1 Sam. xxiii. 13) or, long with coming in, of all kinds of business (xxviii. 6, xxxi. 2). So here it might just mean deliberately and (of course) in public (opp. sexrely, v. 6); but the addition from the midt of the conveys the suggestion of apotaty from Israel: they want out from us to they were not of us (1) fold ii. 19).

and have drawn away the inhabitants of their city, saying, Let us go and serve other gods, which ye have not known; 14 then shalt thou inquire, and make search, and ask diligently; and, behold, if it be truth, and the thing certain, that such 15 abomination is wrought in the midst of thee; thou shalt surely smite the inhabitants of that city with the edge of the sword, 1destroying it utterly, and all that is therein and the 16 cattle thereof, with the edge of the sword. And thou shalt gather all the spoil of it into the midst of the street thereof, and shalt burn with fire the city, and all the spoil thereof ²every whit, unto the LORD thy God: and it shall be an

17 heap for ever; it shall not be built again. And there shall 1 Heb. devoting it.
3 Or, mound Heb. tel. 2 Or, as a whole burnt offering

drawn away] v. 5 : draw thee aside (q.v.). Let us go and worship, etc.] See vv. 2, 6.

14. inquirel See xi. 12. xii. 30. xvii. 4. 0. xix. 18; in this sense or a similar darash is used elsewhere in the Pent. only in Lev. x. 16. Make search, hakar, investigate, in D only here; elsewhere of exploring a land and of examining a case (Job xxix. 16). Ask diligently, well, or thoroughly; ask, sha'al, like darash, to make inquest.

and the thing certain or the story established or substantiated (xvii. 4), or the case well-founded.

abomination | See on vii. 25.

15. thou shalt surely | i.e. the whole nation.

with the edge of | Hebrew, mouth of.

destroying it utterly] devoting or putting it to the ban or herem. On this see ii. 34; it is the hardest form of the herem which is here

pronounced upon an apostate city of Israel.

and the cattle, etc.] Not in LXX; probably a later addition to the law and if so illustrative of the ease with which its varied forms and degrees of stringency (see on ii. 34) arose (but see Driver's note here). 16. street broad or open place. So far as they have been un-

earthed the streets of ancient Canaanite towns were as narrow as those of the villages of modern Palestine. But there was always a broad place, just inside the gate, where local courts and consultations were held.

every whit] a whole offering, holocaust. Hebrew kalil usually synonymous with 'olah (see xii. 6), but here used of the herem; so in Judg, xx. 40 of a city set on fire and its smoke; the whole offering of the city went up to heaven.

an heap] or mound. Heb., as Ar., tel (tell), in both languages also applied to the mounds on which living cities stand, their dead selves: cleave nought of the devoted thing to thine hand: that the LORD may turn from the fierceness of his anger, and shew thee mercy, and have compassion upon thee, and multiply thee, as he hath sworn unto thy fathers; when thou shalt is hearken to the voice of the LORD thy God, to keep all his commandments which I command thee this day, to do that which is right in the eves of the LORD thy God.

the remains of their previous gradual decay or overthrow: all the cities standing on their mounds (Josh. xi. 13, etc.).

17. devoted thing] The thing banned, as well as the banning, was called herem. See on ii. 34, vii. 26, and cp. Josh. vi. 18.

turn from the fierceness of his anger] So Josh. vii. 26, after the herem was fulfilled on Achan.

and shew thee mercy, etc.] Jer. xlii. 12.

multiply thee] Again this promise! i. 10, vi. 3 (q.v.), vii. 13, etc. 18. The usual condition attached to promises in Deut.: possibly

editorial.
right] Sam., LXX add and good.

CH. XIV. 1-21. INSERTED LAWS ON RITES FOR THE DEAD, FOODS CLEAN AND UNCLEAN, ETC.

Between two laws, which forbid to Israel, as holy to Jehovah, certain rites of mourning for the dead, xiv. I f., and the eating of what has died a natural death (with an appendix against seething a kid in its mother's milk), v. 21-both of which contain deuteronomic phrases-there lies a passage, vv. 3-20, on clean and unclean foods, in which the language is not deuteronomic, but has phrases peculiar to P. The first law against the mourning customs cannot be earlier than the end of the 7th century when these eustoms were not only practised in Israel but regarded as sanctioned. Further there are no parallels to these laws in IE, except to v. 21, but there are parallels to all the rest in the late legislation of P (or H): Lev. xi. 2-23, xx. 25. Again the form of address is, unlike the laws in xiii, and xiv. 22 ff., throughout in the Pl., save only for the deuteronomic phrases in vv. 2, 3, and 21. All this is reasonable ground for taking the whole section as a later (exilic or post-exilic) addition to the code of D (with the possible exceptions of vv. 2, 21 which may be fragments of the original D). Note that there is no reference to such laws in the reforms of Josiah. The relations of this section to its parallel in Lev. xi. 2-23 are uncertain. Lev. does not contain the list of clean beasts which our form of the law gives, v. 4, but otherwise is more elaborate and detailed. Probably neither is derived from the other, but both are developments from a common origin. Further the LXX version of our law varies from the Heb. Altogether then we have here another instance of the currency of various editions of the same law, tending to grow in different ways,

Ye are the children of the LORD your God: ye shall not cut vourselves, nor make any baldness between vour eves

1f. AGAINST CERTAIN RITES FOR THE DEAD.

No parallel in IE; but one in H, Lev. xix. 28 a.

1. Sons are ve to Jehovah your God The order of the EVV. misses the emphasis. Note not merely the change to the Pl. address but its cause, the conception of individual Israelites as the sons of Jehovah: not elsewhere in D. In the discourses in D Israel, the nation, is as the son of Jehovah, i. 31, viii. 5 and so more definitely in J, Ex. iv. 22 f., Hosea xi. 1, and Jeremiah xxxi. 20. The transition from this conception to the statement of Jehovah's fatherhood of Israelites as individuals was natural: the two conceptions occur together in the Song xxxii. 5, 6 and in Hosea and Jeremiah. The latter is already found in the 8th century, Ho. i. 10, Isai. i. 2. But as we advance through the prophecies of Jeremiah and Ezekiel, with their strong individualism, to the exilic and post-exilic writings we find a great increase of references to Israelites as the sons of Jehovah. Jer. iii. 14, 19, 22, iv. 22, Ezek. (ii. 4?), xx. 21, 'Isai.' lxiii. 8, 16, lxiv. 8 (cp. lvii. 4), Mal. ii. 10, Deut. xxxii. 5, Pss. lxxiii. 15, lxxxii. 6. This is contemporary with the breaking up of the Jewish state and the destruction of the national worship. While then it is clear that one cannot take sons of Jehovah in this law as by itself proof of an exilic or post-exilic date, we can say that if it does not add to, it at least agrees with, the evidence in that direction adduced in the note below.

Many ancient nations believed in their descent from gods or demigods; and among them the Semitic peoples, e.g. the Moabites are called sons and daughters of Kemosh, Num. xxi. 29. But the relation was conceived physically. In the O.T. God's fatherhood and Israel's sonship are historical and ethical, based not on physical generation, but on an act of love on God's part, on His choice or adoption (cp. Rom. ix. 4) of the people, and on His deliverance of them from Egypt; and it is carried out by His providence of love and moral chastisement (see the references above and cp. Amos iii.), which is nowhere more tenderly described than in this Book. But when all the O.T. references to God as the Father whether of Israel or Israelites and to them as His children have been reckoned up, how few are they in comparison to the number of times that sons, and children, of God occur in the N.T. God hath sent forth the Spirit of His Son into our hearts crying Abba Father (Gal. iv. 6); joint heirs with Christ

(Rom. viii, 17).

ye shall not cut or gash yourselves | So of the priests of Ba'al (1 Kgs xviii, 28) and in Ar, one form of the vb, is used of mutilations of animals, Lev. xix. 28: you shall put no incision on your flesh (cp. xxi, 5) nor any tattooing upon you.

nor set a baldness between your eyes] Lev. xxi. 5: not make a baldness on their head neither shave off the corner of their beard.

for the dead. For thou art an holy people unto the LORD 2 thy God, and the LORD hath chosen thee to be a peculiar people unto himself, 'above all peoples that are upon the face of the earth.

1 Or, out of

for the dotal. That these customs were not practised merely from excess of grief, nor only as testifying to the continuance of the mourner's blood-covenant with the dead, but also in acknowledgement of the divinity of the latter and as the mourner's consecration to them, is implied in the reason given in r, z for Israel's abstention from such too, the inclusion of this law among those against the worship of strange gods. Moreover Jer. xvi, γ describes a communion feast as part of the same rites. May not also the choice of the expression two are γ is a followed be due to this cause, as if such rites implied an who bring offerings and sacrifice at their graves we found, Edun. Box 330, who bring offerings and sacrifice at their graves we found, Edun. Box 330, and the same continuation of the continuation of the same continuation of the continuation

For the prevalence, among many ancient nations, particularly the Semisic, as well as among modern products, of these customs of gashings the field and shaving part of a some good proposes, of these customs of gashings the field and shaving part of Red. Sow. yor R. Gashing, both of five and body called "Tashiri (zo, Heh) was explained to Huron in Mekka as a sign "that the scarced was the servant of Allah's CD. T. confirms it for Monh' (1 km², v, v) and the Philistimes (Jer. skii) 3, and state that both customs were practiced in fixer jot only as was and estatural in mourning (Am. viii, vo; 1 k. xxii; v; 1). He cult to weeping, and betafungs [Jer. xii, 4; x iii] in the product of the state of the culture of the cultur

Unknown to Jeremiah, Ezekiel, and to those Shechem Jews who, in obedience to the central law of D, brought their offerings to the Temple, this law cannot have formed part of the original code of D; but is an exilic or post-exilic addition.

For thou art an holy people, etc.] Almost exactly as vii. 6 (q.v.).
 Note also the Sg. address in contrast to the Pl. of the context. This v. is, therefore, probably an addition by the hand which inserted these later laws in the code of D.

3-20. OF CLEAN AND UNCLEAN BEASTS, FISHES AND BIRDS.

Paralleled with elaborations in H, Lev. xi. 2—23 (see introductory note above p. 183; and ep. the comparative table in Driver's Deut. 157 ff.; the chief similarities and differences are noted in the notes helow), and very summarily also in Lev. xx. 2; H: ye thall separate between clean beatt and unclean, and between unclean food and clean.

Thou shalt not eat any abominable thing. These are the beasts which ye shall eat: the ox, the sheep, and the goat, 5 the hart, and the gazelle, and the roebuck, and the wild

and shall not render your souls detestable (cp. vii. 26, xi. 31, xii. 11) by beast or fowl or anything wherewith the ground creepeth which I have separated from you as unclean .- In JE there is no parallel .- The references below to Tristram are to his Fauna and Flora of Western Palestine in the PEF Survey of W. Pal.; those to Doughty are to his Arabia Deserta.

3. Thou shalt not cat any abominable thing] The same noun as abomination, vii. 25, q.v.; a term characteristic of D. The clause being also in the Sg. in a Pl. context (to which Sam., LXX have harmonised it) may be either the original law of D on this subjectcp. every abomination, xii. 31-or, like v. 2, an addition by the deuteronomic editor.

4. These are the beasts which we shall eat 1 Lev. xi, 2-23 has no

list of clean beasts such as here follows.

ox, sheep, goat | For the sacramental nature of the slaving and eating of domestic animals see on xii. 20-28. In ancient times the enjoyment of flesh by ordinary people was rare; that of the domestic animals was limited to special occasions such as the arrival of a guest, or a family festival, but kings and the rich ate it every day, and successful raids were celebrated by feasting upon the animal spoil (e.g. Judg. vi. 19, 1 Sam. xiv. 32, xvi. 20, xxv. 18, xxviii. 24, 2 Sam. xii. 4, 1 Kgs iv. 23, Am. vi. 4). The flesh was, as still in Syria and Arabia, usually of sheep and goats; Arabs regard the former as the more honourable for a guest. Bullocks and calves were slain much more seldom, except in great houses. So it is still with the fellahin; while in Arabia, where pasture is scarce and the oxen are for the most part meagre and stunted. ox flesh is very rarely eaten; and its place is taken by that of the camel (see below). Ancient Arab physicians held beef to be poisonous; in parts of S. Arabia it was eaten only by the very poor; to set it even before a servant was regarded as an insult (Georg Jacob, Altarabisches Beduinenleben, 94).

5. Seven varieties of game; LXX B gives only five: hart, gazelle, roebuck, wild-ox and giraffe (?); codd. AF, etc. add after gazelle, buffalo and tragelaphos. It may not be unnecessary to remark that neither to the nomads nor to the fellabin is hunting sport; it is, especially to the former, a hard and hungry search for food. 'The nomad is not a hunter' (Doughty, I. 157). The hunters of Arabia are the Slevb, wandering gypsies without cattle and camels: according to Burckhardt (p. 12) they live on dried gazelle-flesh. Besides the varieties of game given here as edible, the ancient Arabs relished also the flesh of the wild-ass (Georg Jacob, op. cit. 115).

hart and gazelle 'Ayyal, sbi: see on xii. 22; cp. xii. 15, xv. 22; hart probably fallow deer, cervus dama; gazelle, gazella dorcas,

goat, and the pygarg, and the antelope, and the chamois. And every beast that parteth the hoof, and hath the hoof of cloven in two, and 'cheweth the cud, among the beasts, that ye shall eat. Nevertheless these ye shall not eat of them 7

1 Heb. bringeth up.

reduné) Yahundralso 1 Kgs iv. 23 (v. 3) A.V. fallow-deer. Vakhuniv is the name still given to a deer found on Mt Carmel (Conder, Tutt Work, 1, 173) and identified as the rochuck, exerus capredur; called in Gliead khaniff (Fost, PEPC, 1656, 174; C. Gonder, M. 173); also farther S. than Palestine. As rochuck is the name of the mide, reduce is perhaps the better rendering.

wild goat] 'Akko only here, LXX AF τραγέλαφος, Targ. ya'al, ibex such as about Engedi, 1 Sam. xxiv. 2. With 'akko as if for 'anko cp.

Ar. 'anak (=long-necked) goat.

pygarg] As LXX πόγασγος white-rump. The Heb. dishon (as if from Heb. dash=tread, leap) is rather antelope: the large white addax (Tr. 5).

"antilope] *** only here and '!sni.' li .20, LXX \$\tilde{b}\tilde{a}\tilde{b}\tilde{A}\tilde{V}\tilde{b}\tilde{

chamois] Certainly not this! This animal is European and is not found so far S. as Palestine. Heb. semer, Targ. disa, wildsgoat. In the Mts of Yemen the wild maned sheep, ovis tragelaphus, was anciently numerous [G. Jacob, p. 21]. Probably mountain-goat or -sheep.

Thus the names in this verse are all general and popular; each may have covered more than one species found in Syria or Arabia: to

identify it with any one species is foolish.

6. There might also be eaten any beast with both of these marks: that parteth the hoof, and hath the hoof cloven in two] Lit. and cleaveth a cleft of two hoofs. The hoof must be entirely cloven (see below on came!);
and chewth the cutal Heb, bringeth up the gerah, Ar, girrah.

so called from either the straining or the gurgling of the process.

7. Nevertheless] Not rak with which qualifications to laws are

that chew the cud, or of them that have the hoof clover; the camel, and the hare, and the cone, because they chew the cud but part not the hoof, they are unclean unto you; and the swine, because he parteth the hoof but cheweth not the cud, be is unclean unto you; of their flesh ye shall not oue.

introduced by D (see on x. 15, xii. 15 f.) but 'ak, xvi. 5, xviii. 20, cp. xii. 22.

camel, hare, rock-badger] In Lev. xi. 4-6 taken separately and each with a repetition of the formula because it cheweth the cud but parteth not the hoot. The camel chews the cud but its hoof is only partly cloven (see on v. 6): sacrificed and eaten by Nabateans and ancient Arabs (Wellhausen, Reste Arab. Heid. 112, W. R. Smith, Rel. Sem. 201, 263, 320) though forbidden to Christian Arabs because of its use in heathen rites (id. 265), the camel is still eaten in Arabia (Burton, Pilgr. to Med. and Mecca, 11. 217, Doughty, 11. 209, 345, Musil, Edom, 1. 247, Ethn. Ber. 71, 150, 423, 453 f.); taking the place of the ox of the settled Semites (see on v. 4) .- The hare, 'arnebeth. Ar. 'arnob, does not chew the cud and its feet are neither hoofed nor cleft: there are several species in and round Syria (Tr. 8f., who singles out the lepus syriacus), and the beast is common in Arabia, where it is eaten (Doughty, I. 70, 567, II. 238); hare's bone, foot and head were used as amulets (W. R. Smith, Rel. Sem. 362, G. Jacob, op. cit. 20). -The rock-badger, shaphan, Ar. wabr and tubsun; procavia (hyrax) syriaca (Tristram, 1) does not chew the cud. It seems, however, to the observer to chew the cud: 'both the jerboa and the wabr ruminate, say the hunters, because they are often shot with the cud in their mouth' (Doughty, 11, 238). It is eaten by all the nomads (id. 1, 127);

the observer to chew the cud: 'both the jerboa and the wabr runningt, say the hunter, because they are often shot with the cud in their month.' Doughty, It, a 28.9. It is eater by all the nomade (id. 1, 12); that rodent... The zoological position of the order is obscure, there are 14 species ('Shipley, E.B., Coney, which see for further information). AV. and R.V. comey, Old Eng. for rabbit. Driver (Deuz.'9, p. xii) suggests the translation rode-rabbit, a name given to an allied species of the Hynx (If. Capturil) about the Cape of Good Hope.

8. minel histor, Air. Ahauster; from the animal's indiscriminate feeding the flesh is liable to become the host of many parasites and therefore without care dangerous especially in warm climates. Used in heather without care dangerous especially in warm climates. Used in heather the control of the cellability and the feed of the cellability and the feed of the cellability and the feed of the cellability of the feed of the cellability of the feed of the cellability of the feed of

These ye shall eat of all that are in the waters: whatso-9 ever hath fins and scales shall ye eat: and whatsoever hath 10 not fins and scales ye shall not eat; it is unclean unto you.

Of all clean birds ye may eat. But these are they of $\frac{11}{12}$ which ye shall not eat: the eagle, and the gier eagle, and the ospray; and the glede, and the falcon, and the kite 13

9, 10. On clean and unclean Fishes; Lev. xi. 0-12 substantially the same but more elaborate. On the numerous fishes of Palestine see Tristram, 162 ff. No species are here enumerated, nor in the rest of the O.T.; but, chiefly under foreign influence, specific names appear in the Talmud and Mishna. On their use as food see Kennedy in E.B. and the present writer's Jerusalem, 1. 317 f. The rule given here, that only those with fins (points) or scales are clean practically rules out eels 1, lampreys and others, with of course all shellfish, some of which are wholesome fare. In inquiring for a reason for their exclusion, their likeness in shape to serpents must be kept in view; on the sacredness of fish (including eels) to certain Semitic deities see W. R. Smith, Rel. Sem. 157 ff. In Arabia the practice varies. Fish are eaten in Madaba and Kerak and on the coasts of the peninsula; but inland Arabs though eating lizards and locusts appear to abhor fish; 'the most have never seen them and do not desire them' (Musil, Ethn. Ber. 21). The true Bedawee despises the fish-eater (Georg Jacob, op. cit. 25). Cp. Baldensperger, PEFO, 1905, 119.

11-20. Of Birds, cp. Lev. xi. 13-19; only the unclean are named; of clean birds we know of the dove, quail, partridge and barbur.

12. eggle) nesher, Ar. nisr, the great vulture or griffon, gyps fulvus, identified by the baldness of its head and neck, Mic. i. 16; from its frequency and its size 'the most striking ornithological feature of Palestine' (Tr. os.f.); worshipped among Syrians and Araba

Palestine (Tr. 951.); worshipped among Syrians and Arabs.

give eagle) peres, the breaker, A.V. the ossifrage, the Lämmergeier or

bearded vulture. It carries its prey to a great height and then drops it,
repeating the operation till the prey is shattered (Tr. 94), LXX, ypów.

opray) vanipoh; LXX, hháros (the sac-eagle or osprey). Tristram (QS) takes is either as generic for all the eagles, or specific either for the golden eagle, 'not uncommon in winter over the whole country' but in summer only on Lebanon and Hermon, or (107) the osprey, which would be likely from its fish-eating habits to have a special name. Read eagle. In Arnabia the small swart-brown eagle of the desert is all (14,04) (19,04) (19,04), 'llying in the air they resemble sea-mews' (Doughty, L38), It 2,188.

13. glede, falcon, kite] ra'ah, 'ayyah, dayyah, of which the first is probably a clerical error for da'ah (from da'ah, to dart, of the eagle, xxviii. 49), darter or swooper, and the third a later variant of the same, being a gloss on the first (the LXX has only two names in the v.).

¹ Eels have indeed numerous small scales.

the after its kind; and every raven after its kind; and the ostrich, and the night hawk, and the seamew, and the hawk 16 after its kind; the little owl, and the great owl, and the 7 horned owl; and the pelican, and the valure, and the

Tristram (102, 98) suggests both the militus migraus, the black kite, and the bitto outgaris, the common buzzard: Ar. ¿dasbi is applied to all smaller eagles and buzzards. The 'aypah (from its cry; cp. Ar. yaya). Tristram (102) takes as muthous ictimus, the kite or red kite, 'perhaps the keenest-sighted of all the birds of prey,' cp. Job xaviii. 7. Read black and red kite or buzzard and kite. LVX, 'póp and fared.

after its kind] A phrase characteristic of P.

14. and every ratem, etc.] 'oreb Ar.; gbordb, covering all the species of the corridate in Palestine of which Tristam (7,4 f), distinguishes eight; a carrion feeder with the 'agub and rakham (Doughty, 11, 41, 18); that it was regarded by some tribes a sacred is seen from the use of its name as a personal name, Judg. vii. 25, and as a clan name among Arabs today. LNX Bo omits this clause; other codel, have it.

15. ostrich] bath hay-yaianeh either daughter of greed or of the plain; Arabs call it father of the plains; they eat the breast (Doughty,

1. 132 f.). LXX, στρουθός.

night hawk) tahmas (violence; Ar. zalim also means both violence and ostrich). Some take it as the male ostrich. Tristram (90): the barn-owl, strix flammea. LXX, γλάνξ.

seamew] shahaph, LXX, λάρος, cormorant; gull (Post, Hastings' D.B.); sterna fluviatilis, tern (Tr. 135).

hawk] nes, LXX, lépag. Tristram (106): generic for all small hawks,

such as sparrow-hawk (accipiter nisus, 106), kestrel, etc.

16. little owl] kθs, LXX, νυκτικόραξ (?), both night-jar and screechowl. Tristram (ο3): 'probably' the southern little owl, Athene glaux,

'one of the most universally distributed birds in the Holy Land.' It inhabits ruins, Ps. cii. 6 (7). Arabs call it 'mother of ruins.'

great owl] yanshuph, LXX, elßis. Tristram (93): eagle-owl, bubo

ascalaphus, haunting ruins and caverns.

horned oral I finshemeth, A.V. stoam. Tristram: probably the glossy
bis. Owls are eaten by one tribe, at least, in Arabia, for which they
are derided by other Arabs (Doughty, 1. 205). The owl is one of the

birds to which most often the Arabs attribute human qualities.
17. pelican] ka'ath, LXX, πελεκάν. Tristram (108) suggests the

roseate pelican, P. onocrotalus.

rudlure) radjamath, Ar rathkim, 'a small white carrion eagle,' migratory, and haunting the abodes of men, one of the commonest cannobirds in Arabia, 'the white scavenger' [Doughty, passim; cp. Burton, Pligrimage, etc., II. 61]; according to Tristam (66) the newborn perconspersus; in Arabia their flesh is forbidden meat, yet mothers give it to their children to expel worms (Doughty, I. 393). The name appears to be derived from its affection to its young, which in xxxii. 11 is imputed also to the nacher. LXX, science, swarp. cormorant; and the stork, and the heron after its kind, and 18 the hoopoe, and the bat. And all winged creeping things 19 are unclean unto you: they shall not be eaten. Of all clean 20 fowls ve may eat.

Ye shall not eat of any thing that dieth of itself: thou 21 mayest give it unto the stranger that is within thy gates, that

cormorant] shalak, that hurls itself on the prev. LXX, καταράκτης.

Tristram (107): phalacrocorax carbo.

18. stork] hasidah. Tristram (111): white stork, ciconia alba; an unclean feeder (on offal, etc.), its flesh is rank.

heron] 'anaphah. Tristram (109): the common heron, ardea cinerea: an edible bird, in Europe once highly prized at table; but feeding on, besides fish, many unclean land animals, snakes, rats, etc.

hoopoel duki thath, A.V. latwing, Tristram (80); hoopoe, upupa etops,

bat] 'atalleph (cp. ἀττέλαβος, a kind of locust in N. Africa, Herod. iv. 172). In Palestine it haunts caverns and (as in Egypt) sepulchres. There is no doubt that the cheeping and muttering attributed to the dead (Is. vii.) was derived from the sound made by the crowds of this animal when disturbed in sepulchres.

19. all winged creeping things are unclean Lit. swarming things that fly, all winged insects. To this Lev. xi. 21 f. adds that go upon all fours and excepts from the rule such as have jointed legs above their feet to leap on the earth, i.e. various kinds of leaping locusts, as distinguished from the running locust (see Shipley and Cook, art. 'Locust' in E.B.). They come under the clean insects of the next v.

Of all clean winged things ye may eat] R.V. fowl is misleading; the term winged covers both birds and flying insects and here probably refers only to the latter. Arabs and other eastern peoples eat locusts not only in time of famine; fried or made into cakes they are considered a delicacy (Burton, Pilgrimage, etc., 11. 117; Doughty, 1. 472,

11. 245 f., 323; Musil, Ethn. Ber. 151).

Nothing is said of reptiles (frogs may be supposed to fall under the class of unclean fishes, v. 10). Lev. xi. 29 ff. counts as unclean, the weasel, mouse, lizards, chameleon and v. 41 serpents. Arabs eat lizards, 'very sweet meat,' though some abhor them as serpents (Doughty, 1. 70, 326, 11, 533; cp. for ancient Arabia, G. Jacob, 24, 05); and even one species of serpent is eaten (Musil, Ethn. Ber. 151). And mice are eaten both by some Arabs and in N. Syria (Tristram).

21. Ye shall not eat of any thing which dieth of itself | Lit. any carcase. anything found dead, without being slain by the finder. There is a possible case in Doughty, 11, 120; but usually when an Arab sees his camel must die, in consequence of an accident, he slays it forthwith. thou mayest give it unto the stranger | The ger or foreigner settled in

Israel (see on i. 16), distinct from the following foreigner, not settled, but trading, with Israel.

E, Ex. xxii. 30 (31) enjoins that flesh torn of beasts shall be given to

he may eat it; or thou mayest sell it unto a foreigner: for thou art an holy people unto the LORD thy God. Thou shalt not seethe a kid in its mother's milk.

dogs; but H, Lev. xvii. 15, enjoins that neither that which dies of itself nor what is torn of beasts shall be eaten either by Israelite or by gêr: obviously a later law, when the position of the gêr was more established in Israel and he was brought further into religious communion.

for thou art an holy people] As in v. 2.

See further on Unclean and Clean Foods, Appendix I.

Thou shell not settle a kid in it muther's milk [So E, Ex. xxiii-19, and I), xxxiiv. 26. The prohibition has a natural seemliness like those laws in H, Lev. xxii. 27b, which forbid the sacrifice of a call, family, or the control of the co

22-29. OF TITHES.

A tithe shall be taken of all the yearly produce of what is sown in the field, further defined as corn, wine and oil, and carried to the Sanctuary and eaten before God by the offerers along with the firstlings of oxen and sheep (2x1f.) but Israelities who dwell too far from the Sanctuary for this may turn their tithes into money, purchase at the Temple whatever they desire, and feast before God along with their to retain all the tithe within their gates for the Levites and other land-less poor to consume (28f.)—In the Sg. address throughout, like the

1 Some have even supposed that it was meant to exclude kids from use as food they were weamed, which is neither agreeable to reason (Calvin) nor to H's law quoted above.

2 M. Henry on Ex. xxiii. 19. He may have got this from Maintonides through leadard, or through Species whose Legar Interneuron was published some years before primitive peoples appear to regard mild. as equivalent to blood, the secting of a kin its monders mild would involve the partakers of the fields in the guilt of "easing with its monders mild would involve the partakers of the fields in the guilt of "easing with Cod would not admit a monstross thing in His secrifice, that a kin's field should be cooked in its dash milk, and thus as it were, in the result of the code in the contract of the code in the code of the co

Thou shalt surely tithe all the increase of thy seed, that 22 which cometh forth of the field year by year. And thou 23 shalt eat before the LORD thy God, in the place which he shall choose to cause his name to dwell there, the tithe of thy corn, of thy wine, and of thine oil, and the firstlings of

third form of the law of the Single Sanctuary, xii, 13 ff., with which also it has in common some phrases and ideas not found in the Pl. form of that law :- the definition of the tithe, corn, wine and oil ; thou shalt not forsake the Levite (unless this be an addition, see on v. 27); the wide permission to eat whatsoever thy soul desireth = after all the desire of thy soul, xii. 20 f.; another qualification of the law, in order to meet the needs of those at a distance, with the identical phrase because the place is too far from thee which etc., xii, at (Stevernagel's statement that the phrases eat before Iehovah, eat and be satisfied, etc., are also peculiar to the Sg. is very doubtful).

There is no law of tithes (so-called) in E or J; those in P, Nu. xviii. 21-22 (with the corresponding practice, Neb. x, 27 f.) and Ley, xxvii. 30 f., fundamentally differ from D's law of tithes. On this and the questions it raises and their solution in the later law of Israel, see

Additional Note below.

22. Thou shalt surely tithe Heb. tithing thou shalt tithe: an idiom

emphasising the bare fact. increase] Lit, income (or in-brought), revenue, all the produce.

of thy seed] Not of cereals alone, but inclusive of plantations as the next clause and the oil and wine of v. 23 show. Dillm, cites Isai, xvii. 10 f.; Jer. ii. 21; Ezek. xvii. 5. field] sadeh, here in its latest sense of cultivated ground; see on

vii. 22, xi. 15, etc.

23. eat before the LORD | See on xii. 7. the place which he shall choose] Sam., LXX, which Jehovah thy God

shall choose; see on xii. s. Before this the tithe was offered at the local sanctuaries, Am. iv. 4.

corn, wine, and oil Defining that which cometh forth from the field. A purely vegetable tithe: so always in D as in Nu, xviii, 27, 30, corn of threshing floor, fulness of winepress or vat (cp. D, xv. 14, xvi. 13), Neh. x. 35-37 (36-38), tithe of the ground (cp. Lev. xxvii, 30, whether of the seed of the land or fruit of tree). To this an animal tithe is added by Lev. xxvii. 32 and 2 Chron, xxxi. 6. Corn stands for all cereals: it is singular that nowhere is the fig, the third of the great triad of Israel's fruit trees, mentioned along with wine and oil.

and the firstlings, etc.] The law of firstlings is xv. 19 ff.; here they are mentioned only incidentally, perhaps because the tithes were to be presented at the same time with them. There is no reference here to an animal tithe. 'Mere firstlings, set apart from the yearly increase of the herds, distinct from the firstborn and offered as a substitute for the

animal tithe, are not to be thought of' (Dillm.).

thy herd and of thy flock; that thou mayest learn to fear 44 the LORD thy God always. And if the way be too long for thee, so that thou art not able to carry it, because the place is too far from thee, which the LORD thy God shall bless to set his name there, when the LORD thy God shall loss of thee; then shalt thou turn it into money, and bind up the

money in thine hand, and shalt go unto the place which the 26 LORD thy God shall choose: and thou shalt bestow the

that those mayest learn to fear) Such regular offerings mean the practice of the fear of God, for by them the offerers acknowledge that to God and not to their own labour the blessings of their fields are due. The same intention is attributed to making the people hear God's word, iv. 10 (p.x.), and to the injunction to the king to read always in the law, xvii. 10.

24f. Another practical consequence of the centralisation of the worship, like that which permits the profane slaughter and enjoyment of animals. xii 21f.

if the way be too long for thee, etc.] Cp. xii. 21: if the place ... be too far from thee, xix, 6.

when the LORD thy God shall bless thee] Means neither with a great extension of thy land (Knobel) nor with so rich a harvest that thou art unable to carry the tithe of it so far (Dilm.), but, more generally, with thy yearly harvests. Was there, then, no tithe when the harvest failed?

ishalt thou turn it into money] Heb. may mean either give it in or in exchange for, money. The Heb. keeph often=mitter, usually supposed to have been called so from its paleness (W. R. Smith, fourn. Phil. XIV. 123); but the root is just as probably focus off for our in piece (Jerusalem, 1, 230), and keeph is therefore applicable, and is applied, to other metals. In any case money is the right translation here. Coins proper were not in use in Israel before the Fersian period; but from a very early date there was a metallic currency, partly in silver (cp. 1900 Bec., Tell-el-Amaria Letters); of the latter the gero a rooth part of the shekel, Ezek. xlv. 11, was no doubt one form. On the currency in W. Asia see A. R. S. Kennedy in Hastings D.B. art. Money.'

thou shall bind up the money in thine hand] Heb. confine. As the Heb. for purse (Gen. xlii. 35; Prov. vii. 20) comes from another for of this root, we might use the Eng. denom. vb. thou shall purse it in thine hand. Usually money was carried in the girdle, but this seems to imply a form of purse attached to the fingers or wrist.

26. and thois that bestow the money I It was this law, which with other customs led to the rise of markets for cattle and other commodities in the Temple Courts with the consequent abuses, fostered by money for whatsoever thy soul desireth, for oxen, or for sheep, or for wine, or for strong drink, or for whatsoever thy soul asketh of thee: and thou shalt eat there before the Lord thy God, and thou shalt rejoice, thou and thine household: and the Levite that is within thy gates, thou 27 shalt not forsake him; for he hath no portion nor inheritance with thee.

At the end of every three years thou shalt bring forth all 28 the tithe of thine increase in the same year, and shalt lay it

the priests for their own enrichment, which our Lord chastised. Cp. Ier, vi. 13, vii. 11, xxiii. 11.

for whatsoever thy soul desireth...askth of thee] On the soul as seat of the appetite see xii. 20; on desireth, v. 21. The emphatic liberality of this provision is striking. Though the tithe is a vegetable one, flesh may be substituted for it: cp. v. 23 according to which it was to be eaten with the firstlings.

or for wins, or for throng drink) The attempt is sometimes made to argue that the juice of the vine when praised or prescribed in the O.T. is never an intoxicating liquor. That is clearly contradicted here; potest" [Jerome, which because of its effects is condemned in its v. 11, 22, xxviii. 7; Mic. iii. 11; 1 Sam. i. 15; Prov. xx. 1, and is for-bidden to preises on duty, Lev. x. 9; cp. Prov. xxx. 14, prescribed to invalids, The ail, from it hibbor-drandord. In Israel there was the analytic drandord and the prevails among conseives.

and then that Project? See on xii. 7.

thou and thine household As in xii. 7, 12, 18: the tithes or their equivalent are to be enjoyed, not as in P by the Temple Levites and Priests but by the offerers and their families including—

27. the Levitle within thy gates | The rural minister, dispossessed of his allowances by the removal of the tithe from the local sanctuaries.

thou shalt not forsake him] Not in LXX: which adds stranger, orban, and widow, and other formulas—an instance of how readily these were added by various editors.

28. At the end of every three years] xxvi. 12: when thou hast finished tithing all the tithe of thine income in the third year, which is the year of tithing. See below.

thou shall bring forth] That is for public or profane use as opposed to the bringing in of offerings designed for use in the sanctuary: cp. xvii. 5, xxii. 19, xxii. 15, 21, 24.

all the tithe] All, not prefixed to tithe in v. 22, has been variously interpreted either as meaning that the whole tithe was not exacted for the sanctuary in the first and second years but only a nominal tithe (as under Moslem law the tithe was sometimes only \(\frac{1}{2}\) th or even \(\frac{1}{2}\) th

13-2

29 up within thy gates: and the Levite, because he hath no portion nor inheritance with thee, and the stranger, and the fatherless, and the widow, which are within thy gates, shall come, and shall eat and be satisfied; that the LORD thy God may bless thee in all the work of thine hand which thou doest.

of the crop), and was to be fully exacted only in each third year for charitable purposes; or else that in the third year no tithe was taken to the Temple but all the tithe was given to the local poor (Oettli, Berth, and others). The latter seems the more likely. Steuernagel thinks that every third year there were two tithes exacted, that for the poor being in addition to that taken every year to the Sanctuary. But in that case the law would not have described the third year tithe for the poor as all the tithe.

and shalt lay it up within thy gates | Rather, let it remain or (lit.) rest there; either in distinction to the tithes of the other two years, which are carried from home to the Sanctuary; or else because instead of being consumed at once like those tithes it is to be stored for the

continual sustenance of-

29. the Levite | because he is landless and through the abolition of the local shrines has been deprived of his means of subsistence, and ofthe stranger, the fatherless, and the widow | for they also are landless. D frequently emphasises the duty of caring for them, xvi. 11, 14, xxiv.

17, 10 ff., xxvi. 12 f.

shall eat and be satisfied Here the words before Jehovah and rejoice.

used in connection with the eating of tithes at the Sanctuary, are omitted: for this is not like that, a festal celebration. On the contrary the third year tithe is designed for the common daily sustenance of those poor persons. This secularisation of the tithe (as it would be called to-day) is interesting; see Additional Note.

that the LORD thy God may bless thee] xxvi. 15. Such devotion of the tithe to the poor is a condition of the increase of the crop from which it is made.

ADDITIONAL NOTE ON TITHES.

According to 1 Sam. viii. 15, 17, a king if granted to Israel would be expected—in conformity with the practice of several ancient monarchies—to exact a tithe of his composing were no practice of several ancested industries—to scale at this of the manner of the appears in the earlier legislation, the Hollesser Code (Lev. ex.)—with, or Exclude. Vet all these require as efficient of the institution of the collective of the contractive of the third of the collective of the contractive of the third of the collective of the collective of the third of the collective of the c were offered on the 3rd day of the feast at the royal sanctuary at Bethel (Am. iv. 4:

see Wellh.'s note); and E, Gen. xxviii. 22 ascribes to Jacob at the same sanctuary the promise to God to tithe all He would give him.

From these data several inferences have been drawn:—(1) that the tithes of D and

the later signification (see below) were the same as the fine-fruits (credit and diskurring of the earlier (Novel, Neth. Perk. 11, 187 \$1.), on the proposal content of an agree of the earlier (Novel, Neth. Perk. 11, 187 \$1.), on the proposal content of an agree the Levice titels in P (Dr. Mat. Now. 160; Mangoy). (c) that the same offering was the Levice titels in P (Dr. Mat. Now. 160; Mangoy). (c) that the same offering was a second of the same o

entertainment of the worshipping guests. The thickness of D imply that some such custom prevailed at the rarial mortuaries. The tithickness of D imply that some such custom prevailed as the rarial solution of the contract of the contract

In P the tithe-law, Num. xviii. 21-32, is very different. All the tithe in Israel, the tithe of the children of Israel which they offer as a contribution to Jehovah is given as an inheritance to the landless Levites, for the service which they serve, even the service of the tent of the meeting, the central sanctuary, and they in turn are to give a tithe of this tithe to Aaron the priest. And this was that part of the law of God given by Moses and sworn to by the people under Nehemiah, according to which they were to bring in the tithes of their ground to the Levites-the Levites take the tither in all the townships of our tillage-and the Levites were to bring the tithe of their tithe to the house of God (Neh. x. 37 f.). These injunctions are irreconcileable with those of D. The tithe, which in D is enjoyed by the offerers, by the Levites of the rural sanctuaries, and by the poor and the gerim, is in P the inheritance of the Levites at the central sanctuary. D and P represent not only differing practices, but incompatible principles of practice. Which is the earlier of the two? It is of course possible to argue that the original disposition of the tithe was purely religious or ecclesiastical and that D represents a later and more liberal spirit, which extended the enjoyment of it to the laity. But the converse is far more probable in view of that steady increase of all the priests' establishments and revenues-with the consequent encroachments on the rights of the people-which is so fully illustrated in the historical Books. For an interesting and suggestive discussion of the problems arising from this subject see 'The Deuteronomic Tithe' by Prof. J. M. Powis Smith in The Amer. Journ. of Theology, January, 1914.

CH. XV. 1-11. THE YEAR OF REMISSION: (1) OF DEBTS.

Every seventh year Israel shall make Remission or Release (1). Creditors shall cancel their loans to fellow-Israelites-it is the Lord's Remission-but not those to foreigners (2 f.). But there shall be no need for this law if Israel keep God's commandments, for then (under His blessing) there shall be no poor; and Israel shall lend to and not borrow from other peoples (4-6). Israel must not allow the approach of the year of Remission to operate as a motive for refusing loans to the poor, who shall never cease out of the land (7-11) .- In the Sg. address throughout. The law proper (v. 3, see note) apparently cites an earlier law; vv. 4-6 are by some (e.g. Steuern., Berth.) regarded as being, or containing, editorial additions, partly because v. 4, there shall be no poor, contradicts v. 11, the poor shall never cease out of the land. But (apart altogether from the Oriental love of paradox) the two statements might naturally be made by the same writer, loval on the one side to D's governing ideal that Israel's obedience will ensure their prosperity, and on the other to 'D's intense philanthropy as applied to the actual needs of the present. Both in the analysis of the text of Deut. and (as we shall immediately see) in its interpretation we must keep in mind that the legislation is governed at once by religious ideals more or less impracticable and by an equally religious passion to provide in a practical way for the immediate interests of the people, especially the poor and friendless. There is therefore no cause to doubt the unity of the passage: except that the parenthesis in v. 4 b may be a later expansion, as it is superfluous before v. 6.

The other codes contain no exact counterpart to this law of D. But E, Ex. xxiii. 10 f., commands that every seventh year the ground shall lie fallow-thou shalt remit or release it-and so too the vineyards and oliveyards-that the poor of thy people may eat; and H, Lev. xxv. t-7, enjoins that in the seventh year the land shall not be sown nor the fruit-trees pruned, it shall be a year of Sabbath or solemn rest. The law, of which these are successive editions, was apparently based on the original rights of the whole community to the land (cp. for other nations Sir Henry Maine's Village Communities East and West, 77 ff., 107 ff.; Fenton, Early Heb. Life, 24 ff., 29 ff., 64 ff.). The connections between this law and D's remission of debt are obscure. Is D's law meant as an addition to E's, or as a substitute for it in different economic conditions? The latter alternative is unlikely; though D (v. 3) alone speaks of loans to foreigners, which implies commerce, his directions as to loans to Israelites are not practicable in a commercial community and imply as purely an agricultural one as E's law does; but D has no law for the land lying fallow. Dillmann holding that a complete cancelling of debts every seventh year was impracticable, argues that D takes E's law for granted and has framed his own to meet the consequences of E's. If the land lay fallow for the seventh year the poor cultivators could not repay loans made to them by their richer neighbours, and therefore the repayment was suspended

At the end of every seven years thou shalt make a release. 15

for that year only (cp. Driver, Deut. 177 f.). This is plausible; but there is much to contradict it. To begin with, it is very doubtful whether E's seventh year in which the ground was to lie fallow was to be the same year for the whole land i, whereas D's seventh year of remission was (as we see from vv. 4-6) the same everywhere and for everybody. Again, the verb from which the Heb, noun for Remission comes means not suspension but total remission (Jer. xvii. 4). Again, if the law had intended merely a suspension of the loan there would hardly have been need for the warning in v. q, not to use the approach of the seventh year as a pretext for refusing a loan. This view is confirmed by the fact that the loans to which D's law refers were not business, but charitable loans, made for the relief of the poor, v. 6, and without any charge for interest, xxiii. 10 (20). It was no more impracticable to command their total remission in the seventh year, when after several harvests the debtor's inability to pay had been fully proved, than to command the initial granting of the loan itself. D's law was not for the regulation of commerce, but for the inculcation of liberality to poor neighbours. This line of argument also precludes the view held by some that D's law does not refer to the repayment of the principal of the loan, but commands only the suspension for one year of the interest. As we have seen this class of loans bore no interest. And indeed vv. 2 f. are explicit that it is the whole loan which is to be remitted: whatsoever of thine is with thy brother. Nehemiah (ch. v.) found among the returned exiles the practice of exacting both principal and interest from poor debtors, and he abolished these exactions. The later Jewish law clearly understood the remission to be that of the capital sum, and because this was impracticable in the case of commercial loans, provided legal means of evading it in the seventh year. (Mishna, 'Shebi'ith, x. 3-7; Schurer, Hist. of the Jewish People, E. T. II. i. 362 f.)

The above view, that the law intends a total remission of the loan, is held by Philo-Gostonia. Well. Nowest. Itemsings, Storent, Berth, I. W. Robinon, its Cassion, well. Nowest. Itemsings, Storent, Berth, I. W. Robinon, its a more sugernion of poyment is intended in held by Kuobek Keil, Dillin, Richm, but we are not perhaps sufficiently acquainted with the circumstances. In the late of fed perfectly confident that it is correct. Against "while as in we regulating interpretation [i.e. mere suppression of responsible, it is possible that in its original interpretation [i.e. mere suppression of responsible, it is possible that in its original interpretation [i.e. mere suppression of responsible, it is possible that in its original life, total remission] may be the correct one. W. R. Smith, &.E., art. Sabbatcal Var., given the alternatives, either no intrinse it to be exacted, or no proceedings are

1. At the end of seven years] So Heb. That is, in the seventh year, as is clearly put in v. 12 (cp. Jer. xxxiv. 14); see also xiv. 28.

a release] or remission, Heb. shemittah from shamat, to let drop

¹ In H it may be the same year for the whole land (Driver), but even this is not certain.

a And this is the manner of the release: every creditor shall release that which he hath lent unto his neighbour; he shall not exact it of his neighbour and his brother; because the 3 LORD's release hath been proclaimed. Of a foreigner thou mayest exact it: but whatsoever of thine is with thy brother 4 thine hand shall 'release. Howbeit there shall be no poor with thee; (for the LORD will surely bless thee in the land which the LORD thy God giveth thee for an inheritance to 5 possess it.) if only thou diligently hearken unto the voice of the LORD thy God, to observe to do all this commandment 6 which I command thee this day. For the LORD thy God will bless thee, as he promised thee; and thou shalt lend

1 Or, release: save when there &c.

(2 Kgs ix. 33; let her drop) or lapse: Ex. xxiii. 11, thou shalt let it (the land or its crop) lapse, i.e. lie fallow; v. 3 of a debt.

2. And this is the manner of the release] Lit, the word or law (or as

we might say text) of: cp. xix. 4 R.V. this is the case of. The following clause is a citation of an older law, as we see further from its phrasing. every creditor] Lit. every owner (ba'al cp. Ex. xxii. 14) of a loan of his hand, of anything he has lifted or made over at his own hand.

neighbour] Heb. rēd', very seldom used with the Sg. address for felighbours-braditis, and possibly always, as here, in quotations, xix. 4.f., xxiv. 10. The synonymous term, brader, is used by the writer of the Sg. about 25 times, and has probably been inserted by him in this citation (Steuern.).

the LORD's release] by His order, or for His sake.

hath been proclaimed which shows that this year is the same for the whole nation.

 foreigner] nokrī distinct not only from neighbour- or brother-Israelite, but also from gêr the foreign client or settler in Israel (xiv. 21).

6. Herebrit three shall be no poor with the? Dillin. etc. transl: should be no poor with the? Dillin. etc. transl: should be no poor. But this is not a correct rendering of the the, which uses the positive form of the vb.; and it weakens the writer's confident emphasis on his ideal. He is stating not so much what should be as what should be, if only [vat's see on x. 15] Israel obeys the and probably a later examsion.
e. The rest of v. 4, is a parenthesis, and probably a later examsion.

for the LORD will surely bless thee] Sam., LXX add thy God; cp. ii. 7, xxviii. 8.

giveth thee for an inheritance, etc.] See on iv. 21.

5. to observe to do] See on v. 1.
all this commandment, etc.] See on v. 21. viii. I.

6. will bless thee] Heb. is stronger, shall have blessed thee.

unto many nations, but thou shalt not borrow; and thou shalt rule over many nations, but they shall not rule over thee.

If there be with thee a poor man, one of thy brethren, 7 within any of thy gates in thy land which the LORD thy God giveth thee, thou shalt not harden thine heart, nor shut thine hand from thy poor brother: but thou shalt surely 8 open thine hand unto him, and shalt surely lend him sufficient for his need in that which he wanteth. Beware that 9 there be not a base thought in thine heart, saying, The

thou shalt lend unto many nations, but thou shalt not borrow Heb. shalt take, but shalt not give, pledges; cp. 8, xxiv. 10-13. This promise of a large foreign commerce, repeated xxviii. 12 f. (with the contrast in 43 f.) is peculiar to D among the codes of Israel. It covers. of course, not only the lending of money and bullion (banking proper), but the sale of goods on credit at interest, to other nations. Such a foreign trade appears to have flourished with great profit both to Judah and Israel under the long contemporary reigns of Uzziah and Jeroboam II (Is. ii. 7; Hos. xii. 7). There was large commerce with foreigners under Manasseh; cp. Ezekiel's name for Jerusalem, the gate of the peoples (xxvi, 2, LXX), and the king of Persia's refusal to allow the walls of Jerusalem to be rebuilt lest her former power of exacting tolls and customs should revive (Ezr. iv. 20). It is striking, however, that the fulfilment of D's promise was most fully realised not while Israel remained on their own land but after their dispersion among the nations, from the Greek period onwards. Strabo's words (quoted in Jos. XIV. Antt. vii. 2) are a remarkable acknowledgement of the political as well as financial superiority foreseen by D for Israel: 'These Jews have penetrated to every city and it would not be easy to find a single place in the inhabited world which has not received this race, and where it has not become master.' See further Jerusalem, I. 370 f., II. 193 f., 392 ff.

7-11. One of the most beautiful as it is one of the most characteristic passages in the laws of D: illustrating not only the humane spirit, and the practical thoughtfulness of this code, but its extension of the Law to the thoughts and interests of the heart: CD. V. 21.

7. with thee a poor man, one of thy brethren Heb, in thee as in v. 4; poor, better needy.

in any of thy gates or townships; see on xii. 12.

harden thine heart] See on ii. 30; cp. 1 John iii. 17. 8. lend him] See on v. 6.

9. Beware] be on guard with respect to thyself; see on iv. q. a base thought in thine heart | Lit. a word or thing in thine heart, baseness, or worthlessness : bliya'al; see on xiii. 13 (14).

seventh year, the year of release, is at hand; and thine eye be evil against thy poor brother, and thou give him nought; and he cry unto the Lora gainst thee, and it be sin unto to thee. Thou shalt surely give him, and thine heart shall not be grieved when thou gives unto him: because that for this thing the Lora thy God shall bless thee in all thy work, is and in all that thou puttest thine hand unto. For the poor shall never cease out of the land: therefore I command thee, saying. Thou shalt surely open thine hand unto thy brother, to thy needy, and to thy poor, in thy land.

thine eye be evil] cruel or grudging, xxviii. 54, 56; the opposite of tender or compassionate.

and it be sin unto thee] 'that which we think our Prudence oft proves sin to us' (M. Henry).

10. thine heart shall not be grieved, etc.] God loveth a cheerful giver (2 Cor. ix. 7).

puttest thine hand unto] See on xii. 7.

11. For the poor shall never cease, etc.] See introd. note.

to thy needy, and to thy poor] Two of the three Hebrew synonyms for poor. The first is a passive form, forced, afflicted, then wretched, whether under persecution, poverty or exile, and so also subdued, mild, meek. The second is the Lat. ceenus. needy.

12-18. THE YEAR OF REMISSION: (2) OF SLAVES.

If a Hebrew, man or woman, serves as a slave for six years, in the seventh he shall not only go free but be liberally equipped from his owner's property; as Israel was a slave and redeemed by God (1; 1–15), which is the shall be bound to his service for ever (16f.). Nor must his emancipation seem hard to the owner: six years' profit from a slave is double the hire of a hireling (8j.)—Sg. throughout. Whether there are any editorial additions is uncertain: the prevailing use of the mass. for slave seems to some to point to the phrase or an Hebrer seems.

The corresponding law in E, Ex. xxi. 2—6 (see Driver's notes), also directs the emancipation of a Hebrew bondman after six years' service, does not mention bondwoman (for the slaw-concubine he has a further law, ev. 7—11) but provided (sa D does not) for the bondman's wife: if he has entered service married he takes his wife out; if his master has given him a wife she and their children remain his master's property; and to his love for his master E adds that for his wife and children as a motive for his electing to remain. The ceremony of binding him to the service is the same as in D with an addition (see on v. 17). E does not provide equipment for the freed slave.

The law in Lev. xxv. 39—55 (H expanded by P) deals with both the thebrew and the foreign bondman. The former is not to serve as slave but as a hired servant, up to the year of jubile (when all land returns to its original owners), and then go free with his children to his own family and his father's possession; nothing, therefore, is said of a seventh year. Thus practically no Israelite is to be a slave: one Israelite shall not rule ever another with rigour. But slaves of foreign birth or from among the girdra are their purchaser's possession for ever and heritable property. If a poor Hebrew sell himself to a foreigner, he may be redeemed by himself or his family, and a scale is fixed for he may be redeemed by himself or his family, and a scale is fixed for the control of the

The gradation of these laws, though not so marked as in the case of some others, is sufficiently clear. E's is the most primitive: D's dependence on E is probable but not so evident as in other cases; beginning to the probable but not so evident as in other cases; and the second probable but not so may be a sufficient to the second probable but not be second probable but not to Sg. address) and pleading motives for it which are characteristic of him (e.g. ev. 15, 89). Do have the quality characteristic addition about the equipment of the freed slave. Lev. xiv. 39—56, with its addition of the probable but not second probable but not be sufficiently and the support of the freed slave. Lev. xiv. 39—56, with its addition of the probable but not support the support of the probable but not support the support of the support of the probable but not support of the support

only after it.

Besides, the postponement of the emancipation from the 7th year to that of the hulbs seems to imply that E's and D's law which fixed it for the former had been found impacticable; P'(or H?) therefore prolongs the period of service, but comand (Driver, Dev. 18). Calvir's explanation—that the term 'public's extended to mean every seventh year; or that the slaves to be freed at the 'public' were those who refused unfanchientent in the seventh year and being on fully in their convers power

On the neglect of the law see Jer. xxxiv. 8 ff.; Neh. v. 5.

Two other things need to be noted :-(i) The causes by which I restities fell into abserve were mainly poverty and crime. A man unable to synthemotory or purchase selected in the contract of the contract of

12 If thy brother, an Hebrew man, or an Hebrew woman, be sold unto thee, and serve thee six years; then in the 13 seventh year thou shalt let him go free from thee. And

does not allow him to kill them (id. 20), and if he destroy the eye or tooth of a slave

he must set him free (Ex. xxi. xf.).

Similarly in Arabia to-day, where the condition of slaves well illustrates their Similarly in Arabia to-day, where the condition of slaves well illustrates their course varies according to the character of the master, and in particular slaves on the control of the control of the slaves of all weyk. In an order to the slave of all weyk has no hard time and all are members of the family representation of the slaves of all weyk. In an or hard time and all are members of the family representation of the slaves of the slav

The Code of Hammurabi has this law (§ 17);—If a man owes a debt and he has given his wife, his son or his daughter [as hostage] for the money, or has handed some one over to work it off, the hostage shall do the work of the creditor's house; but in the fourth year he shall set them free (C. H. W. Johns, Babylonian and Assyrian Laws, etc., st.)

12. thy brother] See on v. 2.

an Hibéreu man, or an Hibéreu woman) E, Ex, xxi. 3, an Hibéreu Italics, Or. T. Hérèue is used ditter when foreignens are speaking of Irante, or in order to distinguish Israelites from foreignens. Here the Hebs, or in order to distinguish Israelites from foreignens. Here the Hebs, or in order to the Hebs, or in the He

be sold unto thee] Lev. xxv. 39 A.V.: but the vb. equally means sell himselt. E. Ex. xxi. 2, has if thou buy.

and serve] more probably he shall serve (cp. Ex. xxi. 2).

in the seventh year thou shalt let him go] send or dismiss him. Neither in E nor D is there any hint of this number being suggested by the weekly sabbath; this association first appears in H's law of the seventh fallow year. Lev. xxv. 2 ff.

free] the same adj. in Ex. xxi. 2, 5, and elsewhere of freedom from slavery.

when thou lettest him go free from thee, thou shalt not let him go empty: thou shalf furnish him liberally out of thy 14 flock, and out of thy threshing-floor, and out of thy winepress: as the Loro thy God hath blessed thee thou shalt give unto him. And thou shalt remember that thou wast a God bondman in the land of Egypt, and the Loro thy God redeemed thee: therefore I command thee this thing to-day. And it shall be, if he say unto thee, I will not go out from 16 thee; because he loveth thee and thine house, because he is well with thee; then thou shalt take an and, and thrust it 17

18, 14. Peculiar to D and characteristic of its philanthropy.

13. empty] In Pent. only in E (Gen. xxxi. 42; Ex. iii. 21, xxiii. 15), I (xxxiv. 20) and D (here, and xvi. 16).

14. thou shalt furnish kim liberally] Lit make-lim-a-necklace (with emphatic repetition of the vb.). In this metaphos is the idea of loading or that of ornamenting (embellishing, equipping) the governing one? Probably both are combined; the metaphor rising from the primitive custom of hoarding the family wealth in heavy necklaces or headdresses. Less likely is the derivation from the use of the collar or necklace as a badge of rank or office (as it was in Egypt, Gen. xli. 42, and Persia, 1 Edr. iii. 6).

A similar liberality is exercised in Arabia (Doughty, Ar. Des. I. 554).

"It is not many years, "if their house-food fears Ullah," before he will give hear their liberty; and often he sends them on a way empty year in Ullahar Anabata (where where the property of the servents, male and female, endowing them with somewhat of his own substance, whether cannel by epidam-term." (Or housely-largeoutly, Forker, 11, 21; "the wellsed property of the property of the property of the property of the property of entablishment, and enancipation ranks in itself as a meritorious act; the family bead entablishment, and enancipation females." I distinct supply a well as the property of the property of

flock, threshing-floor and wine-press | Cp. xiv. 23, xvi. 13.

as the LORD thy God hath blessed thee] vii. 13, xii. 15, xvi. 17.

15. The motive characteristic of D, v. 15, xvi. 12, xxiv. 18, 22:

cp. x. 19.

16. And it shall be, if he say unto thee] E, Ex. xxi. 5, more simply

16. And it shall be, if he say unto thee] E, Ex. xxi. 5, more simply And if the slave say.

I will not go out from thee] E, I will not go out free. On go out, cp.

xiii. 13.

because he loveth thee and thine house] On the treatment of slaves

see introd. note.

17. thou shalt take an awl] Lit. a borer, only here and in Ex. xxi. 6. and thrust it through his ear] Lit. set, or give, it; E, bore or pierce his ear. His ear because it is the organ of obedience. Co. Ps. xl. 6. mine.

through his ear unto the door, and he shall be thy 'servant for ever. And also unto thy 'maidservant thou shalt do Islikewise. It shall not seem hard unto thee, when thou lettest him go free from thee; for to the double of the hire of an hireling hath he served thee six years; and the LORD thy God shall bless thee in all that thou doest.

1 Or, bondman

² Or, bondwoman

ears thou hast opened; 'Isai.' 1. 4f., morning by morning he wakeneth mine ear to hear as the taught... The Lord Jehovah hath opened mine ear. In the Code of Hammurabi (§ 282) the slave who denies his master has

his ear cut off.

unto the door] E, to the door or doorpoot, i.e. of his master's house. See Driver on Ex. xxi. 6, and the meaning of the other phrase there, to the Elohim, which D omits, whether because it means the local sanctuary, abolished by D's law, or some domestic image of deity, still more repugnant to D. See Clay Trumbull, The Threshold Covenant, 110.

thy bondman for ever] i.e. for life; 'again a good example of the relative force of the Heb. phrase for ever' (Berth.).

And also unto thy bondwoman, etc.] See introd. note.

18. It shall not be hard in thine eye] See on v. 9. How well this legislator knew the hearts of his people may be seen from Jer.

xxxiv. 8 ff.

for to the double of the hire of an hireling hath he served thee] [ewish

commentators inferred from this that the hired servant served only for three years! (Cornelius a Lapide in lovo). Calvin thinks that it means that a slave under compulsion worked twice as hard—which is contrary to experience. Rather, the cost of keeping a slave was only half of the current wage for a free servant.

and the LORD thy God shall bless thee] See v. 10.

19-23. OF FIRSTLINGS.

All male firstlings of herd and flock are to be anactified to Jehovah; those of the ox shall not work nor those of the sheep be shorn; their flesh shall be eaten before the Lord by the offerer and his household as sacrificed, but eaten at home under the conditions laid down (xii: so ff.) for the profane slaughter and eating of animals (s1--2s).—Sg. through out. Steuern. lakes 21 and 221, as probably later additions on the but their specific on in this law is pertinent to its central purpose. For reasons why the law is placed just here see below on v. 20.

The earliest law on firstlings is found in variant forms in J, Ex. xiii. 11-16, xxxiv. 19 f. and E, Ex. xxii. 29 f. (see the notes in Driver's

All the firstling males that are born of thy herd and of 19 thy flock thou shalt sanctify unto the Lord thy God: thou shalt do no work with the firstling of thine ox, nor shear the firstling of thy flock. Thou shalt eat it before the 20

Exod. 108, 235, 370 ff. with comparative table). These enjoin the passing over or giving to the Lord of all firstborn males, both human and animal; those of men and 'unclean' animals (i.e. unfit for sacrifice) may be redeemed. D does not give so full a law on the subject, for his only intention is to adapt the practice enjoined in these earlier laws to the new conditions in which sacrifice is lawful only at the one shrine. Hence he says nothing of the firstborn of men or of unclean beasts. And hence he omits the provision in Ex. xxii, 20 f. that the firstlings of ox and sheep were to be taken from the dam after seven days and on the eighth given to the Lord: because, while this was practicable when there were many local shrines, it is no longer so when there is to be one altar. Hence also he substitutes the general direction that the offerings are to be made year by year. No more clear illustration could be afforded of the fact that D's code was not intended as a complete legislation, but that its motive was simply to modify earlier codes or the consuetudinary laws of Israel to the new situation brought about by its central law of one sanctuary .- P's law on the subject, Num. xviii. 15-18, is similar to the others; but adds that the flesh of the firstlings of oxen, sheep and goats shall be the perquisite of the priests: an injunction irreconcileable with D's, that it is to be enjoyed by the offerer and his family, and indicative, like so much else in P. of the growing power of the priesthood to absorb what had previously been the rights of the laity.

19. firriling) Heb. bblr. firstborn both of men (e.g. xxi. 15 fa. Ex. xi. 2) and of animals: either collectively or of the individual firstling. The root meaning is to break; and bblx is defined (Ex. xiii. 2, xxiiv. 1) as that which openath, or cleartel, the world it covers, therefore, not the earliest births of every year in the herd rock, but the firstborn of every dam. W. K. Smith, Rd. Son. 443, compares the ambiguous Ar. farni. Another form, biblishir, is applied to firefraint in general 3 biblishirah is the early ig (Blt.).

made) At least a preference for male victims is found among the Semites generally, even where the deity is a goddess, W. R. Smith, Rel. Sem. 380 n.; with instances from the Semitic and African races. He connects the distinction on the one hand with the prevalence of kinship through women and on the other with the fact that the cow fosters man with its nilk.

thou shalt sanctify unto the LORD] So P, Ex. xiii. 2 (but with a different form of the same vb.); J, Ex. xiii. 12, thou shalt cause to pass over to Ichovah: xxxiv, 10, all that obsent the womb is mine.

20. thou shalt eat it before the LORD thy God] See on xii. 7, 12, 18.

LORD thy God year by year in the place which the LORD 21 shall choose, thou and thy household. And if it have any blemish, as if it be lame or blind, any ill blemish whatsoever, 22 thou shalt not sacrifice it unto the LORD thy God. Thou

shalt eat it within thy gates: the unclean and the clean 23 shall eat it alike, as the gazelle, and as the hart. Only thou shalt not eat the blood thereof; thou shalt pour it out upon the ground as water.

year by year] At one of the feasts, probably the Passover, hence the place of this law of firstlings; in D immediately before that on the Passover, in Ex. xxxiv. 19 immediately after that on unleavened bread.

in the place, etc.] See on xii. 5, 18.

thy household including the local Levite, as explicitly stated in xii. 12, 18.

21. any blemish See on xvii. 1. Thou shalt not sacrifice it, i.e.

at the one altar where alone sacrifice was now lawful; but—

Thou shalt eat it within thy gales] as an ordinary meal without rites; see on xii. 21.
 See on xii. 22.

CH. XVI. 1-17. THE THREE FEASTS.

Every year Israel shall celebrate three Feasts at the Sanctuary, First, in the spring month Abily, a Passover, Prads, with the Feast of Magshé or unleavened loaves (1—8, cp. 16). Second, seen weeks from the time the sickle is put to the corn, the Feast of Weeks, Shabu'sh (9—12). Third, after the ingathering from threshing-floor and winepress, the Feast of Booths, Subboth (13—15). Thus thrice a year all males shall appear before God, with gifts (16.5).—In Sg. throughout; on the questionable integrity of the passage see below.

The same three leasts are prescribed in E. Ex. xxiii. 15, a. 16, Magnith, Kapir or Harvest, and Majth or Ingathering, the last at the going out of the year, the early Isnellie year ending in September; and in J. Ex. xxiv. 16, a. 3, a. 3, Magnith (s. 2, 5, Passover), Weeks and Ingathering the September; Weeks and September; Leaves and September; Leaves and September; Leaves and Magnith on the 15th of the first month, recknoing now from spring when the later Isnellie, or Babylonian, year began; a sheaf of first first is to be brought to the priest with other offerings, and so days later a new meal offering; and on the 15th day of the seventh month, after the produce of the land is gathered in a feast of seven days shall find (with additional annual solemnities) Passover and Magnith fixed as in Lev. xxiii; a day of first first twith a new meal offering in Weeks;

Observe the month of Abib, and keep the passover unto 16

and on the 15th day of the seventh month a convocation with seven days

of sacrifices, and on the 8th another convocation.

See Chapman, Intr. to the Pent. 146 ff., and the relevant notes in

Driver's Exod. with a table (pp. 370 ff.) of the J and E laws 'derived evidently from a common original.'

The three Feats, Mapshb, Harrest or Weeks, and Ingathering or Booths are monoid an agricultural propris. The affective above the propriate propriet in the control of the propriate propre

1-8. THE PASSOVER (WITH MASSÔTH).

To be kept in Abib-for in that month Israel was brought out of Egypt-by the sacrifice of a victim from herd or flock at the One Altar (1 f.). For seven days unleavened bread shall be eaten-Israel's food in the haste of quitting Egypt, -and no leaven shall be found in their borders, nor any of the Passover flesh after the first evening (a f.). The Passover shall be boiled and eaten, the people returning next morning to their tents (5-7); for six days Israel shall eat unleavened bread, and on the seventh hold a convocation and do no work (8),-The integrity of the passage has been questioned (Steuern., Stark, Berth., Marti) and with reason. For not only do vv. 3 f. on Massôth break the connection of 1 f. with 5-7 on the Passover, while v. 8 also on Massôth reflects the style of P; but v. 7, fixing the Feast for one day after which the people are to return home, is difficult to harmonise with the seven days of vv. 3 f. and 8. Two explanations are possible :-(1) D's law originally consisted of vv. 1 f., 5-7, and dealt only with the Passover; and the vv. on Massôth are from an editor. But there is no reason why the original code of D should ignore Massôth-for which certainly E has a law, Ex. xxiii. 15 a, and (Steuern, notwithstanding) I also, Ex. xxxiv. 18 a-unless Massôth, a purely agricultural feast, had become too closely associated with the cults of the Baalim. (2) More probably we have here a compilation of two laws of D, originally separate, one on Passover and one on Massôth. In either case the combination of Passover and Massôth, which was not original and is not accepted even by H in Lev. xxiii. (5, 9 ff.; 6-8 are added by P), took place between the date of the original code of D and that of the final composition of the Book of Deuteronomy. 1. Observel As of the Sabbath, v. 12.

month of Abib] Abib = young ears of corn (Ex. ix. 31; Lev. ii. 14)

the Lord thy God; for in the month of Abib the Lord thy 2 God brought thee forth out of Egypt by night. And thou shalt sacrifice the passover unto the Lord thy God, of the flock and the herd, in the place which the Lord shall 3 choose to cause his name to dwell there. Thou shalt eat no leavened bread with it; seven days shalt thou eat unleavened bread therewith, even the bread of affliction; for thou camest forth out of the land of Egypt in haste: that thou mayest remember the day when thou camest forth

and the month fell in our March—April. So E and J (Ex. xiii. 4, xxiii. 15, xxxiv. 18). The name, belonging to the early agricultural calendar, was replaced after the Exile by the name Nisan of the later priestly calendar, in which it was the first month (P, Ex. xii. 1 f. etc.). and keel J Lit. make or perform: see v. 16.

passover] Heb. pésah, so named according to P, Ex. xii. 13, 23, 27, because God passed over (pasah) the Hebrews' houses when He smote the Egyptian first-born on the eve of the Exodus. Other etymologies suggested are:-(1) from the passage into the New Year (Reuss), but the Passover month did not become the first of Israel's year till after the Exile; (2) from pasah to limp (1 Kings xviii, 26) as if of some sacred dance connected with threshold-rites; (3) from its expiatory value; cp. Ass. pasahu, to placate the deity (Zimmern in Schrader's KAT3, 610 n.). Since the Passover was celebrated at night others (4) connect its origin with the phases of the moon. Whatever that origin may have been, the feast (as we have seen) was observed by Israel earlier than the Exodus and was possibly the same as the spring sacrifice of firstlings or other tribute from the flocks, common throughout the Semitic world. But its association with the Exodus was undoubtedly early and has ever since constituted its chief, if not its only, significance. The history and the meaning of the Passover have been so exhaustively treated in this series, Driver, Exod. Appendix I., that it is unnecessary to discuss the subject further here. 2. of the flock and the herd] Sheep, goat or ox, and doubtless as

of the flock and the herd] Sheep, goat or ox, and doubtless as in J, a firstling. P, Ex. xii. 3—6, prescribes a male of the first year (see Driver's note), but limits it to a lamb or kid: in later practice a lamb

was invariably chosen.

in the place which Jehovah shall choose] To Jehovah Sam. LXX add thy God. In J, Ex. xii. 21—26, the service is domestic; and P, Ex. xii. 3 ff., also preserves its domestic character, cp. v. 46.

3. 4. See introd. note.

bread of affliction] The affliction of Israel in Egypt, Ex. iii. 7, iv. 31, culminating in the haste or trepldation (Driver) with which they are their last meal there. So P, Ex. xii. 11; cp. for the meaning of the word, xx. 3; 1 Sam. xxiii. 26; 'Isai.' lii. 12.

out of the land of Egypt all the days of thy life. And there a shall be no leaven seen with thee in all thy borders seven days; neither shall any of the flesh, which thou sacrificest the first day at even, remain all night until the morning. Thou mayest not sacrifice the passover within any of thy g gates, which the Loxe thy God given thee: but at the 6 place which the Loxe thy God given thee: but at the 6 place which the Loxe thy God shall choose to cause his name to dwell in, there thou shalt sacrifice the passover at even, at the going down of the sun, at the season that thou camest forth out of Egypt. And thou shalt 'roast and eat 7 it in the place which the Loxe thy God shall choose: and thou shalt turn in the morning, and go unto thy tents. Six 8

1 Or, seethe

no leaven...neither shall any of the flesh..remain] The two prohibitions are connected because anything fermenting or putrefying was not admissible in sacrifice (W. R. Smith, Rel. Sem. 221 n.). Cp. P, Ex. xii. 19.

5, 6. See on v. 2. For at even, P, Ex. xii. 6, employs his technical expression between the two evenings, on which see Driver's note. Season, set time or date, i.e. hour of day.

7. And then that seekba] The Heb. bathol may be used in the general sense of cooking, but it usually means to boil (xiv. 21; 1 Sam. ii. 13, 15). The R.V. roast is due to the effort to harmonise this aw with that of P, Ex. xii. 9, which directs that the sacrifice shall be roast with fire; but P expressly adds that it shall not be boiled in water, and uses for this the same vb bathal as D does. Clearly D and P enjoin different methods of preparing the paschal lamb. Bailing appears to have been the earlier preparation of the part of victims eaten by the worshippers (fudg. vi. 10 ft.; 1 Sam. ii. 13). See however Directs note.

thou shalt turn] See on iii. 1.

and go unto thy tents] An interesting survival from the nomadic period of Israel's history; cp. (also for the time after the settlement in towns) Judg. vii. 8, xix. 9 (EVV. home); I Sam. xiii. 2; 2 Sam. xix. 8, xx. 22; I Kgs xii. 16. The people then are to return to their homes on the

morning after the Passover feast.

8. See introd. note. The incompatibility of this v. with the preceding is obvious unless we are to explain furth as the shelters which pilgrims to the central sanctuary pitched during the feast. But (as we have seen) fents means the people's homes. The numbering of the days is not clear. If the Passover day itself is included there is no contradiction of v. 3, for that was the first day of unleavened bread,

14-2

days thou shalt eat unleavened bread: and on the seventh day shall be a solemn assembly to the LORD thy God; thou shalt do no work therein.

9 Seven weeks shalt thou number unto thee: from the time thou beginnest to put the sickle to the standing corn shalt to thou begin to number seven weeks. And thou shalt keep the feast of weeks unto the Lopp thy Gop 'with a tribute of

1 Or, after the measure of the &c.

and this v. may be interpreted as also fixing seven days for the eating of such bread; but distinguishing them as six plan a seventh on which in addition the solemn assembly was to be held. But if the Passover aday was meant to be included it is strange that it is not mentioned. characteristic of P. a solemn assembly and thou shoult do no work (the latter however also in Deut. v. 13), it is probable that v. 8 is an addition by the compiler of the two once separate laws on the Passover and the Maşsöbt.

9-12. THE FEAST OF WEEKS.

To be joyfully celebrated after seven weeks from the beginning of harvest, with free-will offering, by each Israelite, along with his household and the local Levites and other poor at the One Altar (9—11). Whether v. 1 as original is doubtful; see below. For corresponding laws in other codes see introd. to vv. 1—17. This is the only lesst not Later Indaism assigned to it the giving of the Law on Sinai.

9. Seven weeks that thou number unto thee] Hence the name of the Feast, Weeks, Sabbet'dts, vo. 10, 16, also in J. Ex. xxxiv. 22. H, Lev. xxiii. 16, prescribes fifty days from the sabbath after the presentation before the Altar of the first sheaf of the harvest; hence the Hellenistic name Pentecost, 'the fiftieth' (day) or the day after the Hellenistic name Pentecost, 'the fiftieth' (day) or the day after the Marrest, implies that he harvest was by that time concluded. In the warmest, parts of Palestine bardly ripens in April, wheat later; but in The present writer has seen wheat reaped in Hauran as late as the second half of lune.

from the timic thou beginness, etc.] List from the start of the sickle (only here and switi: 3) on the transfing corn, a variable date; so H, Lev, xxiii. 15, f., 50 days from the sabbath after the presentation of the first sheaf. It is significant that while D's date starts from Massoth, he says nothing to date Weeks from the Passover: another indication Massoth were not yet analexantated. See introd, to pre 1:—8.

10. feast] Heb. hag, as in Rabbinic Hebrew a pilgrim-feast, and

a freewill offering of thine hand, which thou shalt give, according as the Lorn thy God blesseth thee: and thou II shalt rejoice before the Lorn thy God, thou, and thy son, and thy daughter, and thy manservant, and the fatherless, and the widow, that are in the midst of thee, in the place which the Lorn thy God shall choose to cause his name to dwell there. And thou shalt remember 12 that thou wast a bondman in Egypt: and thou shalt observe and do these statutes.

Thou shalt keep the feast of 1tabernacles seven days, after 13

1 Heb. booths.

in Ar. pilgrimage (perhaps originally a sacred dance, Wellh. Reste d. Arab. Heiden. III. 106, 165, and Ex. xxxiii. 5f.; cp. the vb hagag, Ps. xlii. 5, cvii. 27). So E, Ex. xxiii. 14, and frequently in O.T. of the three pilgrim feasts. See Driver's Exod. 242.

with a tribute of a free-will offering, etc.] Heb. (according to) the sufficiency of the free-will offering, etc.; i.e. with a gift (see on xii. 6) adequate to the competence of the offerer, as he has been blessed by God.

11. See on xii. 5, 7, 11 f. 18.

12. And thou shalt remember, etc.] See on xv. 15. This clause is not relevant to the whole law, but only to the inclusion under it of the bondservant, v. 11. It can hardly be original, and as the rest of the v. is purely formal, the whole is probably secondary.

13-15. THE FEAST OF BOOTHS.

To be observed for seven days after the harvest of corn and wine by each family and their dependents, at the One Altar; and that altogether joyfully because of God's blessing.—For the parallels and the other name of the Fests see introd. to wv. 1—17. This feast is also called the feast par excellence (I Kgs viii: 2, 65, etc., cp. Jud. xxi. 19 ff.) not so much for its length, as because it crowned the year. See further xxxi. 10.

13. That shalt keep! Heb. perform for thysel, see on v. 1.

the feast of booths feast, hag, as in v. 10. Booths, sukkôth, lit. platitings or interlacings, whether natural thickets (Job xxxviii. 40, etc.) or artificial shelters of branches or planks, especially for the guardians of vinewards (Is. is 8); applied first by D, and explained by H. Lev.

or artificial shelters of branches or planks, especially for the guardians of vineyards (i.s. i.8); applied first by D, and explained by H, Lev. xxiii. 39—43, which prescribes that the people shall dwell throughout the feast in booths of palm-fronds, boughs of thick trees and poplars (Neh. viii. 15, olive, myrtle, palm and thick tree branches). H's reason for this custom is that I stratel dwell in booths at the Exodus;

that thou hast gathered in from thy threshing-floor and from 14 thy winepress: and thou shalt rejoice in thy feast, thou, and thy son, and thy daughter, and thy manservant, and thy maidservant, and the Levite, and the stranger, and the fatherless,

15 and the widow, that are within thy gales. Seven days shalt thou keep a feast unto the Loro thy God in the place which the Loro shall choose: because the Loro thy God shall bless thee in all thine increase, and in all the work of thine hands, if and thou shalt be altogether joyful. Three times in a year

16 and thou shalt be altogether joyful. Three times in a year shall all thy males appear before the LORD thy God in the

but the general resort of the cultivators to booths in their vineyards at the time of the ripening of the grapes and the vintage, which still continues in Palestine (Robinson, Bib. Res. 11. 81), was no doubt very ancient and the real origin of the name of the Feast. After the centralisation of the cultus, the booths were erected in the courts and on the flat roots of the city. Neh, will i, 14—17, which implies that before the restoration of Straet's worship under Nehemiah the courts and the strategy of the strategy of the restoration of Straet's worship under Nehemiah the courts and the sense given by Johnson of 'cassal dwellings' (Lat. takerna a hut, takernaculum a tent).

seren dasy! Se H, Lev. xxiii. 30, to which P, Nu. xxix 53, adds an

eighth, with a convocation. Passover and Weeks are one day each.

14. and thou shall rejoice] As in v. 11 but slightly varied.

15. the place which the LORD shall choose On the effects of the

centralisation of the feasts see introd. to vv. 1-17.

and thou shall be altogether joyful] Heb. only, or nothing but, joyful. This emphatic repetition of the command is remarkable, but hardly sufficient to answer in the affirmative Steuernagel's question

whether the feast had before D's time begun to lose its ancient, joyous character.

16, 17 summarise the laws of the three feasts. v. 16 repeats (with a characteristic variation and addition of the divine title) the older commandment in J. Ex. xxxiv. 23, repeated (editorially) in E. xxii. 17; three times a year shall all thy males appear before the Leard fehenal. That only males are mentioned here, while vvv. 11, 14 include among the worshippers daughters, bondwomen and videntum, is no proof that this summary is from another hand than the three preceding laws (Steuern.). It is the same author but he is quoting the older law. In contrast with its confinement of the law to male. D's inclusion of women is characteristic; see on v. 21.

shall appear before the LORD thy God] Heb. shall let himself be seen at the face of, a possible but awkward construction. It is probable that the original reading, which may be restored without the change of a

place which he shall choose; in the feast of unleavened bread, and in the feast of weeks, and in the feast of tabernacles: and they shall not appear before the Loko empty: every man 'shall give as he is able, according to the blessing 17 of the Loko thy God which he hath given thee.

Judges and officers shalt thou make thee in all thy gates, 18

1 Heb. according to the gift of his hana.

consonant and by merely altering the vowel-points, was shall see the face of. The motive of the present punctuation would be the desire to avoid the anthropomorphism involved in the phrase 'seeing the face of God.'

II. SECOND DIVISION OF THE LAWS: THE OFFICERS OF THE THEOCRACY—xvi. 18—20...xvii. 8—xviii.

Five Laws on Judges and Justice, Appeal to the Sanctuary, the King, the Priests, the Prophets; interrupted by an isolated group of laws on the Worship, xvi. 21—xvii. 7.

XVI. 18-20. OF JUDGES AND JUSTICE.

Judges with officers are to be appointed in every locality but according to tribes. Justice is to be pursued with strict impartiality.-Sg. Steuern, regards v. 18 alone as original on the grounds that while it commits the discharge of justice to special judges, vv. 19 f. addresses the whole people as responsible for it; and that while 18 presupposes Israel's occupation of the land, 20 b promises this as the reward of the people's justice. But the former variation, though a possible, is not a certain, mark of diversity of authorship. The same author, after instituting the judges, might well address to the whole people his enforcement of the principles which were to inspire the institution. especially since (as we shall see) he left to the popular courts part of the duty of discharging justice. 20 b, a couple of deuteronomic formulas, may well be a later scribe's malapropos addition to the original law. There is no reason for doubting the integrity of the rest. 2, 10 is a close, but not exact, quotation from E. On the substance of this law see notes to i, o-18.

18. Judges...shalt thou make thee] Heb. give or appoint for

and officers] scribes or marshals. See on i. 15.

in all thy gates) The law is another consequence of the centralisation of the cultus. In ancient Israel ordinary cases were decided by the meeting of the community at the town's gate, and the harder cases referred to the local sanctuary for decision by its priest as God's representative; cp. the Elohim in E. Ex. xis. 6, xxii. 8 ft., 1 Sam. ii. 25.

LORD thy God giveth thee.

which the LORD thy God giveth thee, according to thy tribes: and they shall judge the people with righteous 19 judgement. Thou shalt not wrest judgement; thou shalt not respect persons: neither shalt thou take a gift; for a gift doth blind the eyes of the wise, and pervert the 'words 20 of the righteous. "That which is altogether just shalt thou follow, that thou mayest live, and inherit the land which the

1 Or, cause 2 Heb. Justice, justice.

On the abolition of the local sanctuaries the former, the popular, court continued, as we see from the delere mentioned in six 12, xxii. 13,—x1, xxv. 3,—16, and combined with the judge; in xxi. 2. But other proceeds at the local sanctuaries, and it is defected jeris a here by the appointment of local lay judges, and second in xxii. 81. by the constitution of the Priests of the One Altar as a court of final reference. Josephus' version, 13′. Antl. viii. 14,—seven judges for each township with two times as a some of the price of the continued of the continues as a some probability reflects the arrangements of his own times.

according to thy tribes] This survival of the old tribal interests (i. 13), alongside of the new arrangement according to locality, is interesting.

and they shall judge, etc.] i. 16.

19. Thou] The whole people are responsible for the impartial

discharge of justice: characteristic of D.

shalt not wrest judgement] E, Ex. xxiii. 6: the judgement of thy
boor in his cause.

thou shalt not respect persons] See on i. 17.

notitier shalt thou take a gift, etc.] So E, Ex. xxiii. 8, except that for the eves of the wise it has the open-cycl or them that have sight.

a gifl. Heb. shohad, of a present in order to influence justice, a profibe (x. 17), a prevalent temptation of judges in the East, where he is regarded as still a just judge who takes gifts only from the party in the right, as it were a fee for his judgement or an inducement to hasten it. Here, however, the acceptance of any gift by a judge is forbidden. In the Code of Humantarib the skil haw, expelling from office the judge are not acceptance of the profit of the code of the store of the store

twords] Statements or pleas, equivalent to cause or case.

20. That which is allogether just | Heb. righteousness, righteousness. follow | Not only desire but indefatigably hunt after; cp. xiii. 14, inquire, make search and seek diligently.

that thou mayest live, etc.] See note on iv. 1 and introd. to this passage.

Thou shalt not plant thee an Asherah of any kind of 21 tree beside the altar of the LORD thy God, which thou shalt make thee. Neither shalt thou set thee up a 'pillar; which 22 the Lorn thy God hateth.

1 Or. obelisk

XVI. 21-XVII. 7. ISOLATED GROUP OF LAWS ON WORSHIP.

This group of laws against heathen symbols and blemished sacrifices and the worship of other gods—all of them abountations to, or hated by Jebovah—is quite isolated, between two sets of laws on judicial clave p. 173, Jordan 1992, and the set of laws on producing the set of laws of the set of laws of l

XVI. 21, 22. AGAINST THE USE OF 'ASHERIM AND MASSEBOTH.

21. Thou shalt not plant thee an Asherah] plant, because the 'Asherah (see general note following) was either a mast or artificial tree.

of any kind of tree] The Heb. construction is not in the genitive but in apposition; translate therefore: an 'Asherah, any tree or any timber.

beside the altar of the LORD by God] No doubt, the Heb. may mean either the (most), or any, altar(for the latter see Ex. xx. 26, where my after in the light of w. 24, must mean any of my after). Yet the former matring being the more tasted that the second of the control of the more tasted than the second of the control of the c

22. Neither shalt thou set thee up a pillar] raise for thyself a Massebah (see general note following) or standing-stone.

which the LORD thy God hateth] Similarly xii. 31, but with the addition there of abomination, which is wauting here but found in the next verse.

GENERAL NOTE ON THE 'ASHERAH AND MASSEBAH.

Two symbols or inhabitations of deity erected in sanctuaries throughout the Semitic world: frequently combined in the O.T. as present in Canaanite sanctuaries, and at first erected also by Israel but afterwards forbidden to them.

1. The 'Ashērah (plur. 'Ashērim, see xii. 3 and elsewhere, but 'Ashērōth 2 Chron. xix. 3, xxxiii. 3), artificial tree or mast set up like the masseboth by the altars of Semitic sanctuaries, a work of man's fingers (Isai. xvii. 8: cp. 1 Kgs xiv. 15, xvi. 13, 2 Kgs xxi. 3), wooden (xvi. 21, Judg. vi. 26, the wood of the 'A.; cp. the verbs used of it : plant, xvi. 21, rise, 'Isai.' xxvii. 9, pluck up, Mic. v. 14, cut down, vii. 5, Judg. vi. 25 f., 30, 2 Kgs xviii. 4, xxiii. 14, 2 Chron. xiv. 2, burn, here, 2 Kgs xxiii. 6, 15, in distinction from the breaking of the stone masseboth). Unlike the massebah the 'Asherah is never described as a sanctioned or tolerated part of Jehovah's sanctuaries. There was one by the altar of the Ba'al belonging to his father, which Gideon cut down (Judg. vi. 25 ff.); Ahab made the or an 'Asherah for the altar of the Ba'al in Samaria (1 Kgs xvi. 33), which appears to have been left by Jehu when he burned the masseboth there (2 Kgs x. 26 ff.; see however end of this note), for it still stood under Jehoahaz (2 Kgs xiii. 6). The deuteronomic editor of Kings says that in Judah Rehoboam raised masseboth and 'Asherim on every high hill and under every spreading tree (1 Kgs xiv. 23): Jehoshaphat is said to have removed them (2 Chron. xiv. 2, xvii. 6, xix. 3), but they were restored by Joash (id. xxiv. 18). Their removal is stated as part of Hezekiah's reforms (2 Kgs xviii. 4), but Manasseh, besides building altars to the Ba'al, made an 'Asherah (id. xxi. 3), and by the prophets they are counted among the idolatrous sins of Israel (Mic. v. 14, Jer. xvii. 2, 'Isai.' xxvii. 9). That they were dedicated to Jehovah is implied in the prohibition, xvi. 21. The command to cut them down in Ex. xxxiv. 13 is a later insertion: there is no record of a law against them before D. Like the standing-stone the mast (or tree for which it stood) was frequently identified with the deity, and was probably the female counterpart to the stone. Several passages seem to imply that there was a goddess called 'Asherah (prophets of the 'A., 1 Kgs xviii. 19, image of the 'A., id. xv. 13, 2 Kgs xxi. 7, vessels of the 'A., id. xxiii. 4, and even houses, i.e. tents or deckings, id. xxiii. 7: cp. the veiled 'Asherah below). Her existence has been denied by, among others, W. R. Smith (Rel. Sem. 171 f.). But his reason, that every altar, to whatever deity it belonged, had an 'Asherah is hardly sufficient to prove an exclusively generic meaning for the name. Recent Assyriology appears to put beyond doubt the name 'Asherah as that of a Canaanite goddess and to give good reasons for her identification with 'Ashtoreth (cp. Judg. iii. 7, 1 Kgs xviii. 19). The Ass. name is Ashratu or Ashirtu, and in the Tell-el-Amarna letters we find a man's name 'Abd-'Ashratum, 'the worshipper of 'Asherah.'

"The double meaning which 'Asherah has as "sacred pole" and as the name of the goddes (" Ashtoreth) is now placed beyond doubt by the winess of the Tell-el-Amarna tablets (Ashirus-Ishtar) and finds its explanation in a representation of the vield Ishtar-Ashera, as a bust running into a pillar in the fashion of the Herones, discovered by von Openheim at Ras el-'Ain, the source of the Khabur '(Winckler and Jensen, 2nd c. of Schraders XAT 279, see also sags, 3a, 3, 35, 41, 325 f.).

That the 'Asherah represented a female deity (in distinction from the male character of the masseboth) is perhaps the reason of the less tolerance

which it received in Israel.

2. The Massebah (thing set upright) standing-stone (plant margineth, xii z), such as that raised by Jacob as the witness of his bargain with Laban (Gen. xxxi. 49, 51) and at Rachel's grave (id. xxxv. 20), by Absalom in his own memory (3 Sam. xxvii. 18); but usually of the large monoliths (R.V. marg. obelith) beside the altars of Semitic shrines. They were regarded as the habitation of a deity (see Gen. xxvii. 22 below), but in the sense of being his embodiment; and so in ritual 'spoken of and treated as the fool himself (W. R. Smith, Rel. Som. 85); 'in them one saw the deity present at the altar, and to them Arch. 11, 18). That they stood in Cannantie sanctuaries is frequently stated in the O.T. (htre., vii. 5, Ex. xxiii. 24; and for the house of the Ba't in Samaria, x Ex. x. 26f.

Specimens were recently discovered at Gene by Mr. R. A. S. Macalitater—in each phase as row or, skilved here, and a, of which only the stumpe of two remains, have been a superior of the stumpe of two remains and the student of the

In the earliest times mangeback were erected by the Helbews: by Jacob (Gen. xwiii. 18, 2 ± 18, xwx. $_{14}E$, 1) in memory of God's appearance to him, and to bc God's-house-Beth-el (pp. Ge Aparbhace the verb we should also read mangeback, for the misteds, altar, which Jacob zet up at Shechem and called God, the God of Israel (xxxiii. 2a, E). According to E (to whom most of the O.T. notices of masse-both are due) Moses put up 12 with the altar which he ball on Horeb: Leiboval's sanctuaries in N. Israel as altars and sacrifices. With such

¹ We read also of great stones set up by Joshuain Jebovah's sanctuary at Shachem as witness against the people (Jos. xxiv, sé). Bad at Gligal am emorials of the passage of Jordan (id. iv.), at Mizpeh and Gibson (t Sam. vii. 12: ZSam. xx. 8). According to Shai. xxi. s, a marquéabl shall be exercited in Egypt as a symbol of 2 According to Shai. xxi. so, a marquéabl shall be exercited in Egypt as a symbol of and the writer may be speaking metaphorically. The two bronse columns Yakin and Bo'au' (Kgs vii. a) were probably from their amest. Fe Goundeh' and 'ln him is.

17 Thou shalt not sacrifice unto the LORD thy God an ox, or a sheep, wherein is a blemish, or any evil-favouredness: for that is an abomination unto the LORD thy God.

a recognition of the mazghoth in the worship of Jehovah the command in xii. 3 to destroy the mazghoth of the Canannite sanctuaries is of course compatible. But the same cannot be said of the injunction in xii. 22 not to set up a mazghoth beside the latter of Jehovah, which was the contraction of t

CH. XVII. 1. AGAINST BLEMISHED SACRIFICES.

This law against the use of blemished victims for sacriface comes naturally after those forbidding the 'Atherak and Masseka, and that against child-sacrifice, xii. 31, for the blemished victim is not merely an irregularity but an abomination to Israel's God, which He hateth: xii. 31, xxi. 32. It is also more natural that this general law, xxii. 7, bould precede, instead of follow, the more special xv. 21. The legislation in J and E has no corresponding law; nor has that in P, where, however, there are frequent statements that the victim must be perfort (e.g. Lev. 1, 3, 0); but H has a parallel Lev. xxiii. 1y —25, that gives the control of the

a blemish] or fault, any ill thing; xv. 21: lame or blind; Lev. xxii: blind, broken, maimed, having sores or scurvy, mutilated, crushed or broken; a bullock or lamb with any part superfluous or lacking may do for a free-will offering, but not for a vow; Mal. i. 8: blind, lame, sick.

abomination] See on vii. 25.

2-7. Against Worshippers of Other Gods.

If such be found in any of thy gates, and their crime established, they shall be stoned (1-e.); only at the mouth of two witnesses shall any one be put to death: so shalt thou burn out the evil from the midst of thee (6.f.).—The evil condemned is related to those which precede it by being like them one of all the abominations to Jehovak which He

strength' symbols of the Deity, but they did not stand in the inner sanctuary. W. R. Smith, Rel. Sem. 191 n. and 468, takes them as altar-pillars with hearths on their tops.

If there be found in the midst of thee, within any of thy a gates which the LORD thy God giveth thee, man or woman, that doeth that which is evil in the sight of the LORD thy God, in transgressing his covenant, and hath gone and 3 served other gods, and worshipped them, or the sun, or the moon, or any of the host of heaven, which I have not commanded; and it be told thee, and thou hast heard of it, 4 then shalt thou inquire diligently, and, behold, if it be true, and the thing certain, that such abomination is wrought in Israel; then shalt thou bring forth that man or that woman, 5 which have done this evil thing, unto thy gates, even the man or the woman; and thou shalt stone them with stones, that they die. At the mouth of two witnesses, or three 6 witnesses, shall he that is to die be put to death; at the

hatch, xii, 31, and the law dealing with it naturally leads up to the three in ch. xiii., with which it shows some similarities of language, along with such variations as these three show among themselves. Like them it is in the Sg. throughout.

2. If there he found in the midit of the! xiii, 1(3): if there arise.

 If there be found in the midst of thee] xiii. 1 (2): if there arise, etc.; q.v.

within any of thy gates] xiii. 12 (13): one of thy cities; q.v. doeth that which is evil, etc.] See on iv. 25.

in transgressing his covenant) Josh. vii. 11, 15, xxiii. 16 (all deuteron.). The same sin is in iv. 23 called forgetting the covenant. On covenant see iv. 13.

3. gone and served other gods] So xiii. 6, 13 (7, 14); and 2 (3) with slight variation.

sun, moon, etc.] See on iv. 19.

which I have not commanded Cp. iv. 19: which thy God hath arisined unto the peoples. The use of the first person here is remarkable; God Himself takes up the speech, as in vii., 4 and frequently in the prophets: e.g. Jer. vii. 31, xix. 5, xxxiii. 35.

4. and it be told thee, and thou hath heard Similarly xiii. 12

(13).

shalt thou inquire, etc.] So, but with additions, xiii. 14 [15], q.v.

6. thou shalt bring forth...unto thy gate:] Cp. xxii. 24: the usual place for stoning was without the gate, so that the city might not be polluted (cp. Lev. xxiv. 14, Num. xv. 36); where also Stephen was stoned. Acts viii. 58, under this law. On tenings cen xiii. 10 (11).

even the man or the woman] Omit with LXX.

6. At the mouth of two witnesses or at the mouth of three witnesses?

So Sam. and LXX, as in xix. 15, where the law, here applied to a particular case, is more generally stated. Co. P. Num. xxxv. 30.

7 mouth of one witness he shall not be put to death. The hand of the witnesses shall be first upon him to put him to death, and afterward the hand of all the people. So thou shalt put away the evil from the midst of thee.

8 If there arise a matter too hard for thee in judgement, between blood and blood, between plea and plea, and between stroke and stroke, being matters of controversy

The hand of the witnesses shall be first, etc.] Cp. xiii. 9 (10): so
they would feel more seriously the responsibility of their testimony!
so thou shalt put away the evil | burn out. See on xiii. 5 (6).

8-13. OF THE JUDGES OF FINAL APPEAL.

Local cases too hard for the local courts (see xvi, 18-20, on which this passage immediately follows) are to be taken before the Priests, the Levites at the Sanctuary, and the Judge of the time (8f.), whose decisions must be strictly obeyed (10 f.); the man who presumptuously refuses to obey shall die (12 f.) .- Sg. address. The association of a lay judge with the priests is remarkable. Because of this and because he regards 86 and 9 a as doublets and 10 and 11 as another pair of doublets, Steuern, analyses the passage into two originally distinct laws (with editorial additions), one constituting the Priests of the Altar a court of appeal, the other recognising the Judge (i.e. the King) as the final authority. But 8 b and 9 a are not doublets, and although 10 and 11 are redundant it is impossible to discriminate in them two distinct sources. More probably the passage is intended to sanction the double practice prevailing in Israel from the earliest times, and during the monarchy, of the discharge of justice by both the priestly and the civil heads of the people. How the authority was divided is nowhere stated except in 2 Chron. xix. 8-11, which attributes to King Jehoshaphat (873-840) the institution of a double court consisting of Levites, priests, and heads of families. Over this the chief priest was set in all the matters of Jehovah, and a prince was set over it in all the King's matters. But it is uncertain whether the passage merely reflects the procedure of justice in the Chronicler's own day or is a genuine memory of that which prevailed under the monarchy. See the present writer's Jerusalem, I. 379 n., 387 f.

8. If there arise a matter too hard for thee] Heb. if a matter be too wonderful (or extraordinary) for thee; cp. xxii. 11. In i. 17, and Ex.

xviii. 22, 26 (E), hard translates other Heb. words.

between blood and blood i.e. between accidental manslaughter and wilful murder, iv. 42, xix. 41, 111; E, Ex. xxi. 12—14. between plea and plea Probably questions of property, as in Ex.

xxii. I ff., etc.

hetween stroke and stroke! Questions of compensation for bodily

injuries, such as are defined in E, Ex. xxi. 18 ff.

within thy gates: then shalt thou arise, and get thee up unto the place which the LORD thy God shall choose; and thou o shalt come unto the priests the Levites, and unto the judge that shall be in those days; and thou shalt inquire; and they shall shew thee the sentence of judgement: and thou 10 shalt do according to the tenor of the sentence, which they shall shew thee from that place which the LORD shall choose; and thou shalt observe to do according to all that they shall teach thee: according to the tenor of the law 11 which they shall teach thee, and according to the judgement which they shall tell thee, thou shalt do: thou shalt not turn aside from the sentence which they shall shew thee, to the right hand, nor to the left. And the man that doeth 12 presumptuously, in not hearkening unto the priest that standeth to minister there before the LORD thy God, or unto the judge, even that man shall die; and thou shalt put

matters of controversy within thy gates] Summary of the previous clauses—all local cases. See on xii. 12, xvi. 18.

get thee up] Of resort to the Sanctuary, 1 Sam. i. 3, etc., Ps. cxxii. 4. the place, etc.] See on xii. 5.

unto the priests the Levites] See on x. 8, xviii. 1. The omission of these words by LXX B is due to careless copying, and in no way supports Steuernagel's analysis of the text into two laws (see introd. note).

unto the judge that shall be in those days! That is of course either king, as in 2 Sam, xiv, 3, xv. aft., Kgg siii, 6 ft., or some officials or officials appointed by him, 2 Sam, xv. 3, and Jer, xxvi, according to which Jeremish was tried, on the complaint of the priests, by the sarim, lay officers or princes, under the King. The plut. is thus used in xix. v; I she priests and the intellege which shall be in those that

inquire] darash as in xiii. 14, q.v. shew] Heb. declare to or announce to.

sentence] Heb. word.

10. tenor] Heb. mouth; see on i. 26, 43, ix. 23.

observe to do] See on v. I.

11. law] Heb. torah, usually of the directions given by priests in

questions of ritual, covers here their decisions in civil cases as well. Teach, rather direct, is the vb from which Torah is derived.

12. presumptionally See on i. 43 and cp. xviii. 20.

12. presumptuously See on 1. 43 and cp. xviii. 20.
unto the priest...or unto the judge Again no information is given as

to how the cases are to be divided between the two. D's sole interest is to accommodate the procedure of law to the fact of the One Altar. that standeth to minister, etc.] See on x. 8.

13 away the evil from Israel. And all the people shall hear, and fear, and do no more presumptuously.

and lear, and do no more presumptuously.

When thou art come unto the land which the LORD thy God giveth thee, and shalt possess it, and shalt dwell therein;

and shalt say, I will set a king over me, like as all the 15 nations that are round about me; thou shalt in any wise set him king over thee, whom the LoRD thy God shall choose: one from among thy brethren shalt thou set king over thee:

put away the evil] See on xiii. 5 (6).

13. hear, and fear] xiii. 11 (12).

14-20. OF THE KING.

When Israel elect to have a King like other nations, he must be chosen of God, an Israelite and no foreigner (14.f). He must not multiply body and israelite and no foreigner (14.f). He must not multiply body and always study it, that he may fear God, with the heart not uplifted above his brethren, to the prolonging of his own and his heidlern's days (18—20). Peculiar to D, and in the Sig address, except in 16.6 where unto you is due to the attraction of the Pl. in the quotation. The obvious references to Solomon and the echo of the prophet's protests against Egyptian alliances confirm the other evidence which D furnishes for a date under the later monarchy.

Some take the law as even here than the body of the Code, becomes like axid, it represents the whole Law as written and canonical. So ong Corolli Reford, a qf. and Berth, who compares n, if with Erck, xvii, z and contiders Zedekish's reign as probable a date therefore as the Exid. But it is difficult to conceive the original Bods discovered under Josish. For the relation of this law to the two accounts of the institution of the Kingdom in Same,—the older youngsheetic (i.e.,—x. documents of the institution of the Kingdom in Same,—the older youngsheetic (i.e.,—x. documents of the institution of the Kingdom in Same,—the older youngsheetic (i.e.,—x. documents of the institution of the Kingdom in Same,—the older youngsheetic (i.e.,—x. documents of the institution of the Kingdom in Same,—the older youngsheetic (i.e.,—x. documents). The probable of the same shall not for the likelying and the Code of Hammunds and further Johns Bak & Aut. Aut.

14. When thou art come, etc.] Similarly xviii. 9, xxvi. 1; cp. vi. 10, vii. 1.

I will set a kine...like as all the nations. etc.] I Sam. viii. 5: make

us (the same verb) a king to judge us like all the nations. Cp. I Sam. xii. 12, where the example of the Ammonites is given as the motive of Israel's desire, although Jehovah your God is your King. Evidently D is doubtful of the advantages of the monarchy. Like so much else in the code this law is a concession to existing facts.

15. thou shalt in any wise set] The emphatic Heb. means either thou mayest certainly, or thou shalt only, set.

thy God shall choose] So of Saul and David, 1 Sam. ix. 15 f., x. 24, xvi. 1, 12, 2 Sam. vi. 21, on which precedents D's law seems based. one from among thy brethren] a Hebrew, see on xv. 12.

thou mayest not put a foreigner over thee, which is not thy brother. Only he shall not multiply horses to himself, nor 16 cause the people to return to Egypt, to the end that he should multiply horses: forasmuch as the LORD hath said unto you. Ye shall henceforth return no more that way. Neither shall he multiply wives to himself, that his heart 17 turn not away: neither shall he greatly multiply to himself silver and gold. And it shall be, when he sitteth upon the 18 throne of his kingdom, that he shall write him a copy of this law in a book, out of that which is before the priests the

thou mayest not put a foreigner, etc.] No such attempt, or temptation, on the part of Israel is recorded; the veto upon it can hardly be intended to cover, or have found its motive in, the nomination of an Israelite king by a foreign power, e.g. Zedekiah. It was this law which caused Agrippa I, to burst into tears as he remembered his Edomite origin. Contrast Cyrus as the Shepherd and the Anointed, of Ichovah-of course, in relation to Israel ('Isai,' xliv, 28, xlv, 1).

16. Only] Heb. rak, see on x. 15.

he shall not multiply horses, etc.] On the horse in Israel, see Jerusalem 1. 324 f. Horses came from N. to S. in W. Asia, probably from Asia Minor. Brought into Egypt by the Hyksos after 1800 B.C. they were never very common there, but the breed was excellent, (W. M. Müller, E.B. 'Egypt,' § 9.) By 1600 B.C. they were used in Palestine. Solomon seems to have introduced them into Israel; and they and the chariots for which they were first employed became symbolic of the strength of the N. Kingdom (2 Kgs ii. 12, xiii. 14). The prophets mention horses nearly always with war and foreign subsidies, in which the people were tempted to trust instead of in God. See Am. iv. 10. Hos. i. 7, xiv. 3, Isai. ii. 7, xxxi. 1, 3, Ezek. xvii. 15, of which the last three passages and probably also (because of the parallel) Hos, xiv. 3, identify them with Israel's irreligious confidence in an Egyptian alliance. Hence the clause nor cause the people to return to Egypt. This does not mean that individual Hebrews were bartered for Egyptian horses (Steuern.). Like the prophets D is hostile to an Egyptian alliance, of which the clearest token would be subsidies of horses.

the LORD hath said, etc. 1 Not found in Exod .- Numb. 'It is probable that as in other cases (cf. on i. 22, x. I-3, 9, xvii. 2) the actual words were still read in some part of the narrative of JE, extant at the time

when Deut. was composed ' (Driver).

17. multiply wives ... silver and gold] Solomon notoriously did so. His marriages with foreign princesses were for political ends, but introduced heathen cults into Israel (1 Kgs xi. 1, cp. xvi. 31). 18. a copy of this law Lit. a duplicate of what was before, or in

charge of, the priests (xxxi. 9, 26). Here we have the beginning of DELITERONOMY 15

- 19 Levites: and it shall be with him, and he shall read therein all the days of his life: that he may learn to fear the LORD his God, to keep all the words of this law and these statutes,
- 20 to do them: that his heart be not lifted up above his brethren, and that he turn not aside from the commandment, to the right hand, or to the left: to the end that he may prolong his days in his kingdom, he and his children, in the midst of Israel.

that confidence in written revelation and the canon which brought so much good and evil to the religious life of Israel. On the mistranslation of this phrase by the LXX in the title they gave to the whole book see Introd. § 1.

19. it shall be with him] Josh. i. 8.
that he may learn to fear, etc.] See on iv. 10, xiv. 23.

to keep...to do] See on v. I.

20. that his heart, etc.] Cp. viii. 2. Turn not aside, v. 33, Prolong days, iv. 40. Cullen (140) thinks that in mentioning Torah and Miswah sparately in vv. 19, 20 the writer refers to two distinct works. This is by no means clear; he may be using them here as parallel terms.

CH. XVIII. 1-8. OF THE PRIESTS THE LEVITES.

Of the priestly tribe of Levi, who have no land, Jehovah is the inheritance, and they shall live by the offerings to Him (t.f.), which are detailed (g.f.); He chose Levi and his sons as His priests for ever may be considered to the constant of the consta

In v. 1 Steuern, takes as original only all the tribe of Levi and attaches it as subject to re, s cascept the formula, at h and h advantage, h or, h of Leve pow of all all the tribe of Levi in v. h, with rev, a and h in it. LNX form), as a question from h as h and h

Whichever analysis be preferred, the substance of this law is unmistakeable. It is not a complete law of the Priesthood, but like so

The priests the Levites, 'even all the tribe of Levi, shall 18 have no portion nor inheritance with Israel: they shall eat the offerings of the LORD made by fire, and his inheritance.

Or, and

many others in D, is concerned only with the people's duties to its subject, under the new conditions introduced by the centralisation of the worship. It fixes the priest's share of the people's offerings (8f.) and provides for the dispossescel Levites, when they come to glerand provides for the dispossescel Levites, when they come to glerand for the priest years and rights (qualified only by the condition that these are valid only at the One Alfar) is, as we have seen on x. 8, characteristic of D. It agrees besides with the spirit of the earlier than the author, or authors, of D'S. Code were groomen of the liberton force, probably later) distinction which P makes between the sons of Aaron, as alone priests, and the rest of the tribe, who have not priestly rank and whose revenues are distinct from those of the priests. In above on x. 8f., and Drive's Deut. x 18f.

1. The priest the Levite1 This double title, peculiar to D, is found both in the Code, xvii, 9, 18, xvii. 8 (pc, xvi. 5 : the priests the sour of Levi) and in xxvii. 9 (edit.?), cp. xxxi. 9. By God's appointent (v. 5) all members of the tribe of Levi were priests de jure, but in consequence of the law abolishing the rural altars and rendering priestly functions impossible except in the Temple, a member of the tribe while resident in the country is called Levite alone—the Levite suitin thy guits—and can secure the name and the rights of a priest only when he called Levite (v. 7). With this distinction the priests and the Levite are to D synonyowos. This is further embhasised by the addition.

all the tribe of Levi] The and prefixed by the A.V. and R.V. Marg. is not in the Heb., in which the phrase stands in apposition to the priests the Levies. There is therefore no possibility in the interpretation that D intended by Levites 'all other members of the tribe of Levi.' This interpretation is a forced attempt to reconcile D's law with those of P

which distinguish between priests and Levites.

no portion nor inheritance with Israel Cp. x. 9 (with his brethren), xii. 12 (with you), xiv. 27, 29 (with thee), and the deuteronomic Josh. xiii. 14, 33, xviii. 7. The tribe are landless. So in P, Num. xviii. 20, 23f., xxvi. 62.

they shall eat] live, or subsist, by; cp. Ar. 'ukul (from the same root) 'means of subsistence.'

the offerings of the LORD made by fire This expression, an early

instance of which occurs in 1 Sam. ii. 28, is found more than 60 times

1 But at one time in Israel others than sons of the tribe of Levi were admitted to
the priesthood and called Levites: see Exod. iv. 14, with Driver's note, and Judg.
xvii. 7-13.

15-2

2 And they shall have no inheritance among their brethren: the LORD is their inheritance, as he hath spoken unto them. 3 And this shall be the priests' due from the people, from them that offer a sacrifice, whether it be ox or sheep, that they shall give unto the priest the shoulder, and the two 4 cheeks, and the maw. The firstfruits of thy corn, of thy wine, and of thine oil, and the first of the fleece of thy 5 sheep, shalt thou give him. For the LORD thy God hath

chosen him out of all thy tribes, to stand to minister in the in P and nowhere else (the grammar shows that it is an intrusion. Josh. xiii. 14).

name of the LORD, him and his sons for ever.

and his inheritance all other offerings to the Deity, such as are detailed in v. 4.

2. As in x. o : read with Heb. he, his, him for they, their, them

and see introd. to this law. 3. And this shall be the priests' due, etc.] Heb. mishpat, as in

I Sam. ii. 13, where render: and the priests' due from the people. from them that offer a sacrifice | Heb. slay, or sacrifice, a sacrifice, a comprehensive phrase including every victim offered at the Altar where alone sacrifice was valid. This precludes the various theories suggested with the view of reconciling D's law with that of P (see next note), viz. (1) that the law refers not to animals offered at the Temple but to those slain for food at home (xii. 15 f.); (2) that it refers only to the eating of firstlings (xii. 17 f., xv. 20); (3) that it refers to more dues to the priests, additional to those prescribed in P.

the shoulder, and the two cheeks, and the maw] According to 1 Sam. ii. 12-17 the earlier practice had been that the priest's servant with a three-pronged fork took what he could for his master out of the caldron in which the victim was being boiled for the worshippers; and it was regarded as a sinful innovation when the sons of Eli demanded to receive their portions while the flesh was still raw, no doubt in order that they might secure certain definite parts of the animal. This claim the law in D now legalises, naming the pieces of the victim to be given to the priest. P represents a later development, and prescribes still better pieces, the breast and the right thigh (Lev. vii. 31 ff., x. 14 f., Num. xviii. 18). For the gradual increase of the priests' dues and of their other sources of revenue from D onwards, see Jerusalem,

1. 354-366.

4. the firstfruits] or, it may be, the best. Heb. reshith, not bikkarim (xii. 6). See xxvi. 2 f.; cp. E, Exod. xxiii. 19, J, xxxiv. 26, and P, Num. xviii. 12. On corn, wine and oil, see vii. 13, xii. 17, xiv. 23, xxv. 19-22. The first or best, of the fleece is mentioned only

5. Sam. and some Codd. of LXX read: to stand before the LORD

And if a Levite come from any of thy gates out of all 6 Israel, where he sojourneth, and come with all the desire of his soul unto the place which the LORD shall choose; then 7 he shall minister in the name of the LORD his God, as all his brethren the Levites do, which stand there before the LORD. They shall have like portions to eat, beside that 8 which cometh of the sale of his patrimony.

[thy God] to minister [unto him] and to bless in his name, as in x, 8 (q.v.); and for the unto this day of that v. some have all the days; others read, he and his sons among the sons of Israel,

6. a Levite ... from any of thy gates] any of the tribe who had ministered at any of the rural sanctuaries now disestablished by the concentration of the cultus at Jerusalem. Thy gates, see xii. 12. Out

of all Israel, emphatic addition to the usual phrase. where he sojourneth] Heb. is a ger, a landless resident, without

portion or inheritance. So in Judg. xvii. 7, xix. 1. D knows nothing of the Levitical cities of P, Num. xxxv. 1-8, Josh. xxi. and come with all the desire of his soul | The construction is uncertain. Some begin the apodosis of this conditional sentence here, then he may

come, etc. (Steuern., Berth.), which is not probable; others preferably with the beginning of v. 7 (EVV., Wellh., Addis, Marti); others not till the beginning of v. 8 (Dillm., Driv.). Desire of his soul, see xii. 15. unto the place, etc.] See on xii. 5.

7. then he shall minister | See on x. 8. If he comes to the one place at which sacrifice is valid, the rural Levite may discharge the priestly office equally with the Levites who already minister there.

8. They shall have] Sam. LXX : he shall have.

beside that which cometh of the sale of his patrimony a paraphrase of the difficult Heb. : beside his sales, or realised values, or prices, on the fathers (LXX, πλην της πράσεως της κατά πατριάν). EVV.'s paraphrase is generally accepted; cp. Jer. xxxii. 6-15, xxxvii. 12 (R.V.), which shows a priest from a rural sanctuary, who had removed to Jerusalem, possessing money of his own and by right of redemption able to buy land which a relative desired to sell. Dillm., rejecting the usual interpretation as too obvious, proposes 'the money which he realised on such dues as had fallen to him from the families to whom he ministered at his home.' A certain solution of the difficulty is hardly possible. Either we have an abbreviated legal formula the meaning of which is lost, or the text is corrupt. By small emendations, Steuern. ingeniously reads: 'except those who are idolatrous priests and necromancers.' This is agreeable to the spirit of D, guards against an easy abuse of the law and is in harmony with the next law; but it has to be forced out of even the emended syntax.

This law of D, establishing the rural Levites, who come to Jerusalem, in equal rank and privilege with their fellow-tribesmen already ministering there, was not curried out. a Kgs xxiii. o states that the priests of the kigh spaces cause not up to the alter of pleweds at Jerusalium that they field set unbeword bread among high brethers. Apparently the Jerusalium priests succeeded from the first in teeping contains the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the alter involved of course exclusion from the priests share of the offerings. That they exclude the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the share involved of course exclusion from the priest share of the offerings. That they was not their food along, and so the pitrase more probably means that though was not their food along, and so the pitrase more probably means that though was not their food along, and so the pitrase more probably means that though was not been contracted to the contract of the contract of the contract of the was not been contracted to the contract of t

9-22. Of Prophets in contrast to Diviners, etc.

In the promised land Israel must have nothing to do with the abominations of its peoples (o); with any one passing his children through the fire, or diviner, soothsayer, augur, sorcerer, spell-binder or trafficker with the dead (10 f.), for these are abominations to Jehovah to whom Israel must be utterly loyal (12—14). A prophet shall He raise they had prayed Moses to be; to him shall they hearken (15—19). The prophet who presumes to speak in God's name what He has not spoken, or in the name of other poils, shall die (20). The proof his falseness shall be the non-fulfiliment of his predictions (21 f.)—Sam. LXX, he last clause of 2s. There are no other signs of a diversity of an insertion of the control of the control

Maxir reads ev. 9—13 as belonging to the law of the priests (1—3) and x3—28 as here addition to not Cervilli, with this turbur evidence of its accountry character about the transport of the control of the passage brings out between the native prophet and the foreign deviers (see on 1), is natural and there are across integers and the foreign deviers (see on 1), is natural and there are across integers of the control of the passage brings out between the native prophet and the foreign deviers (see on 1), is natural and there are across integers of the control of the cont

It is significant but not surprising that the Law of the Prophet is peculiar to D and not found in other Codes, which contain, however, prohibitions of the foreign practices here forbidden to Israel, E, Ex. xxii. 18 (17), H, Lev. xxiii. 21, xix. 26, 31, xx. 2 ff., 27. It is more important to notice Saul's suppression of those who dealt with ghosts

When thou art come into the land which the LORD thy God 9 giveth thee, thou shalt not learn to do after the abominations of those nations. There shall not be found with thee any 10 one that maketh his son or his daughter to pass through the fire, one that useth divination, one that practiseth augury,

(t Sam. xxviii. 3), and the frequent protests of the prophets, and their appeals to the word of the living God (Isai. ii. 6, viii. 19, Mic. iii. 6 f., v. 12 (11), Jer. xxvii. 9, xxix. 8), for in these we find the real basis of this law of D, as well as the example of its form.

In the Code of Hammurabi there are no laws against divination, sorcery or magic. False accusations of laying spells on men are punished, but the ordeal by water is enjoined in one of the two cases mentioned—\$\frac{1}{2}\$ if.

9. When thou art come into the land] Characteristic of the Sg.; cp. ix. 5.

which the LORD thy God is to give thee] Peculiar to D; see on i. 20, iv. 21f.

learn to do] Only here.

10. There shall not be found with thee | xvii. 2.

that maketh his son...to pass through the fire] See on xii. 31: the want of a conjunction following this clause (so also Sam. and LXX except in some codd.) is remarkable, and raises a doubt as to the originality of the clause.

On the following terms see W. R. Smith, Journal of Philology, XIII. 273 ff., XIV. 113 ff.; 'The Forms of Divination and Magic in Dt. XVIII. 1; Wellhausen, Retief des areash, Heidentuma': 113—153; Divier, Duct. 232—226; T. W. Davies 'Divination' and 'Magic, in E.B.; F. B. Jevons 'Divination', Hastings' D.B., to all of which the references below are directed.

one that useth divination] Heb. blsom brandm. From its root and certain Art. forms which = 'to divide' or 'allot,' the vb appear to have meant originally to divine by the tot (dispated by Davies, E.B. 2000), e.g. by arrows as described in Exek. xxi. 11f. (16 fl.); practised by the Babylonians (Lenormant, Chald. Magie, 238 n. 2), and Arabs (Korán, v. 4, where it is forbidden; Sale, Prelimin. Discourse, Sec. v.). Elsewhere in O.T. it has a wider sense, e.g. 1 Sam. xxviii. 8. LXX here µarredysor µarrelax.

one that practiceth angury] Better, soothsayer. LXX &/sport/gavors. Heb. m**fone, which used to be derived from *man*, cloud,* as if cloud-gazer, and is by Wellh. supposed to spring from the root-meaning of 'anan*, 'to appear' or 'intervene' (cp. Ar. 'ann), as if dealing in phenomens. But the word is probably onomatopoetic, humming or phenomens. But the word is probably onomatopoetic, humming of the m**limatim, a whispering, oracular tree. Condemned also in Isai. ii. 6, as Philistice, Mic. v. 12, [er. xviii. 9. 11 or an enchanter, or a sorcerer, or a charmer, or a consulter
12 with a familiar spirit, or a wizard, or a necromancer. For whosoever doeth these things is an abomination unto the

or an enchanter] Better, augur or observer of omens. LXX oleryfiguesor. That this is the meaning of the Heb. windsch appears from the story of Balaam, Num. xxiv. 1 (where for enchantments read omens), from Gen. xiiv. 15, of Joseph s'divination with his cup (hydromancy; ep. for Balylyionia Zimmern in KAT?, 533.f., and for the Act 53, 3, where year and its meaning in Syriac, 4 divination from antiral signs. Others take it as onomatopoetic, to hiss, or connect it with maharia, repent. On divination on the sand, see Doughty 1. 169.

or a voréerv! Heb. webathheps. For this and & haplin, serveria, see Ex. vii. 11, xii. 18 (17) [Es! haw against the sorceres, see Dri.'s notel, Mic. v. 11, Nah. iii. 4, Jer. xxvii. 9, Mal. iii. 5, 2 Chron. xxxiii. 6 (Manasseh) and 'lasii 'xivii. 9, 17, Dan. ii. 2 (both of Babylon). W. R. Smith, comparing the Ar. form, suggests that schaphfor were therbs or other drugs shredded into a magic brew 'lin 'staphing' and 'staphing

a man might be bewitched ; cp. Hammurabi, § 2.

11. a charmar] With Sam, LXX omit or: the name is in apposition to the preceding. Heb. holer heler, nearing spells, spell-hinder; either of the tying of knots as malignant charms, common among Semites and other races (Campbell Thompson, Som. Magic 163—173, Frazer, Goldon Bough I. 39,4ff.; mentioned in the Korán, Sur. exili, "the misshief of women blowing on knots': also practised in Europe, the French 'nouer l'éguillette', or of the weaving of incantations and spells (W. R. Smith), so LXX fractadon éracado¹, In Ps. Ivili, 56, of charming serpents. For spell-makers in Arabia, see Doughty 1. 258, 333, 464.

a necromancer] Heb. enquirer of, or resorter to (doresh, see on seek, xii. 5), the dead: a general description of the consulter of ghosts and

familiar spirits. With Sam. LXX omit or. 12. abomination | See v. 9.

unto the LORD] Sam. LXX add thy God, and LXX B omits this in next clause.

LORD: and because of these abominations the LORD thy God doth drive them out from before thee. Thou shall be 13 perfect with the LORD thy God. For these nations, which 14 thou shall possess, hearken unto them that practise augury, and unto diviners: but as for thee, the LORD thy God hath not suffered thee so to do. The LORD thy God will raise 15 up unto thee a prophet from the midst of thee, of thy

doth drive them out] Heb. is to dispossess them, see on ix. 5; cp. iv. 38.

13. September 2. Manufact; not elsewhere in D, but twice in P in this moral sense (Gen. vi. op N noha, wvi. I required of Abraham as the condition of God granting him His covenant) and frequent in a physical sense, Lev. i. § 1, o, iii. 1, etc. The sense of the incompatibility of magic and necromancy with loyalty to the God of Israel is traceable from at least Saul's time onward, and is very articulate in the great prophets. The instinct was sound. That such practices divert men from the rational and ethical elements of religion and weaken both the judgement and will of those who resort to them is notorious in the history of moother spiritualism. Cp. Luke ex.; at: § I they hear not Moora and the prophets, nother will they be persuaded, § one rise from the not grant such to His people (e. 14). For them the living word of the living God is the thing: { Isai, viii. 19}, to which this law now therefore naturally turns.

15. A prophet from the midst [of thee] of thy brethren like unto me shall the LORD thy God ratee up to thee] Such is the emphatic order of the original, missed by EVV. A prophet—not individual but collective], i.e. a succession of prophets, for the whole spirit of the passage is that God shall never fall to speak directly to His people—is placed at the head of the sentence in forcible contrast to the diviners and necromancers just described, a speaker for God as Aaron was spokesman for Mones (f. Ex. v. fo. vit.). I. like the king (vit. r.) be spokesman for Mones (f. Ex. v. fo. vit.). I. like the king (vit. r.) from the contrast to the diviners and necromancers were foreign (flast ii. 6. Nah. iii. 4. Ylasi. kivil. 9, 19). Like unto me, i.e. (as the next v. shows) in being the mediator of God; I. Like unto me, i.e. (as the next v. shows) in being the mediator of God;

(Cp. the use of the sing, sing in svii, 1 sft, and judge in Judg. ii. st. 4. Applied is used by entaling for a number of prophets. Moses is here treating of their opinion who apply it strictly to Christ alone, for it is well to bear in mind what Judy and the strictly in the contract of the strictly in the strictly into the strictly in the strictly into the strictly int

16 brethren, like unto me; unto him ye shall hearken; according to all that thou desiredst of the LORD thy God in Horeb in the day of the assembly, saving, Let me not hear again the voice of the LORD my God, neither let me see this 17 great fire any more, that I die not. And the LORD said unto me. They have well said that which they have spoken. 18 I will raise them up a prophet from among their brethren, like unto thee; and I will put my words in his mouth, and he shall speak unto them all that I shall command him. 19 And it shall come to pass, that whosoever will not hearken unto my words which he shall speak in my name, I will 20 require it of him. But the prophet, which shall speak a word presumptuously in my name, which I have not commanded him to speak, or that shall speak in the name of 21 other gods, that same prophet shall die. And if thou say in thine heart. How shall we know the word which the LORD

22 hath not spoken? When a prophet speaketh in the name xxxiv. 10, there hath not arisen a prophet since in Israel like unto Moses, whom the LORD knew face to face, cp. Nam. xii. 6-8.
16, 17. See on v. 22 (astembly), 35, 27f. Cullen (pp. 143 ff.)

denies the dependence of vv. 15, 16 on v. 19-28.

I will raise...like unto thee] These words are not in v. 25 ff.
put my words in his mouth] Cp. v. 31, Jer. i. 9, v. 14.
 whosoever will not hearken...I will require it of him] Cp. the

confidence of Jeremish, xxvi. 12—15, xxix. 8f., 18ff. (the punishment exacted for not hearkening to God's word), xxxv. 13 ff. LXX B omits my words; Sam. LXX most codd. his words. Require, darash, xxiii. 21 (22).

20. the prophet, ctc.] These special cases prove that throughout this passage no single prophet but a succession of prophets is meant.

which shall speak presumptionisty, etc.] Heb. who shall be presumptions (xvii. 12, see on i. 43) to speak a word, etc. It is notorious how many such 'prophets' appeared in Israel both before and during the seventh century (see Jeremiah passim). On the rest of the v. see on xiii. 1—5.

21. if thou say in thine heart] viii. 17.

23. The falseness of such a prophet is exposed by the non-fulfilment of his predictions. Jeremiah states the converse: if any prophet prophesy peace (which in the seventh century the false prophets usually did) and his word come to pass, then shall the prophet be known that the LORD half truly sent him (Jer. xxviii. 9).

It is true that 'this test is explicitly rejected for the prophets of other good (xiii. 1-5); nor is the higher Hebrew prophecy nearly so much predictive as interpretative' (Wheeler Robinson in leve). Yet we must remember that though the

of the LORD, if the thing follow not, nor come to pass, that is the thing which the LORD hath not spoken: the prophet hath spoken it presumptuously, thou shalt not be afraid of him.

main burden of the prophets consisted of truths of morality and religion (the unity and righteousness of God and the ethical character of His demands) they were also concerned with the vindication of these in the actual experience of the people. To them truth was never merely abstract, they looked for its fulfilment by God in history. Prof. A. B. Davidson once said to the present writer: 'The prophets were history. Prof. A. B. Davidson once said to the present writer: "The prophets were strong that the present property of the prop Lord (Jer. xxviii. esp. 116, see Duhm's fine remarks on this chapter in the Kurzer Hand Commentar). Again after reporting the word of the LORD, that his uncle should come to him asking him to buy his field, he adds when the uncle came and did so, then knew I that this wear the word of the LORD (xxxii. 6fft.) Of course, behind all this was the faith that God had a future for Israel in the land, though the Bahylonians had overrun it and Jerusalem must fall to them. If then Ieremiah himself so much depended for the proof of his message upon the issue of events, we cannot be surprised that D proposes to the popular mind the same test of a prophet's word.—Though beyond our immediate subject we may note that the word of the Lord by the true prophet was not always fulfilled. This is explained in Jer. xviii. and Jonah iv. as due to a change in the moral situation. Such, however, is not a full explanation. Sometimes, as in the case of the non-fulfilment of Jeremiah's own early predictions about the Scythians, and his slow arrival (only after the battle of Carchenish) at the conviction that Babylon was to be the executioner of God's judgements on Israel, the change in the prophet's word was due to altered political circumstances.

III. THIRD DIVISION OF THE LAWS. OF CRIME, WAR, PROPERTY, THE FAMILY, AND EQUITY. xix.—xxv.

Over 50 laws on all these relations and duties of the ordinary citizen. This division of the Code is distinguished from the two previous. (1) by being uninfluenced-except in the case of the first law, on the Cities of Refuge, and perhaps also in xxi. 1-0, xxiii. 15 f .- by the centralisation of the Cultus; (2) by a less orderly arrangement; and (3) by the appearance of new terms and ideas such as the elders (explicable by the fact that the subjects of these laws are not new institutions consequent on the centralisation of the cultus but older local customs and organisation), the house of the LORD, the assembly of the LORD, etc. But we find prevailing the same deuteronomic language and style, the same proofs of compilation from earlier codes (doublets, traces of fusion, etc.) and the same signs of editorial expansion. The principle of grouping laws according to the relation to their subjects is sometimes observed but frequently departed from. The only other explanation of the order followed is the presence of corresponding catch-words at the end and beginning of consecutive laws. See below.

When the LORD thy God shall cut off the nations, whose land the LORD thy God giveth thee, and thou succeedest them, and dwellest in their cities, and in their houses; thou

CH. XIX. 1-13. OF THE CITIES OF REFUGE.

Israel shall set apart three of the cities of the land (tf)—to be selected after their position is taken into account and the land divided into three parts—so that every manulayer may have the chance of sylum (j). And (j) this is the case of the manulayer who by flight as e.g. when they were hewing wood $(4-\delta)$. Therefore three cities (j) but if (j) of earlier (j) and (j) the will be added so the inimocent blood be shed $(8-\delta)$. But (j) the will be added so the inimocent blood be shed $(8-\delta)$. But (j) the will murderer who flees to one of these cities shall be brought thence by the elders of his commune and delivered to the avenger, that the guilt be removed from Israel there are signs of compilation. As in xx. 18. an earlier law seems to be quoted, for, as there, nelphbour is used instead of brother which is usual in Sg. passages.

Study (Greek 1, 1664, a. p.), Burth, and Marti take ev. 8—10 as later than the rease of mandagitive, (a) the inspectant, and (i) the wilful. This is not at all certain. The takes the connection between the two cases of mandagitive, (a) the inspectant, and (i) the wilful. This is not at all certain. The shape of the study of the property of the pro

1—3 contain several formulas. On shall cut off, etc., see xii. 29; on whose land the LORD thy God is to give thre, and giveth thee to possess it, see xiii. 9; on succeed (dispossess), see xii. 29; on causeth thee to inherit, see i. 38.

shalt separate three cities for thee in the midst of thy land. which the LORD thy God giveth thee to possess it. Thou 3 shalt prepare thee the way, and divide the borders of thy land, which the LORD thy God causeth thee to inherit, into three parts, that every manslaver may flee thither. And 4 this is the case of the manslayer, which shall flee thither and live: whoso killeth his neighbour unawares, and hated him not in time past; as when a man goeth into the forest 5 with his neighbour to hew wood, and his hand fetcheth a stroke with the axe to cut down the tree, and the 'head slippeth from 2the helve, and lighteth upon his neighbour,

> 1 Heb. iron. Or, the tree

2. separate] set apart, iv. 41.

3. prepare thee the way] Usually taken as making the road open and firm. But (though Steuern.'s objection to this meaning, that such preparation would give equal advantage to the pursuer with the pursued, is hypercritical) this has no relevance to the rest of the v., as the older translators already saw and gave it another sense: LXX στόχασαί σα, 'reckon,' or 'guess,' O.L. aestimare. Steuern. renders measure the distance. Better fix, or make sure of, the direction (in which the cities lie), and divide the area of thy land into three. every manslayer | The general term, iv. 42.

4. And this is the case of | See note on xv. 2, and the introd. to this law.

whose smiteth his neighbour unawares ... time past] See iv. 42, which has slayeth for smiteth.

5. as when a man goeth] Heb. and who goeth, continuing the construction of the previous clause; but EVV,'s rendering is possible by a slight emendation of the Heb.

forest | As in most instances in which forest is used by EVV., the term misleads. Heb. ya'ar was one antithesis to fertile or cultivated land (Isai. xxix. 7) and, as evident from the conditions of Palestine today as well as those reflected in the O.T. (HGHL, 80 f., Jerus. 1. 78, 305), must usually have meant copse or jungle or, at the most, wood-land. The Ar. wa'ar is 'rocky ground,' whether with or without bush.

and his hand fetcheth a stroke | Heb. is driven, or lets drive, with the axe. helve] R.V. marg., tree; which offers the alternative meaning, that

the edge of the axe slipped aside from the tree which it struck. But Heb. 'es, which = both tree (as in the previous clause) and piece of wood, means here the latter, and the vb is to be translated slippeth off from (Ex. iii. 5, Josh. v. 15 of the sandal from the foot; cp. Deut. vii. 1, 22, xxviii, 40). LXX falleth off (probably reading naphal for nashal, cp.

that he die; he shall fee unto one of these cities and live:
lest the avenger of blood pursue the manslayer, while his
heart is hot, and overtake him, because the way is long, and
smite him mortally; whereas he was not worthy of death,
7 inasmuch as he hated him not in time past. Wherefore I
command thee, saying, Thou shalt separate three cities for
8 thee. And if the LORD thy God enlarge thy border, as he
hath sworn unto thy fathers, and give thee all the land
9 which he promised to give unto thy fathers; if thou shalt
keep all this commandment to do it, which I command thee
this day, to love the LORD thy God, and to walk ever in his
ways: then shalt thou add three cities more for thes, beside

2 Kgs vi. 5). 'One sees exactly how the law grows out of the actual

relations of everyday life' (Berth.).

he shall flee unto one of these cities and live] Josh. xx. 4 (a deuteron addition to P's law) says that he shall first, at the gate, state his case to the elders.

6. avenger of blood] Heb. go'ed haddon (1 Sam. xiv. 11, Num. xxxv. 19-27, Josh. xx. 2, 5, 9). The consuetudinary law of the vendetta is not abrogated, but persists so far as the nearest, or other, kinsman of the slain still takes the duty of punishing the slayer. See 7. 22 and Add. note.

while his heart is het] and he cannot discriminate between accidental and wilful murder. It was doubtless to avoid the same unjust passion that the right of sanctuary arose among the normad Araba.

bxause the way is long] to the One Altar, xiv. 24; cp. xii. 21.

mortally] Heb, to, or as to, the life (nephesh), v. 11; cp. xxii. 26.

whereas, etc.] Heb. there being no case of death to (against) him (a circumstantial clause); cp. xxi. 22, xxii. 26.

7. Wherefore I command theel Cp. xv. 11.

8. enlarge thy border] See on xii. 20, and the introd. to this law.

as he hath sworn, etc.] See on i. 8.

and give thee...thy fathers] Redundant after previous clause, and (though confirmed by LXX B and other Codd.) probably not original, Luc. omits. The readings here differ much in the versions and their Codd. shewing how readily scribes altered and expanded the text.

9. A parenthesis, being the condition of the promise in v. 8. if thou shalt teep all this commandment, etc.] LXX B, etc., hear all these commandments. Cullen, p. 141, takes this passage as an actual quotation from xi. 21. On the formula, heap...to do, see iv. 6, v. 16. to love...in his ways) These phrases (cp. vi. 5, x. 12) some LXX

Codd. and Luc. omit.

then shalt thou add three cities more is the apodosis to 8 a; all

these three: that innocent blood be not shed in the midst to of thy land, which the LORD thy God giveth thee for an inheritance, and so blood be upon thee. But if any man 11 hate his neighbour, and lie in wait for him, and rise up against him, and smite him mortally that he die; and he flee into one of these cities: then the elders of his city shall 12 send and fetch him thence, and deliver him into the hand of the avenger of blood, that he may die. Thine eye shall 13 not pity him, but thou shalt put away 2the innocent blood from Israel, that it may go well with thee.

1 Or, the blood of an innocent man 2 Or, the blood of the innocent

between consists of such formulas as later scribes were fond of inserting, and the evidence of the versions goes to show that they are not original. that innocent blood be not shed] Cp. xxi. 8, xxvii. 25: here the blood of an innocent slaver.

which ... for an inheritance | Another standard phrase, om. by Luc.,

and some LXX Codd.

and so blood be upon thee] Upon the nation as a whole, on the principle of ethical solidarity so often illustrated in D. For the idiom, cp. 2 Sam. xvi. 8; for the synon. blood in the midst of Israel, see xxi. 8.

11. But if any man hate his neighbour, etc.] The wilful murderer must not escape through the provision of protection for the innocent

slaver.

and lie in wait | Cp. E, Ex. xxi. 14. For mortally see v. 6. 12. the elders of his city, etc.] It is not said who are to judge

if wilful murder has been committed (for this see Josh. xx. 4-9), but the elders of the murderer's town are responsible for his delivery into the hands of the avenger; it is assumed that they are satisfied as to his guilt. The control of the old custom-in which the punishment of a murderer was a family duty-is in the hands of the public authorities. This is not without analogies among the Semitic nomads (Musil, Ethn. Ber. 361 ff.). Elders also appear in xxi. 2 ff, 6, 19 f., xxii. 15-18, xxv. 7-9, with judicial or executive functions. On their relations to the judges see on xvi. 18. Doughty (11. 368) mentions a case of murder at Aneyza, where the father was commanded by the Emir and elders to slay the murderess and declined, whereupon she was executed by the public authorities.

13. Only by such action on the part of the local authorities and the kinsmen of the murdered man can the guilt of the crime be removed from the whole nation. To this extent the ancient custom of the vendetta is recognised as part of the theocratic system.

thou shall put away See on xiii. 5 (6).
that it may go well with thee Another recurrent phrase; iv. 40, v. 16, 20, etc.

Additional Note: The Vendetta, 'the one element of jurisprudence in the wild life of the desert,' springs from the simple principle of blood for blood, still valid in the law of Israel, Gen. ix. 6. Its moral effects are twofold and contrary. On the one hand it is a restraint upon manslaughter, the possibilities of vengeance which it lets loose engendering reluctance to take life except in self-defence. On the other, when once a man has been slain, there is no chance of a fair trial for the slaver; though his deed may have been an accident he may have to atone for it with his life; while the excitement of whole families and tribes to avenge it is a fertile source of disorder and of war, which may last and has lasted for a century. The duty of the vendetta extends sometimes to the third sometimes to the fifth degree of kinship, but among the Sinai Arabs to the sixth from the grandfather down (Jennings Bramley, PEFQ 1907, 135). Hence even in the wildest parts of Arabia there arose the right of sanctuary in any tent from which it was claimed, and the respite was used for the investigation of the case, and even in cases of wilful murder for the arrangement of some compromise-financial or otherwise-between the slayer and the kinsmen of the slain. In these negotiations the tribal authorities would often intervene. But even this has been found insufficient to secure order and justice, and wherever a central authority has been established among the Arabs one of its first efforts has been to control and regulate, or even to abolish, the vendetta. For modern examples—the Wahabees, Mohammed 'Ali, the Russians in the Caucasus and the Sublime Porte see Von Oppenheim, Vom Mittelmeer zum Pers. Golf. Similarly in Israel. The earlier law (as we have seen) gave sanctuary at every altar of Jehovah. When only the One Altar remained the opportunity came to modify the whole consuetudinary law; the vendetta was not abolished but controlled by the rights of sanctuary in certain accessible cities and by the interference of the local authorities. These provisions, apparently first made by D and elaborated in P, secured a fair trial and the acquittal of the innocent slaver; but they do not allow any such compromise, financial or otherwise, as frequently takes place among the Arabs between the wilful murderer and the kinsmen of his victim. In Israel the wilful murderer must die. Such distinctions of Israel's system from the customs of her Semitic neighbours, involving as they do both a greater humanity in one direction and a greater severity in the other, are of the highest ethical interest,

14. AGAINST REMOVING BOUNDARY STONES.

In the Sg. address, but as in 200. 4 f., it and xv. 2, qv. with neighbour instead of brather, usual in Sg. passages; and followed by a deuteronomic formula. It is significant that the formula is not only separable from the law poper (as in the previous law) but contradicts it. For while the law betrays its date as subsequent to Israel's settlement in the land—and with this agree the facts that there is no parallel in the earlier codes and that protests against removing boundary-stones appear in the prophets and later books (lain. v. 8, Hos. v. 10, Frov. xxii. 18, xxiii. 16, Job xxiv. 2)—the closing formula adopts the lawly herefore, the law has been adopted from some other source into D'S Code—p. the Decalogue—but there is nothing to show whether this incorporation was due to the authors of the Code or to editors.

It is difficult to explain the position of the law just here. Steuern, and Berth, artirbate this to its use of the term glust, lowedary, used also in the previous law (e. 34, yet with a different meaning from here); the former thinking that in its original form that have was entered on the margin and thence takes into the text conjunction of the law was entered on the margin and thence takes into the text conjunction of the law was entered to the marginal than the was controlled to the law of the law

Thou shalt not remove thy neighbour's landmark, which 14 they of old time have set, in thine inheritance which thou shalt inherit, in the land that the LORD thy God giveth thee to possess it.

that in this ch. murder, theft and false-witness appear in the same order as in the Decalogue, and Dri. compares xxvii. 17 ff.

Other nations expressed the same reverence for the sacredness of boundaries, in similar laws, or protests, against their removal. For the Greeks see Plato, Zeg, viii. 842 s., for the Romans Dion. Hal. ii. 74, Plutarch, Numa 16. For the settled Semites cp. the border-stones of fields which are among the oldest Babyl. monuments; bearing dedications to the gods 'they were regarded as sacred and great importance was attached to their preservation. The Kings taxed their powers of cursing [cp. xxvii. 17] in order to terrify men from removing their neighbours land-marks [Johns, Babyl, and Assyr, Lanu, etc., 1916.]. For other Semites cp. Clay Trumbull, Threshold Covenant 166, Musil, Ethn, Ber. 87, Doughty 1, 163. No such Israelite stones have been found, but M. Clermont-Ganneau discovered the boundary inscriptions of the town of Gezer ('at or near the 1st Cent. B.C.') bearing the term found, the later Heb. for good (Arch. Res. 11. 26 ff., 270 ff.). For modern Palestine see Baldensperger, PEFO 1906, 194.

14. remove] Lit. so: re-move, move back, so as to make one's own field larger.

landmark] Heb. gebul, applied both to the border-line whether of private fields (here, and in E, Josh. xxiv. 30, cp. texts cited above) or of urban ('Is.' liv. 12) or tribal (ii. 18, iii. 16) territories: as well as to the area enclosed by the border (vv. 3, 8, ii. 4, xxviii. 40).

they of old time] Heb. rishonim, the former generations, the forefathers : LXX B etc., warepes σου : A etc., πρότεροί σου,

in thine inheritance which thou inheritest] Part of the law proper : the portion of ground (LXX κληρονομία) that passes from one generation of a family to another.

in the land which the LORD thy God is to give thee, etc.] the frequent deuteronomic formula, iv. 40, v. 31, xii. 1, xvii. 14, xxi. 1, xxv. 19; and in shorter form, xv. 7, xviii, 9, xxv. 15, xxvii, 2, xxviii, 8,

15-21. OF WITNESSES.

Two or three witnesses are necessary for a conviction (15). If a witness, forcing his evidence, accuse a man of defection from the law, the two shall stand before God in the supreme court (16 f.), the judges shall investigate, and if the witness be found false, he shall have done to him what he devised for his brother; so shall evil be removed from Israel (18 f.) and others take warning (20); ruthlessly shall like for like be exacted (21) .- Sg. (except for one slip into the Pl. in v. 10) with the use of the term brother and other terms usual in Sg. passages. There are no deuteronomic formulas beyond the legal ones.

On the subject of this law cp. E, Ex. xxiii. 1, Ex. xx. 16, Dt. v. 20 (the oth commandment), and other passages cited below. By the Code of Hammurabi \$\$ 3 f., false evidence is punished on the same principle of like for like as here, v. 19. In Arabia at least two witnesses are necessary; if their charge is not brought home they must flee from the vengeance of the accused's relatives, with whom however they may come to an arrangement (Musil, Ethn. Ber. 137).

16

DEUTERONOMY Digitized by Google

15 One witness shall not rise up against a man for any iniquity, or for any sin, in any sin that he sinneth: at the mouth of two witnesses, or at the mouth of three witnesses, shall a 16 matter be established. If an unrighteous witness rise up

against any man to testify against him of 'wrong doing;
then both the men, between whom the controversy is, shall stand before the LORD, before the priests and the judges

stand before the LORD, before the priests and the judges 18 which shall be in those days; and the judges shall make

1 Or, rebellion See ch. xiii. 5.

15. By xvii.6 (cp. P, Num. xxxv. 30), a man may not be put to death save on the evidence of more than one witness. Here the same is enforced for all cases.

One witness shall not rise up] Or, stand, that is, of course, as a valid effectual witness; the vb is the same as at the end of the v., shall a matter be established. But in the next v. rise up simply means appear, offer himself.

in any sin that he sinneth] Luc. omits.

16. But if] So Sam. LXX.

unrighteoix witness] Heb. witness of violentee. So E, Ex. xxiii. 1, and Ps. xxxx - 1x, apparently one who force his violence, does violence to the truth or intends violence to his neighbour. Driver renders malicious, "mediates some cover violence himself or assists by false goes before right." In any case the description is proleptic, his character is not decided till he is taken before the judges.

rise up] See on previous v. In this simpler sense in other Sg. passages; see on xiii. 1.

to testify against him] Same vb as in v. 20 (17).

wrong doing] Heb. sarah same as rebellion, xiii. 5 (6) R.V. (cp. xvii.

delinquency or defection from the law.

17. thall trand before the LORD, before the princit and the judge, stell. That is in the supreme court to be instituted at the One Altar, xvii. 9. That is in the supreme court to be instituted at the One Altar, xvii. 9. The construction is awkward and betrays expansion. Steuern, and Berth, and Marti take before the judges as alone original, as these only are mentioned in the next v., and understand the reference to be, not to the supreme court but to the newly instituted judges of xvi. 18. But it is quite as probable that Alfore the LORD was all that the original text of the supreme court but to the newly instituted judges of xvi. 18. But it is quite as probable that Alfore the LORD was all that the original text of the supreme court cases, which in more primitive conditions were referred to some representative of the Deity and which, on the institution of the supreme court at Jerusalem, Israel was directed to take there (cp. xvii. 8, between then and plea, the same Heb. term as is here rendered contrascery).

18. shall make diligent inquisition] See xiii. 14 (15), xvii. 4, 9; Sg.

diligent inquisition: and, behold, if the witness be a false 19 witness, and hath testified falsely against his brother; then 19 shall ye do unto him, as he had thought to do unto his brother: so shalt hou put away the evil from the midst of thee. And those which remain shall hear, and fear, and ao shall henceforth commit no more any such evil in the midst of thee. And thine eye shall not pity; life shall go for life, 21 eye for eye, tooth for tooth, hand for hand, foot for foot.

false, falsely] Heb. sheker: so in Ex. xx. 16, but v. 20 has shav,

brother] here and next v.: the usual term in Sg. passages for fellow-Israelite.

19. shall ye do] the only Pl. in the passage, confirmed by Sam. LXX; either a clerical error or an instance of the possibility of a writer slipping from one form of address into the other. Read shalt thou.

thought] Heb. zamam, devised.

so shalf thou put away] Frequent in this Code, see on xiii. 5 (6).

20. those which remain, etc.] A curious parallel to xiii. 11 (12).

21. thine eye shall not pity See on v. 13, vii. 16. lift for life, etc.] The fust lationis, more fully in E, Ex. xxi. 24 f.; ep. H, Lev. xxiv. 18, 20. Very frequently in the Code of Hammurabi. See further Driver's note on Ex. xxi. 25.

CH. XX. OF WAR-THREE LAWS.

These laws, 1—9, 10—18, 19 fs. separate xix. from xxi: 1—9 (both of manslanghter) and are in phrase and substance akin to xxi: 10—14, and xxiii; 9—14, cp. xxiv: 5. All are in the Sg. address, have similar introductions, and, while some breather the humane spirit prevalent in D's code, all work on the same primitive beliefs in the sacredness of war and the consequent need of eliminating from the army, from its treatment of captives and from the spoil and the camps, all that might near the wrath of either appelle god or some other supernatural incur the wrath of either appelle god or some other supernatural to treatment of their subject; they contain nothing as to the rites due on starting a campsign, or the place of the king in the host, or the materials or moneys to be levied, or the mercenary soldiers, who from David's time onwards were an organised part of Israel's forces.

As we saw on the forces, it, g_{ij} , W_{ij} was to the astited Senites a religious process. A people's army was led by their god and a campaign conducted throughout as a sacrament; c_{ij} , the Moabile Stone, the Assyr, and Babyl, inscriptions and Excle, x_{ij} , x_{ij} . It is leaved is G_{ij} and G_{ij}

(Sa iv. 16, siv. 18, 2 Sa si. 11, A campaign was opened with burst-offerings and enquiry was made of the Delty, with the consequent presence of priests and enquiry was made of the Delty, with the consequent presence of priests of the properties of the priests of the properties of the priests of the properties of spell, devoted as propilatory or other rites involved by other relations or occupations were ruled or of the raists (see § f). Constant with foreign capities or spell, devoted as rites such as we have seen in connection with the derror. In the warfare for one nound Somities there is an almost entire absence of religious stars for the priests of the priests.

In these laws of D religion is seen sometimes mitigating and sometimes enhancing the ferocity of War.

1-9. OF WAR AND EXEMPTIONS FROM SERVICE IN IT.

When Israel goes to war with a foe more numerous and having hores and charios they shall not fear: Jebovah is with them (1). On the eve of the campaign a priest shall exhort the people (2-4). Officers shall discharge every man who has bulk a house and other decicated it (s), or planted a vineyard but not completed the rites because the contract of the contract of

Thus Severan's allotiment of this part to his P1, author loses one of fix reasons. Its other, these uses in v, of the problem issued of frame, common in Sig. passages, the state of frame of the state of frame, common in Sig. passages, (see on i. spi), while his suggestion that v, v is borrowed from axis, v, axis is quite of an excendary (on Borth, and Marti) because of the Prival, because they expect v, v, and because the prival appears in them above (Berth. in Toma 1 time when v, v) and because the prival appears in them above (Berth. in Toma 1 time when v) are the state of v in v in

I see, therefore, no reason for doubting the unity and originality of the whole passage.

Exemptions from war-service are granted by most Asiatic powers, but their range varies much from time to time. In Palestine the Turks used to let an only son

When thou goest forth to battle against thine enemies, and and seest horses, and chariots, and a people more than thou, thou shalt not be afraid of them: for the Loron thy God is with thee, which brought thee up out of the land of Egypt. And it shall be, when ye draw nigh unto the battle, 2 that the priest shall approach and speak unto the people, and shall say unto them, Hear, O Israel, ye draw nigh this 3 day unto battle against your enemies: let not your heart faint; fear not, nor tremble, neither be ye affrighted at them; for the Loron your God is he that goeth with you, to 4 fight for you against your enemies, to save you. And the 5 officers shall speak unto the people, saying, What man is there that hath built a new house, and hath not dedicated

and widows sons go free, and for a time every married man. Later service was obligatory upon all except Christians and the tent-dwelling Arabs (Baldensperger PEFQ, 1906, 18). Recently Christians have been obliged to serve.

1. When thou goest forth to war, etc.] So xxi. 10, cp. xxiii. 9 (10). On go forth see xiii. 13 (14). Enemies, so Sam. LXX; Heb. enemy (but collective).

and seest horses, and chariots] Foreign to early Israel, see on xvii. 16, Josh. xvii. 16, Judg. i. 19, iv. 3.

and a people more than thou, thou shalt not, etc.] So Sam. LXX,

Heb. omits and. On the rest see vii. 17 ff.

the LORD thy God is with thee] Cp. 1, 30, 42, vii. 21, Isai. vii.

14, viii. 8.

which brought thee up instead of the usual brought thee forth, vii.

to, etc. Was it on the strength of this verse that Josiah adventured on his fatal encounter with Pharaoh-Necoh in 612 B.C.?

 when ye draw nigh] LXX thou drawest nigh: see introd. note.
 to the war] Not battle. The captains had still to be appointed (v. 9)

and this must have taken place at the start of the campaign, not on the eve of engagement with the foe.

the pricest Or (it may equally be) a priest: see introd.

Hear, O Israel] iv. 1; here as there with Pl. vbs following.

3. fear not, etc.] neither the standing phrase of Pl. nor that of Sg. : see on i. 29.

4. to save you] Better, to give you the victory.
5. officers] shoterim, i. 15, xvi. 18.

a new house...not dedicated] The vb is used of the dedication of the Temple, r Kgs viii. 63 = 2 Chron. vii. 5, but nowhere else in the O.T. is there any mention of the dedication of a private house. (A.V. of title to Ps. xxx. is misleading.) At the present day in Syria, when a house

it? let him go and return to his house, lest he die in the 6 hattle, and another man dedicate it. And what man is there that hath planted a vineyard, and hath not used the fruit thereo? let him go and return unto his house, lest he die in the battle, and another man use the fruit thereo? And what man is there that hath betrothed a wife, and hath not taken her? let him go and return unto his house, lest he die in the battle, and another man take her. And the officers shall speak further unto the people, and they shall say, What man is there that is fearful and fainthearted? Let him go and return unto his house, lest his brethren's heart melt as his heart. And it shall be when the officers have

is built a goat or sheep is slain and the blood stamped (often by the open hand) on the door or walls, as the present writer has seen in Moab and elsewhere: ep. Doughty 4r. Dat. 1. 126, W. R. Smith Rd. Som. 135, f. Whusil, Mash, 37, Edm. Bar. 447. The sacrifice propitates the spirits of the disturbed soil. To leave for war without quotes as parallel Mad 11. 698 f.: Protesilans, the first Greek slain by the Trojans, had left his house unfinished. That such a supersition prevailed in Israel is probable, but by the addition and another man deducter it, D s motive for this law is shown to be rather one of hardward and the state of the sta

6. not need the fruit thereof] As in xxviii. 30 EVV. paraphrase the Heb. halal, a ritual term for bringing into common use. In the 5th year after planting the vine, one might use the fruits which in the 4th were reserved for the Deity, and for the three previous years were left alone. See Lev. xix. 33 ff.

7. that hath betrehted, etc.] Cp. xxiv. 5, exempting the newly-married from service for a year. The reason can hardly be that he was unclean for, as in the case of other married men, this obstacle could be removed (a Sam. xi. 6 f.). Evidently the motive is humane, in the wife's interests, or in order to secure descendants to the man himself.

8. shall speak further] The change in the formula is no proof that this is a later addition to the law (as Steuern, avers).

fortyiu and fainthearded It is true that such were also supposed to be possessed by evil spirits (Schwally). For a Celtic analogy see Scott's Fair Maid of Ferth, in which Conacher's timidity is intributed by his foster-father to possession. But there is no evidence of such a supersition here. The role is rather in sympathy with this Book's constant direction of life is He content with less. Cp. Judg. vii. 3.

"Only of the content with less." Cp. Judg. vii. 3.

lest his brethren's heart, etc.] 'Fear is catching.' (M. Henry.)

made an end of speaking unto the people, that they shall appoint captains of hosts at the head of the people.

When thou drawest nigh unto a city to fight against it, 10 then proclaim peace unto it. And it shall be, if it make 11

9. they shall appoint] They, not necessarily the officers of the previous clause, but indefinite: those whose duty it is to appoint, or the people as a whole. Cp. I Macc. iii. 55 f.

people as a whole. Cp. I Macc. iii. 55.
captains of heart] The chiefs of the main divisions, cp. 1 Kgs ii. 5.
These are not appointed till the host has been sifted of all whom it was not proper to allow to accompany it, because the exemptions apply to all ranks. With these rules for sifting the host, cp. Cromwell's measures with the recruits for his Ironsides.

10-18. OF THE CAPTURE OF HEATHEN CITIES.

Before besieging a city Israel shall offer peace, and if it surrenders its people shall be subject to service (or 6.). But if it will not, Israel shall lay siege, and having taken it; shall slay every male, but reserve women, children, cattle and spoil for booky (13—14), a midder form of the hereon than the case of digitant cities. But of the cities of the land, mothing that breather is to be arred (2 to this severes form of the hereon thing that breather is to be arred (2 to this severes form of the hereon their abominations (18)—In the Sg. address except for 18, possibly an addition from vii. 4–25, etc.

Comill's opinion (Eint's so) that all of 15—18 is secondary is too drastic; it is a fundamental principle of D. not to allow mercy where there is any risk thereby to the purity of I srawly's religion. Steuern's milder suggestion, that the formulas in symbols february thy God star gives the son all of wide. In or gives the for editorial, is possible. On the question whether the law implies the survival of Cananites when it was written see, introd, \$11.

Characteristically D enjoins less rigorous measures in war than were usual at the time, but only when there is no danger of Israe being tempted by them to the worship of other gods. In modern Arab raids women and children are never touched and no prisoners are made. In the men are killed of they defent other property or and no prisoners are made. In the men are killed of they defent other property or PEP(1) = PE

10. When thou drawest nigh] Cp. v. 2. to fight against it] With another preposition the same vb is used of

attacking or besieging a city, Judg. ix. 45, 1 Sam. xxiii. 1, etc.

proclaim peace unto ii] Judg. xxi. 13. Negotiations between enemies on the eve of battle were frequent (e.g. Judg. xi. 12—18, 1 Kgs xx. 1f.) and it cannot have been unusual for besiggers to offer to the besigged their lives on condition of surrender (2 Kgs xviii. 28 ff.). For a case among the Arabs see Doughty Ar. Det. 11. 429.

The humanity here enjoined by D must be estimated in the light of the heren, according to which for religious reasons heathen enemies were never to be spared. The injunction therefore is not so much a mitigation of the rigours

thee answer of peace, and open unto thee, then it shall be, that all the people that is found therein shall become 'tribuz' arry unto thee and shall serve thee. And if it will make no

12 tary unto thee, and shall serve thee. And if it will make no peace with thee, but will make war against thee, then thou 13 shalt besiege it: and when the LORD thy God delivereth it

13 shall besiege it: and when the LORD thy God delivereth it into thine hand, thou shalt smite every male thereof with 14 the edge of the sword: but the women, and the little ones.

and the cattle, and all that is in the city, even all the spoil thereof, shalt thou take for a prey unto thyself; and thou shalt eat the spoil of thine enemies, which the LORD thy 15 God hath given thee. Thus shalt thou do unto all the

15 God hath given thee. Thus shalt thou do unto all the cities which are very far off from thee, which are not of the 16 cities of these nations. But of the cities of these peoples.

which the LORD thy God giveth thee for an inheritance, thou shalt save alive nothing that breatheth: but thou shalt

1 Or, subject to task-work

common in Semitic warfare as a qualification of the religious zeal with which Israel (like Islam) fought their foes. For an instance in which after a siege had begun a Jewish besieger listened favourably to the petitions of the besieged see 1 Macc. xiii. 43 ff. (Simon at Gezer).

11. tributary] Heb. la-mas. Mas means a body of forced labourers, eg, of Israelites in Egypt, Ex. i. It, or of Solomovia levies for work in Lebanon and upon his buildings, i Kgs v. 13 (27), ix, 15, but frequently of the Canantile peoples surviving among Israel, J. John xvi. Gibeonites who were admitted to league with Israel upon their statement that they had come from a distance, were, on the discovery of their fraud, condemned to be hewers of wood and drawers of water. Such forced labour was recognised as the natural fate of the defeated,

12. But if it will make no peace with thee...thou shall besiege, i.e. confine or blockade it.

13. when the LORD thy God delivereth it] As to this D has no doubt.

thou shalt smite, etc.] See on ii. 34.

14. but] or only. Heb. rak, introducing exceptions. See on x. 15.

the women, etc.] A mitigated form of the herem—see on ii. 34—urged not only from motives of humanity but on utilitarian considerations.

take for a prey, etc.] ii. 35, iii. 7.

16. But] Heb. rak, introducing an opposite case, see x. 15.

thou shalt save alive nothing that breatheth] Heb. any breath, i.e.

'utterly destroy them; the Hittite, and the Amorite, the Cansanite, and the Perizite, the Hivite, and the Jebusite; as the Lord thy God hath commanded thee: that they 18 teach you not to do after all their abominations, which they have done unto their gods; so should ye sin against the Lord your God.

When thou shalt besiege a city a long time, in making 19 war against it to take it, thou shalt not destroy the trees

1 Heb. devote.

human life (Gen. ii. 7, 1 Kgs xvii. 17, 'Isai.' xlii. 5), cp. the deuteronomic Josh. x. 40, xi. 11, 14. Only in Gen. vii. 22 does the phrase cover animals.

17. utterly detroy them] put them to the herom in its severe form (see on ii. 34). But from the passages quoted above on tributary, v. 11, we see that Israel did not put these nations to the ban but only to forced labour. Here D did not mitigate but aggravate the fate of the peoples conquered by Israel, and as Islam did, from religious motives.

the Hittite, etc.] Six nations, but LXX adds the missing seventh, the Girgashite. See on vii. 1.

as...commanded thee may be an editorial addition founded on vii. 2.

25, cp. Ex. xxiii. 31-33.
18. The one Pl. passage in this law, see introd. note.

abominations | See on vii. 25, xii. 31, xvii. 1.

19 f. Of Sparing the Fruit Trees in a Siege.

In a prolonged siege, Israel, while eating of the besieged's fruit-trees, shall not destroy them (19). Trees which do not yield food may be cut down for siege-works (20).—In the Sg. address.

The practice of cutting down the enemy's fruit trees was common. Several Asyrian kings bast of it: cp. Tighath Pileer III (quoted in E.B., 431): The plantations of polins which abutted on his rangear! cut down. Both Pompey could (top-they with the plantation of the plantation of the plantation of the Banu Nadir, and justified this in an oracle, Kuran lix. See also Doughty 4r. Dept. 123.

Dought of June 1, 1921. 1.3.
On invading Moab Israel cut down the fruit-trees and stopped the wells, in obedience to a word of Jehovah by Elisha (a Kgs ili. 19, 23). That propher, therefore, and his biographer cannot have known of this law of D, which shows a real advance in the ethics of warfare.—Further on Sieges see O. C. Whitehouse art. "Siege" in E. B.; Billetreck, Petrangelsan in Altino Orient.

19. besiege...a long time] From this and build bulwarks in v. 19, we see that Israel were already familiar with siege-operations and did not depend on carrying a city by immediate storm, as the nomad Semites were obliged to do or retire.

in making war against it to take it] Curiously redundant.

thereof by wielding an axe against them; for thou mayest eat of them, and thou shalt not cut them down; for is the tree of the field man, that it should be besieged of thee? 20 Only the trees which thou knowest that they be not trees for meat, thou shalt destroy and cut them down; and thou shalt build bulwarks against the city that maketh war with thee, until it fall.

by wielding an axe against them] The vb as in xix. 5.

for thou mayet] Or, but. Even here a utilitarian reason is givenfor is the tree of the field man...?] or human. So according to LXX and other versions. The Heb. pointing, which omits the interrogative, gives no sense.

"that it should be besieged of thee] Lit. that it should come into siege before thee: the technical phrase, 2 Kgs xxiv. 10, xxv. 2. Cp. our state

before thee: the technical phrase, 2 Kgs xxiv. 10, xxv. 2. Cp. our state of siege.

20. bulwarks Heb. masor, from the vb to besiege, therefore, siege-

works, or circumvallation. See Mic. v. 1 (iv. 14), Isai. xxix. 3, Jer. vi. 6, fell ye trees and heap up a wall against ferusalem, cp Ez iv. 2, Judg. ix. 46–49, 2 Sam. xx. 15. Specimens of such works, of wicker and wood, are seen in Assyrian sculptures.

until it fall xxiii 1.5, 1.5ai. xxxii. 1.0.

CH. XXI. 1-9. OF THE EXPIATION OF AN UNTRACED MURDER.

If a slain man be found in the open country and his slayer is not known the elders of the nearest town shall take a heifer not yet wrought with to an uncultivated valley with a stream and break its neck (1-4); and priests shall attend (5); and the elders, washing their hands over the heifer, shall testify that they neither shed this blood nor saw it shed, and pray for forgiveness, and the blood shall be forgiven and the guilt removed (6-0) .- Peculiar to D, it opens and closes in the Sg. address and with D's formulas (vv. 1, 8, 9); the latter also appear with the entrance of the priests (v. 5). The rest has no trace of the direct address (except in the doubtful 3a) nor of D's formulas. Note, too, in the opening of v. q, how emphatically the return to the direct address is made by a variation-and thou-of the formula with which D closes similar laws; as if he felt some such junction were needed between what he had been quoting and his own addition. All this suggests that D has incorporated, and rounded off, an older law or custom; and the suggestion is confirmed by the primitive character of that custom, the fact that it implies sacrifice (see on a f.) which, according to D, is valid only at the One Altar, and that the earlier authorities in Israel, the elders, perform this. That the law is found only in D points to its having been a local practice. That he altered any of the original details cannot be positively affirmed; but it is noteworthy If one be found slain in the land which the LORD thy 21 God giveth thee to possess it, lying in the field, and it be not known who hath smitten him: then thy elders and thy 2 judges shall come forth, and they shall measure unto the

that while the definition of the heifer and the place of its killing imply a sacrifice, and the running water may be held to mean that originally the animal's blood was shed into it, there is now in the law no mention of its blood, but its neck is to be broken, as if it were not a regular sacrifice.

It is possible that v. z, with its reference to the judges, belongs not to the law quoted but to D_1 and very probable that both the elders in that v. and the whole of v. S are additions later than D_r -Steuern assigns the bulk of the passage to the code of his P1, author on the ground that elders are also mentioned in other passages which he assigns to that, c. g. xi. x. 1tf., and that his Sg. author does not

know of the elders.

The principle of this law, that an untraced murder must be ritually expiated, and the associated principle that the community in which it happened are responsible till expiation has been offered, prevailed among the Semites as well as with other peoples. Hammurabi enjoins (§ 23) that if a highwayman has not been caught the man robbed shall swear what he has lost, and have this restored by the governor of the district in which the robbery took place; and (§ 24) that if a life has been lost the city or district governor shall pay 1 mina of silver to the deceased's relatives.

W. R. Smith points out that in Arabia when a man was found slain the people of the place had to swear they were not the murderers (Kinship and Marriage, etc., 263) and that in the Kithb el-Aghani ix. 178, l. 25 ff. the responsibility for a homicide is thrown on the nearest homestead, dar (MS note quoted by Driver). Cp. Doughty Ar. Des., 1. 176. I add a modern instance of communal responsibility which resembles the case in § 23 of Hammurabi's Code. In 1901 when encamped at Banias, although we had the usual watchman given us by the village, one of our horses was stolen by night. The dragoman, without telling me, appealed to two soldiers from the garrison of Mejdel esh-Shems who were passing. They summoned soldiers from the garrison of Mejori can shall make the shall been guilty of the crime or knew the criminal. They were very respectable looking ancients and our Western instincts of justice were wounded by the proposal that the whole gang of them should at once be marched off 'elbow-tight' to the prison at Mejdel. They offered a substitute for the stolen horse, but when this arrived it proved to be a very inferior animal, and was refused. After 24 hours the missing east was produced, and we went our way uncertain whether it had been stolen with the compyance of the elders or not; but thankful for the institution of communal responsibility.—Cp. Baldensperger, PEFO, 1006, 14.

1. If one be found] So xvii. 2, xxiv. 7, also Sg. passages. which the LORD thy God is to give thee] See on xix. 14.

lying] Heb. falling but with perfect sense, fallen, cp. Num. xxiv. 4, Judg. iii. 25, v. 27.

in the field sadeh, as in xxii. 25, 27, in its earlier meaning (see on v. 21), the wild uncultivated country, remote from habitations.

2. thy elders and thy judges] The combination is remarkable, and recent commentators take one or the other as secondary. Steuern. retains elders (see introd. note), but Berth. and Marti are right in taking this as editorial since D does not elsewhere speak of the elders of the whole nation as P does.

3 cities which are round about him that is slain: and it shall be, that the city which is nearest unto the slain man, even the elders of that city shall take an heifer of the herd, which hath not been wrought with, and which hath not drawn in 4 the yoke; and the elders of that city shall bring down the heifer unto a valley with running water, which is neither plowed nor sown, and shall break the heifer's neck there in

3. and it shall be, etc.] Lit. and it shall be as regards the city which...that the elders of that city shall take, etc. Similar construction in xii. 11, xviii. 19.

an heiter of the herd 1 Sam. xvi. 2. Gen. xv. o (a three year old) for sacrifice.

which hath not been wrought with] Heifers were used for work, Judg. xiv. 18, Hos. x. 11, Jer. l. 11, but this one, destined for a sacred use, must not have been so profaned: cp. xv. 19, of firstlings, Num. xix. 2, of the red heifer.

4. the elders of that city | Luc. omits.

a valley with running water] i.e. with a perennial brook, cp. Am. v. 24 (and see Driver's note here). The running water is usually explained as meant to carry off the blood, but no blood is mentioned; unless it was so in the original law (see introd. note). The primitive idea was rather the checking of a demon or of the spirit of the slain man. Cp. the belief in the preference of spirits for dry places (Luke xi. 24) and their aversion to running water (in modern times that ghosts cannot cross bridges, e.g. Tam o' Shanter).

neither plowed nor sown] therefore unprofaned by common use, and so meet for a solemn rite. Dillm. (after Ewald): 'that the soaked-in blood of the beast, vicariously killed, may not hereafter be uncovered by the cultivation of the ground but rather washed away by the brook.' See however, the previous note. Some object the impossibility of finding an uncultivated valley with a running stream, but there are

many such.

shall break the heifer's neck | The same procedure as I, Ex. xiii. 13, xxxiv. 20, enjoins for the firstling of an ass not redeemed; cp. 'Isai.' lxvi. 3, of a dog. In these cases there does not appear to have been shedding of the blood such as took place in all sacrifices proper. This is singular if the killing of the heifer was a piaculum. In the original ceremony was it only conceived as a piece of sympathetic magic, symbolic of the execution of the murderer, and did D transform this into an expiation? Or, conversely, was the original ceremony a sacrifice, and did D, on his principle that sacrifice was valid only at the One Altar, reduce it to the level of the treatment of the firstling of an ass? In Lev. iv. 13-21 (P), the piaculum for an inadvertent sin of the whole congregation, it is also the elders who slav the victimthe valley: and the priests the sons of Levi shall come near; of for them the Loro thy God hath chosen to minister unto him, and to bless in the name of the Lorn; and according to their word shall every controversy and every stroke be: and all the elders of that city, who are nearest unto the slain 6 man, shall wash their hands over the heifer whose neck was broken in the valley: and they shall answer and say, Our 7 hands have not shed this blood, neither have our eyes seen it. Forgive, O Lorn, thy people Israel, whom thou hast 8 redeemed, and suffer not innocent blood for remain in the midst of thy people Israel. And the blood shall be forgiven them. So shalt thou put away the innocent blood from the 9 midst of thee, when thou shalt do that which is right in the eyes of the Lorn.

5. the priests the sons of Levi shall come near] The same vha so the priest is. x2, R.V. approach. The appearance of the priests is remarkable, for they have nothing else to do in the ceremony. They have been introduced, then, either by Dor, since they are not designated by D's usual title for them (the priest the Levitet), by an editor who, under the later priestly conceptions, cannot imagine such a gave it as his reason for bringing them in. For the formulas of which it consists see on. 8, xvii. 8, 12, xviii. 8.

6. wash their hands] thus disowning their own and their com-

munity's guilt. Pss. xxvi. 6, lxxiii. 13, Matt. xxvii. 24.

over the heifer] As representing the murderer or the murder?

7. answer] testify, as in v. 20 (9th Comm.), and xix. 16.

8. Forgive] the meaning of this technical term, kipper, is usually taken either from the Ar. form = to cover, or the Syr. = to wipe away, the latter being also its meaning in Assyr. (Zimmern in KAT², 601, 650). See Driver's note here.

whom thou hast redeemed] In the Hex. peculiar to D, see on vii. 8.

suffer] Heb. give, i.e. appoint, attach or impute.

thy people Israel] The guilt of such a crime affected not only the people of the commune where it was committed but all Israel (cp. Num. xxxv. 33). Was this idea in the original law, or added by D? Apparently D's addition begins with the opening of the next v.

9. So shalt thou put away] Heb. and thou, thou shalt put away, an emphatic variation of the formula with which D usually closes similar laws (see xiii. 5, (6), xix. 13, etc.), as if he only now resumed his own words.

when thou shalt do, etc.] To make the construction right we should prefix to this clause, the words that it may be well with thee. See vi. 18, When thou goest forth to battle against thine enemies,

- and the LORD thy God delivereth them into thine hands, in and thou carriest them away captive, and seest among the
- captives a beautiful woman, and thou hast a desire unto 12 her, and wouldest take her to thee to wife; then thou shalt bring her home to thine house; and she shall shave her
- oring ner nome to trine nouse; and see shall snave ner is head, and pare her nails; and she shall put the raiment of her captivity from off her, and shall remain in thine house.

10-14. OF MARRIAGE WITH A CAPTIVE OF WAR.

If a woman taken in war is desired for a wife (t, f_i) , the may be brought home, but the marriage shall not take place till she has shaved her hair, pared her nails, put away her former garments, and mourned her parents for a moth $(t*f_i)$. If her husband is love for her fades he may let her go out free (t_i) —in the Sg, address, with no feature humanity and of caution against infection by foreign idolaries. Vet in the light of vii. 3, forbidding marriage with the people of the land, and as it of commanding that in war they shall all be put to death, this law far the strength of the strength of

Mohammed permitted a female captive (though previously married) to become at once the concubine of her captor. But this is not Arab custom. 'Women are not taken captive in the Arabian warfare, though many times a poor valiant man might come by a fair wife thus without his spending for bride-money' (Doughty Ar. Des. It. 148).

10. When thou goest forth, etc.] See on xx. 1. Read enemy (sing.) because of the following: and the LORD thy God delivereth him into thine hands (see on i. 27); and thou takest captives from him (lit. capturest his captives).

11. the captives] Sam. his.

hast a desire unto her] Heb. hashak, see on vii. 7. and wouldest take her] So Sam. LXX. Heb. omits her.

12. to thine house] Lit. to the midst of thy household.

share her head, and pare her natio! Heb. make or dreat her natio! (\$2 Sam. xix. z with fort and deard). Berth thinks these duties are part of the following mourning, the cutting off of hair being a mourning irt (xiv. t, Edin. Ber., 427). But because she has to do this at once and at the same time put off the ratinest she was taken in; it is more healthead to the control of the same time put of the ratinest she was taken in; it is more healthead to most commentators); see above, pp. 42, ff. On similar customs among Arabs, cp. W. R. Smith, Kinthip, etc., 178, OTJC², 368, Wellh, Kett Arab. Beid. 156.

and bewail her father and her mother a full month; and after that thou shalt go in unto her, and be her husband, and she shall be thy wife. And it shall be, if thou have no 14 delight in her, then thou shalt let her go whither she will; but thou shalt not sell her at all for money, thou shalt not deal with her 'as a slave, because thou hast humbled her,

1 Or, as a chattel

13. a full month Lit. a month of days, a usual period of mourning, xxxiv. 8, Num. xx. 29, etc., cp. Gen. l. 3. Contrast Mohammed's practice above.

be her husband] Heb. a ba'al to her; so xxiv. 1, R.V. marrieth. 14. let her go Lit. dismiss, the term for divorce, the right of which

was the husband's alone, but in this case is qualified by the following. whither she will | Lit. according to her desire; therefore rather as she will, as full mistress of herself; cp. Jer. xxxiv. 16 of freed slaves.

thou shalt not sell her, etc. | So in E, Ex. xxi. 8, of the married slave

whom her husband wishes to divorce. deal with her as a slave] Only here and xxiv. 7. Although the Ar, forms of the root imply rancour or malice, the Heb, vb seems

only to mean deal with her as her owner (Driver, 'play the master over her'). because, etc.] Cp xxii. 24, 29.

15-17. OF THE RIGHT OF THE FIRSTBORN.

If a man have two sons by different wives, one loved and one hated, and his firstborn is the son of the latter, he must not give the firstborn's double portion to the son of the favourite.-Not in the direct address nor with any of D's characteristic phrases; possibly therefore a previous law adopted by D, but hardly an ancient one, as it vetoes what was at least the occasional practice in early Israel. Like others it opens by putting a definite case (if there be a man, etc., cp. vv. 18, 22, xxii, 2, 6, 13, etc.), it covers this alone, and hence is incomplete. We do not learn, e.g., whether the double portion included the family lands (Stade, Gesch, I. 302, and Buhl, Soc. Verhältn, d. Isr. 55 n. 2, think not) nor anything as to the children of concubines (cp. E, Gen. xxi. 10 f.).

That in early Israel the firstborn had special rights, arising probably from the sacredness attached to all firstbirths (see Ex. xiii. 12), is proved by the term &korak. birthright (J, Gen. xxv. 34) as well as by its metaphorical application to Israel (J, Ex. iv. 22, cp. Jer. xxxi. 9). That the firstborn's portion was a double one is implied by the spiritual use of the phrase, in 2 Kgs ii. 9 (cp. Zech. xiii. 8). Yet these rights were subject to the patria potestas and a firstborn might be disinherited by his father in favour of a younger son, either as in Reuben's case because of misconduct, or as in the succession to David through the influence of a favourite wife (Gen. xlix. 2 fl., cp. 1 Chron. v. 1; t Kgs i. ii.; cp. the power of a father's blessing even when obtained by fraud, Gen. xxvii., or of a grandfather's, Gen. xivii.). The former case is dealt with more rigorously by the next law of D, the latter is abso15 If a man have two wives, the one beloved, and the other hated, and they have borne him children, both the beloved and the hated; and if the firstborn son be hers that was 16 hated; then it shall be, in the day that he causeth his sons to inherit that which he hath, that he may not make the son

of the beloved the firstborn 'before the son of the hated,
17 which is the firstborn: but he shall acknowledge the firstborn, the son of the hated, by giving him a double portion of all that he hath: for he is the beginning of his strength: the right of the firstborn is his.

1 Or, during the life time of

lucely forbidden in this law. Together the two laws illustrate D's miggled severify and equity. For their legislation on inheritance see P, Nun, xvii. 1—20, xxvi.

On the rights of inheritance in Assyria and Balylonia see John, q. ctr. ch. xvi.

On the rights of inheritance in Assyria and Balylonia see John, q. ctr. ch. xvi.

On the rights of other members of the family; and certain powers of allottened by the eldest son, and quotes (n. 44) very early laws by which perent might by the control of the control of

15. If a man have two wives | Cp. Jacob, Gen. xxix. 16 ff., Elkanah, 1 Sam. i. 2.
hated | The extreme case, but covering others such as Jacob's

Gen. xxix. 30 f.

16. in the day that he causeth his sons to inherit] When he makes

his will, Gen. xxiv. 36, xxv. 5; cp. 2 Sam. xvii. 23, 2 Kgs xx. 1. before] in preference to (see on v. 7), R.V. margin is improbable. 17. acknowledged Grätz by adding one consonant reads. make kim

the first-born.

a double portion Heb. mouth or mouthful, of two, only here and

2 Kgs ii. 9, Zech. xiii. 8; cp. hand or handful, Gen. xliii. 34.

and his is the right of the firstborn] So some Heb. MSS, Sam. LXX, etc.

18-21. OF A DISOBEDIENT SON.

If a man have a son, who, in spite of his parents' rebuke, fails to obey them (18), they shall bring him forth to the gate, and state the case to the elders of the town (19f.), and the townsmen shall stone him to death, so shall evil be put out of Israel and all take warning (21), —Except for the closing formula this law is not in the form of address to If a man have a stubborn and rebellious son, which will 18 not obey the voice of his father, or the voice of his mother, and though they chasten him, will not hearken unto them: then shall his father and his mother lay hold on him, 19 and bring him out unto the elders of his city, and unto the gate of his place; and they shall say unto the elders of 20 his city, This our son is stubborn and rebellious, he will not obey our voice; he is a riotous liver, and a drunkard. And all the men of his city shall stone him with stones, 2t that he die: so shalt thou put away the evil from the midst of thee; and all Israel shall hear, and fear.

Israel, and the term for *stoning* is other than D's. Therefore probably another of the laws incorporated by D.

The power of parents over their children (E. Ex. xxi. 7, Gen. xxi. 1), even to putting them to clearly which prevailed in early francal abox to this degree (Gen. xxviii, xzi cp. linhl. Sec. Prehatine, d. Irr. xp.), as among the Greeks and Romans, the emberged and quantified by public authority. See Genetic transfers of the emberged and the properties of the emberged properties of the emberged properties of the emberged properties of the emberged properties. The emberged properties of the emberged pr

18. If a man have] See introd. to vv. 15-17.

stubborn and rebellious] Jer. v. 23, Ps. lxxviii. 8.

father or...mother] Mark the equality of the parents, as in the next v., the Fifth Comm. and in E, Ex. xxi 15, 17; also in the Babylonian laws cited above.

chasten] See on viii. 5.

lay hold xxii. 18; cp. ix. 17. Bring out, xvii. 5, 9.2.,
 xxi 1, 24. Sizer of hit city, see on xix. 12 and xvi. 18. Gate of his place, as the local seat of judgement, xxii. 15, xxv. 7; cp. Ruth iv. 1 f.,
 Am. v. 10, 12, 15, Isai xxix. 21.
 cdery Sam. LXX. men.

riotous liver] Better, prodigal, lit. one who lavishes or squanders, Prov. xxiii. 20 (with flesh, a glutton) and 21, parallel to drunkard as here; xxviii. 7: a companion of prodigals shameth his father.

21. stone] Heb. ragam as in Ar.; only here in D, which elsewhere has sakal, see on xiii. 10 (11), but found in JE (Josh. vii. 25), H (Lev. xx. 2, 27) and P (Num. xiv. 10, etc.).

but away, etc.] See on xiii. 5 (6).

all Israel shall hear, etc.] See on xiii. 11 (12); cp. xvii. 13, xix. 20.

22 f. OF THE EXPOSED CORPSE OF A CRIMINAL.

A corpse exposed after execution shall be buried before night; cursed of God it must not be left to defile the land. In the Sg. address and closing with a deuteronomic formula.

DIGITIZED BY GOOGLE

22 And if a man have committed a sin worthy of death, and he be put to death, and thou hang him on a tree;

23 his body shall not remain all night upon the tree, but thou shalt surely bury him the same day; for he that is hanged is 'accursed of God; that thou defile not thy land which the Loro thy God giveth thee for an inheritance.

1 Heb. the curse of God.

Hauquing (or impalement see below) was not the form of the criminal's death by was subsequent to the execution and an aggravation of its dishonour. This is clear an early from n. x₂, but from | los, via, s₃, x s₄, r. San, xxx, x₃, x s San, v. x xad and the contraction of the contraction of the composed for the similar retreatment of the corpose of trainters and other noterious criminals in Europe all within recent times. In early larsel bodies thue appeared were burstle did of the spirit of the criminal, which otherwise would continue to huntre the eight lounbood. If that was the original idea, it is ignored by D and this other lates and the contraction of the c

22. if a man, etc.] Cp. vv. 15, 18; lit. if there be against a man a sin, a sentence (mishpa), of death. This compound phrase seems a fusion of a sin of death, a capital sin, xxii. 26, and a sentence of death,

a capital charge, xix. 6. Or mishpat is a gloss.

23. for the thing hanged is accurated of Golf. This accurate of Golf. This was the meaning of such exposure of the corpose after execution. Golfs wrath was heaped upon it; or it became doubly unclean and therefore terribly charged with infection to its surroundings. The LXX version of these words: **xexarapastero** rivo** decor via** *xexarapastero** rivo** decor via** *xexarapastero** rivo** decor via** *xexarapastero** rivo** decor via** *xexarapastero** rivo** of the product by Paul with a difference—"executarapartor via** of *xexapastero** rivo** of *xexarapastero** rivo** rivo** rivo** rivo** rivo**

ξόλου—in support of his statement that Christ was made a curse for us. that thou defile not] In D only here and xxiv. 4, but the idea, differently expressed, is frequent.

giveth thee for an inheritance] xv. 4. See on iv. 21.

CH. XXII. 1-12. NINE LAWS FOR VARIOUS OCCASIONS AND TEMPTATIONS.

All in the Sg. address (and the first two besides with the term brother usual in the Sg passages) and without the opening formula general in the preceding group and resumed in the following. It is

Thou shalt not see thy brother's ox or his sheep go astray, 22 and hide thyself from them: thou shalt surely bring them again unto thy brother. And if thy brother be not night 2 unto thee, or if thou know him not, then thou shalt bring it home to thine house, and it shall be with thee until thy brother seek after it, and thou shalt restore it to him again. And so shalt thou do with his ass; and so 3 shalt thou do with his garment; and so shalt thou do what his garment has the shall be shall be

Thou shalt not see thy brother's ass or his ox fallen down 4

difficult if not impossible to explain their appearance just here in the Code, or the order in which they are arranged. They have, however this in common that they modify some earlier laws or customs, and transform others or forbid others. Steuern.'s division of them between his Sg. and Pl. authors is unconvincing.

1.—3. Of Restoring Lost Property. No Israelite shall see a brother's or or sheep go sarray without returning it, or caring for it till it is claimed, and so with an ass or garment or anything lost: D's expansion of a law by E. Exs. xxiii. 4r, which is (remarkably) of an enemy' property. As is evident from the parallel phrase, him that hatch thee, in E's next way, this is not a foreign, but a private, enemy. Therefore D's substitution of the property of the property of the property of the property found by him.

Hammurah has four law, \$6 g−1x, on cases in which the finder has told the lost property of another. For the Arabase Evaluation, F.D. Fet., 143 and Musil, Eduh. Ber. 358 ft. 11 a man find an animal, this must be confirmed by two witnesses, that the Section of t

go astray] Heb. niddahim, usually rendered as a passive part, has here, prohably, as in Mic. iv. 6, Zeph. iii. 10, Esek. xxxiv. 4, 16, a reflexive sense like the Scot. pass, part. wandered: LXX πλαμενα tv τ η δόφ. Ελ. xxiii. 4: if thou come upon thine enemy's ox or his ass straying.

and hide thyself from them] v. 4, 'Isai.' lviii. 7 (from thine own fleth), Ps. lv. 1 (2); LXX, όπεριδεῦν. Cp. Luke x. 31 f., passed by on the other side.

2, 3. Wholly (except for his ass) D's addition to the law.
3. thou mayest not] As in xii. 17, q.v., etc.

 Of Assisting to Lift Fallen Beasts. D's more comprehensive and more simply expressed edition of E's law, Ex. xxiii. 5, which enjoins

17-2

by the way, and hide thyself from them: thou shalt surely help him to lift them up again.

5 Å woman shall not wear that which pertaineth unto a man, neither shall a man put on a woman's garment: for whosoever doeth these things is an abomination unto the LORD thy God.

6 If a bird's nest chance to be before thee in the way, in any tree or on the ground, with young ones or eggs, and the dam sitting upon the young or upon the eggs, thou shalt not

take the dam with the young: thou shalt in any wise let the dam go, but the young thou mayest take unto thyself; that it may be well with thee, and that thou mayest prolong thy days.

the duty of helping him that hateth thee to release (an archaic word) his animals when foundered beneath their burdens. On fallen, see -xxi. 1.

An animal fallen under its load needs two persons to put it right, 'an operation which can be performed for a loaded animal only by fifting up the burden on both sides at once, unless it be unloaded and loaded again, implying nuch loss of time, and even this often cannot be done without assistance. I ew and Christian, Muslim and Koord mutually assist each other, though inimical to one another's faith' (Van Lennep, Bible Lands, etc., 31).

8. Against Wearing the Clothes, etc., of the Other Sex. Peculiar to D. As what is forbidden is styled an admination to fedowah, the halaw probably refers to heathen rites, for the practice of which, including the interchange by the sexes of their clothes, weapons, etc., leading to gross impurities, there is much evidence in records of the Syrian and other ancient religious. Calvin outset Iswend 36A, vi. vi.83.

Quem praestare potest mulier galeata pudorem, Quae fugit a sexu?

Lucian, Dea Syr. 15, 26, 51, Apul. Metamorph. VIII. 24 ff., Pausanias III. 197, Macrobius Sat. iii. 8, Eusebius VII. Const. iii. 55, Jerome on Ho. iv. 14, Augustine Cir. Dei, VII. 626. Cp. Movers, Phôniaier, 1. 678 ff., Stark, Gaza, etc. 306, W. R. Smith, OTIC², 365.

that which pertaineth | Heb. kell, covering weapons (i. 41), utensils (xxiii. 24 [25]) and ornaments, as well as garments or 'things' as we call them (Lev. xiii. 49, etc.).

abomination] See vii. 25; cp. xviii. 12, xxv. 16.

6.7. Of Sparing the Mother-bird. Peculiar to D. No reason of ritual such as we found from xiv. 21 is traceable here. The motive may be prudence; had it been kindness to animals (as in xxv. 4, and H. Lev. xxii. 27.f) we should have expected an injunction not to take the whole brood. Either D or possibly a later editor has in v. 7 added the same inducement which is attached to the Fifth Commandment, as

When thou buildest a new house, then thou shalt make 8 a battlement for thy roof, that thou bring not blood upon thine house, if any man fall from thence. Thou shalt not 9 sow thy vineyard with two kinds of seed: lest the ¹whole

1 Heb. fulness.

if reverence for motherhood were the motive. Steuern.'s idea that this

was suggested by xxiv. 16 is far-fetched. Cp. Luke xii. 6.

8. Of Protecting Roofs. Only in D. E. Ex. xxi. 33 f., exacts from

him who teaves a pit open the price of a beast fallen fino it, but says mothing as to houses. D's frequent reference to building is another sign of its later date. Neglect of this law would be punished under the laws on manshaughter and maining. Buttlement, Heb., mat*94ch, only here (cp. At. 'abb', 'to hinder). Keyf, 'Heb. gar', deriv. doubtful. Cl. Syr. (gr., 'planer' (All'Lean Dita', of Perma. Syriau'). Blood, LX & Søve.

Hamuumbi fixes penalities for unsound building involving death or damage, $g_1 = g_2 = g_3$. In W. Axia roofs are flar, or where they are dound because timber is scarce, as in Jerusalem, flat terraces are left round the domes, so that they can be used for taking the air, private conversation, worthly, etc., as in Jo. 6n. in, 6, 15 km is, m_1^2 per solution of m_2^2 per solution m_2^2 per solution m_3 per solution m_3 per solution m_3 per solution of the inside above the court. But Buildenepager as y_1 (G^2/R^2 , Q_1 , y_2 , g_3), "the roof is designated g_3/r^2 which means "protected," although, as a matter of fact, it is not protected at all on the most dangerous side."

9-11. Three Laws against Mixing (1) seeds, (2) animals in ploughing, (3) cloths in a garment. The first and third also in H, Lev. xix, 10 (cp. P. Lev. xi, 17, against defiling seed), along with one against cross-breeding; the second peculiar to D. The religious reason given for the first is to be inferred for the other two. To appreciate it we must keep in mind not only the attention of the mind of that time to the distinctness of species as created by God, Gen. i. 11 f., 21, 24 f. (Driver), but the principle stated by Isaiah (xxviii. 24 f.) that all the husbandman's customs and methods including his discrimination and separation of different kinds of seed were taught him by divine revelation (cp. Lev. xix. 19 : ye shall keep my statutes); and the possibility that in a more primitive society different seeds, animals and the stuffs produced from them were regarded as animated by different spirits whom it was unlucky to offend by confusing them (see on v. 11). But it is remarkable that Hammurabi's Code shows no trace of this. For the later more detailed Jewish law see the Mishnah, ' Kil'aim.'

9. thy vineyard which in Palestine is frequently so planted that there is room for the growth of vegetables, etc., between the vines. Lev. xix. 19, thy field. Why D mentions only vineyard is not explicable. The inference that his law is later than that in Lev. [Dillm.] is an arrower.

two kinds] Only here and Lev. xix. 19. The Heb. implies mutually exclusive kinds.

fruit be 'forfeited, the seed which thou hast sown, and the

increase of the vineyard. Thou shalt not plow with an ox and an ass together. 11 Thou shalt not wear a mingled stuff, wool and linen to-

gether. Thou shalt make thee 2 fringes upon the four borders

of thy vesture, wherewith thou coverest thyself.

1 Heb. consecrated. 2 Or, twisted threads

whole fruit] Right; for the Heb., the fulness, means not the overflow (so Ges. as in Ex. xxii, 20 (28)) but the whole ultimate contents of the vineyard, as the rest of the v. explains.

be forfeited | Lit. as R.V. margin, consecrated, separated unto Jehovah and His sanctuary like things under the ban (losh, vi. 19); proof that the prohibited mixture was regarded as a religious, i.e. a ritual, offence.

10. an ox and an ass together] This is frequently seen in Palestine. as also a camel with one or other of these two. Note that the ox was 'clean,' the ass 'unclean.' D does not, like H, prohibit cross-breeding, Mules were common in Israel from David's time, see Jerus. 1. 326 f. On cross-breeding at the present day in Palestine see Musil, Ethn; Ber. 201.

a mingled stuff] Heb. sha'atnes, a foreign word, and perhaps 11. Egyptian (doubtfully derived from the Coptic saht, 'woven,' and mudj, ' false'), LXX κίβδηλος. Also in Lev. xix. 19, which has a garment of two kinds for the wool and linen together of D. According to Hos. ii. 5, 9, Israel attributed her wool and flax (and other products) to the Baalim, and if as is probable different products were attributed to different Baals we have a confirmation of the theory stated above in the introd. note. Josephus, IV. Antt. viii. 11, gives another reason.

12. Of Knots or Tassels. Gedilim, lit. twisted threads, are to be put on the four borders of the quadrangular covering or outer garment (xxiv. 12. Ex. xxii, 27). P (or H). Num. xv. 27-41. calls them Statth. and explains them as reminders of the commandments of their God, and their obligations, as holy to him, not to go a whoring. It is singular that D does not explain them as, with this meaning, they are analogous to the directions given in vi. 8, xi. t8. Among all peoples knots have been used as symbols of contracts, etc., and memorials (see also on xviii, 11). These enjoined by the Law may be the successors of the armlets worn in a more primitive state of society. LXX, στρεπτά, and for sisith, κράσπεδα. Vesture, Heb. Ksûth, lit. covering.

13-30. SIX LAWS ON CASES OF UNCHASTITY.

Of these the first five prescribe the procedure in criminal cases :-1st. Of a Husband's Charges against His Bride (13-21); 2nd. Of Adultery (22); 3rd. Of Dishonouring a Betrothed Virgin with her conIf any man take a wife, and go in unto her, and hate 13

In considering these plain-spoken laws it is just to remember that with all their imperfections they represent an advance in social ethics; an upward stage in the struggle against debasing practices and the animal passions of men. That we do not need some of them to-day is due to the fact that their enforcement under religious sanction was needed at the time of their origin. It is only ignorance or ingratitude

which can cavil at their spirit or their form.

13-21. Charges, against a Bride. He who, from a base motive, falsely accuses his wife of unchastity before marriage shall after solemn rebuke from the elders be fined 100 silver-pieces and have his right of divorce withdrawn (13-10); but if such a charge be true she shall be stoned to death (20 f1).—No direct address to Israel except in the closing formula which is Sq.

The physical evidence, on which the woman is acquitted, was regarded assential by many ancient races and its sill called for and displayed (our only in control of the property of the propert

13. If any man, etc.] For this opening cp. xxi. 15, 18, 22. Take a wife, xxi. 11, etc.

14 her, and lay shameful things to her charge, and bring up an evil name upon her, and say, I took this woman, and when I came nigh to her, I found not in her the tokens of virginity: then shall the father of the damsel, and her mother, take and bring forth the tokens of the damsel's 6 virginity unto the elders of the city in the gate: and the damsel's that he had been to the total state of the city in the gate: and the damsel's father shall say unto the elders, I gave my daughter 12 unto this man to wife, and he hateth her; and, oh, he hath laid shameful things to her charge, saying. I found not in thy daughter the tokens of virginity; and yet these are the tokens of my daughter's virginity. And they shall spread the 18 garment before the elders of the city. And the elders of that city shall take the man and chastise him; and they shall amerce him in an hundred sheeks! of silver, and give them unto the father of the damse, because he hath brought

and hate her] Note this feature in the case; the man had entered on marriage merely for the satisfaction of his passions, and when this was achieved turned against his wife by a revulsion of feeling known in such characters.

14. and lay shameful things to her charge.] So some versions, and so still Marti. But others following Dillm. trans. frame wantom charges against her (Heb. "Hilds he bharfim, cp. the cognate the datalulim, caprice or wantomness.; Isai. iii. 4, 184, 14, and Ps. (Scii. 4). SO Di. Bertih, and the Oxford Heb. Lex. Aq. has feadkarrack physics put LXX woodawarracky klyons. Stevenm., 'evil deets that are only words.'

bring up] Heb. bring out, techn. term. tokens of virginity] See introd. note, and cp. v. 17.

16. father of the damsel, and her mother] Together as in xxi. 18 ff. Damsel, Heb. na'av, the masc. form used in the Pent. for the fem. 21 times, 13 of which are here (but fem. form in v. 19) and the rest in Gen. xxiv. and xxxiv; cp. Ruth ii. 6, iv. 12. elders of the city in the getal xxi. 10.

17. to her charge] So Sam. LXX; omitted by Heb.

chastise him] According to Josephus, IV. Antt. viii. 23, he received 39 stripes; see on xxv. 3. But the vb probably means merely to rebuke, cp. xxi. 18.

19. americs] Or fine, also in E, Ex. xxi. 22. On the estimate of the silver shelds as = xin. 5qd, this came to £(3), £(4). It is paid to the father who had been responsible for his daughter's integrity (ep. v. 16, 20 are we disapther to this mann) and whose family name had been father than the silver and the silver a

up an evil name upon a virgin of Israel: and she shall be his wife: he may not put her away all his days. But zo if this thing be true, that the tokens of virginity were not found in the damsel: then they shall bring out the zi damsel to the door of her father's house, and the men of her city shall stone her with stopes that she die: because she hath wrought folly in Israel, to play the harlot in her father's house: so shalt thou put away the evil from the midst of thee.

If a man be found lying with a woman married to an 22

and the shall be his w(r). Heb. emphatic; and to him shall be his continue to be to w(r). It is just that he should not be free of his obligations to her, for the motive of his slander had been to get rid of her. But for her it is rough justice. A woman could not divore a man. By § 142 of Hammurahi, if a woman repudiated her husband her past was investigated, and if she had no vice but the husband he belittled her she took her marriage portion and went back to her father's house.

20. But if this charge be true, etc.] If the physical signs were alone relied on a miscarriage of justice was possible. Other evidence, however, may have been forthcoming. Indeed it is possible that the clause, the tokens, etc., is not original.

21. the door of her father's house! Not at the town's gate (as in other cases, v. 24, xvii. 5), because it was her father's house which she had dishonoured. Therefore instead of to play the harlot, etc., read with Sam. LXX. turning har father's house into a harlot's.

folly] Rather, senselessness.

Heb. nethids from nobal; 'very difficult to render in English. "Fool" and "folly" are inadequate. The fault of the nobals is descaped, put moral and religious insensibility, a rooted inexpacity to discern moral and religious relations, leading to an inforeant regulation in practice of the claims which they impose. The cognate nobbuls occurs Hos. ii. to (12) in the sense of immediaty. Senseless and surelessness may be suggested as fair English couvielents. "Orive vivelents."

folly in Israel] this phrase, implying the sense of a national ideal and standard, a national conscience, which is found in J, Gen. xxxiv. 7, Josh. vii. 15, and in Judg. xx. 6, 10, does not elsewhere occur in D, and is evidence (so far) that we have here an earlier law interpreted by D.

so shalt thou put away] See on xiii. 6 (5); and introd. note to this law.

22. Of Adultery. Both guilty parties shall die; so H, Lev. xx. 10. By inference from vv. 21, 24 the death was by stoning; so Ezek. xvi. 38—40, John viii. 5.

So in Arabia to this day; Burton, Pilgr. to Mecca, 11. 19, Musil, Ethn. Ber. 210; among the Arabs of Sinai the man alone is killed, the woman may be divorced and

husband, then they shall both of them die, the man that lay with the woman, and the woman: so shalt thou put away the evil from Israel.

23 If there be a damsel that is a virgin betrothed unto an husband, and a man find her in the city, and lie with her; 24 then ye shall bring them both out unto the gate of that city, and ye shall stone them with stones that they die; the

damsel, because she cried not, being in the city; and the man, because he hath humbled his neighbour's wife: so thou shalt put away the evil from the midst of thee.

25 But if the man find the damsel that is betrothed in the

pays the bride-price (Jenning-Bramley, "EED, 1995, 124, 116). By \$189, of Ujammurahi both parties were stranged and east into the water, but the balanding high save her and the hing his severant (1); by \$151 a wife accountly live hashand night save her and the hing his severant (1); by \$151 a wife accountly live her house; but by \$151 if supplies was ranched against her, though not caught in the act, the should plunge into the secred river (orderal by water). Other cases deal with each, the should plunge into the secred river (orderal by water). Other cases deal with each of the secred river (orderal by water). Other cases deal with the secred river (orderal by water). Other cases deal with the deal of the secred river (orderal by water). Other cases of shallenge were often due to the absence of hashands on a journey, Prov. vii. 10, The whole subjects, Vol. 1.

married to an husband] Heb. beiulath-baial, only here, xxi. 13,

and Gen. xx. 3. But cp. Hos. ii. 16.

33—37. Of Intercourse with a Betrothed Virgin: (1) vz. 3, f., with reconsent, in which case both she and the man are stoned, as in the case of Adultery (s. 21), for the bride-price having been paid at betrothal the woman is as good as married (fore, xxix x. 1), (ed. 1); (2) vz. 3,2—37, without her consent, in which case the man alone dies and nothing is done to the woman. These two laws are peculiar of the price of the consent in v. 24 the Pl. address, and also in v. 26 according to Sam. LXX, but Heb. has here the Sc.

For such cases Hammurabi has but one law, § 130: If a man has ravished another's betrothed, who is virgin, while still in her father's house, and has been caught in the act, that man shall die, but the woman go free. Among the Arabs if the woman is unnarried her relatives are not obliged to kill her, but no one may marry her (Nuis), Ethin. Bor. 2100.

23. betrothed] See on xx. 7.

in the city] Cp. v. 24. In the city she would have been heard had she cried, but as she did not she must have been a consenting party.

24. bring them both out unto the gate of that city, etc. | See on

22. Oring them with the bill and the gase of that they, etc.] See on xiii. to (11), xvii. 5.

because, etc.] This construction is found in D only here and xxiii. 5.

Humbled, v. 20 and xxi. 14.

25. But if in the field the man find, etc.] So the emphatic Heb.

field, and the man force her, and lie with her; then the man only that lay with her shall die: but unto the damsel 26 thou shalt do nothing; there is in the damsel no sin worthy of death: for as when a man riseth against his neighbour, and slayeth him, even so is this matter: for 27 he found her in the field; the betrothed damsel cried, and there was none to save her.

If a man find a damsel that is a virgin, which is not 28 betrothed, and lay hold on her, and lie with her, and they be found; then the man that lay with her shall give unto 29 between the damsel's father fifty zhekels of silver, and she shall be his wife, because he hath humbled her; he may not put her away all his days.

order. Field here in its wider and probably earlier sense, of the uncultivated, therefore uninhabited, land. So v. 27, xxi. 1. force! Rather, seise, lay hold of, as in xxv. 11.

26. thou shalt do nothing] Sam. LXX, ye shall, Pl. as in v. 24.

no sin worthy of death | See introd, to xxii, 13-30.

riseth against...and slayeth him] xix. 11, but here Heb., using a stronger vb, unnecessarily adds life from xix. 6 and 11.

27. cried] Here at least the woman has the advantage of the

28, 29. Of Intercourse with a Virgin not Betrothed. The man shall pay a bride-price (see on v. 22) and marry her without power of divorce. For seduction E, Ex. xxii. 16f., exacts the bride-price but the father may refuse his daughter to the man. Among the Tiyhha Arabs the seducer of a woman pays the blood-price of two men: if he will marry

her he must furnish the full bride price (Musil, Ethn. Ber. 210).

lay hold on her] Not the same vb as in v. 25, usually explained as rape. but this is not certain.

and he be found] So LXX. Heb. they is due to dittography.

29. humbled | See v. 24. He may not, etc., as in v. 10.

30. (Heb. ch. xxiii. 1.) Against Intercourse with a Father's Wife, p. xxvii. 20, and H, Lev. xviii. 8, xx. 11, where the prohibition is extended to other female relatives. Either D's law is earlier than H's or D did not know of H's. Its limitation to this special case is explained by the fact that such intercourse had been regarded as proof of succession to the father's property (3 Sam. iii. 7, xvii. 21, 1 Kg sii. 22) for the continued of the continued by the continued by J. Gen. xxxv. xx. xxii. x4.

Thus among the ancient Arabs a man succeeded to his father's wives along with other heritable property, but this was forbidden by the Korân, iv. 26. For instances in Syria see W. R. Smith, Khahir, etc., 86-90, 077/C*, 369. By \$158

Digitized by Google

30 A man shall not take his father's wife, and shall not uncover his father's skirt.

of Hammurabi a man caught after his father's death with a step-mother who has borne children, is cut off from his father's house; by § 157 incest is punished by burning. Cp. H, Lev. xviii. 7.

uncover, etc.] xxvii. 20, for the sense see Ruth iii. 9, Ezek. xvi. 8, and cp. the Ar. parallel quoted through W. R. Smith in Driver's Deut. 250, n. 1.

CH. XXIII. 1-8 (2-9). FOUR LAWS: OF RIGHT TO ENTER
THE CONGREGATION.

There shall not enter any enunch (1): nor the son of an unlarmough and the configuration of descendants (1): nor Amounts nor Mositics or descendants (3,=6); but the third generation of Edomite or Egyptian any enter (r). In-These laws have negative openings like the preceding and like the series which follow in $x_0.1_{S}-x_0$ (16,=11) after the interrupting law, $p=1_1$ (10,=12); hence possibly their position just here. The form of address to Israel does not appear till 4a [so] where it is left, but in 4b-7 Eg. Other features are the use of shall, congregation, for the commonwealth of Israel, not elsewhere in D, the difference of 4a (2a) from it 3, the introduction of Balaam not mentioned in raise questions of the origin and structure of the shall congregation, and the shall consider the structure of the shall consider the shall be a shall consider the shall be shall

Some take 4-6 (5-7) as secondary, and the rest as original to D. But it is marry as plausible to reckorp art or all of 4-6 as 18 addition to earlier laws and to argue for the primitive origin of these (see below). Borth, holds that all t-4 (t-9) housed to constant the primitive origin of these (see below). Borth, bolds that all t-4 (t-9) housed to correct the religious consistsons which he found in Jerusalem. As there is nothing at that time to explain f (6.6) he boldly suggests the origin of this in the Macakhaep profile (160 from g) G. For G, G or G, G, G, G) and G is not constitute the Macakhaep profile (160 from g) G.

1 (2). The Mutilated shall not Enter the Congregation. The reason is either the general one, which may well have been primitive, that a blemished man was ritually unfit for a community, formed like all a blemished man was ritually unfit for a community, formed like all priests alone); or the particular superstituding the priests alone); or the particular superstituding the priests alone); or the particular superstituding the priests alone to t

On its use of kahal for the congregation of Israel see below. Berth, arguest that the rigorous exclusion of enunchs implies a date later than the exilic or post-exilic passage 'lsai. 'lvi 3ft, which promises the childless enunch, sarts, a lasting name in Israel, better than sons or daughters, if he keeps Jehovah's covenant. But this promise, in its connection with a similar one to the son of the foreigner, reads as the

Digitized by Google

He that is wounded in the stones, or hath his privy 23 member cut off, shall not enter into the assembly of the LORD.

A bastard shall not enter into the assembly of the LORD; 2 even to the tenth generation shall none of his enter into the assembly of the LORD.

An Ammonite or a Moabite shall not enter into the 3 assembly of the LORD; even to the tenth generation shall none belonging to them enter into the assembly of the

wounded in the stones] Lit. wounded by crushing (the testes), cp. H, Lev. xxi. 20; this and the other operation here described are both practised in the East.

the assembly] or congregation. For the Heb, bahal see on v. 22.
The earlier instances of the term cited there shew that its use here cannot be taken as proof of an exilic or post-exilic date. This in answer to be the taken as proof of an exilic or post-exilic date. This in answer to be berth. Not used in this meaning elsewhere by D; its presence here may be due to D's employment of an earlier law (cp. Dillm.). But cp. XXIII. 4.

2(3). Nor shall the Son of an Unlawful Marriage Enter the Congregation nor his Descendants.

δάταστ] This meaning is derived from the LXX & νδρογη. More probably the Heb. mamze (elsewhere only in Zech. ix. 6) signifies the offspring either of such unlawful unions as are exemplified in xxii. 9 (xxiii. 1), which was the opinion of the Rabbis (Mithina), "Velamoth" iv. 13, cp. Levy, Chald, n. Neukôr. Wörterbuch, xub two), or of the contable to the dependent of the New York (New York).

3−6 (4−7). Nor shall Ammonites, nor Moabites, nor their Descendants Euter the Congregation (§), for these nations gave no provision to Israel on the way from Egypt (4a), but he (?) hired Balaam to curse Israel (4a, §), Israel must never seek their welfare (§). V. 3 is quoted in Lam. i. o: evidence in favour, but not conclusive, of its being an original part of D's code. The originality of w. 4−6 is more doubtful.

They make the law longer than the others of this group, cp. the deuteronomic additions to the "Ten Words." V, 3 is sufficiently accounted for, through its connection with the previous law, by the incestuous origin of Ammon and Moab $(J, \mathrm{Gen.} \mathrm{xis.} 3o-3b)$; but $vv._4-5$ besides being quotations (see below) give other reasons for the law. The question is further complicated by the introduction of

4 LORD for ever: because they met you not with bread and with water in the way, when ye came forth out of Egypt; and because they hired against thee Balaam the son of 5 Beor from Pethor of 'Mesopotamia, to curse thee. Never-

but the Lord thy God would not hearken unto Balaam; but the Lord thy God turned the curse into a blessing 6 unto thee, because the Lord thy God loved thee. Thou shalt

o unto thee, because the LORD thy God loved thee. I hou shalt not seek their peace nor their prosperity all thy days for ever. Thou shalt not abhor an Edomite; for he is thy brother:

¹ Heb. Aram-naharaim.

Balaam, not mentioned in chs. i.—iii., and the difference between v. 4a and ii. sp, But whether v. j is an earlier law to which D or editors have added (at different times) the two quotations, vv. 4-6; or whether v. j is D's own law, to which editors have added the rest—it is impossible to say. On Ammon and Moab see th. ii.

4a. met you not, etc.] The appearance of the Pl. address marks a quotation as in ix. 7 f. According to ii. 29 Moab sold bread and water to Israel.

when ye came forth out of Egypt] Whoever wrote this clause (D or an editor) its perspective is that not of Moses in the land of Moab but of a time long after when the whole forty years' passage from Egypt was foreshortened.

4b, 8. Probably another quotation from a different source: (1) because of the change from the Pl. to the Sg. address (confirmed by LXX), and (2) because Heb. and the versions have ke hired (not they as in EVV.), suggesting that in the context from which it was extracted this vb had a sing. nominative (Balak 7). On the substance of 46, 5.

see JE, Num. xxii. 2ff.

6. Thou shall not seek, etc.] So Ezra ix. 12 of the peoples of the land. But Jermiah (xxix. 7) counselled the exited Jews to seek the peace of Babylonia. The spirit of his counsel is as much in advance of the spirit of his law, as 'lsai,' lvis, iff, is in advance of the spirit of his Jaw, as 'lsai,' lvis, iff, is in advance of the spirit of this Jaw, as 'lsai,' lvis, iff, is in advance of the spirit of this Jaw, as 'lsai,' lvis, iff, is in advance of the spirit of this Jaw, as 'lsai,' lvis, iff, is in advance of the spirit of this Jaw, as 'lsai,' lvis, iff, is in advance of the spirit o

the spirit of this law, as "Isal. IVI. 31. Is in advance of v. I.
7, 8 (6, 9). Edomites and Egyptians are not to be abominated; the one people is Israel's blood-brother (unlike Moab and Ammon), the other was his host: their third generation may enter the congregation.

-Here too there is no reason against an early date.

The political hostility of Israel to Edon, force before the Exile, was then and after still firecre. But their kinship was not durations and this law like the others of the group reflects not a political situation but a religious principle. The attitude the state of Israel—house of doubtone, force privates, etc. Vet D also elsewhere remembers that the poor and weak nomad, who was the father of Israel—house of the state of Israel—house the doubtone in England a great nation (xxx), yan different the admission into Israel of the hidden of the state of Israel—house of the state of Israel was apparently already allowed in the private of the state of Israel and Israel and

7. Thou shalt not abhor] regard as an abomination, ritually alien or 'unclean.' See on vii. 26.

thou shalt not abhor an Egyptian; because thou wast a stranger in his land. The children of the third generation 8 that are born unto them shall enter into the assembly of the LORD.

When thou goest forth in camp against thine enemies, 9 then thou shalt keep thee from every evil thing. If there 10 be among you any man, that is not clean by reason of that which chanceth him by night, then shall he go abroad out

stranger] Guest, or client. Heb. ger.

The children of the third generation...thall enter the congregation]
Jer. xxxvi. 14 mentions a man under King Jehonikin called Yeludti, i.e.
Jew, whose great-grandfather was called Kushi, i.e. Egyptian, and
whose father and grandfather had names derived from the name of
Israel's Got.

9-14 (10-15). OF THE HOLINESS OF THE CAMP.

In camp Israel shall avoid every evil (g). If a man suffer from pollution he must leave the camp till evening, bathe and then return (10.6). There shall be a place outside for natural needs, where a man shall cover with earth what comes from him (17.6), I srael's God, who yalketh the camp, must not see shameful things (14)—In the Sg. address, like other laws of War, xx. 1—9, 10-81, 19, fx, xx. 1, and with the same form of opening, and appeal to the same sacred reason.

The reason is D's, own, in his language, but the ideas behind the law were regiminary either, as in the case of the twel secular undermeas as a disqualities, the case of the second, the changer of leaving one's excrement exposed, as though it the case of the second, the changer of leaving one's excrement exposed, as though it the case of the second, the changer of leaving one's excrement exposed, as though it the case of the second, the changer of leaving one, it is considered to the contract of the case of the case of the contract of the case of the c

9. When thou goet forth 1. As xx. 1, xxi. 10; cp. xiii. 13 (4), in carp) I the lag a canp, mad-und a term used of the encampment itself, zv. 10 ft., losh vi. 11, ta.; 1 Sun. xvii. 53, 2 Kgs vii. 60 of those who encamp. Num. x, 5; 1 and of a host on tis way to encamp or to take up a position, as here, Josh. viii. 13, x, 5, xi. 4. (Also used of hosts or companies without any reference to camping.) The camping of nomads were of tents; in time of war Israel's were of booths, 2 Sun. xi. 11.

thou shall keep thee] ii. 4.

every evil thing As the context shews, anything that would cause ritual uncleanness; in xvii. 1 of a physical blemish unfitting for sacrifice, but in Ps. lxiv. 5 (6), cxli. 4 of what is immoral.

10. among you] Lit. in thee.

which chanceth him by night] See Lev. xv. 16; and above on xx. 7.

- 11 of the camp, he shall not come within the camp: but it shall be, when evening cometh on, he shall bathe himself in water: and when the sun is down, he shall come within 12 the camp. Thou shalt have a place also without the camp,
- 13 whither thou shalt go forth abroad: and thou shalt have a 'paddle among thy weapons; and it shall be, when thou sittest down abroad, thou shalt dig therewith, and shalt
- 14 turn back and cover that which cometh from thee: for the LORD thy God walketh in the midst of thy camp, to deliver thee, and to give up thine enemies before thee; therefore shall thy camp be holy: that he see no *unclean thing in thee, and turn away from thee.
 - ¹ Or, shovel ² Heb. nakedness of anything.
 - 11. when evening cometh on Gen. xxiv. 63. The new day began then.

 bathe himself, etc. Also prescribed in Lev. xv. 16.
 - 12. a place] Heb. hand, of Jabbok-side in ii. 37, a man's place in the ranks, Num. ii. 17 (cp. Jer. vi. 3). Here perhaps a place aside.

 paddle] peg or stake, in Judg. v. 26 of tent-peg, here a diggingstick, Scot. 'dibble.'
 walketh we and down (also of God in J. Gen. iii. 8.

14. includely | noticeth m² and down (also of God in |, Gen. iii. 8, and 2 Sam. vit of |, Ce. persocally | Sam. vi. 7, a god is come into with the host. On deliver cp. 8x. 4; and to give up, helper than see in 8. Hely, set apart from anything unclean. He must not see the nakednus of anything, anything shameful or indecent. Here the idea makednus of anything, anything shameful or indecent. Here the idea for the contract of the contract of anything, anything shameful or indecent. Here the idea makednus of anything, anything shameful or indexent. Here the idea shameful or indexent of the contract of

15-25 (16-26). FIVE LAWS-VARIOUS.

The subjects of these are not related. As to form, all are in the \$\frac{1}{2}\$ address (Sciencin, *reasons for dividing them between his \$\frac{1}{2}\$, and \$PI\$, suthors are again inconclinately), and the first three (\$\frac{1}{2}\$, "b) have negative opening similar to those of \$PI\$ source they may have originally followed that group, in the feeling that as all three trent of relations with foreigners or foreign practices they had affinity with \$PI\$ sources they make that two \$\frac{1}{2}\$, \$\frac{1}{2}\$ and \$\frac{1}{2}\$ in the color of his \$\frac{1}{2}\$, and such a \$\frac{1}{2}\$ in the color of his \$\frac{1}{2}\$, and then \$\frac{1}{2}\$ in the color of his \$\frac{1}{2}\$, and then \$\frac{1}{2}\$ is \$\frac{1}{2}\$ of the size of his \$\frac{1}{2}\$, and in \$\frac{1}{2}\$ is the same Heb, by (Out passive) as deliter in \$\frac{1}{2}\$, \$\frac{1}{2}\$ and two in \$18\$ is soon followed by twom in \$\frac{1}{2}\$ = \$\frac{1}{2}\$.

· 15, 16 (16, 17). Of a Runaway Slave. If such escape to thee—apparently Israel as a whole (cp. v. 16), and therefore the slave, though

Thou shalt not deliver unto his master a servant which is 5 escaped from his master unto thee: he shall dwell with 16 thee, in the midst of thee, in the place which he shall choose within one of thy gates, where it liketh him best: thou shalt not oppress him.

There shall be no 'harlot of the daughters of Israel, 17 neither shall there be a 'sodomite of the sons of Israel.

1 Heb. koleshah. See Gen. xxxviii. 21. 2 Heb. kadesh.

not necessarily a Hebrew blave ¹ (Marti), is one who has escaped from a foreign master—thou shalt not send him back, he shall dwell the dependence of the sendence of the se

Hammurabi decrees that he who induces a slave to flee or harbours the runaway shall be restored (§§ 15, 10) and that runaway shall be restored (§§ 15, 20), the reward for she being two silver sheekels (§ 17). The slaves of Arabs seldom run away. If one is harably treated and escapes, he is sheltered by another man of the tribe till his owner promises to treat him better (Musil, EAn. Eer. 225).

15. deliver] i.e. under arrest; cp. Josh. xx. 5 (deut.), 1 Sam. xxiii.

a servant] slave or bondman, as elsewhere, e.g. v. 14-

16. With thee shall he dwell] So the emphatic Heb. order. In the midst of thee, omitted by some LXX codd. and redundant, is probably a gloss. So also within one of thy gates where, etc., omitted by LXX.

oppress] in D only here, in Ex. xxii. 21 (20) 'wrong,' Lev. xix. 33

'oppress' (both of the ger).

17. 18 (18, 19). Against Hierodules. No Israelite, woman or man, shall be such. Nor shall Israel bring the hire of a harlot or the wage of a kete' to pay a vow. Both are abominations.—As the direct address is only in v. 18, v. 17 may be an earlier law (Asa is said to have abolished the &dethin from Judah, t. Kgs xv. 12) to which D in his own phraseology has added v. 18.

On hetathin in Babylon see Herod. 1290, Bar. vi. 43, the name and institution probably arose in the worship of Ishar (Zimmern, KA 77, 423, 427); in Prosenick, Moren Jacobs and Jacobs and

17. For these two hierodules the Heb. is kadesh (masc.) and kedeshah

¹ Had this been so it would have been stated as in xv, 12. DEUTERONOMY

Digitized by Google

18

- 18 Thou shalt not bring the hire of a whore, or the wages of a dog, into the house of the Lord thy God for any vow: for even both these are an abomination unto the Lord thy God.
- 19 Thou shalt not lend upon usury to thy brother; usury

(fem.) and means simply set apart, consecrated (cp. above, pp. 108 ff.), the former being probably the unsexed man referred to in xxii. 5, xxiii. 1.

18. hire of a harlot] Both of the consecrated and common prosti-

tute, cp. Hos. ix. 1, Mic. i. 7, Isai, xxiii. 17 f. Ezek, xvi. 34. Mövers (pp. ci.i.) shows that in Phoenical this hire was brought to the temple. wagars of a dog! Heb. m²drī, naga, Mic. iii. 11, elsewhere price or payment, eq. 2, Sam. xxiv. 24, 1 Kg. x x 3. Dog, belde; it collial name of the pładzii; cp. Phoen. inscription from Larraca in CIS. 1. 97, Rev. xxii. 15 and the Greek evadori; in Ass. possibly also a gental name for priests (above, p. 23, n. 1). See further W. R. Smith, Rel. Smith, Rel. Smith, xel. Smith, xel. Smith, xel.

house of Jehovah thy God] In Deut. only here, but cp. E, Ex. xxiii. 19, J, xxxiv. 26, Josh. vi. 24, Judg. xix. 18, and frequently in Kines.

abomination] See on vii. 25.

19. 30 (20, 21). O Interest; forbidden on boans to fellow-Israelites, but allowed on loans to foreigners.—In the Sg. address, with browher (not neighbour) and other of D's phrases; r. 10 is parallel to R, Ex. xxii, 32, 34, which forbid taking interest from four not commercial, loans, on the latter of which in later days interest was expected (Matt. xxx. xy). P. Y. 20 on loans to foreigners deals with commercial loans, see Driver's note on Ex. xxii, 22. It is peculiar to Cy there is no reason for regarding if (with Steuern) as secondary. It is the properties of the properties and the properties of the properties and the properties of the properties o

Similarly among other Semites. Where poverty prevails and loans are for its relief and there is fittle trade, no interest is scatect, a same the Arabs (Doughty, Ar. Det. 1, 198). In early Ballyoliania history advances of all sorts were freely made both with and without interest, and "most of the loans were reidenly as possible to the state of the loans were reidenly as possible to the state of the loans were reidenly as possible to the state of the loans were reidenly as possible to the loans with the loans of the loans were reidenly as possible to the loans were reidenly as possible to the loans were reidenly as possible to the loans were reidenly as the

lend upon usury] exact interest; the Eng. usury formerly
meant like the Lat. usura no more than interest. Heb. methek is lit.
something bitten off; the denom. vb. is to take, or make one pay,
interest.

usury of money, etc.] The loans were more frequently in kind.

of money, usury of victuals, usury of any thing that is plent upon usury: unto a foreigner thou mayest lend upon ago usury; but unto thy brother thou shalt not lend upon usury; that the Loro thy God may bless thee in all that thou puttest thine hand unto, in the land whither thou goest in to possess it.

When thou shalt yow a yow unto the Lord thy God, 21 thou shalt not be slack to pay it: for the Lord thy God will surely require it of thee; and it would be sin in thee. 2 But if thou shalt forbear to yow, it shall be no sin in thee. 2That which is gone out of thy lips thou shalt observe and 23 do; according as thou hast yowed unto the Lord thy God, a freewill offering, which thou hast promised with thy mouth.

20. foreigner] See on xv. 3.
that the LORD thy God...thine hand unto | See on xii. 7.

the land whither thou goest in, etc.] See on vii. I, viii. I.

21—23 (22—24). Of Yows. A vow once made shall be paid without delay. Got requires it, neglect is a mi (n.). To forbeat to vow is
no sin, but every uttered promise of this kind must be observed (2x i, no
in the Sg., somewhat redundant, and probably expanded (see on n. 2g).
In the Sg., somewhat redundant, and probably expanded (see on n. 2g).
presence of seve in n. 18 (19) as apparently the reason. D has already
stated that vows are to be paid at the one state (si., 6, 11, 17, 16). There
is no parallel in E, but one in F, Nam. xxx. 1(3) with some identical
phrases, the context of which deals with women's vows in an elaborate

For the development of the causitry thus began see Mishon, "Nedarius." In cancert times the own was regarded as an essential part of religion (also in needlaceal conditionally on the prayer being granted. It neight be a tow that the worst resolution of a child, Hannah, Sam. it, or for other livery sounds, y, \(\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$r\$}}\$}\) is a property of the prayer being granted. It neight be a tow that the worst resolution y, \(\text{\$\text{\$r\$}}\) is the decidence of a child, Hannah, Sam. it, or for other livery sounds, \(\text{\$\text{\$p\$}}\) is a y-\(\text{\$\text{\$r\$}}\) is the decidence of a child, Hannah, i. \(\text{\$r\$}\), for bourse or land, \(\text{\$\text{\$r\$}}\) is the following the property of the property

vow] Heb. nadar, as the parallel nazar shows, means originally 'to dedicate.' The term and the idea are found in practically all the Semitic languages.
 be slack] Lit. be behind. delay. To pay, lit. to fulfil.

sin in thee] Cp. xv. q.

as thou hast freely vowed unto the LORD thy God] LXX to God.
which thou hast promind, etc.] Attached awkwardly to preceding,
and probably a gloss.

18-2

24 When thou comest into thy neighbour's vineyard, then thou mayest eat grapes thy fill at thine own pleasure; but thou shalt not put any in thy vessel.

25 When thou comest into thy neighbour's standing corn, then thou mayest pluck the ears with thine hand; but thou shalt not move a sickle unto thy neighbour's standing corn.

24, 25 (26, 26). Of Use at Need of Othera' Corn and Fruits. Grapes may be eaten on the spot but none carried away; ears of corn may be plucked with the hand but no sickle shall be used.—Se, with neighbour not brother). LXX transposes the two wv. Peculiar to D; cp. xxiv. 19—21. The Pharisees flagrantly contradicted not only the spirit of this law, but its very letter, by interpreting Plucking as reading, and because this was swork (v. 13) they held it unlawful on the Sababut (Matt. xii. If, Mark ii. 32, Lake vi. If, III.—The licence sauctioned have in frequently taken in Syriat ro-day, and Ar. Dat. 1, vol. 1, 11, 152.

24. at thine own pleasure] or appetite, xii. 20, xiv. 26. Thy fill, which in Heb. follows this clause, may be a gloss on it.

vessel] Heb. keli (xxii. 5 garment), a sack (Gen. xliii. 11, 1 Sam. xvii. 40) or pot.

25. ears] Heb. melfiloth only here; N.H. melfilah = the still soft ears.

sickle] See on xvi. 9.

CH. XXIV. 1-4. OF RE-MARRIAGE AFTER DIVORCE.

If a man, for some fault, divorce his wife, and she marry another, who in turn divorces her or dies (1-3), her former husband may not take her back, this would be an abomination, etc. (4) .- EVV. do not render the Heb. constr. The law is one conditional sentence, of which the apodosis begins with v. 4. It is not a law instituting divorce or prescribing the procedure though it states this as part of the special case which it puts (and here may be quoting from an earlier code). It is a law for a particular purpose, the prohibition of a man's re-marriage to a wife whom he has divorced and who, meantime, has been another's. It is not in the direct form of address, nor marked by D's phrases till its close; and therefore, like others similarly constructed (e.g. xxii. 13-21), which it further resembles in its opening, and in the phrases hate her, he may not, and put or send her away, it may all be an older law, except for D's closing formula. The quotation of the law in Jer. iii. I does not prove that the prophet had also the closing formula before him, for the term land which the Heb. text has there, instead of wife, may be, as the LXX shows, the mistake of a copying scribe.

Among the Senites a man paid a price for his bride, Heb, mether, who thus weak his property and the alone had the right of divoces. There were exceptions, the property and he alone had the right of divoces. There were exceptions, the property of the hundard was a feyer, see uniting regards (diffolion, reasons could divoce her bushand () ohns, $q\theta$, etc. t, t, t); among the lare Jews which we will empty divoce of the hundard was a feyer, see uniting ground (diffolion, an importantly (c_p) the case cited in dr. Dex, t, t, t) of the respective of the hundard size right to divore were the general rule. Sentitic langitures accept the latter as an existing institution and regulate it, usually in the wire's interest. The example of the senting of the property of the senting of the property of the senting of the senting of the property of the senting of the

Similarly in Israel. No O.T. oracle or law institutes divorce. But the husband's right of divorce is accepted or permitted-cp. our Lord's teaching, Matt. xix. 8-and is put under regulations of which those in D are in the interest of the wife and either punish the husband for his evil behaviour to her by withdrawing the right to divorce, xxii. 19, 29, or ensure deliberation on the husband's part before he completes the act, by subjecting it to the condition of a good reason and of legal procedure, yet without lessening his responsibility, xxiv, 1 ff. The other codes have nothing similar in temper to this. H forbids a priest to marry a divorcée and allows the divorced daughter of a priest to return to her father's house, Lev. xxi. 7, 14, xxii. 13; P prescribes that the vow of a divorcée shall stand, Num. xxx. o (10). The second marriage of a divorcée is nowhere sanctioned, not even in xxiv. 2, where (as the Heb. syntax makes plain) it is merely a fact in the case legislated for. But this shows that the practice was usual just as among the Arabs, and in the earlier history there is an instance of the remarriage of a divorced couple-David and Michal-after her marriage to another man (1 Sam. xviii. 27, xxv. 44, 2 Sam. iii. 14 ff.)1. Steuernagel thinks that, as among the Arabs under the Koran, so in Israel the marriage of a divorced wife to another man and her divorce from him had been regarded as the necessary condition of her re-marriage

No legal divorce is mentioned in this case. And there was none in the case of Hosea (i.—iii.) which on other grounds is of too special a nature to be relevant here. 24 When a man taketh a wife, and marrieth her, then it shall be, if she find no favour in his eyes, because he hath found, some unseemly thing in her, that he shall write her a bill of divorcement, and give it in her hand, and send her out of 2 his house. And when she is departed out of his house, 3 she may go and be another man's mife. And if he latter husband hate her, and write her a bill of divorcement, and

to her former husband, and that D's law means that even if she has meantime been married to another, the former husband must not take her lack. But for the existence of such a condition in Israelite take her lack. But for the existence of such a condition in Israelite law tends to make divorce a much more serious affair than it was usually conceived to be in Israel, and so to check the confequent practice of it by diminishing the possibilities of re-marriage which tempted men to divorce their wives with a light heart. D would forbid that easy passage of a woman between one man and another, which seems to have often happened in Israel, and which meant the degradation or deflicment of the woman herself. If such be the motive of the law it is \$1.000 to \$1.000 to \$1.0000 to \$1.00000 to \$1.

When a man taketh a wife] xxii. 13.

then it shall be...that he shall write her, etc.] Rather, and it come to pass...that he write her, etc. The apodosis does not commence here but in v. 4.

some unteemly thing! As in xxiii. 14 (1s), the nabdancs of a thing, something indeed not repulsive, LXX dergues rejou. The expression is so indefinite that it gaser ise to controversy in the Rabbinia echools; that of Shammai understanding by it undustry, that of Hillel any little and the state of the st

bill of disorrenneal Lit. of superation. Bill, Heb. sipher, used of any missive (e.g. 2 sam. xi. 4, f.) or legal deed (ler. xxxii. 11, sa well as book, LXX βηβλίων. Something in legal form, and possibly precurable only from some public authority. Vet, notice, there is no mention called such a document get, and the procedure in connection with it is prescribed in the Mishna, 'Giltin'.

and give it...her...and send her...] Two further formal steps of personal service of the deed, and the husband's own solemn dismissal.

So his responsibility in the matter is not weakened.

2. And she depart...out of his house, and go and become another man's Still part of the protasis of the sentence, stating the facts of

3. Still the protasis; delete if and if.

give it in her hand, and send her out of his house; or if the latter husband die, which took her to be his wife; her 4 former husband, which sent her away, may not take her again to be his wife, after that she is defiled; for that is abomination before the LORD: and thou shalt not cause the land to sin, which the LORD thy God giveth thee for an inheritance.

When a man taketh a new wife, he shall not go out in 5 the host, neither shall he be charged with any business:

4. after that the is idefied] Ambiguous indeed, as the most carriedly chosen terms of some laws often are. But the natural meaning is that she is unclean to the former husband by her union with the latter. It cannot be a matter of indifference to him that she has been passage of a woman from one man to another did defit her; it is are passage of a woman from one man to another did defit her; it is are abnumation before felowshi (notice the peculiar construction before and the absence of thy God after the divine name). She was, therefore, the construction of the content analysis of the content of the content analysis of the content of the content analysis of the content of the content law, but if so, it has disappeared from its present form of the original law, but if so, it has the content of the original law, but if so, it has the content of the original law, but if so, it has the content of the original law, but if so, it has the content of the original law, but if so, it has the content of the original law, but if so, it has the content of the original law, but if so, it has the content of the original law, but if so, it has the content of the original law, but if so, it has the content of the original law, but if so, it has the content of the original law, but if so, it has the content of the original law, but if so, it has the content of the original law, but if so, it has the content of the original law, but if so, the content of the original law, but if so, the content of the original law, but if so, the content of the original law, but if so, the content of the original law, but if so, the content of the original law, but if so, the content of the original law, but if so, the content of the original law, but if so, the content of the original law, but if so, the content of the original law, but if so, the content of the original law, but if so, the content of the original law, but if so, the content of the original law, but if so, the content of the original law, but if so, the content of the origina

thou shalt not cause the land to sin] Sam., LXX ye shall not, etc.

which the LORD thy God is to give thee, etc.] See on iv. 21.

5-XXV. 4. THIRTEEN LAWS OF EQUITY AND HUMANITY.

Besides the humane temper common to most of them, and a few cue-words, there are no appearer traceason for their being grouped or for the order in which they occur. They have been opening, mostly conceiving the order of the order of the order. They have been appeared to the order of the order order of the order or

5. Exemption of the Newly Married. He shall not go out with the army, nor be under other (public) obligation for a year, for the sake of his house and wife.—See introd. to xx. 1—9, and on xx. 7, which refers to military service alone. The addition here recalls such royal levies as in 1 Sam. viii. 16, 1 Kgs v. 13 ff, xv. 22. Cp. the Babylonian levies which were for service both with the army and on public works (Johns, a)r. Att. ch. xix.). The position of the law just here may be due to its having the same opening as the previous law. here may be due to its having the same opening as the previous law. Here may be due to its having the same opening as the previous law. (philips and the properties of the

he shall be free at home one year, and shall cheer his wife 6 which he hath taken. No man shall take the mill or the upper millstone to pledge: for he taketh a man's life to pledge.

7 If a man be found stealing any of his brethren of the children of Israel, and he deal with him 'as a slave, or sell him; then that thief shall die: so shalt thou put away the

evil from the midst of thee.

8 Take heed in the plague of leprosy, that thou observe diligently, and do according to all that the priests the Levites shall teach you: as I commanded them, so ye shall 9 observe to do. Remember what the Lord thy God did unto Miriam. by the way se ye came forth out of Egypt.

1 Or, as a chatter

free for his own household, etc.] free, Heb. naki (1 Kgs xv. 22) LXX $d\theta \hat{\varphi}$ os. One year, till the child be born. For cheer his wife Vulg. (with different Heb. points) read he happy with his wife.

6. Mill or Upper Millstone not to be faken in Pfeige. This would be to pleege //fi testle. Milling (as largely still in Palestine) was mainly domestic, the first indispensable duty of the day; the wound of the milltone as sure a sign of a living family as the light of the condit (Jer. xxv. 10, Rev. xxiii, 21) see /erm. 1, 374.5.) which will be well the well than the well as the still represent the stilling that the still represent the still represent the still represent the stilling that the still represent the stilling that the stilling that the still represent the stilling that the stilling t

This has in speculiar to D_i and related to the next but two $(x_0 - y_1)_i$, which however in in the direct form of address, as this is not, and uses "salest for floring instead of basis (in. done) as here. The position of the law is natural after the previous ones and adaptive share the production of the law is natural after the previous ones and always in a steep, hands and houses $((0,0)_{ij}, e_i, e_i, e_i)_i$, as $((0,0)_{ij}, e_i)_i$, the $(0,0)_{ij}, e_i)_i$ and and always is always hands and house $(0,0)_{ij}, e_i, e_i)_i$, the $(0,0)_{ij}, e_i, e_i)_i$ and $(0,0)_{ij}, e_i, e_i, e_i)_i$ and $(0,0)_{ij}, e_i, e_i, e_i)_i$ and $(0,0)_{ij}, e_i, e_i, e_i)_i$ and $(0,0)_{ij}, e_$

7. Against Manstealing. If a man be found (see xxi. 1, xxii. 22) stealing a brether (see on xx. 2) Israelite, and playing the euner (see xxi. 14) he shall die: 20 that thun put away the exi, etc. (xiii. 5 (6)). The parallel in E, Ex. xxi. 6, has itealing a man; for D's substitution of Israelite see on xv. 2, xxii. 1—4. Hammurabi (§ 14) decrees death to the kidnapper.

8, 9. Precautions in Leprosy. Israel shall diligently observe these as taught by the priests under divine command, remembering how God treated the leprous Miriam on the way from Egypt.—Full of deuteronomic phrases; on take heed, see iv. 9; observe and do, iv. 6; observe to

When thou dost lend thy neighbour any manner of loan, 10 thou shalt not go into his house to fetch his pledge. Thou 11 shalt stand without, and the man to whom thou dost lend shall bring forth the pledge without unto thee. And if he 12 be a poor man, thou shalt not sleep with his pledge: thou 13 shalt surely restore to him the pledge when the sun goeth down, that he may sleep in his garment, and bless thee:

do, v. 1; priestrs—Levites, xviii. 1; at 1 have commanded, viii. 1; remember, vii. 18, xxv. 1; in the vaya xy veame, etc., xxiii. 4(5), xxv. 17, etc. The accumulation of these formulas, as in several secondary passages, along with the changes between the Sg. and Pl. forms of address (confirmed by Sam., LXX), suggests hat the passage has been expanded by celliors. In 88 ereal at the Tornix (Sam., LXX), that not be the detailed instructions on leprosy now found in P., Lev. xiii. 6, but some earlier priestly Torah from which those have developed; if 8 b is secondary its reference will be to Lev. xiii. 6. V. 9 refers to Miriam's seclation from the camp, Num. xii. 14, 6 (So even Calvin.)

Steurn, holds as original only the first clause of 8 and α_s and revives the opinion and on the Volgan, and favored by dischaelts, Knobel etc., that the has is a call, and of an the Volgan, and favored by dischaelts, for the control of the state of t

10—33. Of Taking and Restoring Pledges. The lender must not invade the borrower's house to select a pledge for the loan, the borrower shall bring it out (10 f.); if he be poor, the pledge, usually his outer robe in which he sleeps, shall be restored by sunset (12 f.)—In the Sg. address throughout and in temper and phrase characteristic of D₂ but the two parts may be borrowed from earlier sources: xx. a); and 11 f. adapted from E, Ex. xxii. 26 f. (25 f. Ex dadw.) fixedge, becomes 'dadw,' so as to it vx. 10 f.), with the religious motive differently expressed. See further on v. 6. Cp. Ex. xviii. 7, 12, xxiii. 15 f. (26 de of Hammurath, § 241.

10. When thou dost lend] See on xv. 1 ff.
anv manner of loan! Lit. loan of anything, cp. xxiii. 19. Besides

money or victuals, it might be a slave, a working animal or a plough or other instrument. fetch his piedge Lit, take in pledge his piedge (xv. 8, give a piedge). In

this case the borrower would make his selection of what his pledge should be.

13. sleep in his garment] Heb. salmah (xxix. 4 and E, Ex. xxii.),

13. sleep in his garment] Heb. salmah (xxix. 4 and E, Ex. xxii.), transp. from the more frequent simlah (viii. 4, x. 18, xxi. 13, xxii. 3, 17),

and it shall be righteousness unto thee before the LORD thy God.

14 Thou shalt not oppress an hired servant that is poor and needy, whether he be of thy brethren, or of thy

15 strangers that are in thy land within thy gates: in his day thou shalt give him his hire, neither shall the sun go down upon it; for he is poor, and setteth his heart upon it: lest he cry against thee unto the LORD, and it be sin unto thee.

16 The fathers shall not be put to death for the children, neither shall the children be put to death for the fathers: every man shall be put to death for his own sin.

the large outer robe which the peasant can dispense with by day while at work, but which he almost invariably sleeps in ; cp. Am. ii. 8, Job xxii. 6. Prov. xx. 16.

and it shall be righteousness unto thee] Characteristic of D (cp. vi. 25). E. Ex. xxii. 27 (26): when he crieth unto me I will hear: for

I am gracious.

14, 15. Payment of the Wage-earner. Whether Israelite or ger, if he be poor, his wage is to be paid the day he earns it : if he has to appeal to God it will be sin to thee .- Sg. with brother (not neighbour) and other deuteronomic phrases. Parallel to H, Lev. xix. 13: thou shall not oppress thy neighbour, the wage of a hireline shall not stay overnight with thee till morning. Co. Mal, iii, 5. Tobit iv. 14, James v. 4. Hammurabi fixes the daily money wages of labourers and artisans (272 f.), in other cases wages in kind are paid yearly (257 f., 261). 14. poor and needy] See on xv. 11.

within thy gates] See on xii. 17. The preceding in thy land, omitted

by Sam., LXX, is a gloss. 15. his day | Cp. Job xiv. 6, Matt. xx. 2.

setteth his heart) Lit, lifteth up his desire (nethech). The Heb, term with its several meanings suggests how his life depends on his wage. Being poor he cannot be indifferent to it.

cry against thee, etc. | Cp. v. 13, xv. o. And it be sin unto thee, see on xv. q.

16. Responsibility for Crime is Individual. The opposition of this principle to that which prevailed in many ancient nations (Herod, III. 119, Esth. ix. 13f., Dan. vi. 24 (25)), and which seems to have prevailed in Israel (IE, Josh. vii. 24, 2 Kgs ix. 26, cp. xiv. 6), when the family was regarded as a moral unit, and the children were put to death with their father in expiation of his crime, is very striking, and the more so that the ethical solidarity of the nation is so constantly assumed by D. It has therefore been doubted whether the law Thou shalt not wrest the judgement of the stranger, nor 17 of the fatherless; nor take the widow's raiment to pledge: but thou shalt remember that thou wast a bondman in 18 Egypt, and the Lorn thy God redeemed thee thence: therefore I command thee to do this thing.

When thou reapest thine harvest in thy field, and hast 19 forgot a sheaf in the field, thou shalt not go again to fetch it: it shall be for the stranger, for the fatherless, and for the widow: that the LORD thy God may bless thee in all the work of thine hands.

belonged originally to D. Some take it as dependent on Jer. xxii. 3q, or Ez. xviii. on the ground that the principle of individual responsibility is there proclaimed as if for the first time, in opposition to the older ideas. But 2 Kg xiv. of records that Amaziah when putting to death the assassins of his father did not also slay their children—apparently an innovation on the usual practice. The deuteronomic editor of Kinge quotes D's law as the King's authority his elementy, but general have so often rose from individual cases that it is possible that this in (which is not found in any other codes was the result of incorporated by D from earlier sources. Note that it is not in the direct form of address nor otherwise deuteronomic in its phrasing. See further I/oru. It 11 aff.

17. 18. Against Ínjustice to the Gêr, the Orphan and the Wildow, the three classes oe arinestly areed for by D, 80: ,918, x, 81, 93, xxi, 11, 14. Parallels in E, Ex, xxii, 21, f., xxiii, 6(het peor), 9, on which see Driver's Éxed, and in H, Lev, xii, 33. The clause against pledging the widow's raiment is omitted by some LXX codd, and some suggest the widow's raiment is omitted by some LXX codd, and some suggest to the control of the c

Add (with LXX B) nor of the widow.

18. thou shalt remember, etc.] Almost exactly as in v. 22, and xv. 15; cp. v. 15.

"19—2a. Of Generosity to the Landless. To the ger, the orphan and the widow shall be left the gleaning of fields, olive-growes and vine-yards. It is interesting that no parallels are found in the earlier legislation of J or E. H., Lev. xix. e.f. forbids the fall reaping of the constraint of the field and gathering of the gleanings (repeated xxiii. 21) and the gleaning of the vines and their fallen fruit; these are for the poor and the ger. This seems not earlier (Dillim, etc.), but later than D, for the deliberate reservation of the corners is a more developed provision than the alloument of what was left through carelessness. Why D alone includes olives is not clear, except that this agrees with its careful includes olives is not clear, except that this agrees with its careful.

¹20 When thou beatest thine olive tree, thou shalt not go over the boughs again: it shall be for the stranger, for the lar fatherless, and for the widow. When thou gatherest the grades of thy vineyard, thou shalt not glean it after thee:

it shall be for the stranger, for the fatherless, and for the 122 widow. And thou shalt remember that thou wast a bondman in the land of Egypt: therefore I command thee to do this thing.

regard of the details of rural life. Both laws sanction an existing practice described in Ruth ii. as dependent on the generosity of the cultivator.

Was there anything more behind it? Attention has been drawn to the fact that more peoples leave the last abed on the field under the supersition that it common peoples leave the last abed on the field under the supersition that it comforts it was the people of the supersition of the field that the shires of Lincoln and Norfalk it was the permitted by the profit of last of the Norfalk it was the practice all field or 60 years ago to hape part of a basel into possible that in some cases the custom of fawing the gleanings to the poor may have started from such supersitions. But those who see is these the sole origin of the forth of the supersition of th

beatest thine olive tree] Isai. xvii. 6, xxiv. 13 (but with another vb. for beating). 'Some climb into the trees and shake the boughs, while others stand below and beat off the fruit with long slender poles' (Van Lennep, op. cit. 128).

21. When thou gatherest] Lit. cuttest off, the usual vb. for harvesting grapes (Judg. ix. 27). Ingathering, applied to the vintage feast (see

on xvi. 13), is another vb.

22. And thou shalt remember | See on v. 18.

XXV. 1.—3. Against Excessive Punishment by Beating. When after a regular trial one of the two parties to a case is formally declared guilty, then, if he deserves beating, the judge shall have this administered in his presence, the strokes shall be numbered according to the gravity of the crime, and shall in no case exceed forty, lett...thy

If there be a controversy between men, and they come 26 unto judgement, and the judges judge them; then they shall justify the righteous, and condemn the wicked; and z it shall be, if the wicked man be worthy to be beaten, that the judge shall cause him to lie down, and to be beaten before his face, according to his wickedness, by number. Forty stripes he may give him, he shall not 3 exceed: lest, if he should exceed, and beat him above these with many stripes, then thy brother should seem vile unto thee.

Thou shalt not muzzle the ox when he treadeth out the 4

brother be dishonoured in thy sight .- V. I is the protasis, the apodosis begins with v. 2 (or possibly not till v. 3; cp. the similar construction in other legal cases, xxii. 13 ff., xxiv. 1 ff.). The text of v. 2 is not certain; see the various LXX readings. Peculiar to D, and another of its many laws in which the direct address appears only at the close. The want of a subject to judge, justify and condemn in v. I suggests that at least the first part is an extract from some earlier law on the procedure of judges. The protection against excessive beating is fourfold. It shall take place (1) only after trial and sentence, (2) in presence of the judge, (3) the strokes shall be by number, and the number in proportion to the crime and (4) shall not exceed forty. The need for insisting on a full trial is seen from Jer. xx. 22, xxxvii. 15, cp. Acts xvi. 22 f., 37; as these show, beating or scourging was apt to be given (even by the Romans) on arrest. The instrument usually mentioned in the O.T. was a rod, and the part beaten was the back (Ex. xxi. 20, Prov. x. 13, xix. 29, xxvi. 3, 'Isai.' l. 6). There is no need to infer from the laying down of the criminal in this case that the bastinado is meant.

controversy] litigation.
 and shall have declared righteous him who is in the right and declared guilty him who is guilty] The vbs. and adjs. are to be taken in a legal sense; see above on ix. 5.

2. then it shall be, if the guilty man be worthy to be beaten] Lit. a son of strokes.

3. Forty stripes] By later law the number was fixed at 'forty less one' (Mishma, 'Makkoth,' iii. 10ff., cp. 2 Cor. xi. 24, Josephus, Iv. Antt. viii. 21, 23): they were now inflicted with a lash. Hammurabi decrees in one case 'sixty blows of an ox-hide scourge' (§ 202).

thy brother should seem vile unto thee] Rather, be dishonoured (xxvii. 16), publicly (lit. to thine eyes). To give him the due punishment of his crime (v. 2) was not to take away his honour as a brother, i.e. Israelite; but to flog him indiscriminately was to treat him like an animal.

4. Against Muzzling the Labouring Ox. Peculiar to D; a clear

case of kindness to animals of which others in D are v. 14, and perhaps xxii. 6 f., cp. Prov. xii. 10. The motive in xxii. 4 is different. Animals were, and are still, employed for threshing by being driven to and fro across the sheaves on the threshing floor, either alone or harnessed to a threshing sledge.

The present writer has never seen them murzhed. *In all W. Asia it is the universal custon to allow the coar or or brea minuta them employed freely to eat of the universal custom to allow the coar or or brea minutes the unipplyed freely to eat of the (Conder, Tent Work, etc., pp.). Not muzzled as a mix (Baldemparger, PER) prop., so). In c. (in it of Pauli millistrating from this has the principle that the labourer is worthy of his him sales, It is forward to find current! A recording to D. Indiana the supplementation of the effect of the allegorising habit of the later Jewish excepts.

5-10. OF LEVIRATE MARRIAGE.

If, of brothers dwelling together, one die childless, his widow shall not marry beyond the family, her husband's brother shall marry her, and their firstborn be the dead man's heir and continue his name in Israel (s f.). But if the husband's brother decline this duty, even if after it is pressed on him by the elders, then, in their presence, shall the widow formally dishonour him as a recusant to the family, and the dishonour shall adhere (7-10).-Peculiar to D's code, but neither in the direct address nor with D's phraseology. It has the same opening, the same care in putting the case, the same style of introducing conditions (but if and not D's only = rak, see on x. 15) and of accumulating these. as the other marriage laws, xxi. 15-17, xxii. 13-21, xxiv. 1-4; and, like them, it brings in the elders. Probably, therefore, as we have suggested in regard to them, it is a law taken by D from a previous code. Cp. Dillmann who also points out that the terms like not to, refuseth and go up to the gate are not current in D. There is nothing to betray whether D has modified the law. Steuern, assigns it, with those other laws, to his Pl. author-

Heb. had not only a special term for a husband's brother, yabam, but a vb. derived from it, yibbem, to express his duty of marrying his brother's widow; the adj. Levirate similarly comes from Lat. levir, husband's brother.

The use of these Heb. terms by this law proves that the practice was already established in Israel.

Levines marriage in different forms is found among many peoples. Hiddeo law accious it in case of no male issue by the first marriage, and only iff the form of a strongly opposed (Dabola, Hindeo Manneyr, Castons and Ceremonier, trans.) because produced to the production of the complexity considerate strongly opposed (Dabola, Hindeo Manneyr, Castons and Ceremonier, trans.) considerate produced to the complexity considerate strongly opposed to the complexity considerate where the widow has children, in order to provide for their education. In some Ania where the widow has children, in order to provide for their education. In some Ania relative to give him the widow in marriage and say, "Give me compensation through her, etc.," and his request is granted. (Munil, Film, Etr. 40). No motive practice of polyanday, to the need of performing rise to the spirit of the deceased

If brethren dwell together, and one of them die, and 5 have no son, the wife of the dead shall not marry without unto a stranger: her husband's brother shall go in unto her, and take her to him to wife, and perform the duty of an husband's brother unto her. And it shall be, that the 6

(for Lovisse marriage and ancestor worship are often found together), and to the principle of "Baal Marriage, that the wife was the property of her husband and so principle of "Baal Marriage," that the wife was the property of her husband and so make the property of the husband and so that the second of the property of the husband and the state of the property of the husband and the state of the property of the husband and the state of the sta

An early instance is given by J, Gen. xxxviii., which (v. 8) uses the same term for the duty of a husband's brother, but implies that if brothers fail the duty might beassumed by another agnate and even by the husband's father; further that not the firstborn only, but all the children of the new marriage, belonged to the dead man. In Ruth i. 11-13 and iv., where the Heb. term for Levirate marriage is not used (though the cognate sister-in-law occurs in i. 15), the right of Na'omi's widowed daughters-in-law to any further sons she might have had is implied; and in the want of these, regarded as a divine affliction, the right of marrying Ruth passes to the next of kin, with that of the redemption of the dead husband's property; and again the son of the widow's marriage with the kinsman is regarded as his son and not that of her first husband. In D's law the duty of marrying the childless widow is limited to that brother of her dead husband who had been living with him, on the same estate; and the right of succession to the dead man is limited to the firstborn of the new marriage. In H, Lev. xviii. 16, marriage with a brother's wife is forbidden, and, Lev. xx. 21, is a defilement, cursed with childlessness. By some this has been regarded as the general rule, to which D's provides in the interest of the family a carefully limited exception (Driver, Deut. 285, Levit. 88). It seems more likely marriage was now its occasion. See on v. 5.

 brethren] of the same mother. In the Sg. passages, as we have seen, brother is fellow-Israelite. dwell together] On the same estate (cp. Gen. xiii. 6, xxxvi. 7);

this limitation is striking.

500] LXX reef, followed by Jos. IV. Antt. viii. 23, and in Matt. xxii. 24, Mart xxii. 19. Luke xx. 28 has children. So Yulg, and most moderns, A.V. child. But the LXX and the quotations in the gospels are evidently under the influence of the later law of P which allowed inheritance by daughters. See introd. note. 350s, RV, is the proper without not a transper! Outside the family. Struster, viia ser, see the property of the property of the service of the property of the property of the service of the property of the property of the service of the property of the service of the property of the property of the service of the property of the prope

is a man of another family. Cp. Prov. v. 10, Hos. v. 7, Lev. xxii. 12. husband's brother...perform the duty of an husband's brother...perform the duty of an husband's brother...perform the futy of an husband's brother...perform the futy of an husband's brother. brother.

firstborn which she beareth shall succeed in the name of his brother which is dead, that his name be not blotted out of of Israel. And if the man like not to take his brother's wife, then his brother's wife shall go up to the gate unto the elders, and say, My husband's brother refuseth to raise up unto his brother a name in Israel, he will not perform 8 the duty of an husband's brother unto me. Then the elders of his city shall call him, and speak unto him: and 9 if he stand, and say, I like not to take her; then shall his brother's wife come unto him in the presence of the elders, and loose his shoe from off his foot, and spit in his face; and she shall answer and say, So shall it be done unto the man that doth not build up his brother's house. And his

6. firstborn son] So Sam. (as in xxi. 15) in conformity with v. 5. LXX, $\tau \delta \pi \alpha \iota \delta (\sigma v)$, still adapts the law to that of P.

succeed in the name, etc.] Lit. stand up, take position, place or rank on the name of the dead.

that his name be not blotted, etc.] See ix. 14, xxix. 20. Ruth iv. 5, 10: to raise up the name of the dead upon his inheritance. Cp. next v. 7. shall go up to the gate] Ruth iv. 1, only here in D; so also the terms like not and refuseth (see introd. note).

elders] xxi. 19, xxii. 15. See on xxi. 18.

8. This v. really continues the protasis of the cond. sentence which starts in v. 7: the anodosis begins with v. o.

9. come unto] The same vb. in xx. 2, xxi. 5, of the formal approach of priests.

is an extended to the sandal from off his food! 'As one occupied land by treading on it, the shoe became the symbol of taking possession (Ps. ls. 8, cviii. 9); when a man renounced property to another, he drew off and gave him his shoe. So among the ancient Germande taking off of the shoe was a symbol for giving up property and heritable rights, and with the delivery of the shoe or the throwing of it and Arabs, Burckhardt, Red. 91' (abridged from Knobel). Co. and Arabs, Burckhardt, Red. 91' (abridged from Knobel). Co. the Bedawee form of divorce: 'She was my slipper, I cast her off' (W. R. Smith, Kirnish, etc., 269). That the right was a duty, which should not be renounced, is marked by the woman's drawing off the sandal, and spitting in the face of the recusant (Num. xii. 14, 10), wasn't levelify to solemuly assert as in v. 20. ct. and .

the man that doth not build up, etc.] Such was his sin. But the excuse of the kinsman who refused to take Ruth and her possession was that he was unwilling to mar his own heritage (Ruth iv. 6). Build up, Ruth iv. 11.

name shall be called in Israel, The house of him that hath his shoe loosed.

When men strive together one with another, and the wife II of the one draweth near for to deliver her husband out of the hand of him that smitth him, and putteth forth her hand, and taketh him by the secrets: then thou shalt cut 12 off her hand, thine eve shall have no pit.

Thou shalt not have in thy bag divers weights, a great 13

his name shall be called in Israel] Ruth iv. 14.
 the family of him whose sandal was stripped off.

11, 12. OF RECKLESS ASSAULT.

The woman who, even to help her husband, grasps the secrets of another Israelic wrestling with him shall have her hand cut off.—Peculiar to D, and in the Sg. address with brother as in other Sg. passages; to D, and in the Sg. address with brother as in other Sg. passages; those in other laws probably becomed by D. The additions may be the superfluous a man and his brother (v. 11, R.V. one with another) and thine op shall not joy [v. 12, pc. pvi. 16]. Strive, rather are wreating (as in E. Ex. xxi. zz; ep. Ex. li 13, Lev. xxiv. 10, z Sam, here may be due to the catchevoral his brother, v. v. of the law just here may be due to the catchevoral his brother, when the simple control is superfluored.

This very special case is probably meant to be typical of others (cp. xiz. s). The punishment is the only multilation prescribed by Dapart from the jux talionix (xix. zı). It is usually supposed to have had its origin at a time when such an act was the violation of a very sacred taboo. In Hammurah §§ 500–200, there (if the translation can be relied on) parallel crimes. Mutilation is also decreed there for other crimes.

13-16. AGAINST DIVERS WEIGHTS AND MEASURES.

Israel shall not use these—greater (for purchases) and smaller (for sales)—for he who does so is an ahomination to Jehovah (1g.1, for, Interpolated (for it breaks the connection between 1g.1 and 16) is as a positive command to have a single normal set of weights and measures that thy days may be long, etc.—Sg. address throughout. Parallel in H. Lev. xis. 3gf., also a negative command with a positive added; but a different expression of the religious motive. The laws may be quite independent; for the provocations for them were many in Israel.

Amos viii. 4 describes among other commercial sins making the phake small (for selling) and the shekel great (for weighing the purchasers' money, etc.) and dealing fattedy with fatte halances; Mi. vi. 10 declares the acoust measure bathenne. To the popular piety weights and measures, like the husbandman's methods (see on xxii, 9-11), were of divine institution, they were phonath and fatt novel (Prov. xvi. 11).

13. divers weights] Lit. stone and stone. Most ancient weights dis-



14 and a small. Thou shalt not have in thine house divers 15 measures, a great and a small. A perfect and just weight shalt thou have; a perfect and just measure shalt thou have: that thy days may be long upon the land which the

16 LORD thy God giveth thee. For all that do such things, even all that do unrighteously, are an abomination unto the LORD thy God.

covered in Palestine are of stone; for specimens see PEFQ, 1892, 114; 1804, 215 ff.

Royal standards were fixed for them as early as David's time (2 Sam. xiv. 26). With this and the next v. cp. H, Lev. xix. 35: Thou shall do no wrong ('axed) in judgement or with rule, stone, or measure.

14. divers measures Lit. an ephah and an ephah; the ephah = 8 005

15. A perfect and just weight] Lit. A whole stone and of the norm. Both adj, shelmah and noun sedek are used here in their original and physical meaning. H, Lev. xix. 36: balances, stones, ephah and htn—of the norm.

that thy days, etc.] v. 16. See on iv. 26. Giveth, 1s to give.

16. every one that doeth these things, etc.] Exactly as in xviii. 12, xxii. 5. On abomination, see vii. 25; here the ethical (not ritual)

meaning is clear.

every one that doeth injustice] Heb. 'awe! (perhaps lit. delin-quency). Not elsewhere in D (but in the Song, xxxii. 4), once in Jer.

ii. 5, and in H, Lev. xix. 15, 35, and Ezek. and later writings. The clause seems to be an addition.

17-19. On 'AMALEK.

Israel, remembering 'Amalek's impious treatment of their derelicts on the way from Egypt, must, when they rest from their cenemies in the land, exterminate 'Amalek,—In the Sg, address (except for an acci-from E (rev. 18E), and therefore, like so much less in D, based upon E. This is confirmed by another reference to the same behaviour of 'Amalek' in a passage which otherwise shows affinity to E (1 Sam. xv. 2). Further, Israel's attitude to 'Amalek' under Suit and David, supposing that this law is a late addition to D (Steuern, Betch latter of whom takes if for a piece of hoggandsh); and it falls in with D's other laws on foreign nations, xxiii. 3—8.

The reference cannot be to E's description of the pitched battle in Rephidim, in which Joshus disconfited 'Amalek (Ex. xvii. 8–13), nor indeed to any other single contest with that tribe; but is rather to the harassment which Israel suffered throughbout the wilderness. Such cruel treatment of the stragglers and dereficts of the host by the wild Arabs of the desert is extremely probable (ep. Doughty,

Remember what Amalek did unto thee by the way as ye 17 came forth out of Egypt; how he met thee by the way, 18 and smote the hindmost of thee, all that were feeble behind thee, when thou wast faint and weary; and he feared not God. Therefore it shall be, when the Lore 19 Hy God hath given thee rest from all thine enemies round about, in the land which the Lore 0 thy God giveth thee for an inheritance to possess it, that thou shalt blot out the remembrance of Amalek from under heaven; thou shalt not forget.

Ar. Dez. 11. 133, (sc.); and the memory of it would be hitter enough to account feath and are offered against Annale is a queeted by E. sex, out, at, and for this low, such an early order against Annale is a queeted by Ex. sex, out, at, and for this low, and the property of the control of t

17. Remember, etc.] The construction, even to the change from Sg. to Pl., is the same as in xxiv. 9, q.w. For other historical statements introd. by remember, see v. 18, vii. 18, ix. 7, xv. 15, xvi. 12.

as ye came forth] LXX, Vulg. thou camest. But the Pl. is probably original here, and may be regarded as an echo of xxiii. 4 (5), xxiv. 0.

18. how he met thee by the way] better, fell on thee. Cp. 1 Sam. xv. 2: how he set himself against him (Israel) in the way.

and smote the hindmost of thee] Lit. docked the tail of thee; elsewhere only in Josh. x. 19 (E?).

all that had broken down in thy rear] The vb. is not found elsewhere.

farred not God] See E, Gen. xx. 11, xlii. 18, Ex. i. 17, all of non-Israelites; and cp. Amos' denunciations of foreign peoples for inhumanity (Amos i. 3—ii. 3). A people so devoid of natural religion as to kill the non-combatants deserved no mercy, as the next v. declares.

19. hath given the rest! See on xii. of.

in the land which, etc.] iv. 21.

thou shalt blot out the remembrance of Amalek, etc.] E, Ex. xvii. 14: I will utterly blot out, etc. God's will is now Israel's duty. thou shalt not forget] ix. 7.

IV. FOURTH DIVISION OF THE LAWS. IDEALS OF RITUAL PROCEDURE WITH PROPER PRAYERS. XXVI. 1—15.

The Presentation of Firstfruits (1-11) and the Distribution of Tithes (12-15). Throughout in the style of D (with particular affinity to the

19-2

Law of Tithes, iiv. 22—39) and in the Sg. address; for additions, see below. These beautiful forms of service express fully D's ideals of worship—that it shall be national, at the nation's one sanctuary, but performed by the separate families with their local dependents; that it shall be historical, recounting the Providence of God from the beginnings of the nation till their settlement in the Promised Land, and therefore juyful and eucharistic; and further that it shall be equally mindful of God and His dues and of the poor and their dues. No two sessential features, nor, with the ethical supplement which follows, have formed a fitter close to the whole Code.

On the ground of the similarity between xxvi, and xi—xi, (esp. viii. t—18) Cullen (Bk. of the Cert. in Mash. y fill refers the whole of xxvi, to his 'Nijwash' or earlier deuteronomic Book published before the reforms of Josish. He gives a detailed examination of the ch. well worthy of study. He points out the number of expressions in xxvi, not found in the Code but in vi.—xi. Others, however, common is otherwise more suitable to the Code than to vi.—xi. whole subject of xxvi, t—15, to otherwise more suitable to the Code than to vi.—xi. whole subject of xxvi, t—15.

CH. XXVI. 1-11. PRESENTATION OF FIRSTFRUITS.

When settled in the land Israel shall take of the first of the fruit in a basket to the One Altar (1 f.); and coming to the priest shall declare to God their arrival in the land He sware to give them and the priest shall set the basket before the Altar (3 f.). In prayer Israel shall solemnly recall their history from their nomad Aramean origins, their descent to Egypt, their growth there and bitter bondage, their deliverance and guidance to this fertile land (5-9); and setting the firstfruits before God they shall worship and rejoice in the good He has given, along with their households, Levites and gerim (10 f.). Vv. I f. show evidence of expansion (see on v. 2). Vv. 3 f. raise a more serious question. To the going to the sanctuary (v. 2, as in xii. 5, xiv. 25) they add a coming to the priest, and assign to him a part of the procedure which v. 10 assigns to the worshippers; also they partly anticipate the worshippers' profession to God in vv. 5 ff. 1 It is possible that, like xxi. 5 (q.v.), they are a later insertion from a time when the rights of the priests were more emphasised and elaborated. But whatever answer be given to this textual question, other problems remain: the relation of this first or reshith (a) to the reshith assigned by xviii. 4 to the priests (cp. H, Lev. xxiii. 20 which assigns to the priests the bread of the bikkûrîm or firstfruits); and (b) to the tithes, xiv. 22 ff.

(a) Is all the reshift intended here for the priests (Dillm., Dri, W. R., Smith, Red. Som. 20: Jo, or is some or all of it to be consumed by the worshippers at the ritual meal which formed part of such pilgrimage-feasts (sii, 7, 18, xiv. 2, 26)? In favour of the former hypothesis are these: -(1) eve. of is synt that the reshift is to be set down before God and do not even hint that the worshippers shall partake of it; (2) xviii. 4 assigns the reshift for Gorn, wine, oil, faece) to the priests. In that case

¹ This point is not so clear as the others. The older commentators take the worshippers' profession in v. 3 as a natural introduction to that in 5 ff. So also Cullen, p. 8t. And it shall be, when thou art come in unto the land 26 which the Lose by God giveth thee for an inheritance, and possessest it, and dwellest therein; that thou shalt 2 take of the first of all the fruit of the ground, which thou shalt bring in from thy land that the Losn thy God giveth thee; and thou shalt put it in a basket, and shalt go unto the place which the Losn thy God shall choose to cause his name to dwell there. And thou shalt come 3 unto the priest that shall be in those days, and say unto

the meal of the worshippers would be that of the pilgrimage-feast at which the restifth was presented; some think the Feast of Weeks (Dri., Berth., etc.), but by its date the wine and oil were not ready.

and the water and of were not ready.

and the water and the section of ready.

The very various of every three? The reasons for identifying them (Stutern, Nowack, 186, 47eA, 1, 1; p3) are immillisent; those for distinguishing them are stronger but also not conclusive:—(·) If they were the same it is difficult to see why D about distinguishing the two, there is the section of th

when thou art come in, etc.] As in xvii. 14, but with these additions: and it shall be and for an inheritance (xv. 4). As Cullen (p. 88) points out the substance of the statement is already in viii. 1.
 of the first] Heb. of the reshith. See introd. note; and observe

2. of the first 1 Heb. of the results. See introd. note; and observe that the Heb. particle for of implies that only some of the results is signified.

all the fruit 3 Sam., LXX omit all; xviii. 4: of corn, wine, oil and

fleece.

thou shalt bring in Heb. tabi'; cp. Fbu'ah, income, xiv. 22, 28,

xvi. 15, xxii. 9.

that the Lord thy God is to give thee] Redundant after v. 1. The
two zv. are obviously expanded.

two 2v. are obviously expanded.

basket] Heb. fene, only here, v. 4, and xxviii. 5, 17 (cp. Phoen. fana, 'to erect,' perhans 'present,' hardly from nathan, 'to give').

tana, 'to erect,' perhaps' present,' hardly from nathan, 'to give'). Baldensperger (PEFQ, 1904, 136) compares the modern tabak, a round tray or basket.

unto the place, etc.] See on xii. 5.

3, 4. Possibly a later interpolation, see introd. note.

3. the priest...in those days] xvii. 9, xix. 17. Priest probably collective (cp. prophet, xviii. 15), not necessarily high-priest.

him, I profess this day unto the LORD thy God, that I am come unto the land which the LORD sware unto our fathers 4 for to give us. And the priest shall take the basket out of thine hand, and set it down before the altar of the 5 LORD thy God. And thou shalt answer and say before the LORD thy God. A "Syrian" ready to perish was my father, and he went down into Egypt, and sojourned there, few in number; and he became there a nation, great, 6 mighty, and populous: and the Egyptians evil entreated 7 us. and afflicted us, and laid upon us hard bondage; and

1 Heb. Aramean.

2 Or, wandering Or, lost

profess] or declare, solemnly, publicly proclaim.

my God] So LXX; Heb. thy is due to dittography.

that I am come D gives to this as to other rites a historical meaning. sware, etc.] See on i. 8.

4. before the altar] In D only here.

5. answer] testify, as in v. 20, xix. 16, 18, xxi. 7, xxv. 9.

A nomad Aramean was my father] Jacob-Israel, the son of an

Aram (Hos. Si. 1; Geo. Sxix.—xxxl.), with Aramean mothers to his children. EVV. ready to pertih and R.V. marg. wandering or leat a gall possible transl. of the Heb. Weds, used fol to I wandered beasts, xxii. 3; 1 Sam. ix. 3; 0.5 Eek. xxxiv. 4; 16, 18; cxix. 176; and or men perioding; v. 05, vii. 20; viii. 10; f. xxviii. 70; 2 Sam. i. 27, Joh origins of Israel in contrast to their present state as cultivators of their own land.

Dilm. 'verlorner oder verkommender,' Dri. 'ready to perish,' Steuern.' dem Unterpang ruber.' Berth.' 'dem Unterpang rubenul'. Marri, 'imberirender,' The LAX, at a time when Aramonu - honthen, avoided such a reproach to Isael by differently dividing the two words (Arama μ/δυδα) and producing the renderings 'threw off' or 'lost' and 'forsook' or 'recovered (!) Syria': Συρίαν ἀπθβάλεν (LXX Β), ἀπλιων (Ν, ΑΕΛ), ἀπλιώρεν (Α, Ε).

went down] So always from Palestine to Egypt, e.g. JE, Num. xx. 15.

sojourned] Was a ger, cp. xxiii. 7 (8).

few in number] x. 22. great, and mighty, and populous] So Sam., Vulg., etc. J, Ex. i. 9, more and mightier than we (Egyptians), 12, 20, multiplied, waxed mighty.

6. evil entreated us] JE, Num. xx. 15. afflicted us] J, Ex. i. 11.

hard bondage] or service. P, Ex. i. 14, vi. 9, 1 Kgs xii. 4, 'Isai.' xiv. 3.

we cried unto the Lord, the God of our fathers, and the LORD heard our voice, and saw our affiction, and our toil, and our oppression: and the Lord brought us forth out of 8 Egypt with a mighty hand, and with an outstretched arm, and with great terribleness, and with signs, and with wonders: and he hath brought us into this place, and 9 hath given us this land, a land flowing with milk and honey. And now, behold, I have brought the first of the fruit of the ground, which thou, O Lord, hast given me. And thou shalt set it down before the Lord thy God, and worship before the Lord thy God: and thou shalt rejoice 11 in all the good which the Lord thy God hath given unto thee, and unto thine house, thou, and the Levite, and the stranger that is in the midst of thee.

7. we cried, etc.] JE, Num. xx. 16, cp. E, Ex. iii. 9. saw our affliction, etc.] J, Ex. iv. 31; oppression, E, Ex. iii. 9; our toil added by D.

8. with a mighty hand, etc.] iv. 34, viii. 14.
9. hath brought us into this place] i. 31, ix. 7. As Cullen remarks, this phrase is not used for the Promised Land in xii.—xxv., in which

place means the One Sanctuary, see xii. 5.

Rowing with milk and honey] vi. 3. Once nomads, they are now settled cultivators of a fertile land, in token of which guidance and the

blessings it has brought them to, he continues—

10. I have brought the first, etc.] Heb. rethith, as in v. 2. Not the local Baalim but He who has guided them thither shall have this

tribute.

And thou shalt set it down But the priest has already done this, v_1 . If v_2 , 3f. are original we must read the clause to mean 'thus (with the rites prescribed in $4-(\sigma a)$ shalt thou set it down, etc.'

(Dillm., Dri.). But see on 3 f. worship] Lit. prostrate thyself. Brooke and McLean retain this clause in their text of the LXX although it is omitted by B and some

clause in their text of the LXX although it is omitted by B and some other authorities.

11. and thou shalt rejoice, etc.] See xii. 6 f., 11 f., 17 f., xvi. 11, 14. It is not said that the worshippers shall eat the r. shith, for that

has already been given to the Deity. See introd. note.

and unto thine house, thou] With Luc. read thou and thine house.

12-15. THE TRIENNIAL DISTRIBUTION OF TITHES.

When the tithe of the third year is complete and given to the local poor then the giver shall attest before God that it has all been given and that he has not broken any of the relevant laws, and shall pray for

When thou hast made an end of tithing all the tithe of thine increase in the third year, which is the year of tithing, then thou shalt give it unto the Levite, to the stranger, to the fatherless, and to the widow, that they may eat within

13 thy gates, and be filled; and thou shalt say before the LORD thy God, I have put away the hallowed things out of mine house, and also have given them unto the Levite, and unto the stranger, to the fatherless, and to the widow, according to all thy commandment which thou hast commanded me: I have not transgressed any of thy com-

14 mandments, neither have I forgotten them: I have not eaten thereof in my mourning, neither have I put away

a blessing on Israel. The apodosis of the sentence does not begin till v. 13. For the contents see on xiv. 28 f. and Add. Note there.

12. in the third year ... the year of tithing | See on xiv. 28; the two phrases are in apposition. For the latter LXX reads the second tithing (τὸ δεύτερον ἐπιδέκατον), a reading which even after the vocalic changes which it involves in the Heb, results in an impossible construction. is due to an attempt to accommodate D's arrangement for the third year's tithe to the later practice.

then thou shalt give if Rather, and thou hast given it: the apodosis does not commence till the next v.

Levite, etc.1 See on xiv. 20.

13. then thou shall say before the LORD thy God | That is (in accordance with vv. 5, 10, xii. 7, 12, 18, xiv. 23, 25 f., xv. 20, xvi. 11, xix. 17) at the sanctuary, and probably during the Feast of Booths (so all recent commentators).

I have put away The same vb. as, in xiii. 5 (6), q.v., xvii. 7, etc., is used for putting away evil things. Equally with them the tithe is taken

forbidden and dangerous for common use,

the hallowed things | Heb. the kodesh, lit, holiness or hallowedness (see above on vii. 6), but applied also to the concrete objects or persons set apart for the Deity or (as here) by His command, e.g., the Temple and its contents, the Holy City, sacrifices, etc.; in xii. 26 parallel to votos, here the tithes for the poor, an interesting extension of the idea of ceremonial sacredness; not without its ethical meaning for ourselves. 'We are commanded to give alms of such things as we have: and then, and not otherwise, all things are clean to us' (M. Henry).

out of mine house where they had been stored, xiv. 28. all the commandmental So Sam. LXX. The anxiety to keep these

ritual laws, with a great ethical purpose behind them-viz, the relief of the poor-is very striking. The laws are now detailed :-

14. I have not eaten thereof in my mourning | Heb. 'awen, sorrow;

thereof, being unclean, nor given thereof for the dead:
I have hearkened to the voice of the Loko my God, I have
done according to all that thou hast commanded me. Look 15
down from thy holy habitation, from heaven, and bless
thy people Israel, and the ground which thou hast given us,
as thou swarest unto our fathers, a land flowing with milk
and honey.

so in Hos. ix. 4, the bread of sorrows is unclean. If the mourner, unclean by contact with the dead, ate part of the tithe, he defiled it all. neither have I put away thereof, being unclear J Same vb. as in v. 13. While separating this tithe to its charitable ends, a ritual act, he has to take care to be ritually clean.

nor given thereof for the dead] or to the dead. The reference is obscure; either the custom of contributing to a mouring feast (a Samiii, 36, Jer. xvi. 7.6, Ezek, xxiv. 17); or that of offering food at the grave as if for consumption by the dead (70b. tv. 71; Ezekus, xxx. 18); or of servicing to the spirits of the deed, as a manually done by the Adaba, once explained to the present writer.

I have hearkened, etc.] Cp. xv. 5; I have done, etc., cp. v. 32, etc.

15. Look down, etc.] Cp. 'Isai.' lxiii. 15; thy holy habitation, Jer.

xxv. 30. Zech. ii. 13.

and bless, etc.] with such care and gifts as are described in vii. 13 ff.,
xi. 12. 14 ff.

as thou swarest, etc.] See on i. 8, vi. 3.

16-19. CONCLUDING EXHORTATION.

The proclamation of these laws and the consequent duty of Israel to keep then (i.6) constitute a contract between plenovah and Israel, by which He declares Himself their God, who shall evalt them above other nations, and they declare themselves His people, proper and holy to Him and obliged to obey His laws (17—19).—In D's style and the Sg, address the style of the Sg, address the Sg

Though the term overent is not used, the law-giving is regarded as such, as it is implicitly in xxvii. of. and explicitly in xxvi. r (xxviii. 69). This idea is also implicit in the Code, and is stated explicitly in viii. 18, xvii. 3. So far then, there is no reason for doubting the original character of the passage.

character of the passag

This is so far an answer to Steuern, who assigns the passage to a later deuteronomist. Wellh, indeed takes this day as that of the Covenant at Horeb, and infers

16 This day the LORD thy God commandeth thee to do these statutes and judgements: thou shalt therefore keep and do them with all thine heart, and with all thy soul.

17 Thou hast avouched the LORD this day to be thy God, and that thou shouldest walk in his ways, and keep his statutes, and his commandments, and his judgements, and hearken

that chs. xii —xxvi, were originally understood as delivered there. On the other hand Berth, finds it probable that we have here the formula under which Josish bound Israel to observe the Law (2 Kgs xxii), 3cp. Jer, xi. 2ff., For neither of these hypotheses is there any real evidence; and this day is ostensibly the same as that frequently mentioned in the Code and the Introd. Addresses (see on v. 10.)

16. This day] Obviously the same as that emphasised, both in the Introd. Addresses iv. 8, v. 1, viii. 1, 11, 18, x. 13, xi. 2, 8, 26, 32, and in the Code xv. 5, 15, xii. 9 (cp. xii. 8), as the day when the laws, revealed to Moses in Horeb were by him published to the people in Moab in the valley over against Beth-peor (iii. 29).

the LORD thy God is commanding thee] This is His part in the contract now to be formulated.

statutes and judgements | See on xii. 1.

keep and do them] See iv. 6, vii. 12, etc.; cp. observe to do, v. 1, viii. 1, xii. 1, 32, etc. This is Israel's part in the contract.

with all, etc. | vi. 5 f., x. 12, cp. xi. 18. Thou hast avouched the LORD, etc.] i.e. acknowledged (see Wright's Bible Word Book); lit. caused Jehovah to say that He will be thy God. This form of the Heb. vb. only here and v. 18. It is probably a technical legal term, by which either of the two parties to a contract made the other utter a declaration of his obligation under it. Here it is figuratively applied to the contract between Jehovah and Israel. They did not actually cause Him to make this engagement, for His choice of them was an act of His free grace (vii. 8, etc.) and every covenant with Him was of His imposition (v. 2, viii. 18). But by engaging to keep His laws Israel fulfilled the condition in which alone He could be their God. Therefore the formula, if not literally, is substantially, correct. The clever EVV. rendering avouched is unjustified by the Heb. form but has evidently been adopted to cover all the contradictory contents of the declaration; the text however is so deranged that it fails fully to do so.

and that thou shouldest walk in his ways, etc.] This belongs properly not to Jehovah's, but to Israel's, declaration, whereas the promise in v. 19, and to make thee high above all nations, etc., which is attributed to them belongs, of course, to Him. There has been a displacement of the text.

The Syriac seeks to get rid of the difficulty by eliminating the conjunction at the beginning of the phrase here, so as to read by walking in his ways, etc.; but even so the difficulty is only partly removed.

unto his voice: and the Lord hath avouched thee this 18 day to be a peculiar people unto himself, as he hath promised thee, and that thou shouldest keep all his commandments; and to make thee high above all nations which he hath 19 made, 'in praise, and in name, and in honour; and that thou mayest be an holy people unto the Lord thy God, as he hath spoken.

1 Or, for a praise, and for a name, and for an honour

18. and Jehovah hath caused thee to say this day that thou will be unto him a peculiar people. and wilk keep all his commandment? Elsewhere in D the singular relation of Israel to Jehovah is stated as this promise and set, vii. 6, 7, xi. x; 2; px. xvii. 9, xxix. 13; [13]. Here is the converse, the people's engagement to be such, as in 2 kgs madineard; that is the main fining! "The phrase, as he had said to thee, though unnecessary, is not the 'senseless addition,' which Steuern. alleges.

 and to make thee high above all nations, etc.] As remarked above on v. 17, this belongs properly not to Israel's but to Jehovah's declaration. High or highest, cp. xv. 6, xxviii. 1.

which he hath made] Ps. lxxxvi. 9. For a similar assertion in Deut. of Jehovah's supreme providence, see iv. 19.

for a praise, and for a name, and for an honour] As in R.V. marg., cp. Jer. xiii. 11.6. That is a praise, etc., to Himself; Berth. prefers 'to other nations,' who must acknowledge Israel's excellence

and superiority.

and that thou with be an holy people] This continues naturally the

people's declaration in v. 18. Holy people, vii. 6, xiv. 2, 21, xxviii. 9;

cp. J. Ex. xix. 6, holy nation (got for 'am), to which passage the phrase
as he hath spoken (possibly editorial) refers.

D. CHS. XXVII.—XXX. CLOSING ENFORCEMENTS OF THE LAW.

First, directions as to rites on crossing the Jordan and at Shechem, contained in a composite ch, xwiti, which except in zz- 9, f provides no link between chs. xxvi. and xxviii. Sceond. a discourse attributed to Moses, xxviii., which continues xxvii. 6--0, he epilogue to the Code, to the content of the co

It is useful to recall some theories to which the difficult relations of these chs. to each other, to the Code, and to its Introd. Discourses have given rise. While their differences illustrate the complexity of the problems presented, there is general agreement: 1.0 upon the interruption which, h. xwii. causes between the, xwii. () the complexity of the configuration of xwii. $s_i - \gamma a$ from an earlier source, probably E: and (4) on the originality to D of the balls of xwiii. $s_i - 1$ set upon its being the natural sequel in the complexity of the configuration of the configuration

to xxv.

Tender (Trote, Tripletor, vii, xyr ff, takes, xxvii, y, zx original to D and the transition between v, xy - xxvi, xxvii, culturatingle original), the rest of xxvii is editoral with a pre-destreton injunction in vvi, xy-xx. So virtually Weights is editoral with a pre-destreton injunction in vvi, xy-xx. So virtually Weights with extended to the control of t

CH. XXVII. PROCEDURE ON CROSSING JORDAN, AND AT SHECHEM.

The only part of this ch. which offers a connection between chs. xxvi. and xxviii. is ro, g, f (see small print above). The rest breaks the flow of Moses' discourse from xxvi. to xxviii.; and its composite character is apparent not only from the changes in the form of address but from the legislation of D. It falls into four sections: 1-8 (tiself composite; see below), g, f, 1-1-3, and 1-g-3).

1-8. Erection of Stones for the Inscription of the Law, and of an Altar.

Moses and the elders charged the people to keep the commandment (1); when they cross Jordan they shall set up stones and, whitening them, shall thereon write the Law (Torah) (z l-j; they shall do this on Mr Ebal (4), and bailt an alter 10f the form enjoined in E. Ex. x. 241, for burnt and peace offenings, eating and rejoicing before God (s-r), tion from different sources. or plainty (8).—The passage is a continuous tion from different sources.

First, in ex., $x=x_0$ and x_0 , x_0 and $x=x_0$ as $x=x_0$ coulders (op. Dillin, Westphal, Berth, Marri). With destreme, phrases both command the same thing, the erection of stones to bear on a white surface an incription of the Law; but the former prescribes this to be done immediately (x_0 , y on the crossing of the Jordan, the latter on Mt. Ehal. Here, then, is another indication of more than one edition of the means. Mass charged the starter, and Mass charged the felter, and Mass charged the felter has the surface of the felter of the f

And Moses and the elders of Israel commanded the 27 people, saying, Keep all the commandent which I command you this day. And it shall be on the day when ye 2 shall pass over Jordan unto the land which the Loke thy God giveth thee, that thou shalt set thee up great stones, and plaister them with plaister: and thou shalt write 3

Scowd, in ve, 5–7, the command to build an altar on 'Elad seems inconsistent with Ds law of the One Altar, and therefore it is usually alken as the revision by a destretoromic editor (note the phrases in 19) of a command in E. (see the small of the phrases of the command in E. (see the small of the phrases of the command in E. (see the small of the phrases of the command in E. (see the small of the really inconsistency, probably because he agreed that at the time fixed for the sreeting of inconsistency, probably because he agreed that at the time fixed for the sreeting to inconsistency, probably because he agreed that as the time fixed for the sreeting that the standard of the

The state of the s

1. Note the re-appearance of the narrative form.

And Mosss and the clders...commanded the people] The association of the eldens with Moses in giving this charge is singular, especially in view of the following, 'which I command you.' The LXX (except in a few cursive MSS) omist the people. Therefore some read, And Mosss commanded the clders. More probably we have here the fusion of the introductions to the two different forms of the law, Masse commanded the clders and Mosss commanded the people (so also Marti; cp. Berth.).

Keep all the commandment, etc.] Heb. Miswah viii. 1; cp. v. 12

(observe), 31, vi. 1. In Sam., LXX keep is Pl.

2. on the day on which ye shall pass ever fordan! The Heb. idiom (p. 2 Sam. xix. 02, Esth. ix. 1) implies the very day on which they were crossing, and not (vaguely) the time when they crossed; and this confirmed by 3⁸ which indicates that the stones were to be set up when Israel crossed Jordan but before they entered upon their occupation of the land, in order that thou mayer go in (similarly Dillin.

and plainter them with plainter]. A whitewash of lime or chalk, as a background for the writing in black or another colour. The practice was Egypting, and in Egypt the climate was not hostile to the result. But such writing would not survive the winters of Palestine, where not even inscriptions engraved in limestone, but only those in basal have considered to the colour plainter of the colour plainter of the colour plainter regiment incorporated in D. Co. E. Ex. was the colour plainter of the words of the Lord by Moses is associated with the erection of twelve majestich. upon them all the words of this law, when thou art passed over; that thou mayest go in unto the land which the LORD thy God giveth thee, a land flowing with milk and honey, as the LORD, the God of thy fathers, hat 4 promised thee. And it shall be when ye are passed over Jordan, that ye shall set up these stones, which I command you this day, in mount Ebal, and thou shalt 5 plaister them with plaister. And there shalt thou build an altar unto the LORD thy God, an altar of stones: thou 6 shalt lift up no iron two! upon them. Thou shalt build the altar of the LORD thy God of 'unbewn stones: and thou

1 Heb. whole.

all the words of this law] Heb. Tôrah (see on i. 5, xxxi. 9, etc.). How much is comprised in this phrase we cannot say, for we are not sure of the exact size of the original code of D.

It was a wisespread custom in antiquity to engrave law upon stone pillars. The Code of Hammonnia is engraved on a pillar of Black direction is about age columns, code of Hammonnia is engraved on a pillar of Black direction in about age columns, and the pillar of Hammonnia (Code, M., 2004). The Pallaryar contains about sets lines in Greek and spin Aramate (Code, M., 2004). The Code of the

· when thou art passed over] LXX, ye are.

that thou mayest go in, etc.] Cp. iv. 40, vi. 3, vii. 1, etc. LXX B, etc., read that ye may go in, but most MSS have Sg.

4. which I immunal you] LXX B, see, there; other code, you, im munt Eball See on x, 19, and introd note to this passage, Sam. Gerialm, the sacred mountain of the Samaritans. How far this direction for the site of the erected stones is consistent with that in v. 2, on the day on which ye shall pass over Jordan, may be seen from the following. M: Ebal is about 18 miles from the nearest of the Jordan fords, at the present Jisr ed-Damieh, the most natural place of passage from E. to W. Palestine. Even if the writer intended this as the place of Israel's crossing of the Jordan his interval is considerable interval between Israel's crossing at Iericho and their attainment of

Shechem, as recorded in the Book of Joshua, is very much greater.

5—7. Cp. E, Ex. xx. 24 f. with Driver's notes.

5. no iron! Ex. xx. 25, too! (herro!), which would have polluted the altar. The later D's substitution of iron is striking. See on

viii. 9.

6. unhewn stones] See R.V. margin. Ex. xx. 25: thou shalt not build it of hewn stones.

shalt offer burnt offerings thereon unto the LORD thy God: and thou shalt sacrifice peace offerings, and shalt eat 7 there; and thou shalt rejoice before the LORD thy God. And thou shalt write upon the stones all the words of this 8 law very plainly.

And Moses and the priests the Levites spake unto all 9 Israel, saying, Keep silence, and hearken, O Israel; this day thou art become the people of the Lord thy God. Thou shalt therefore obey the voice of the Lord thy God, 10

burnt offerings] Heb. 'olôth; see on xii. 6.

 peace offerings] Heb. sh*lamtm, rather offerings in fulfilment of laws and vows; not elsewhere in Deut. and here representing the zbahtm, EVV. sacrifices, of xii. 6, etc.; as the vb. here conjoined with it shows.

eat...rejoice, etc.] Phrases of D; see on xii. 7.

8. the stones] Not the stones of the altar (6 f.), with which Josh. viii. 30 f. has confused them. this law! Heb. Torah as in v. 3.

on that one of them which is rendered plainly, baler, see on i. 5. The other maning thoroughly or exceedingly, occurs in ix. 21.

9, 10. FURTHER ENFORCEMENT OF THE LAW.

the priests the Lewises | See on swiii. 1, and cp. x. 8f. The association of the Lewise swith Moses in the enforcement of the Law is striking; and as only one speaker is implied by the next x. (which if I command thee) the words have been regarded as the addition of I to Manager and the second of the second of

Keep silence] The Heb. vb. only here; in Ar. the root, sakata=to be quiet or mute.

hearken, O Israel] v. 1.

this day thou art become the people, etc.] Cp. xxvi. 18.

10. obey the voice] xxvi. 17: hearken to his voice.

Digitized by Google

and do his commandments and his statutes, which I command thee this day.

11 And Moses charged the people the same day, saying, 12 These shall stand upon mount Gerizim to bless the people, when ye are passed over Jordan; Simeon, and Levi, and 13 Judah, and Issachar, and Joseph, and Benjamin: and

these shall stand upon mount Ebal for the curse; Reuben, 4 Gad, and Asher, and Zebulun, Dan, and Naphtali. And

do his commandments and his statutes] iv. 40, vi. 2, x. 13 (all with keep instead of do); xxvi. 16, do these statutes and judgements; id. 17, keep his statutes, and his commandments, and his judgements. which I command thee this day liv. 40, etc., etc.

11-13. APPOINTMENT OF TRIBES TO BLESS AND TO CURSE.

Ch. si. 19 (g.w) commands that the blessing for obedience be set on Mt Gerkinn, the curse for disobedience on Mt 'Ebal. Sed (lit, glee) implies some solemn rite, and this is now defined. Six tribes shall stand on Gerkint to bless, and six on 'Ebal for the curse. The former are all sons of Leah or Rachel, Jacob's wives, the latter the sons of their maids, Gad, Asher, Dan and Naphtali, with Reuben, Leah's eldest son, who lost his birthright, and Zebulun, her youngest. Again the former, appointed to the southern mount, are all (with the doubtful exception of Issachary three satablished S. of Esdraelon, while those Eddraelon, with the two from E. Palestine, Reuben and Gad.

On the whole, the genealogical explanation of the division (Dilim, Dri, Berth.) in more plausible than the geographical (Stouren, The position of Level, on a level with the other tribes, points to a source earlier than D, and as E emphasizes the case of the control of the co

14-26. APPOINTMENT OF THE LEVITES TO CURSE.

According to 11—13 both a blessing and a curse were to be pronounced, here whave only curses, twelve in number. There Levi was one of six tribes appointed to bless; here he Levites, in religious distinction from all the other tribes, are to pronounce the curses. Further, chief to the Levites in religious distinction from the Levites Levites

The inclusion of so many sins forbidden only in H does not necessarily imply that the list of curses is exilic (Berth.). It may be from a source independent of all the Levites shall answer, and say unto all the men of Israel with a loud voice,

Cursed be the man that maketh a graven or molten 15 image, an abomination unto the Lord, the work of the hands of the craftsman, and setteth it up in secret. And all the people shall answer and say, Amen.

Cursed be he that setteth light by his father or his mother. 16

And all the people shall say, Amen.

Cursed be he that removeth his neighbour's landmark. 17 And all the people shall say, Amen.

Cursed be he that maketh the blind to wander out of the 18

way. And all the people shall say, Amen.

Cursed be he that wresteth the judgement of the 19 stranger, fatherless, and widow. And all the people shall

stranger, fatherless, and widow. And all the people shall say, Annen.

Cursed be he that lieth with his father's' wife; because 20

those decuments, some national or local litting y_1 and Meyer—Lather (Di. Inraditin, 25) suggests this. Two all nuts of the ancettary of Schedenn. Now is the hand which introduced it here that of D, but of a late editor, for note the simple term Leville intends of D's the prietate the Leviles and the phrase unts all the more of Irradi, found elsewhere only in $[n_0$ x, x_2 in a passage with many editorial elements. D's phrase is all Irradi (see above v_0) in

14. answer] As in xxi. 7, solemnly pronounce.
with a loud voice] Lit. a high voice, not elsewhere in the O.T. Cp.

v. 19, a great voice. 18—26. Cursus be] The Heb. for this is simply the passive part. of the vb. 'to curse' (the original sense of which may have been 'to bind'), and may be rendered either cursus be or cursus is.

15. Amen] The Heb. 'amen (lit. firm or assured) when used as an exclamation means true, truly, or be it assured. All the instances of 'Amen which are parallel to this are post-exilic.

Cp. iv. 16, 23, 25, v. 8 (vii. 5, 25), ix. 12, 16, 21 (xii. 3); E, Ex.

xx. 33; J. Ex. xxxiv. 17; H. Lev. xix. 4, xxvi. 1. Graven image (Heb. petel), iv. 16; mølten, ix. 12, 16; the work of the hands of the crafteman, so Jer. x. 3, cp. Hos. viii. 6, xiii. 2, 'lsai.' k. 19 f., xii. 7, kiiv. 11—17, xiv. 16; in zecret, xiii. 6 (7), cp. Job xxxi. 27. 18. C. p. v. 16, xxi. 18 ff.; E. Ex. xx. 12, xxi. 17; H. Lev. xx. o

Setteth light by or dishonoureth, the opposite of honour, v. 16.

18. Lev. xix. 14: thou shalt not put a stumbling block before the blind.

19. See on xxiv. 17; E, Ex. xxii. 21—24, xxiii. 9; H, Lev. xix. 33 f.

20. See on xxii. 30 (xxiii. 1); H, Lev. xviii. 8, xx. 11.

DEUTERONOMY

Digitized by Google

he hath uncovered his father's skirt. And all the people

shall say, Amen. 21 Cursed be he that lieth with any manner of beast. And

all the people shall say. Amen.

22 Cursed be he that lieth with his sister, the daughter of his father, or the daughter of his mother. And all the people shall say, Amen.

Cursed be he that lieth with his mother in law. And all the people shall say, Amen.

Cursed be he that smiteth his neighbour in secret. And all the people shall say, Amen.

Cursed be he that taketh reward to slay an innocent person. And all the people shall say, Amen.

Cursed be he that confirmeth not the words of this law to do them. And all the people shall say, Amen.

21. Cp. E, Ex. xxii. 19 (18); H, Lev. xviii. 23, xx. 15.

22. Cp. H. Lev. xviii, o. xx. 17. In earlier times marriage with a half-sister was apparently allowed, Gen. xx. 12, 2 Sam. xiii. 136; but is condemned in Ezek, xxii, 11.

23. Cp. H, Lev. xviii. 17, xx. 14. Cp. v. 17; E, Ex. xx. 13, xxi. 12; H, Lev. xxiv. 17. The addition, in secret (v. 15, xiii. 6 (7), xxviii. 57), is nowhere else

attached to murder. 25. Cp. xvi. 19, and E, Ex. xxiii. 8, both against all bribes; Ezek, xxii. 12, bribes to shed blood,

26. confirmeth] Lit. establisheth, 2 Kgs xxiii. 3, 24 of Josiah and the Book of the Law, Heb. Torah, as in i. 5, xxxi, o, which see,

CH. XXVIII. ENFORCEMENT OF BLESSINGS AND CURSES.

With no title this discourse is clearly a continuation of ch. xxvi., but

whether through xxvii. q f. or not is uncertain.

The contents are the blessings and curses which shall follow respectively on Israel's observance and neglect of the Law; already announced in xi. 26-28, xxvii. 12 f. Parallel conclusions are found to the Codes of E and H; Ex. xxiii. 20-33, Lev. xxvi. 3-45.

Driver justly remarks that ch. xxviii, shows 'no appreciable literary dependence' on the former of these; and 'though the thought in Lev. xxvi. is in several instances parallel to that in Deut, xxviii., and here and there one of the two chapters even appears to contain a verbal reminiscence of the other (cp. Deut. xxviii. 22, 23, 53, 65 b with Lev. xxvi. 16, 19, 29, 16 respectively), the treatment in the two cases is different, and the phraseology, in so far as it is characteristic, is almost entirely distinct, Lev. xxvi. representing affinities with Ezekiel, Deut. xxviii, with Jeremish; in fact the two chapters represent two independent elaborations of the same theme.

And it shall come to pass, if thou shalt hearken dili-28 gently unto the voice of the LORD thy God, to observe to

It is not easy to account for the structure of ch. xxviii. The Blessings in vv. 1-14 find their antitheses within the first section on the Curses, vv. 15-46, but these are elaborated to a far greater degree than the Blessings, and are further developed in two additional sections, vv. 47-57 and 58-68, clearly separate in form from what precedes them and from each other. For the grounds of this analysis and for signs within some of the sections of smaller expansions see the notes below.

Most striking is the way in which the Discourse after predicting Israel's ultimate exile swings back to describe calamities to the people while still on their own land. The captivity in v, 32 is only partial, and Israel itself is still at home lamenting it. But after the exile of the nation and the king is foretold in vv. 36 f., vv. 38 ff. return to the aggravation of the evil conditions inflicted on the people in its own land till it be destroyed (among them once more, v. 41 as in v. 32, the captivity of its sons and daughters). Vv. 47-57 are a gruesone description of the siege of Israel's cities by a foreign invader; but 38-62 repeat the curses of plaque, already threatened, which shall continue till thou be destroyed. Then with a change to the Pl. address comes another prediction of banishment (61) and, with a return to the Sg., a poignant description of sufferings in exile (64-67), rising at last to the climax (the most terrible thing D could threaten) of a return to Egypt, the house of bondmen, where however Israelites shall now not be worth purchase as slaves (68)

men, where nowever tractities shall now not be worth purchase as slaves (68). That there are some later intrusions of displacements can sharply be denied. That there are some later intrusions of displacements can sharply be denied, that, if within 1_5-4_6 , we, $26-p_1$ be removed, the parallel with the blessing in $1-r_4$ becomes much clozer, night by the reasonably bled as proofs of later expansions which also include $48-p_3$ and 38-68. But this must remain more or less uncertain in view of the discussive skyle of 90 which so often returns on itself, as well as in view.

of the predominance of threat over promise in pre-exilic prophecy.

The curses which affect the land and the people while in possession of it can hardly be so late as the Exile. But also, in the opinion of the present writer, there is not in the threats of invasion, nor even in those of exile, anything that conflicts with a pre-exilic date. These threats have all sufficient foundation in previous experiences of Israel. And it may be fairly argued that had 170, 58-68 been written after the Exile it could hardly have contained the threat of the flight of the people by ships to Egypt to sell themselves there. Nor is there in the Discourse any such promise of restoration to the exiled people, being penitient, as is found in it, 29—40 and is taken there as a proof of an exilic date. In D's own absolute manner the exile of Israel is regarded as final. The whole Discourse therefore may well be pre-exilic.

The style throughout is that of D, though as we should expect from the sub-ject, there are terms and phrases not used elsewhere by D nor indeed in the O.T. Finally, it is clear from 2 Kgs xxii. 13 and Jer. xi. 3 that some such terrible curses were appended to the Book of the Law discovered in the Temple in 621; which as we

have seen was at least the Code of D.

Therefore certainly in part, and possibly in whole, this Discourse belongs to D. Cp. Kuenen, Hex. § 7, 21 (2), 'not to any appreciable extent interpolated.' On the other side Staerk and Steuernagel find the ch. a compilation from many sources, some of them late; and so to a smaller extent Bertholet.

The designations of Israel's God are interesting: 27 times Jehovah only and almost always when some action (mostly of judgement) is attributed to Him; 13 times the deuteron. *Jelsouk 1hy God* and this almost always in connection with the people's duty to His Law and Service or with His gift of the land to them. The distinction is on the whole logical.

20-2

do all his commandments which I command thee this day, that the LORD thy God will set thee on high above all 2 the nations of the earth: and all these blessings shall come upon thee, and overtake thee, if thou shalt hearken 3 unto the voice of the LORD thy God. Blessed shalt thou be in the city, and blessed shalt thou be in the field. 4 Blessed shall be the fruit of thy body, and the fruit of thy ground, and the fruit of thy cattle, the increase of thy kine, 5 and the young of thy flock. Blessed shall be thy basket 6 and thy kneadingtrough. Blessed shalt thou be when thou comest in, and blessed shalt thou be when thou 7 goest out. The LORD shall cause thine enemies that rise up against thee to be smitten before thee; they shall come out against thee one way, and shall flee before thee 8 seven ways. The LORD shall command the blessing upon thee in thy barns, and in all that thou puttest thine hand unto; and he shall bless thee in the land which

1-14. THE BLESSINGS.

Parallels in vii. 12-24, xi. 13-15, 22-25. On the assurance of material blessings as the consequence of obedience to the commandments of God see the word of Jesus, Matt. vi. 33.

1. For the connection see on xxvii. qf. Parallels in xi. 13, xv. 5.

set thee on high] See on xxvi. 19.

2. overtake] This vb. is used of the avenger, xix. 6. A man's goodness as well as his sin is sure to find him out, even when he does not expect this: see Matt. xxv. 37.

3-6. Six forms of blessing, each introd. by the pass. part. of the vb. to bless. They cover Israel's life: in town and field, in their offspring, crops and cattle, annual harvests and daily bread, all their movement out and in. The structure of the first two and last three is uniform: with 3 accents. The longer third, v. 4, has been expanded: fruit of thy cattle does not appear in LXX nor in the parallel v. 18, and is probably a gloss from v. 11.

Cp. vii. 13, and notes there on increase and young. 5. basket | See on xxvi. 2.

kneading-bow1] See Dri. on Ex. viii. 3. Cp. mill, xxiv. 6.

6. Cp. xxxi. 2, Jos. xiv. 11, 1 Kgs iii. 7, Ps. cxxi. 8.

7. smitten before thee] See i. 42. 8. shall command | Heb. has the jussive, command; it is uncertain

which we should read; upon thee, lit. with thee. barns] Only here and Prov. iii. 10. Cp. above, xv. 10. and he shall bless thee] LXX (except some cursives) omits.

Digitized by Google

the LORD thy God giveth thee. The LORD shall establish 9 thee for an holy people unto himself, as he hath sworn unto thee; if thou shalt keep the commandments of the LORD thy God, and walk in his ways. And all the 10 peoples of the earth shall see that thou art called by the name of the LORD; and they shall be afraid of thee. And the LORD shall make thee plenteous for good, in the 11 fruit of thy body, and in the fruit of thy cattle, and in the fruit of thy ground, in the land which the LORD sware unto thy fathers to give thee. The LORD shall 12 open unto thee his good 'treasure the heaven to give the rain of thy land in its season, and to bless all the work of thine hand: and thou shalt lend unto many nations, and thou shalt not borrow. And the LORD shall make 13 thee the head, and not the tail; and thou shalt be above only, and thou shalt not be beneath; if thou shalt hearken unto the commandments of the LORD thy God, which I command thee this day, to observe and to do them;

1 Or, treasury

9. holy See vii. 6, and note on Holiness, p. 108. Here (as the context shows) the meaning is not ethical, but = set apart for Himself, therefore inviolate; cp. Jer. ii. 3.

if thou shalt keep] Rather, for (ex hypothese) thou wilt be keeping. Cp. Dri.; Marti.: in case thou shalt. So there is no need to omit the

clause with Steuern, and Staerk.

10. thou art called by the name of the LORD Lit. the name of Ichovah is called over thee, as that of thine owner. Other instances of the figure in 2 Sam. xii. 28, Am. ix. 12, Jer. vii. 10 f., xiv. 9, xv. 16, etc., 'Isai.' xiii. 19.

11. make thee plenteous for good | Lit. make thee to have an excess, or surplus, of prosperity-through the fruit of thy body, etc.

12. his good treasury the heaven] As in R.V. marg. Cp. Gen. i. 7,

vii. 11, viii. 2; Job xxxviii. 22 (treasuries of snow and hail); Jer. x. 13; Book of Enoch, lx. 11-22. On the rain see xi. 11, 17; on the work of thine hand, i.e. in the field, see xiv. 20. and thou shalt lend, etc.] See on xv. 6.

13. the head, and not the tail Is. ix. 14, xix. 15. only Heb. rak; see on x. 15. Here = nothing but.

if thou shalt] Rather (as in v. 9), for thou will, or in case thou shalt.

to observe and to dol See on iv. 6, v. 32.

14 and shalt not turn aside from any of the words which I command you this day, to the right hand, or to the left, to go after other gods to serve them.

15 But it shall come to pass, if thou wilt not hearken unto the voice of the Loxu by God, to observe to do all is commandments and his statutes which I command thee this day; that all these curses shall come upon thea, and to overtake thee. Cursed shalt thou be in the city, and cursed y shalt thou be in the field. Cursed shall be thy basket and

18 thy kneadingtrough. Cursed shall be the fruit of thy body, and the fruit of thy ground, the increase of thy kine, 19 and the young of thy flock. Cursed shalt thou be when thou comest in, and cursed shalt thou be when thou 20 goest out. The Loros shall send upon thee cursing, discomfutre, and rebuke, in all that thou puttest thine hand unto for to do, until thou be destroyed, and until thou

14. turn aside, etc.] v. 32. For you read thee, Sam., Gk., Syr. go after other gods] vi. 14, viii. 19, xiii. 2 (3).

15-46. THE CURSES.

The opening w_{i+1} 5— ∞ 0, correspond to the blessings in w_{i+1} 5— ∞ 0, except that there are no antitheses to i4 and i5, and that the curse on basket and kneading-bowl precedes that on fruit of i1k9 bedy, etc. Then the Discourse leaves the limits it had observed in the remainder of the blessings, v_{i} 8.—i14, and while here and there it gives the exact contrast of these blessings $(v_{i}$ 7 2i8, with i1i2, i2 with i2, i3 and i6 with i10, i3 with i1i3, i2 with i1i3, i3 and i4 with i1i4, i3i6 with i1i6, i3 with i7i3, i7 and i6 with i1i6, i3 with i1i8, i1i9 with i1i8, i1i9 with i1i1i1i10 with i1i10 with i1i10 with i1i10 with i1i10 with i10 with i1i10 with i1i10 with i10 with i1i10 with i1i10 with i1i10 with i10 with

The opinion that v, $s\delta$ (or $s\gamma$)—3s and si are later additions is plausible, not because they contain predictions of exile but because they elaborate the rest and view of the repeating style of D it is impossible to say whether some even of those vn, are original or expansions; there are no sufficient grounds for the detailed analysis by Steuernagel.

15-20. For the terminology see notes on vv. 1-7.

20a forms with 25 a clear antithesis to 12.7, but its more elaborate than the latter. For curring cp. Mal. ii. 2, iii. 9; for discompliture see on vii. 23; rebuke is found only here. On for to do (lit. which thou shall do) see xiv. 29; until thou be destroyed, cp. vv. 24, 45, 51, 61, vii. 23.

perish quickly; because of the evil of thy doings, whereby thou hast forsaken me. The LORD shall make the 21 pestilence cleave unto thee, until he have consumed thee from off the land, whither thou goest in to possess it. The 2LORD shall smite thee with consumption, and with fever, and with inflammation, and with ferry heat, and with 'the sword, and with blasting, and with mildew; and they shall pursue thee until thou perish. And thy heaven that 23 is over thy head shall be brass, and the earth that is under thee shall be iron. The LORD shall make the rain 24

1 Or, according to some ancient versions, drought

20b (from and until thou perish) is taken by some as an expansion. On perish quickly see iv. 26, xi. 17; for evil of thy doingr cp. Hos. ix. 15, Isai. i. 16, Jer. iv. 4+17 times. Porsaken me, yet Moses is the speaker, cp. vii. 4.
21. petitlence! Heb. deber, a general word (originally=death); in

I, Ex. v. 3, ix. 15, Hos. xiii. 14, Am. iv. 10. See Baldensperger,

PEFQ, 1906, 97 ff. LXX here θάνατος.

whither thou goest in to possess it] The usual phrase in the Sg. passages; see on vi. 1. For the corresponding Pl. phrase see iv. 26. 22. Seven Plagues, four on men, and three on their crops. On the former see Lev. xxvi. 16, and consult A. Macalister, art. 'Medicine'

in Hastings' D.B. consumption] Heb. shahepheth; from the meaning of the corr. Ar.

sahaf, 'to affect with consumption of the lungs,' this is usually conceived as phthisis, but Macalister, from the connection here, thinks more likely a wasting fever of the Mediterranean or Malta type. LXX dropla. fever] Heb. kaddahath, lit. kindling, LXX ruper6; cp. Luke iv. 38,

John iv. 52. 'May be malarial fever' (Macalister).

inflammation] Heb. dalleketh, lit. burning, LXX ρ̂ιγοs. 'Possibly... some form of ague,' but 'perhaps indeed typhoid' (Macalister). fiery heat] Heb. harhur, lit. burning or parchedness, *LXX ἐρεθυσμός, 'irritation'; 'such as erysipelas, only this is not very common in

Palestine. It might be one of the exanthemata' (Macalister).

the sword | Heb. hereb. LXX A F, etc., physical back. omit.

But with Sam., Vulg. and R.V. marg. we may read hord, dryness; either (as in the similarly emended text of Zec. xi. 17, cp. Job xxx. 30) a withering of the body, or, in harmony with the following, drught of the earth.

blatting! Heb. shiddathön, mostly the effect of the Sirocco (see

ferusalem, 1. pp. 12, 20 f.). Hence the LXX ἀνεμοφθορία.
mildew] Heb. yerakôn, wanness, lividness; LXX ώχρα.

23. Cp. Lev. xxvi. 19: heaven as iron, earth as brass.

of thy land powder and dust: from heaven shall it come 25 down upon thee, until thou be destroyed. The Loxb shall cause thee to be smitten before thine enemies: thou shalt go out one way against them, and shalt flee seven ways before them: and thou shalt be 'tossed to and 26 fro among all the kingdoms of the earth. And thy carcase

26 fro among all the kingdoms of the earth. And thy carcase shall be meat unto all fowls of the air, and unto the beasts of the earth, and there shall be none to fray them away.

27 The Lord shall smite thee with the boil of Egypt, and with

the semerods, and with the scurvy, and with the itch, 28 whereof thou canst not be healed. The LORD shall smite

28 whereof thou canst not be healed. The LORD shall smite thee with madness, and with blindness, and with astonish-29 ment of heart: and thou shalt grope at noonday, as the

blind gropeth in darkness, and thou shalt not prosper in thy ways: and thou shalt be only oppressed and spoiled 30 alway, and there shall be none to save thee. Thou shalt

Or, a terror unto 2 Or, tumours Or, plague boils

24. The Sirocco (Sherkiyeh), as the present writer has more than once encountered it in Judaea, brings up a fog of dust as dense and fine as a sea-mist, but very destructive. Until thou be destroyed, see v. 20.
25. See on vv. 7, and 20 a.

See on vv. 7, and 20a.
 tossed to and fro] Rather, for a trembling or a horror (Heb. Pza'avah).
 So the v. does not necessarily imply exile.
 Lee, xxiv. 9, xxiv. 18, xxxiv. 17.

26. Jer. vii. 33; cp. xvi. 4, xix. 7, xxxiv. 20.

27. the boil of Egypt Cp. P. Ex. ix. 9 with Driver's note. One of the skin-diseases common in Egypt. Boil, Heb. 1848ti; Eg. 1811, and abscess.' Some think of small-pox, others of elephantiasis. But it may be the bubonic plague; see next note.

emerods] LXX ελκοτ Αίγ. els την εδραν. Rather, as R.V. marg., tumours; Heb. 'ophalim, swellings. Probably the buboes of the bubonic plague (so Macalister). On this see HGHL, 157 ff.

scurry] Heb. garab (Ar. garab=mange), Lev. xxi. 20, xxii. 22; LXX ψώρα ἀγρία, Vulg. scabies. 'Favus' (Macalister). itch] Heb. heres. Lev. xxi. 20, LXX κνήφη, Vulg. prurigo.

28. Cp. Zech. xii. 4; astonishment, better, dismay.

 The mental weakness and even infatuation which possess nations and individuals physically debilitated lead to their oppression by stronger peoples; the details of which are illustrated in the next vv. 30—34.

30. Cp. xx. 5-7. The Heb. text employs a more violent term.

betroth a wife, and another man shall lie with her: thou shalt build an house, and thou shalt not dwell therein: thou shalt plant a vineyard, and shalt not use the fruit thereof. Thine ox shall be slain before thine eyes, and 31 thou shalt not eat thereof: thine ass shall be violently taken away from before thy face, and shall not be restored to thee: thy sheep shall be given unto thine enemies, and thou shalt have none to save thee. Thy sons and thy 32 daughters shall be given unto another people, and thine eyes shall look, and fail with longing for them all the day: and there shall be nought in the power of thine hand. The fruit of thy ground, and all thy labours, shall 33 a nation which thou knowest not eat up; and thou shalt be only oppressed and crushed alway: so that thou 34 shalt be mad for the sight of thine eyes which thou shalt see. The LORD shall smite thee in the knees, and in the as legs, with a sore boil, whereof thou canst not be healed, from the sole of thy foot unto the crown of thy head. The 36 LORD shall bring thee, and thy king which thou shalt set over thee, unto a nation which thou hast not known, thou nor thy fathers; and there shalt thou serve other gods, wood and stone. And thou shalt become an astonishment, 37

32. Judah suffered from a large deportation of her people by Sennacherib in 70. On any of the conflicting estimates of the deportations under Nebuchadrezzar, there must have remained in the land a majority of the people, lamenting, as this v. describes, the exile of the rest. See [trustlem, 11. 106 ff. hand] Many MSS read hand; cm, Neh, v. z.

33. thou knowest not] So of the land of the invading nation, Jer.

xiv. 18, xv. 14, xvii. 4, xxii. 28.

34. Cp. v. 28; mad, rather driven mad.
35 breaks the connection between vn. 34 and 36, and is more in place after 27, v.v. on boil. Here sore boil on knees and legs points to the 'joint-leprosy,' a species of elephantiasis; cp. Job ii. 7 f., vii. 3—6, xvii. 7, xii. 17, 20, xxx. 17.

36. The LORD bring thee] The Heb. vb. is a jussive.

thy king] The first Jewish king to be deported seems to have been Jehoiakin in 507 n.C., 2 Kgs xxiv. 8 ff. But cp. 2 Chron. xxxiii. 10—13 on Manasseh; and for the probable fact underlying this statement see Jerusalem, 11. 184.

there shalt thou serve other gods] See v. 64, and iv. 28.

a proverb, and a byword, among all the peoples whither 38 the LORD shall lead thee away. Thou shalt carry much seed out into the field, and shalt gather little in; for the 39 locust shall consume it. Thou shalt plant vineyards and dress them, but thou shalt neither drink of the wine, 40 nor gather the grapes; for the worm shall eat them. Thou shalt have olive trees throughout all thy borders, but thou shalt not anoint thyself with the oil; for thine olive shall 41 cast its fruit. Thou shalt beget sons and daughters, but they shall not be thine; for they shall go into captivity. 42 All thy trees and the fruit of thy ground shall the locust 43 possess. The stranger that is in the midst of thee shall mount up above thee higher and higher; and thou shalt 44 come down lower and lower. He shall lend to thee, and thou shalt not lend to him: he shall be the head, and thou 45 shalt be the tail. And all these curses shall come upon thee, and shall pursue thee, and overtake thee, till thou be destroyed; because thou hearkenedst not unto the voice of the LORD thy God, to keep his commandments and 46 his statutes which he commanded thee; and they shall be upon thee for a sign and for a wonder, and upon thy

37. a proverb] Rather, a taunt. byword] Only here, Ier, xxiv. 9, 1 Kgs ix. 7, 2 Chron. vii. 20; lit.

the object of biting remarks.

shall lead thee away] So in iv. 27.

38. Here the Discourse returns to Israel's misfortunes on their own land, and the connection seems to be with vv. 15-24 (or 25), to which 38-44 are more or less parallel.

38—40. Consumption of corn by locusts and of grapes by worms, and casting of olives. For these products see on vii. 13. Locust, Heb. viarboh, properly locust.nour.m. Worm, Heb. lota'ath; the grub which ruins vines, 66. feb or 18 (Stabo, xtill. 1. 64). Lat. convolvatius (Pliny, H.M. XVII. 47), is the wine-weevil (Knobel). On cast see on vii. 1. 41 breaks the connection between pv. 0. and 24, and is out of place;

cp. v. 32.

42. locust] Heb. s*laşal, from the rustling of its wings.

43, 44. The antithesis to 12 b, 13 a (q.v.).

45, 46. Return to the keynote of the section (cp. v. 15), and obvious conclusion to the curses which may originally have closed here.

for a sign and for a wonder] See on iv. 34.

seed for ever: because thou servedst not the LORD thy 47 God with joyfulness, and with gladness of heart, by reason of the abundance of all things; therefore shalt thou serve 48 thine enemies which the LORD shall send against thee, in hunger, and in thirst, and in nakedness, and in want of all things: and he shall put a yoke of iron upon thy neck, until he have destroyed thee. The LORD shall 49 bring a nation against thee from far, from the end of the earth, as the eagle flieth; a nation whose tongue thou shalt not understand; a nation of fierce countenance, 50 which shall not regard the person of the old, nor shew favour to the young; and he shall eat the fruit of thy cattle, sr and the fruit of thy ground, until thou be destroyed: which also shall not leave thee corn, wine, or oil, the increase of thy kine, or the young of thy flock, until he have caused thee to perish. And he shall besiege thee 52 in all thy gates, until thy high and fenced walls come

47-57. A FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE CURSES.

Invasion by a far-off, unknown nation, who shall ruthlessly devastate the land and besiege Israel's cities; with the horrors of his siege. All this is not threatened conditionally on the possible disobedience of the people, but predicted absolutely because of their actual failure to serve God.

47. This should be a new sentence opening a new paragraph. Because thou hast not served the LORD thy God or worthipped. with joryluness, and with gladness of heard! Characteristic of the temper of D; xii. 7, 12, 18, xvi. 11, 14 f. (be altogether jorylul), xxvi. 11; cp. '1sai.' 1xv. 13 f.

1; cp. '18a1.' 1xv. 131. by reason of the abundance, etc.] Cp. vi. 10—12, viii. 11—18. 48. a yoke of iron] [er. xxviii. 14.

49. from far, etc.] Isai. v. 26 of Assyrians, Jer. v. 15 of Babylonians (though perhaps originally of Scythians).

as the vulture swoopeth] See on xiv. 12 f.; cp. Hos. viii. 1 of Assyrians; Hab. i. 8, Jer. xiviii. 40, xiix. 22 of Babylonians. whose tongue thou shalt not understand] Lit. hear; Jer. v. 15 of

Babylonians, cp. Isai. xxviii. 11 of Assyrians (and xxxiii. 9).

50. fierce countenance! Lit. strong, hard or inflexible. So Dan.
viii. 23 of Antiochus Epiphanes. Cp. Ezek. ii. 4, iii. 7. On regard the

51. See vv. 4, 18, 20, 24. All but a few LXX codd. omit until thou be destroyed.

52. in all thy gates] xii. 17; come down, xx. 20; wherein thou trustedst, so Jer. v. 17.

person see on i. 17.

down, wherein thou trustedst, throughout all thy land: and he shall besiege thee in all thy gates throughout all 53 thy land, which the LORD thy God hath given thee. And thou shalt eat the fruit of thine own body, the flesh of thy sons and of thy daughters which the LORD thy God hath given thee; in the siege and in the straitness, 54 wherewith thine enemies shall straiten thee. The man that is tender among you, and very delicate, his eye shall be evil toward his brother, and toward the wife of his bosom, and toward the remnant of his children which 55 he hath remaining: so that he will not give to any of them of the flesh of his children whom he shall eat, because he hath nothing left him; in the siege and in the straitness, wherewith thine enemy shall straiten thee 56 in all thy gates. The tender and delicate woman among you, which would not adventure to set the sole of her foot upon the ground for delicateness and tenderness, her eye shall be evil toward the husband of her bosom, 57 and toward her son, and toward her daughter; and toward her 'young one that cometh out from between her feet,

1 Or, afterbirth

53. And thou shalt eat, etc.] Cp. Lev. xxvi. 29, Ezek. v. 10, and for instances of this horror 2 Kgs vi. 28 f., La. ii. 20, iv. 10. in the siege and in the straintess! A Refrain as in vv. 55, 57.

in the siege and in the straitness A Retrain as in vv. 55, 57. Similarly Jer. xix. 9, along with the eating of children as here.

54. The man that is the most tender among you, and the very most delicate or dainty. The same adjs. in 'Isal.' xivii. 1.

his eye shall be evil] See on xv. 9.

56. The most tender and most delicate woman among you] Almost as in v. 54.

which would not advanture] Rather, who had never ventured or tried (for the vb. see on iv. 34), having been accustomed to be carried.

57. young one? Rather as in R.V. marg. The objects in this v. are under the same predicate as those in v. 50 but with a difference. To those she shall gradge a share of her awful food; these she shall

58-68. STILL FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE CURSES.

56-66. STILL PURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE CURSES.

After a fresh statement of the condition on which they will be inflicted, viz. Israel's disobedience to the law (58), diseases are again threatened with the sore diminution of the people (59—62); and

devour.

and toward her children which she shall bear; for she shall eat them for want of all things secretly: in the siege and in the strainness, wherewith thine enemy shall straine the in thy gates. If thou will not observe to g8 do all the words of this law that are written in this book, that thou mayest fear this glorious and fearful name, THE CORD THY GOD; then LORD WILL BEAUTH OF THE LORD WILL BEAUTH OF THE STATE OF THE

their banishment is predicted and utter despair (6_2-6_7) . God shall bring them again to Egypt, where when they seek to sell themselves no man shall buy them (68).—In the substance of this section there is nothing incompatible with a pre-exilic date or with the ideas and principles of D. But some of the phraseology may possibly be postexilic.

58. observe to do] See on v. 1.

all the words of this law] Heb. of this Torah, see on i. 5, xxxi. 9. In xvii. 19, xxix. 29 (28), xxxi. 12, xxxii. 46, with the same, or a

similar, formula preceding; also in xxvii. 3, 8, 26.

that are written in this book] Cp. v. 61, xvii. 18, xxix. 20 f., 27

(19f., 36), xxx. 10. The Law, therefore, was already written down. As pointed out in the note on xvii. 18, such a statement may well have belonged to the original D, discovered in the Temple in 691; but is not compatible with the other representation, hitherto prevalent, that the exhortations and laws were spoken by Moses, nor with the statement in xxxi. 9, that he swelf the law when this discourse was finished. As Driver says, this 8. 'betrays the fact that Deuteronomy was from the first a written book.'

four this glorious and fourful name). Cp. Neh. ix. 9, Pa. bxxii. 19, 1 Chron. xxix. 13, 1sai. kiii. 12. In. J. Ka. xxxiii. 18 God's glory is parallel to His Name. For four this name see Mic. vi. 9 (on one reading), Mal. iv. 2, 1sai. ik. 19, Ps. kis. 5 (6). Cp. Lev. xxiv. 11. This list (containing as it does Mic. vi. 9) is not sufficient to prove, as Berth. suggests, a late date for our passage.

59. plagues] As in v. 61, xxix. 22 (21), Lev. xxvi. 21. In xxv. 3 the word is used of stripes. In xxiv. 8 another word is used for plague.

wonderful] Extraordinary or exceptional.
of long continuance] Lit. faithful, sure, assured, usually in a moral

sense; but in 1 Sam. xxv. 28 of an assured house or dynasty; and in Isai. xxxiii. 6, Jer. xv. 18 (of unfailing waters). Cp. below v. 66. 60. diseases of Egypt As in vii. 15; cp. above v. 27. On cleave

60. diseases of Egypt] As in vii. 15; cp. above v. 27. On cleave cp. v. 21.

61 they shall cleave unto thee. Also every sickness, and every plague, which is not written in the book of this law, them will the LORD bring upon thee, until thou be de-62 stroyed. And ye shall be left few in number, whereas ye

were as the stars of heaven for multitude; because thou didst not hearken unto the voice of the LORD thy God. 63 And it shall come to pass, that as the LORD rejoiced

over you to do you good, and to multiply you; so the LORD will rejoice over you to cause you to perish, and to destroy you; and ye shall be plucked from off the land 64 whither thou goest in to possess it. And the LORD shall scatter thee among all peoples, from the one end of the

earth even unto the other end of the earth; and there thou shalt serve other gods, which thou hast not known, thou nor 65 thy fathers, even wood and stone. And among these nations shalt thou find no ease, and there shall be no rest for the sole of thy foot: but the LORD shall give thee there a trembling heart, and failing of eyes, and pining of soul:

61. every sickness, etc.] Cp. Jer. vi. 7. the book of this law Heb. this Torah (see i. 5, xxxi. 9). Elsewhere (xxix. 21 (20), xxx. 10, xxxi. 26, Josh. i. 8) this book of the law.

until thou be destroyed] vv. 20, 24, 45, 51. 62, 63. The only vv. in this ch. (except 686) in which the Pl. address occurs. The text, including the change to Sg. in the final clauses of both 22., is on the whole confirmed by LXX. Sam., some LXX codd. and Luc. give 62 b in Pl. The change to Pl. is explicable logically in 62, where the number of the people is being dealt with; but this reason does not account for the Pl. in v. 63. The Sg., whither thou goest in to possess it, is characteristic of the Sg pas-

sages, and therefore is not due to the attraction of the Sg. in the next v. 63. rejoiced over you, etc.] Cp. viii. 16, xxx. q. Rejoice or exult,

found only in exilic or post-exilic passages. to multiply you] See on i. 10, vii. 13.

rejoice...to destroy you] This rhetorical figure is characteristic of the deuteronomic style. Contrast Hos. xi. 8f.

64. Cp. iv. 27 f., in the Pl. address. From the one end, etc., xiii. 7 (8). On other gods, etc., xiii, 6 (7); wood and stone, iv. 28.

65. shalt thou find no ease] The vb. is found only in Jer. xxxi. 2, xlvii, 6, 1, 34, 'Isai,' li, 4; its substantive in Isai, xxviii, 12, no rest, etc.] Gen. viii. q.

a quaking heart] The vb. occurs in ii. 25.
failing of eyes] With disappointment of hope: cp. v. 32 and La. ii. 11, iv. 17.

and thy life shall hang in doubt before thee; and thou 66 shalt fear night and day, and shalt have none assurance of thy life: in the morning thou shalt say, Would God it 67 were even! and at even thou. shalt say, Would God it were morning! for the fear of thine heart which thou shalt fear, and for the sight of thine eyes which thou shalt see. And the LORD shall bring thee into Egypt again 68 with ships, by the way whereof I said unto thee, Thou shalt see it no more again: and there ye shall sell your-selves unto your enemies for bondmen and for bondwomen, and no man shall buy you.

These are the words of the covenant which the LORD 29 commanded Moses to make with the children of Israel

pining of soul] Faintness of longing or of life; Heb. nephesh means

66. and thy life shall be hanging before thee] Shall be in suspense, as on a thread. As indicated later in the v., thou shalt have no assurance of thy life. The vb. is the same as that whose part. is rendered of long continuance in v. 50. CD. 10b xxiv. 22. R.V. marg.

67. Cp. Job vii. 4 and above v. 34.

There were two sides to Israel's life in exile. Jereminh (xxix. 4 ff) advised the exiles in Babyhon to settle down quiety) into their new conditions and prosper as they could. This many of them did so theroughly that it was difficult, if not impossible, to move them to return to Judaca. But a passage like Pacxxvii gives the other side, which this section of D predicts in such terrible detail. On the whole, not because the control of the control of the whole the control of the control of the whole the control of the control of

68. into Egpt] A startling climax but one very natural to D, which has dwelt so frequently on the evils endured by Israel in the house of bondown (see on vi. 12, and op. xvii. 16). Even Hosea (viii. 13) had predicted a return to Egpt as a punishment for Israel's exilic authornhip. Valte (Einl. 388) sees in this v. proof of a date subsequent to the defeat of Josiah by Egyt at Megiddo.

CH. XXIX. 1 (XXVIII. 69). EDITORIAL NOTE.

This v. along with the next definitely divides the addresses which precede and follow it. To which does it belong? These may refer to either.

By some (Knob., Kuen., Westph., Dri., Moore, Robinson) it is taken as the subscription to the preceding discourse and original to $\mathbb D$, on the grounds that tworts of the covennal-remose $\mathbb P$ the covennal-remose $\mathbb P$ the covennal-remose $\mathbb P$ the $\mathbb P$ the $\mathbb P$ the $\mathbb P$ that $\mathbb P$ the $\mathbb P$ that $\mathbb P$ is a $\mathbb P$ that $\mathbb P$ the $\mathbb P$ that $\mathbb P$ is $\mathbb P$ that $\mathbb P$ is $\mathbb P$ the $\mathbb P$ that $\mathbb P$ is $\mathbb P$ that $\mathbb P$ is $\mathbb P$ the $\mathbb P$ that $\mathbb P$ is $\mathbb P$ that $\mathbb P$ is $\mathbb P$ in $\mathbb P$

in the land of Moab, beside the covenant which he made with them in Horeb.

v. is taken as the superscription to the following discourse on these grounds, that there are no subscriptions elsewhere in Deut., that the language is not D's, that D does not use cownant of the law-giving in Moab, but that the idea of this as a covenant prevails in xxix. (9, 12, 14).

Methor epinton is wholly right, for probably the v. belonged originally seither to what proceds not to what follows: In Steurns', interpretation of twente of the contents as words spoken at the close or settlement of this—'the sermon on the says that issued as to though and to do the words of the coronant, who applied elsewhere to the laws given in Mosh, the statistic and judgenosists. Therefore six: a serious of the says given in Mosh, the statistic and judgenosists. Therefore six: a to this. For it has children of I result (as has the closural iv, 4 of fig. 75) lineted of D's still I serious' and its word for bridge is one which appear only in later the New York, and the content of the serious of D's still result; and its word for bridge is one which appear only in later the New York, and the serious of D's still result; and the sound for the still result is and late.)

xxix. 1 is thus an editorial addition, probably inserted to close what precedes, when xxix. f. was added to D. On *covenants*, and those of Horeb and Moab respectively, see on iv. 13.

XXIX. 2 (1)—XXX. A DISCOURSE OR DISCOURSES.

This section is presented as one discourse. The two chs. exhibit, however, such differences in address, in language and possibly (though this is not so clear) in standpoint that they can hardly have been originally a unity. Both, however, bear signs of an exilic date.

(1) xxix x—xy(x—x) is in the FI address (except for x w, in which the Sc, is explicible to logical grounds); xxx is in the Sg, address, except for some places in its occursion (which may well be an editorial potentiate to the whole group for the place of the pla

XXIX. 2-29 (1-28).

Moses, addressing all Israel, recalls what Jehovah has done in Egypt (1–3) (hough Jarael have not had the spiritual power to appreciate this, 4), and in the wilderness $\{ f_i, h$ and to Sihon and $^{\rm C}$ Q $_i$ $_i$ $_i$ $_i$ 1 and exhorts them to keep His covenant (p). To this the whole nation, even including women, children, $g^{ij}r^{ij}$ and serfs, and both the present themselves have seen in the idolatries of the nations through whom they have passed $\{16.1\}$. Let no individual, family, or tribe turn to use hidolatry, for its consequences shall be not only their own destruction but that of the nation $\{18-21\}$; the plaques of the land and the exite of the people shall be proof to later generations that

And Moses called unto all Israel, and said unto them, 2° have seen all that the Loso did before your eyes in the land of Egypt unto Pharaoh, and unto all his servants, and unto all his hand; the great temptations which thine 3 eyes saw, the signs, and those great wonders: but the 4 Loxo hath not given you an heart to know, and eyes to see, and ears to hear, unto this day. And I have led you forty 5 years in the wilderness: your clothes are not waxen old upon you, and thy shoe is not waxen old upon thy foot. Ye have not eaten bread, neither have ye drunk wine or 6 strong drink: that ye might know that I am the Loxo

Israel fornook Jehovah's covenant for other gods (21–38). Sceret things (i.e. the fature) are with God, the things rendate (i.e. the law) are Israel's, and to be carried out by them.—In the PL address, except to the Sc, is explicable (see note). The comparatively small use of deuteronomic phrases, and the peculiarly large number of phrases not deuteronomic phrases, and the peculiarly large number of phrases not excite and post-exilic writings, may be seen from the notes. W. II.

2. And Monca califal, Année thom! So v. 1. For the rest qu. xi. 2.

Ye is emphatic. Heb.: Ye, yourselves, have seen.
3. tests...signs...portents] See on iv. 34, vii. 19. Which thine

eyes saw, iv. 9, vii. 19, x. 21; the Sg. betrays the composite nature of the passage.

4. an heart to know] The heart the seat of the practical under-

standing; not the seat of the affections, but the mind itself, the intellectual faculty of the soul '(Calvin), yet always in a moral aspect; see on iv. 39, vi. 6. Eyes and ears, figures here of the spiritual senses, cp. Jer. v. 21.

In form the connection with the preceding r, is difficult to trace, but the submon state. The decided is which the Driver revealation consists are of no avail the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of with them, of the gift of God ; Men are every blind even in the heightest light, the truth in this negative way in order to emphasize to the people the support need of their at last, after so much neglect, assistening to the meaning of Jehovath's both the grace of God and the responsibility of man.

5. I have led you, etc.] So Am. ii. 10; cp. above ii. 7, viii. 2. I, here the speaker's personality, is merged in that of the Deity; for other instances see on vii. 4. But LXX has ήγαγεν. your clothes, etc.] Varied from viii. 4. With Sam. LXX read your

shoes and your feet.
The v. is parallel to viii. 3. The last clause is not found in

.

ve do.

7 your God And when ye came unto this place, Sihon the king of Heshbon, and Og the king of Bashan, came 8 out against us unto battle, and we smote them: and we took their land, and gave it for an inheritance unto the Reubenites, and to the Gadites, and to the half tribe 9 of the Manassites. Keep therefore the words of this covenant, and do them, that ye may 'prosper in all that

10 Ye stand this day all of you before the LORD your God;

1 Or, deal wisely

D, but occurs (minus the deut. addition your God) in J, Ex. vii. 17, viii. 22, x. 2; in P, Ex. vi. 7 (+5 times); and in Ezek. more than 50 times. Also the lighter form of the first personal pronoun is employed here as in all those passages, but in D it occurs only here and in xii. 30, q. v.

7. came unto this place | i. 31, ix. 7.

Sihon...and Og...] ii. 32 ff., iii, 1 ff.

8. gave it for an inheritance] iii. 12 f.; for the formula see on iv. 21.

9. Keep ... and do] See on iv. 6; and cp. iv. 1, v. 1.

the words of this covenant] See above on v. 1 and on iv. 13.

prosper] But the vb. also covers the deal wisely of the R.V. margin.

'Originally a mental process or quality-shas insight, is formering-it includes to effect of thirm-understands as at a get on, death windy as at a second, is practical both in his way of working, and in being sure of his end. Ewald has found an almost exact equivalent in German: 'but Geschick,' for 'Geschick' means both' whill 'or 'address' and 'fate' or 'dectiny,' (Insist x-f_-ctrx,) means both 'whill or 'address' and 'fate' or 'dectiny,' (Insist x-f_-ctrx,) except for one two passages) only in later writing-only here, and elsewhere

10, 11. Ye stand] The Heb. is stronger, and probably reflexive: ye have taken your station or position.

all of you] This comprehensiveness, and the exhaustive definition by which it is followed are striking. Not only the representatives of the people—your heads, your Judges (which read for trible—there is only the people—your heads, your Judges (which read for trible—there is only of the people—your heads, your Judges (which read for trible people your heads, your heads of the your wires, but also they give. Joint he gatherer your little ones and your wires, but also they give. Joint he gatherer appears before globowsh to take the covenant. (c), the Sabbath law, v. 14, covering sons, daughters, servants and they give xxix 12, non, nones, little ones and they give; the assembly which received the law

1 So Sam. and Syr.

your heads, your tribes, your elders, and your officers, even all the men of Israel, your little ones, your wives, and II 'thy stranger that is in the midst of thy camps, from the hewer of thy wood unto the drawer of thy water: that thou 12 shouldest enter into the covenant of the Lord thy God, and into his oath, which the Lord thy God maketh with thee this day: that he may establish thee this day unto 13 himself for a people, and that he may be unto thee a God, as he spake unto thee, and as he sware unto thy fathers, to Abraham, to Isaac, and to Jacob. Neither with you only do 14 I make this covenant and this oath; but with him that 15 standeth here with us this day before the Lord our God, and also with him that is not here with us this day: (for ye 16 know how we dwelt in the land of Egypt; and how we

under Joshua, Jos. viii. 33, 35, gör and home-born, women and tittle one; and the covenant renewed under Nehemiah, Neh. x. 28, all the temple-servants, wiver, sons, daughters, every one that had knowledge and understanding (see further formatlen 1, 438 ft.). On the phrase in the midst of fly camp cp. ii. 41, xxiii. + 41, xxiii. + 41, xxiii. + 41, xxiii.

The conception of the gir as a prosslyte and as under the covenant, and the mention of the temple denight may be taken factly smany critical for sign of the last address to the Sg. it is possibly a later glass on the rest. Yet again the Sg. of otherwise employing the Plt may be explained to the ground that is is address to the Sg. it is possibly a later glass on the rest. Yet again the Sg. of otherwise employing the Plt may be explained on the ground that is is advantaged to the whole nation as one party to the Covenant while in n. 14 he resumes the Plt. in the second of the property of the property

12. enter into the covenant] Lit, pass over into only here. Cp. the passing over into a select and numbered body, Ex. xxx. 13 f. (P); also the prepositions in our terms 'trans-act,' 'carry through.' On covenant see iv. 13.

and into his oath] Cp. Neh. x. 29: enter into an oath. Gop confirms His covenant by an oath, iv. 31, etc. The Heb. 'lath is used three times in this ch., 12, 14, 19 (q.v.), as=oath, and thrice vv. 20, 21 and xxx. 7 as imprecation, or curse; but nowhere else in Deut.

13. Cp. xxvi. 17 f. and xxviii. 9; as he sware, i. 8.

14, 15. Cp. v. 3. V. 15 is better rendered, but at once with him that standeth here...and with him that is not here with us this day.

16. for ye know, etc.] The necessity for such a covenant with Jehovah: vix. Israel's experiences of the idolatry of other peoples, which otherwise might seduce them to itself. The Egyptian idolatry

21-2

came through the midst of the nations through which if ye passed; and ye have seen their abominations, and their idols, wood and stone, silver and gold, which were 18 among them;) lest there should be among you man, or woman, or family, or tribe, whose heart turneth away this day from the Loxo our God, to go to serve the gods of those nations; lest there should be among you a root 19 that beareth 'gall and wormwood; and it come to pass, when he heareth the words of this 'curse, that he bless himself in his heart, saying, I shall have peace, though I walk in the stubbornness of mine heart. 'to destroy the

1 Heb. rosh, a poisonous herb. 2 Or, oath and so vv. 20, 21.
3 Or, to add drunkenness to thirst

has not before been mentioned in Deut. Came through and passed are the same vb.: the idem per idem construction, see i. 46.

17. abominations] Rather detestable things, not to'ebôth, as in vii, 25, but shikkusim, frequent in Ier. and Ezek, of idols, nowhere

else in Deut., but the vb. from which it comes is found in vii. 26.

idols] Heb. gillulim, a scornful term meaning either things gross or

coars, such as some forms of the root in Ar. mean (applied to dung, etc.), or bding round or podge, as from Heb, gdal, for roll (ep. the nicknames' round-head' and 'rolling-pin'). In the Hex. only here and Lex. xxis, go (H) [er. l. 2, and 3g dienes in Ezek. The gods of the healthen were mere blocks or boulders.

18. lest there should be Perhaps better, may there not be! this day Not in LXX and here out of place.

to go to serve] xiii. 6, 13 (7, 14), xvii. 3.

a root that beareth] Only here.

gatt] Heb. résh, lit. héad, sometimes interpreted of the poppy; either that or some poison: xxxii, 32, Am. vi. 12, Hos. x. x. 4, with wornmood, Am. v. 7, vi. 12, Jer. ix. 15, (14), xxiii. 15, La. iii. 15, 19, Prov. v. 4. Such are the fruits of idolatry!

19. arrs! Rather oath, for it is on the strength of Jehovah's oath

o be Israel's God and so to protect them, that this Israelite flatters himself he is secure, no matter how he may behave. In the history of religion such a delusion has been lamentably frequent, and believers in extreme doctrines of election have presumed on these and recklessly ndulged in evil.

Mess himself in his heard! Flatter himself! Found only here.

otess anniest in his neary: Factor imboar: Found only field. stubbornness Heb. Merirath, firmness but always in a bad sense; only here, Jer. iii. 17, vii. 24, ix. 13, xi. 8, xiii. 10, xvi. 12, xviii. 17, and in Ps. Ixxxi. 12 (13). This of course is not the man's own, but the writer's, view of him.

moist with the dry: the LORD will not pardon him, but then 20 the anger of the LORD and his jealousy shall smoke against that man, and all the curse that is written in this book shall lie upon him, and the LORD shall blot out his name from under heaven. And the LORD shall separate 21 him unto evil out of all the tribes of Israel, according to all the curses of the covenant that is written in this book of the law. And the generation to come, your children 22 that shall rise up after you, and the foreigner that shall come from a far land, shall say, when they see the plagues of that land, and the sicknesses wherewith the LORD hath made it sick; and that the whole land thereof 23 is brimstone, and salt, and a burning, that it is not sown, nor beareth, nor any grass groweth therein, like the overthrow of Sodom and Gomorrah, Admah and Zeboiim, which the LORD overthrew in his anger, and in his wrath: even all the nations shall say, Wherefore hath the LORD 24 done thus unto this land? what meaneth the heat of

to detroy the moist with the dry]. An unmeaning translation. The construction is elliptic and we should render: so at to *weepa way. the moist (herbage?) with the dried ny; bring down a hurricane of destruction that would uproot the whole people, so fatal is the infectiousness, and so universal will be God's punishment, of idolatry.

20. the Lobo will not comment to parish min! There are two vis

as in i. 26 q.v.

his jealousy] See on iv. 24; with this and the vb. smoke cp. xxxii.

his jealousy] See on iv. 24; with this and the vb. smoke cp. xxxii. 21 f., Ps. lxxiv. 1.

shall lie] Or crouch, cp. Gen. iv. 7. But LXX and Targ. read cleave unto, perhaps rightly.
blot out his name, etc.] vii. 24, ix. 14.

21. separate] See on iv. 41. Unto evil, Jer. xxi. 10, xxix. 11, xxxviii. 4, xxxix. 16, xliv. 11, 27, 29; but also in Am. ix. 4, Jud. ii. 15

(deuteronomic).

this book of the law | See xxviii. 61.

22—28 illustrate the last clause of 19 and predict how the whole land and people shall suffer for the sins of the idolaters.

22. plagues Or strokes, see xxviii. 50, 61.

the sicknesses] This word only here, Jer. xiv. 18, xvi. 4, Ps. ciii. 3, 2 Chron. xxi. 19.

23. brimstone, etc.] The prediction is in terms of the surroundings of the Dead Sea Beareth, lit. causeth to sprout; grass better herbage.

Sodom ... Zeboiim] Am. iv. 11, Hos. xi. 8; Gen. xiv. 2, xix. 24 f.

25 this great anger? Then men shall say, Because they forsook the covenant of the Lord, the God of their fathers, which he made with them when he brought them forth out 26 of the land of Egypt; and went and served other gods,

and worshipped them, gods whom they knew not, and 27 whom he had not 'given unto them: therefore the anger

27 whom he had not 'given unto them: therefore the anger of the LORD was kindled against this land, to bring upon
 28 it all the curse that is written in this book: and the LORD rooted them out of their land in anger, and in wrath,

and in great indignation, and cast them into another land, 29 as at this day. The secret things belong unto the LORD our God: but the things that are revealed belong unto us

1 Heb. divided.

25. Then men shall say, etc.] Similarly Jer. xxii. 8f. The phrase, forsook the covenant occurs there, 1 Kings xix, 10, 14 and Dan. xi. 30, but not elsewhere in Deut. (forget is used instead); though xxviii. 20 has forsaking me, cp. xxxi. 16, xxxiii. 15.

26. went and served] See v. 18; on whom they knew not cp. viii.

3, 16, xi. 28; on given or allotted see note on iv. 19.
27. curse As in xxviii. 15 ff.; and another word than in vv. 20 f.

28. rooted them out] Heb. natash, not elsewhere in the Hex. but common in Jer. e.g. i. 10, xii. 15.

in anger, and in fury, and in great wrath] (Driver). So Jer. xxi. 5, xxxii. 37.

cat them into another land. Jer. xxii. 26: 'I will cast thee out

(another vb.)...into another land.

as at this day.] This can hardly belong to the predicted statement of the contemporaries of the Exile; it must either be the writer's own and if so betrays his date at that time, or it is an editorial addition. In view of the language of the whole chapter, the former alternative is the more probable.

29. The still hidden things are the future (pp. 'Isal', xlviii. 6), the things that are rescaled are those just reviewed, clot's deeds and words in the past and present. That among these present things is the seems implied. Only its issue is still hidden, in contrast to the conditional prediction of a happy issue from it delivered in the following Vp., xxx. I-no. All that I srat can do is to keep the law already revealed. It is difficult to see the connection between this, s, and its person ple suggests a form of liturgical response after hearing the reading of the 'law.' This 'liturgical close suggests that the discourse is concluded' (Oxf. Hex.).

and to our children for ever, that we may do all the words of this law.

And it shall come to pass, when all these things are 30 come upon thee, the blessing and the curse, which I have set before thee, and thou shalt call them to mind among all the nations, whither the LORD thy God hath driven thee, and shalt return unto the LORD thy God, and shalt 2 obey his voice according to all that I command thee this day, thou and thy children, with all thine heart, and with all thy soul; that then the LORD thy God will turn thy 3

1 Or, return to

this law Heb, this Torah, see xxviii, 58.

CH. XXX. 1-10. CONDITIONS OF RESTORATION FROM EXILE.

When Israel, scattered among the nations, returns to Jehovah and obeys Him (1 f.). He will gather the nation again, even to its furthest outcasts (2 f.), and will not only restore it to its land, but work in it a full love to Himself (5 f.). The curses shall be turned upon its foes (7) and its obedience rewarded by material blessings, the expression of His restored joy in it (8-10). The form of address changes to the Sg., which is sustained throughout, and the language is more fully that of D than was the language of xxix. With xxviii. the connections are specially numerous. Also this passage breaks the connection between xxix. and xxx. 11 ff. The two can hardly be by the same writer. In substance xxx. 1-10 is the expansion of iv. 29-31 (q.v.), which is also a Sg. interruption of a Pl. context. Like iv. 29-31 it appears to be from a deuteron, writer, writing during the Exile. (See also Dri.'s Deut. p. lxxvi.) On the question of the relation of vv. 1-10 with 11-14 see below.

1. all these things are come upon thee] iv. 30.

the blessing and the curse, etc. 1 xi. 26; cp. iv. 8. Blessing as well as curse, because the memory that God, in His faithfulness, had blessed them, in such times as they were obedient, and therefore might be trusted to do so again, is as requisite for the repentance of the exiled people, as their bitter experience of His curses upon their disobedience. There is, thus, no need to take these words, or the blessing by itself, as a gloss (as Steuern, and Marti do).

which I have set before thee] iv. 8, xi. 26.

call them to mind] Lit. bring back to thy heart. See on xxix. 4. hath driven thee] Heb. hiddish, in this sense used 11 times in Jer., but not so elsewhere in Deut.; the passive form occurs in v. 4 below. For other applications of the root see xiii, 13 (14), xix, 5, xx, 10, xxii, 1. 2. Expansion of iv. 30 b.

captivity, and have compassion upon thee, and will return and gather thee from all the peoples, whither the LORD 4 thy God hath scattered thee. If any of thine outcasts be in the uttermost parts of heaven, from thence will the LORD thy God gather thee, and from thence 5 he will fetch thee; and the LORD thy God will bring thee into the land which thy fathers possessed, and thou shalt possess it; and he will do thee good, 6 and multiply thee above thy fathers. And the LORD thy God will circumcise thine heart, and the heart of thy seed, to love the LORD thy God with all thine heart, 7 and with all thy soul, that thou mayest live. And the LORD thy God will put all these curses upon thine enemies, and on them that hate thee, which persecuted 8 thee. And thou shalt return and obey the voice of the LORD, and do all his commandments which I command

3. turn thy captivity The Heb, phrase can hardly mean this, for the return from captivity comes later in this passage, in v. 4, and such a sense is impossible in Iob xlii, 10. Render turn thy fortune. So Am. ix. 14, Hos. vi. 11, Ezek. xvi. 53, 55, and frequently in Jer.

have compassion upon thee | xiii. 17. gather thee | So frequently in Jer. and Ezek.

scattered thee] xxviii. 64.

4. If any of thine outcasts] quoted in Neh. i. q; cp. above v. 1 (driven), xxviii. 64, and in another sense xxii. 1.

5. will bring thee into the land | See on vi. 10. do thee good] viii. 16, xxviii. 63.

multiply] See on vi. 3 and xiii, 17 (18).

6. will circumcise thine heart | See on x. 16, and in contrast xxix. 4;

and cp. Jer. xxxi. 33. to love, etc.] See on vi. 5. that thou mayest live] lit. for the sake of thy life, vv. 16, 19, xvi. 20,

all Sg.; iv. 1 (see note), v. 33, viii. 1, all Pl. 7. curses] Heb. 'alôth, xxix. 20 f. (19 f.), q.v.; and not kelalôth as

in v. 1 and ch. xxviii. Because of this and the fact that the v. breaks the connection between vv. 6 and 8 it is probably an intrusion (Dillm.). With it co. vii. 15.

8. But thou, thyself, shall, etc. | The emphatic thou is necessary after the intrusion of the previous v.

return If this be meant in a spiritual sense, the like does not elsewhere occur in Deut.; but is found in Isai. x. 21, xix. 22, Jer. iii. 1, 7, 12, 22, iv. 1, xv. 10, xviii. 11 (= xxxv. 15), xxiii. 14, xxiv. 7, xxxvi. 3, Ezek. xviii. 23, etc. For the rest of this v. see above xv. 5, xxviii. 1, 15. thee this day. And the LORD thy God will make thee 9 plenteous in all the work of thine hand, in the fruit of thy body, and in the fruit of thy the body, and in the fruit of thy ground, for good: for the LORD will again rejoice over thee for good, as he rejoiced over thy fathers: if thou shalt obey to the voice of the LORD thy God, to keep his commandments and his statutes which are written in this book of the law; if thou turn unto the LORD thy God with all thine heart, and with all thy soul.

For this commandment which I command thee this day, 11

9. See xxviii. 11, 63.

Possibly an editorial transition to the next section (so Steuern.).
 written in this book of the lare] Cp. xxix. 20; here the text curiously
 gives written in the sing. participle, as if quoting from there.
 turn unto, etc. 1 See on v. 8.

11-20. THE CLOSE OF THE CONCLUDING ADDRESSES.

The commandment is not too hard nor distant, but near, articulate, intelligible and practicable (1:-44). Sherr life and death, good and evil, is set before Israel. Obedience means blessing, apostasy destruction (1,5-194). Choose life that thou mayest devel in the land, sworn the (as-sumed) speaker and closes the whole series of his addresses upon the keynotes which have rung through them. As Driver says, 'it is next to impossible that two 11--30 can have been originally the sequel of we, '1-10-.' Pr. 11--14 may be a fragment from an unknown source, for their subject connects neither with v. io (Berth, and y (3)), which however is in the Pl. address. Pr. 1,5--30 supply the needed peroration to xaviii, which ends shruptly; but the changes of address in them point to their editorial origins?

It is the old question whether the same writer thus clenches his argument with the preprint on 6 n number of his formulas or the hand of a later editor has collected these. The probability is with the latter, Cullen takes m: m-m as part of his Book of the M/reads, in his scheme the original Deuteronomy. Berth. regards m-m at least m, m, and part of m as m at least m, m, and part of m as m. It changes of the form of address are signs that the passage largely consists of quotations.

11-14. THE CONSCIENCE OF THE LAW.

This commandment] Migwah, see on v. 31, viii. 1. Here probably both the substance of the Law—the enforcement of a loyal, loving obedience to Jehovah—and its various statutes and judgements. which I command thee this day] viii. 1, xxvii. 1, etc.

- 12 it is not too hard for thee, neither is it far off. It is not in heaven, that thou shouldest say, Who shall go up for us to heaven, and bring it unto us, and make us to 13 hear it, that we may do it? Neither is it beyond the sea.
- that thou shouldest say, Who shall go over the sea for us, and bring it unto us, and make us to hear it, that we 14 may do it? But the word is very nigh unto thee, in thy

14 may do it? But the word is very nigh unto thee, in mouth, and in thy heart, that thou mayest do it.

5 See, I have set before thee this day life and good, and

1 Or, wonderful

too hard] So in xvii. 8; beyond one's power to do, 2 Sam. xiii. 2, or to understand, Ps. cxxxi. 1 (2); more frequently used of wonderful things, or extraordinary; Ps. cxix. 129; Thy testimonies are wonderful, therefore doth my soul keep them—an interesting contrast to this clause.

12. not in heaven] Not among the hidden things still with God, xix. 29 (28), and requiring a mediator. God has not left men to hunger for it; it has been mediated and heard.

13. Neither...beyond the sea] Nor has Israel to search for it among

other peoples.

14. But the word is very nigh unto thee] So of God Himself, v. 7, 9.v., explained by what follows, in thy munit and in thy heart (cp. vi. 61, xi. 1851, articulate, understood and familiar (especially date so much exposition of it.). The speaker does not add that it and provocation to its uffiliment by man: that thou mayert the it! (Cp. 18ai. 'Mr. 19 on the clearness, xinelficity and provocation to its uffiliment by man: that thou mayert the it! (Cp. 18ai. 'Mr. 19 on the clearness straighforwardness, and efficiency God's Word.) Cp. Jer. ii 31. Another thought suggests itself. The local and domestic altars had been removed and God's Presence fixed at the One Sanctuary. But in the Law Jraed had received that which are considered that which the control of the co

On Sr Paul's application of these words in the Law, no the Goops in courtaw with the Law, Run x. 6—5, we Sanday and Headlam, Remans (Intern. Crit. Comm.) 365—390, and Denney's Komman (Kiphaiter's Ge Text.) 590; 1: 71 is irrelevant to soft impacticable for Paul in x y satelly assumes it to by), the Apostle is not thinking in the least what the writer of Deut. meant; as the representative of the experience of the Compel-into a few reproduction of these ancient impried words...
There is no impossible preliminary to be accomplished before the true religion is piece of the contractive of the contract

The Peroration to the Discourses.
 Cp. Jer. xxi. 8.

set before thee this day] iv. 8.

death and evil: in that I command thee this day to love 16 the LORD thy God, to walk in his ways, and to keep his commandments and his statutes and his judgements, that thou mayest live and multiply, and that the LORD thy God may bless thee in the land whither thou goest in to * possess it. But if thine heart turn away, and thou wilt 17 not hear, but shalt be drawn away, and worship other gods, and serve them: I denounce unto you this day, 18 that ye shall surely perish; ye shall not prolong your days upon the land, whither thou passest over Jordan to go in to possess it. I call heaven and earth to witness against 19 you this day, that I have set before thee life and death, the blessing and the curse: therefore choose life, that thou mayest live, thou and thy seed: to love the LORD thy God, 20 to obey his voice, and to cleave unto him: for 'he is thy

1 Or. that

life and good, etc.] 'Cp. xi. 26: blessing and curse. For death and evil cp. iv. 26, viii. 19, etc.

16. The constr. of the Heb. is faulty but may be restored from the LXX thus: If thou hearken to the commandment of the LORD thy God which I command thee (Dillm.). For 16a see on xiii. 4 (5); his commandments (wanting in LXX), iv. 2; statutes and judgements, iv. 1. On 16b, that thou mayest live, cp. iv. 1, xxx. 6; on whither thou goest in to possess it (characteristic of the Sg. passages) see vii. 1, for the Pl. synonym see vi. I.

17. But if thine heart turn away xxix, 18 (17); for drawn away see iv. 19, xiii. 13 (14); for worship and serve see on iv. 19.

18. denounce An archaism for announce. The Heb, simply means declare, xvii. 9, 11, R.V. shew and tell of a judgement, i.e. make it public; xxvi. 3 R.V. profess.

unto you] Change to the Pl. address confirmed by Sam. LXX; it is striking that the following phrase, surely perish, also occurs in viii. 19, which is likewise an interruption of the Sg. by the Pl. address, and is found in Deut, only with the Pl. See on viii: 10. ye shall not prolong, etc.] Elsewhere both with Sg. and Pl.; see on

iv. 26.

thou passest over [ordan] Sam. LXX, ye; perhaps rightly, but see on vi. I. 19. I call heaven and earth, etc.] As in iv. 26.

set before thee life and death \ See on v. 15.

choose life] In Deut. only here; but cp. Josh. xxiv. 15, Isai. vii. 15 (choose the good). On that thou mayest live see v. 6.

20. love ... obey ... cleave] See on vi. 5, x. 20, xiii. 4 (5).

life, and the length of thy days: that thou mayest dwell in the land which the LORD sware unto thy fathers, to Abraham, to Isaac, and to Jacob, to give them.

 for that is thy life, etc.] Variant from iv. 1, 40, etc. sware] See on i. 8.

E. Chs. XXXI.—XXXIV. LAST DAYS AND DISCOURSES OF MOSES.

The Laws and Discourses accompanying are at an end (cp. xxxi. v) except for some belated fragments, xxi. op. 12 (14.4–67), xxxii. 44–47, that contain only one fresh statement: that Moses wrote the Law. The remaining ch. though with deuterroomic elements contain contributions contain contributions of the contribution of the c

E.g. Orr (Problem of the O.T. pp. 248, 251) says that xxxi.—xxxiv. are 'appended' to Deut, and due to an editor: 'the last part of the work, with its account of Moses' death and in one or two places what seem unmistakeable indications of JE and P hands, points clearly to such a redaction.'

The sections, in great disorder as to their subjects—unless we adopt some emendation of their text—are sollows: xxxi.—8. Appointment of Joshua (deuteronomic); 9—13, Mossé delivery of the written Law to the Priests and Elders, though possibly Joshua was originally in place of them (deuteronomic); 14, 14, 24, God's change to Joshua (E); place of them (deuteronomic); 14, 15, 24, God's change to Joshua (E); death, as the motive to the Song in ch. xxxii. [gartly electronomic, partly not); 24—20, another delivery of the Law to the Lewites (14,—36) unless we read Song for Laws, with another introduction to the Song (27—29) (deuteronomic); xxxi. 30, editorial title to the Song; xxxii.—143, the Song of Moses (source unknown); 44, concluding note: 45—27; exhortation on the Law (deuteronomic); 48—55, Moses call the death of Moses ([E, P, etc.]). Moses (source unknown); xxxii., the death of Moses ([E, P, etc.]). Moses (source unknown); xxxii.

CH. XXXI. 1-8. APPOINTMENT OF JOSHUA.

Moses declares his inability to continue his active offices with the people and God's decree that he shall not cross Jordan (r.f.). Under God Joshua shall lead Israel, the nations shall be destroyed like Sihon and 'Og, and, learned shall treat them as commanded (3—5). May Israel be strong and unafraid, God shall not fail it (6). Joshua is exhorted in similar terms (? f.)—The style is almost wholly deuteronomic, but consists largely of phenses common in 1:—iii. and v.—x.i., contains (v. 3—6) doublebs and a change in the form of address, and (v. 2)

And Moses went and spake these words unto all Israel, 18 And he said unto them, I am an hundred and twenty years 2 old this day; I can no more go out and come in: and the Lord hath said unto me, Thou shalt not go over this Jordan. The Lord thy God, he will go over before thee; 3 he will destroy these nations from before thee, and thou shalt possess, them: and Joshua, he shall go over before thee, as the Lord hath spoken. And the Lord hall go were before thee, as the Lord hath spoken. And the Lord hall go were before thee, as the Lord hath spoken. And the Lord hall do unto them as he did to Sihon and to Ög, the kings of the Amorites, and unto their land; whom he destroyed. And the 5 Lord shall deliver them up before you, and ye shall do unto them according unto all the commandment which I have

a trace of P; so that it is probably due to an editor. The design of such a passage just here along with the other fragments on Joshua, 14 f., 23, and possibly 9-13 (g.v.), must have been to connect the Books of Deut. and Joshua.

By many (Dillin, Dri., Steuern, Berth, Marij) the passage is taken, in whole part, as from the same hand as ch.i.—ii. and as the necessary continuation of words, can refer only to something preceding and implies not words already to something preceding and implies not words already control of the control

 went and spake these words] This can only refer to something preceding; see small print above. But LXX read finished speaking these words.
 an hundred and twenty years old] So P xxxiv. 7, cp. Ex. vii. 7.

As we have seen, dates in the Pent. are nearly all from P; 120=3×40, the usual round number for a generation.

go out and come in See on xiii. 13 (14) and xxviii. 6.

the LORD hath said, etc.] iii. 27.

will go over before thee ix. 3, where also there follows (with much else) as here, he will destroy, thou shall dispossess or succeed them (but in another form of the vb.). This part of the v. may be a later intrusion, for the remainder follows naturally in v. 2.

Sihon...Og] ii. 32 ff., iii. 1 ff., xxix. 7; Amorites, iii. 8.
 deliver them up before you] i. 8, vii. 2, etc., with both Sg. and Pl. The change to Pl. here is confirmed by Sam. LXX.

all the commandment, etc.] i.e. that in vii. 2 ff.

6 commanded you. Be strong and of a good courage, fear not, nor be affrighted at them: for the LORD thy God, he it is that doth go with thee; he will not fail thee, nor forsake 7 thee. And Moses called unto Joshua, and said unto him in the sight of all Israel, Be strong and of a good courage: for thou shalt go with this people into the land which the LORD hath sworn unto their fathers to give them; and 8 thou shalt cause them to inherit it. And the LORD, he it is that doth go before thee; he will be with thee, he will not fail thee, neither forsake thee : fear not, neither be dismayed.

6. Be strong and of a good courage] Cp. iii. 28: encourage and

strengthen him (causative forms of the same vbs.).

fear not, nor be affrighted] The phrase usual with Pl. passages (for the corresponding Sg. see i. 21 and below v. 8). i. 20 takes these vbs. in the reverse order. The Pl. in this part of the v. is confirmed by Sam. The LXX has Sg. per incuriam. doth go with thee | xx. 4; before thee, i 30. Sain. confirms Sg. The

LXX has Pl.

fail thee] let thee drop; iv. 31: not fail nor forsake thee, so v. 8, Josh. i. 5 (deuter.), 1 Chr. xxviii, 20, Heb. xiii 5. Sg. is confirmed by Sam. LXX.

7. in the sight of all Israel xxxiv. 12.

Be strong and of a good courage] As in v. 6 but Sg.; cp. iii. 28. LXX: avopicov και Ισχυε. go with] Rather bring, as in some Heb. MSS, Sam. Vulg. and v. 23.

sworn] i. 8.

cause them to inherit | i. 38, iii. 28,

8. See on v. 6. On the phrase fear not, neither be dismayed, characteristic of the Sg. passages, see on i. 21.

9-13. THE LAW WRITTEN AND DELIVERED TO THE LEVITES.

Moses wrote this Law and gave it to the priests and elders, charging them to read it every seventh year at the Feast of Booths to all Israel, women, little ones and gerim included, that they and their children might evermore fear God and do its words upon the land. - The language is almost purely deuteronomic, and the passage may be original to D (Dillm. Dri., etc.) or from the edition of D, introduced by i.—iii. ('not impossible,' Berth. Marti). Steuern. takes parts of it as original to his Sg. author, the rest due to later expansion, but his analysis is precarious: Cullen (147, 159) as part of the epilogue to the Torah. The order of the passage, between two others relating the commission to Joshua, is And Moses wrote this law, and delivered it unto the 9 priests the sons of Levi, which bare the ark of the covenant of the Lord, and unto all the elders of Israel. And 10 Moses commanded them, saying, At the end of every seven years, in the set time of the year of release, in the feast of tabernacles, when all Israel is come to appear 11 before the Lord thy God in the place which he shall choose, thou shalt read this law before all Israel in their

inexplicable, unless we suppose that in place of the priests, the sons of Levi...and the elders, to whom v. 9 describes that Moses entrusted the written law, the name of Joshua himself originally stood. And for this there is some evidence in the sing, thou shall read and assemble of vv. 11f. But the text is not certain.

9. Moses wrote this law] Besides v. 24 the only statement to this

effect in Deut.

this law: Heb. Thrad, see on i. 5. In Deut. the Code (possibly with horizony additions, but we cannot tell the exact extent of it here intended, see xxvii; 3) is called Torah only in i. 5, iv. 8 (parallel to statutes and judgement), iv. 4, dt title); twice in the law of the King xvii; 18 ft, and nowthere the inch set. x-vecto, but in ch. xxvii... xxxii. xxvii... xxxii... 18. This unequal distribution is very striking.

the priests the sons of Levil See on x. 8, xviii. 1. Steuern. takes the sons of Levi as secondary, because omitted by LXX, but it is only LXX B which omits the phrase: LXX A and other Codd, have it.

which bare the ark, etc. | See on x. 8.

the elders] See on xix. 12. Marti omits the whole clause, the priests ...covenant of the LORD, as a later substitute for the original Joshua; but if this theory be correct the rest, and unto all the elders of Israel, must also be secondary, since the imperatives in 11 f. are sing.

10. At the end of every seven years ... the year of release] See xv. 1 ff. set time] Heb. mo'ed, in xvi. 6 of a fixed hour of day; here as in Ex. xxiii. 15 (see Dri.'s note) of a season fixed for a sacred festival; for

another application see v. 14.

the feast of Booths] See xvi. 13-15.

11. appear before, etc.] Rather see the face of, as in xvi. 16; thy God. Sam. LXX A and other codd, your God LXX B.

in the place, etc.] See on xii. 5.
thou shalt read this law] The Sg. address is striking; for according

to v. 9 Moses is addressing the priests and elders, nor because of the following before all frard can the whole nation be here addressed. We are left therefore with the supposition that the charge described in this passage was originally addressed to one individual, and the context 70°. I = 8 and I 4 ff. make it probable that this was Joshua. Yet the tex is uncertain: Sam. has ke or one thall read (not, as Steuern, and Berth.

- 12 hearing. Assemble the people, the men and the women and the little ones, and thy stranger that is within thy gates, that they may hear, and that they may learn, and fear the Lord your God, and observe to do all the words of this law;
- 13 and that their children, which have not known, may hear, and learn to fear the LORD your God, as long as ye live in the land whither ye go over Jordan to possess it.

say, shall be read, for the vb. is followed by an accusative); the LXX codd. (with few exceptions) have Pl. ye shall read, as also in next v.; this, however, may be due to harmonising. On this law see on v. 9.

12. Assemble the people] Again Sg. confirmed by Sam. though LXX

At Action of Popular States (as continued by Saint, 1000gh LNC v. 21. It is not necessary to take Aironkie. Gas as a later intrusion (Marti) on the grounds that the command to assemble the people is out order after the previous r., for this may be explained by the looseness of the writer's sayle and by the writer's as of the Sg., for as we worked, and the saint supposing that this original. On near, worked, the saint supposing that this original. On near,

hear...learn ... fear, etc.] See iv. 10, xiv. 23, xvii. 19. observe to do] v. 1; all the words of this law, v. 9.

13. their children] Again the characteristic inclusion of these; see on iv. 9f., vi. 7; which have not known, xi. 2.

The text of the forms of address in this v. is uncertain:—your God, some Sam. readings have your, others their, LXX B has thy, but AF etc. your, and others our. Ye five, some Sam. you, others they, LXX they. Ye go over, so Sam. and LXX, on this last phrase see iv. 14, vi. 1, ix. 1, and cp. v. 31.

14—23. Again Joshua's Commission, with Introduction to Moses' Song.

The composition is very curious. In vv. 14, 15, 12, we have an account of the charge to Joshus, which is in substance not the continuation of the deuteronomic one in vv. 1-8, but parallel to that, and is couched in a phraseology resembling that of E (see notes), to which source it is generally assigned. It is interrupted by vv. 16-22, which have nothing to do with Joshus, but are an address of the LORD to Moses, and without connection with vv. 14, 15, except that the motive containing some phrases of E and some deuteronomic formulas, gives to the latter a peculiar turn, and contains besides elements not elsewhere found in the Hex. and speaks of Israel in the mace, sing. in a fashion confined to itself. The passage forms an introduction to the Song in ch. xxxii. It so source is uncertain.

And the LORD said unto Moses, Behold, thy days 14 approach that thou must die: call Joshua, and present yourselves in the tent of meeting, that I may give him a charge. And Moses and Joshua went, and presented themselves in the tent of meeting. And the LORD appeared in 15 the Tent in a pillar of cloud: and the pillar of cloud stood

over the door of the Tent. And the LORD said unto 16 Moses, Behold, thou shalt sleep with thy fathers; and this people will rise up, and go a whoring after the strange gods of the land, whither they go to be among them, and will

1 Or. by

And the LORD said unto Moses, Behold. No parallel passage in Deut. (i. 42, ii. 9, iii. 2, 26, iv. 10, v. 28, ix. 13, x. 1; cp. ii. 3, 17) includes behold except v. 16.

thy days, etc.] Lit. thy days for dying draw near. Only here and in I. Gen. xlvii. 20, and 1 Kgs ii. 1. present yourselves] Lit. take your stand, elsewhere in Deut. of stand-

ing up to a foe (vii. 24, ix. 2, xi. 25, Josh. i. 5), but in JE as here of taking up one's position before the presence of God (Ex. xix. 17, xxxiv. s. Num. xi. 16, xxiii. 3, 15, Josh. xxiv. 1), or before Pharaoh (Ex. viii. 16, ix. 13).

tent of meeting Heb. mo'ed denotes what is fixed, ordained ofther, as in v, 10 (q,v), of time, or, as here, of place, where by appointment God meets with man, see Ex. xxv. 22, virtually therefore tent of revelation (Germ. 'Offenbarungszelt'); both in E, Ex. xxxiii. 7, Num. xi. 16, xii. 4, and no fewer than 132 times in P; not elsewhere in Deut., in which indeed the Tabernacle is mentioned only here.

that I may give him a charge] In iii. 28 Moses is commanded to

charge Joshua.

18. the LORD appeared ... in a pillar of cloud] With LXX (except for a few cursive MSS) omit in the Tent: as the v. goes on to say, the pillar stood over against the door of the Tent. Also it is probable that appeared is an emendation (by the change of one letter) for the more anthropomorphic came down, which we find in E, Ex. xxxiii. q, Num. xi. 25, xii. 5. On this verse, v. 23 follows immediately (see below).

16. Commences another saying of the LORD to Moses not connected with Ioshua or with 14 f. except by reference to the approaching death of Moses.

And the LORD said ... Behold | See on v. 14.

thou art about to sleep with thy fathers] In J, Gen. xlvii. 30, and frequently in Kings.

go a whoring after the strange gods of the land] Jehovah was Israel's husband, and her worship of other gods is therefore figured as whoredom (as by Hosea), but the figure is the more forcible that such worship often

forsake me, and break my covenant which I have made r with them. Then my anger shall be kindled against them in that day, and I will forsake them, and I will hide my face from them, and they shall be devoured, and many weils and troubles shall come upon them; so that they will say in that day, Are not these evils come upon us because our God is not among us? And I will surely hide my face in that day for all the evil which they shall have wrought, in that they are turned unto other 19 gods. Now therefore write ye this song for you, and teach thou it the children of Israel: put it in their mouths, that this song may be a witness for me against the children of Israel. For when I shall have brought them into the

involved physical unchastity as well. Strange, or foreign, godr, not cleawhere in Deut. (though in the Song, xxxi: 1,2), is found in E. Gen. xxxv. 2, 4, Josh. xxiv. 20, 23, and in some later books. Of the fand widsher it goeth in is probably a gloss (Kott., Dillim, Dr. Int, etc.), for it renders the construction of the e. very awkward, which K.V. and in E. Josh. Xxiv. 6, 20. **Evenberg overawing found in the K.V. and in E. Josh. Xxiv. 6, 20. **Evenberg overawing found in the condy here, v. 20 and H. Lev. xxvi. 15, 44 and P. Gen. xvii. 14, but is not flucommon clewhere.

17. None of the clauses in this v. is characteristic of Deut. My anger shall be kindled against M, E, K, Num. xi. vo; for in that day, as Dillim, points out, Deut. has elsewhere at that time; I will from the thin contrast vo. 6, 8; v. 21; hide my face from them, in front only here and v. 18; it shall be devoured, cp. vii. 16; one septom because my God is not in my midath, 1.4. No teth thin vv. 16—18, 20 Israel is referred to in the Sg. Vet Sam. and LXX have many plurals here.

18. hide my face! Some Heb. MSS, Sam., LXX, etc., add from them; but the Heb. has the next vbs. in Sg. evil which thas wrought, and it turned to other gods (Sam. they and they). The Heb. vb. is panah, not used exactly so elsewhere in Deut., but cp. xxix. 18 (17), xxx. 17, and below v. 20.

19. write ye this song for you! This Pl. can be justified only by reference to Moses and Joshua buth, but only Moses is addressed in v. 10, and in the light of the following singular imperatives teach them. and (Sam. LXX, Syr.) phr., and of v. 21, Moses (along) writer, read write thou...for thee. LXX has the plural throughout, Syr. repeats the Heb. text.

a witness for me against the children of Israel] By showing that God had sufficiently forewarned, and pleaded with, them (cp. v. 26). Apart from the question of the date of the Song there is no doubt that Israel

land which I sware unto their fathers, flowing with milk and honey; and they shall have eaten and filled themselves, and waxen fat; then will they turn unto other gods, and serve them, and despise me, and break my covenant. And it shall come to pass, when many evils 21 and troubles are come upon them, that this song shall testify before them as a witness; for it shall not be forgotten out of the mouths of their seed: for I know their magination which they go about, even now, before I have brought them into the land which I sware. So Moses 22 wrote this song the same day, and taught it the children of Israel. And he gave Joshua the son of Nun a charge, 33 and said, Be strong and of a good courage: for thou shall

had been forewarned by the prophets, that they would perish if they ventured to reject His commands; and further it is generally true that no punishment for sin is ever unforeseen by the conscience of the sinner. On children of firael, never found in D, but always editorial in Deut., see on v. 23.

For I shall bring it into the land which I sware unto its fathers]
 jo, but also in E. On flowing with milk and honey, see vi. 3.

and it shall eat and be satisfied and grow fat] Cp. vi. 11, viii. 12, xxxii. 15. Here even the deuteronomic phrases receive a peculiar form. And it will turn, as in v. 18; despite me, not elsewhere in Deut,, but in JE, Num. xiv. 11, 23, xvi. 30, and in the Song, h. xxxii. 19; break my covenant, as in v. 17. The only plur. vbs are serve, despite.

21. many evilt. ..arc come upon 18] Cp. v. 1; this tong shall testify to 18 face, the same vb. as in xix. 18; ar a winters, v. 10; 18 sect; its imagination, ptpr, iti. moulding. This term and its synonyms are applied in the O.T. to evil imaginations in rebellion against God (e.g. Gen. vi. 5, viii. 21, Ps. x. 2, cxl. 2, Prov. vi. 18, Lam. iii. 66 f) except in two passages (1 chr. xviii. 9, xxix. 18), where the yare used indifferently, and in 1s. xxvi. 3 where the yare or imagination is described as stayed on God.

before I bring it into the land, etc.] See v. 20.

22. See v. 19.

23. The immediate continuation of 14 f., which we have seen reasons for assigning to E.

And he gave The subject is not Moses, as the present context of this v. suggests, but must be Jehovah, as in v. 15; this is quite certain from the following I sware unto them and I will be with thee. son of Nun] i. 38.

Be strong and of a good courage] As in vv. 6, 7; only found in Deut., and the deuteron. Josh. i. 6, 9, 18, x. 25; but possibly derived from E.

22-2

bring the children of Israel into the land which I sware unto them: and I will be with thee.

And it came to pass, when Moses had made an end of writing the words of this law in a book, until they were

children of Israel] Not deuteronomic (see on iv. 44), but a frequent term for the people in E, Ex. iii. 9—11, 13—15, ix. 35, x. 20, 23, xiii. 18 f., xiv. 10, xx. 22, xxiv. 5, xxxii. 20, xxxiii. 5; and also found in I and P.

Fring into the land] So in E, Josh. xxiv. 8, Ex. xiii. 5, 11.

I will be with thee] So in E, Ex. iii. 12, but also in J and in Deut.

24-30. Again the Law-Book (?) and the Song.

A less vague description of these πv , in not possible. The text says that Moses having written the Law in a book charged the Levires to put it beside the Ark as a witness against three (a_2-ab) . For Israel, which has been rebellious in his life—here the address changes from Sg. to P1.—will be more so after his death (π) . They shall assemble the elders of their tribes and officers that he may recite to them these death they will corrupt themselves and evil will befull them in the latter days $(8-a_0) - These mords can hardly refer to the Law, already recited to the people; they must be the words of this Sung <math>(x,y)$ which follows in xxxii. If the text be original which reads The har Law in v = 24+36, then v = 24-36 are parallel (not consecutive) to y = -13, and we cannot reshum an element of the song.

Startk (followed by Steuern, and Berth,) holds that Terné was originally Sétrady, Song. This conjuster is attractive. It restores unity to en, angue and their natural connection with st—rs, and gets rid of the impelsable fact that both. Law and be put in, but beach, the Arth. At the same time there is no other evidence (in the versions or eleverhere) that Sétrads may have stood in place of Terné, the Law place and the start of th

Starek distinguishes two introductions to the Song, vv. t6-2z, z_2-20 , and so independently Driver; note the difference in their styles. Cullen (p. 18) retains the reading $T^2vnk-Law$, and takes z_2-20 as a later addition to q-1z, the original conclusion of the epilogue to the Code of D composed when Israel's attitude to this was still satisfactory, and added when the nation fell away. For another view see the O_{2f} , Hex.

24. had made an end of writing the words of this law] If Law be the original reading, vv. 24—26 are not the sequel, but a parallel to 9—13, for 9 also implies the completion of the writing of the Law in recording its delivery to the priests, the sons of Levi. But, as already

finished, that Moses commanded the Levites, which bare as the ark of the covenant of the Loro, saying. Take this 26 book of the law, and put it by the side of the ark of the covenant of the Loro your God, that it may be there for a witness against thee. For I know thy rebellion, and thy 25 stiff neck: behold, while I am yet alive with you this day, ye have been rebellious against the Loro; and how much more after my death? Assemble unto me all the elders of 28 your tribes, and your officers, that I may speak these words in their ears, and call heaven and earth to witness against them. For I know that after my death we will utterly 20 corrupt yourselves, and turn aside from the way which I have commanded you; and evil will befall you in the latter days; because ye will do that which is evil in

said, it has been conjectured that for $Law = T \partial rah$ we should read $Son\sigma = Shfrah$.

until they were finished] v. 30, ii. 15; cp. Josh. iv. 10, v. 6, viii. 24,

25. the Levites which bare, etc.] See on v. 9, x. 8, xvii. 18. These cannot be P's Levites, who could not enter the Holy of Holies where the Ark lay. On the Ark of the Covenant see note on x. 8.

26. this book of the law xxix. 21 (20), xxx. 10. for a witness, etc.] Not a deuteronomic phrase; but cp. testimonies in iv. 45; against thee, here all Israel is addressed, whereas in v. 25 it

is the Levites.

27. thy rebellion, and thy stiff neck...ye have been rebellioùs] Cp. i. 26, 43, ix. 6f, 13, 23 f., x. 16.

28. Assemble] Imperative Pl. See on v. 12, and v. 22.

elders of your tribes, etc.] LXX heads of your tribes, adding and your judges, and some LXX codd. also add elders. Cp. v. 23, xxix. 10 (9).

these words] Though this phrase usually refers to what precedes, it is more probable that here what follows, i.e. the Song, is meant, as indubitably is the case in Ex. xx. 1.

29. after my death! Readers of the Heb. text will compare with the

position of this clause in the v. the construction in xiii. 12 (13).

ye will surely corrupt yourselves See on iv. 16, 25, and for another form of the same vb. ix. 12, xxxii. 5.

turn aside, etc.] ix. 12, 16, xi. 28.

evil will befall you] As in Jer. xliv. 23; another vb. is used in vv. 17, 21. For in the latter days see on iv. 30.

do that which is evil, etc.] See on iv. 25, where the phrase is also followed as here by to provoke him to anger. Cp. ix. 18.

the sight of the LORD, to provoke him to anger through the

work of your hands. 30 And Moses spake in the ears of all the assembly of Israel

the words of this song, until they were finished.

work of your hands] That is idols, Jer. xxv. 14. Contrast work of thy hand in a good sense, ii. 7.

30. EDITOR'S TITLE TO THE SONG.

30. all the assembly of Israel | See on v. 22.

the words ... finished | See on v. 24. This v. is no doubt from the hand of an editor; see below. CH. XXXII. 1-43. THE SONG.

Though not comparable to other masterpieces of Hebrew poetry either for beauty of metaphor, or musical diction, or fineness of spiritual insight, this strong poem is distinguished by the fire, force, and sweep of its superb rhetoric. Granted its limits-for it is neither an epic nor a lyric, but a didactic ode addressed with a practical purpose to a sinful generation-it has no peer in the O.T.

The editor of the Pent., who has ascribed it to Moses (xxxi. 30; cp. 19, 22, these words in 28, and the possible reading song instead of law in 24), asserts that its main purpose is to testify beforehand against Israel; whereas the poem itself strikes its keynote (v. 2) as one of mercy and of hope, and emphatically concludes on this keynote (34-43). The poem makes no claim to be by Moses, and reflects nothing of his time or circumstances. On the contrary it is addressed throughout to a generation at a remote distance from Israel's origin in the desert (7-12). Not only is their carriage to, and settlement upon, the Land long past (13 f.); but they have become demoralised by their enjoyment of the wealth of the Land, succumbed to strange gods, forsaken Jehovah, and suffered His chastisements, which are describedexactly as by the earlier prophets-as a series of national calamities, famine, plague, pestilence, and wild beasts, culminating in war and defeat at the hands of a new and alien people (15-25). So worthless are they that Jehovah would have destroyed them but for the fear that the arrogant foe would vaunt this as his own work. Therefore He relents and turns His wrath upon the foe; Israel's deliverance is near, their blood will be avenged and their land assoiled (26-43).

The evidence of the Song is thus clearly of a date far subsequent to Moses. The only question is to which of the many sufferings of the long settled people we are to assign it. As to this the data are in conflict.

Some critics are satisfied that the period of the Syrian wars alone suits the effects of the divine wrath reflected in the Song (Knobel, Dillm., etc.): they compare v. 36 with 2 Kings xiv. 26, emphasise the absence of all threat of Exile, argue for the identity of the no-people who execute God's anger on Israel with the Syrians, and Give ear, ye heavens, and I will speak; And let the earth hear the words of my mouth:

explain the number of words in the Song and found elsewhere (see blow) as due to norther origin. Others have identified the an-pixely with the Asyrians, cherris, to which the objection is reasonable that we spf. do not suit the Asyrians and that there is no threat of Euler and escential part of the Asyrians policy towards and that there is no threat of Euler and Euler and Euler and Euler (Euler and Euler and Eul

The literary reasons for an exilic date are not slight (see notes). But on the other hand, there is the absence of reference to exile as the culmination of the apostate Israel's punishment. Is it possible to conceive that an exilic poet could have ignored the Exile? The present writer thinks not. If the author of the Song be really choing Jefr, Ez, and banishment or captivity. The only theory which would reconcile this conflict between the literary phenomena of the Song and its reflection of circumstances upon which exile does not lower, is that an exilic writer composed it with exclusive reference to a generation far earlier than his own, which is not unlikely when we consider the early subjects of certain late Pasiuns; or else that a poem originally written before the section. The subject is the section of the control of the section of the section

The rhythm is one frequent in Heb, poetry; parallel couplets with, in the main, there stresses or accents to each line, but as in other O.T. pomes of the same structure there are a considerable number of lines with only two stresses, and the same structure there are a considerable number of lines with only two stresses, and tradition of the sext. As Heb—especially by virus of its verbal suffixer—can express by one word with one accent ideas or feelings which it takes two or three oxpress in Bodjish, the rhythrical translation offerer below is only a rough division into strophes. The rule of the rhetoric does not allow of this. The divisions given below are simply for the sake of convenience.

1-3. THE EXORDIUM.

Give ear, O Heavens, let me speak, And let Earth hear the words of my mouth.

2 May my message drop as the rain, My speech distil as the dew.

My speech distil as the dew, Like mists on the grass, And like showers on the herb.

3 For the name of the LORD I proclaim,
To our God give the greatness!

1. heavens...earth] To these he appeals, not as witnesses of the divine events which he is about to declare (so iv. 26, xxxi. 28), nor as

2 My docfrine shall drop as the rain, My speech shall distil as the dew:

As the small rain upon the tender grass,

And as the showers upon the herb:

3 For I will proclaim the name of the LORD:

proofs of the regularity or goodness of the divine action (so frequently in the Prophets and Ps.s.), but in the feeling that so great a theme—God's dealings with His people—demands no less an auditory! The diath of the prophets (of so small and so irresponsive a people) in the diath of the prophets (of so small and so irresponsive a people) in the tensiverse, is very striking. And such an assurance, because spiritud and not material, remains steadfast (Carlyle in some of his moods not-withstanding) whatever views be taken of the Universe, whether pre-Copernican or post-Copernican. It is the conviction of man which commands Nature, and not Nature which crushes the conviction. The Universe cannot silence, but must listent to, the spiritual truth. M. Henry Universe cannot silence, but must listent to, the spiritual truth. M. Henry the conviction of the converse of the

 My doctrine! Lit. my taking, what I have received and take to men, my message; cp. St Paul 1 Cor. xi. 23, έγὰ γὰρ παρλαβον ἀπὸ τοῦ κυβου δ καὶ παράδωκα ὑμῶ. Or alternatively, what I have αρβτολεισίου or learning, Prov. 1, 5, iv. 2, is. 9, (pc. Isai, xxix. 24), but also for appreciarning, Prov. 1, 5, iv. 2, ix. 9 (pc. Isai, xxix. 24), but also for appre-

hensibleness, persuasiveness, xvi. 21, 23.
My speech Sam., LXX, Syr. prefix and.

tender grass] Heb. dēshē. fresh young grass. showers] Heb. röblöm, lit. lavish or frequent showers; Ar. rababa, 'much water.'

Thus the Song strikes its keynote—the note to which it returns in the end after its indictment of the people—of quickening and refreshing power for the tender hopes of ferrad after the long drought of their captivity. Others think that the figure hopes of ferrad after the long drought of their captivity. Others think that the figure has a second to the second the second that the ferral parasity as if it were mean that the Song would be a savour of life unto life to some, but of death unto death to others. This is not borne out by the terms of this zero.

3. proclaim the name of Jehovah] See J, Ex. xxxiii. 19, where

¹ Cp. Carlyle: 'The stars in the beavens and the blue-bells by the wayside shew forth the handiwork of Him who is Almighty, who is All Good. In a bad weak world what would become of us did not our hearts understand at all times that this is even so?' (Lt/e', 1, 338). Ascribe ye greatness unto our God.

The Rock, his work is perfect;

For all his ways are judgement:

A God of faithfulness and without iniquity,

Just and right is he.

They have 'dealt corruptly with him, they are not his 5 children. 'it is their blemish:

1 Or, corrupted themselves, they &-c. 2 Or, but a blot upon them

name=character and is parallel to glory (v. 18) as above, xxvi. 19, it is parallel to praise and honour. Both ideas, character and renown, are probably included here. Cp. xii. 5, xxviii. 58.
xive ye greatness unto our God! Cp. iii. 24. PS. xxix. 15.

tro ye greatness anto our doug Cp. III. 24, 15. XXIX. 11.

4-6. God's Faithfulness, Israel's Folly.

4 The Rock—outright is His working! Yea, all of His ways are Law,

The God of troth, without treason, Righteous and upright is He.

5 His sons have dealt corruptly with Him...(?),

A twisted and crooked generation!

6 The LORD do ye thus requite, O foolish folk and unwise?

Is He not thy sire who begat thee,

He 'tis that made and hath framed thee.

4. The Rock Or a Rock. This name, Sûr, is applied in vv. 15,

18, 10, 21, 37, both to Israel's God and to others. It appears to have been a general Semitic figure for the divine unchangeableness and its refuge for men, and virtually a synonym for God; LXX, θe's and other gods are called 'great mountain'; and with other Semits several dother gods are called 'great mountain'; and with other Semits several theophrous names are compounded with yar, e.g. Bar-yar in the Senjerli inscription and others in S. Arabia (Zimmern, KAT', 355, 358, 477).

hit togy: are judgemen! Rather Law. Heb. mithpat, which means now a single law or judgement and now justice, is here Law in the sense of order or consistency. So Isai, xxx. 18 a God of mithpath Having laid down the lines of His action in rightcourses and withpath He remains in His dealings with men consistent with those. The idea is expounded in the next two lines: Iniquity is to be taken in primary sense of breach or deviation, treason. For he LXX read Ichovah.

5. The text of the first line is corrupt; lit. he has dealt corruptly (as in ix. 12, cp. xxxi. 29) with him, not-his sons, their blemish. Sam. LXX: they dealt corruptly not his sons, blameworthy things. Possible

They are a perverse and crooked generation. 6 Do ye thus requite the LORD,

O foolish people and unwise?

Is not he thy father that hath 'bought thee?

He hath made thee, and established thee.

7 Remember the days of old.

Consider the years of many generations:

Ask thy father, and he will shew thee; Thine elders, and they will tell thee.

8 When the Most High gave to the nations their inheritance,

When he separated the children of men,

1 Or, possessed Or, gotten

emendations, they dealt corruptly with him sons of blemish; his sons have corrupted their faithfulness to him; or as above. The line is overloaded. On blemish in physical sense see xv. 21, xvii. 1.

* twisted and crooked Or fortunes; cp. v. 20.

6. Is it Jehovah ye thus requite] So the emphatic Heb. order. foolish See on xxii. 21: folly.

bought] Rather begat or produced, Gen. iv. 1, xiv. 19, 22.

7-14. ORIGIN AND PROGRESS OF ISRAEL.

7 Remember the days of old, Scan the years, age upon age; Ask of thy sire that he shew thee,

Thine elders, that they may tell thee.

When the Highest gave nations their heritage,
When He sundered the children of men.
He set the bounds of the peoples
By the tale of Israel's sons (?)

9 For the LORD's own lot is Jacob, Israel the scale of His heritage.

Remember] Heb. Sg.; Sam., LXX Pl.
days of old...generations] One of many signs of the distance of the
generation to which the Song is addressed from the time of the
Wilderness and the entrance to the Promised Land.
that he shew thee. that they tell theel So the Heb.

8. Most High] Heb. 'Elyón, Num. xxiv. 16, Isai. xiv. 14, and many Pss.

gave...inheritance] See i. 38. separated] Gen. x. 32 (P). He set the bounds of the peoples

According to the number of the children of Israel.

For the Lord's portion is his people;

Jacob is the lot of his inheritance. He found him in a desert land, And in the waste howling wilderness:

He compassed him about, he cared for him, He kept him as the apple of his eye:

children of Israel] The purpose of His division was to leave room for Israel's numbers.

But for the some of Irrace LXX has keychaso beed, angels of God, i.e. some of First Here a late | week) conception of a guardian angel for each nation (Dan s. 13, 20 f., solid). Ecclis. veil, r/h, an antithelis to | Loroboral's own guardianship of Irrach in the property of the control of the IXX seems to be rather an adaptation of the HeA. Seems of the LXX seems to be rather an adaptation of the HeA control of the LXX seems of the LXX seem

9. portion Or lot; in xii. 12 with inheritance.

his people] LXX removes Jacob to this line, and to the end of the following adds Israel. In that case his people is superfluous both to the sense and to the rhythm.

lot] Lit. measuring-rope, i.e. scale or range; cp. ix. 26, Ps. cv. 11.

10 In a desert land He found him,

In the void and howl of the waste. He swept around him, He scanned him, As the pupil of His eye He watched him.

II As an eagle stirreth his nest, Fluttereth over his young,

Spreadeth his wings, doth catch them, Beareth them up on his pinions, 12 The LORD alone was his leader,

And never a strange god with Him.

10. found him] This and the following vbs. are in the Heb. imperf.;

this for the sake of vividness, the rest expressive of iteration. On Israel being found in the desert, cp. Hos. ix. 10, Jer. ii. 2. The O.T. tradition is constant that the Hebrews were originally nomad, desert tribes (see the present writer's Early Poetry of Israel, 39 ff., 56 ff.; and above on i. 28).

void and howl] Or the void of the howl = howling void.
compassed him about] Rather keeps circling around him.
cared] Rather regarded or scanned him penetratingly.

kept] Better watched or guarded.

apple of his eye] Pupil is a happier rendering of the Heb. 'ishôn (Ar. 'insân), mannikin, the image reflected in the centre of the eye.

II As an eagle that stirreth up her nest.

That fluttereth over her young, ¹He spread abroad his wings, he took them,

He bare them on his pinions:

12 The LORD alone did lead him,

And there was no strange god with him.

13 He made him ride on the high places of the earth, And he did eat the increase of the field:

And he made him to suck honey out of the rock, And oil out of the flinty rock;

14 Butter of kine, and milk of sheep.

1 Oτ, Spreadeth abroad her wings, taketh them, beareth them on her pinions

11. eagle] Heb. nesher, see on xiv. 12, 17; not her nest or young, but his, the father bird's; Ex. xix. 4, cp. above i. 31.

Spreadeth his wings, doth each them, beareth, etc.] As in R.V. marg, preferable to R.V. text. All these clauses still describe the eagle.

12. did lead him] Still the imperf. for vividness.

strange] Not the adj. in v. 16, but foreign, xv. 3, xxxi. 16.

13 He made him to ride the highlands, And to eat of the fruit of the hills, Suckled him with honey from the crag And oil of the flinty rock.

Vith the fatness of lambs and of rams,
Bulls of Bashan and he-goats,
With the finest flour of the wheat—

And the grape's blood thou drankest in foam!

13. ride on the heights] Cp. Am. iv. 12. and to eat of the fruit of the hills] So Sam. and LXX for the Heb. he doth eat; hills not fields as in xxviii. 3, Heb. sadai, eatly form sadeh, in the earlier sense of that word (see on v. 12) as in Jud. v. 4, parallel to heights or high places. Israel's territory was a highland one. suckless] With Sam. and Svr. omit and.

honey] The honey of the O.T. is wild, as here, Jud. xiv. 8 ff., t Sam. xiv. 25 ff., Ps. lxxxi. 16; apiculture, a very ancient craft, is not implied till the N.T. speaks of wild honey (Matt. iii. 4, Mark i. 6). See further ferus. 1, 306 f., EB. art. 'Honey,' and ZDPP. XXXII. 151.

oil of the flinty rock] Lit. the flint of the rock. The olive never yields oil so richly as on limestone terraces and their débris; see Jerus. 1. 300.

14. Curd of kine] Fermented milk, Ar. leben.

15

With fat of lambs,

And rams of the breed of Bashan, and goats,

With the fat of kidneys of wheat:

And of the blood of the grape thou drankest wine. But Jeshurun waxed fat, and kicked:

Thou art waxen fat, thou art grown thick, thou art become sleek:

fat of lambs and of rams] So LXX, bringing forward rams from next line.

Bulls of Bashán Lit. the sons, or breed, of Bashán (iii. 1), celebrated

for its steers, Ps. xxii. 12 (13), etc.

fat of the kidneys] The richest fat, Lev. iii. 4, Isai. xxxiv. 6; here

figuratively of the richest wheat.

bload of the grape than drambert in foam] There is no need to read with the LXX be drawle (so Steenern. to harmonise with the next lie, nor to take the line as a gloss (Marti), though it be an odd line and not one of a couplet. This is the climax of the passage of Israel from the nomadic to the agricultural stage of life, and is still regarded as the last distinction of the fellah from the Bedawer; p. xxxiii. 38, Gen. xlix. 11. Feam (EVV. xxiii), Heb. hemer from root hmr, to ferment or foam; p. Pss. xlvi; 3(4), lxxv. 8 (9).

15-18. THE FULNESS AND APOSTASY OF ISRAEL.

15 Jacob ate and was full,

Fat waxed Jeshurun and kicked,

— Thou wast fat, thou wast plump, thou wast sleek! He forsook the God who had made him, And befooled the Rock of his succour.

16 With strangers they moved Him to jealousy, With abominations provoked Him.

17 They sacrificed to demons not God, Gods whom they never had known.

New ones, lately come in, Your sires never trembled at them.

18 Of the Rock that thee bare thou wast mindless,

And forgattest the God that had travailed with thee.

Tashar, Jos. x. 13, 2 Sam. i. 18) with a play upon the name Israel; and, as it means honest or upright, it is used here sarcastically of so delinquent and presents a race.

They was a new year to have a playing start. Note the change to the and

Thou wast waxen fat ... plump ... sleek] Note the change to the 2nd

Then he forsook God which made him.

And lightly esteemed the Rock of his salvation.

16 They moved him to jealousy with strange gods,

With abominations provoked they him to anger.

17 They sacrificed unto demons, which were no God, To gods whom they knew not,

To new gods that came up of late,

Whom your fathers dreaded not.

18 Of the Rock that begat thee thou art unmindful,

1 Or, bare

pers and the fact that if the additional line from the Sam. and LXX be prefixed to the v. this line forms an odd one among its couplets; which may be taken as an argument against either its originality or that of the line added by the LXX. Stoke, perhaps we should read the same vb. as in Jer. v. 28 (Grätz); the Heb. vb. here means thou art gorged.

[God] Heb. 'Eloah, 'probably only a secondary form obtained inferentially from 'Elohim,' only in late writings, chiefly poetry.

lightly esteemed] Rather held, or treated, as a fool, Mic. vii. 6. How often in their superstition men act as if God could be tricked, and in their immorality as if He were senseless. Yet God is sensitive, as the next v. declares, and as Isaiah says is wise. On Rock see v. 4.

16. moved him to jealousy] This form of the vb. is found only here, and in v. 21 b, Ps. lxxviii. 58; another form in v. 21 a. On God's jealousy see iv. 24.

strange] Jer. ii. 25, iii. 13. See above on v. 12. abominations] See vii. 25, and cp. 'Isai.' xliv. 19.

provoked iv. 25.

17. demon2 Heb. stealine, only here and in Ps. cvi. 37, 'certainly as Ballylonian loam-word,' shedue, a good demon figured in the ball-colosis that guarded the entrances to temples (Zimmern, AZTⁿ, 455, dep—2, 649). but according to Ps. cvi. 37 human sacrifices were offered them, which of course does not preclude the idea that they were we find the stealing the s

whom they had not known] xi. 28, xiii. 2, 6, 13, xxviii. 64. new ones lately come in] Or arrived.

dreaded] Lit. bristled or shuddered at, Heb. sacar, as in Jer. ii. 12, Ezek. xxvii. 35, xxxii. 10. Some, however, translate knew, on the strength of the Ar. sacara.

18. Rock] See on v. 4; God, Heb. 'El. The predicates used of Him are generally interpreted as if attributing to Him the functions both of father and mother. But the first vb. is more usually in the O.T. of the mother, and is rightly rendered here by R.V. marg. bare;

19

And hast forgotten God that gave thee birth.

And the LORD saw it, and abhorred them,

Because of the provocation of his sons and his daughters. And he said, I will hide my face from them,

I will see what their end shall be:

For they are a very froward generation,

Children in whom is no faith.

They have moved me to jealousy with that which is not 21 God:

the second, gave thee birth, is rather was in travail with thee; cp. Num. xi. 12.

19-25. God's Vengrance.

10 But the LORD saw and He spurned. From grief with His sons and His daughters.

20 'Let me hide my countenance from them. I will see what their end shall be.

For an upsetting race are they, Sons without steadfastness in them. 21 They moved me to jealousy with a nó-god,

With their vanities vexed me And I make them jealous with a no-people,

With an infidel nation will vex them, 19. abhorred | Spurned, contemned, discarded, xxxi. 20, Jer. xiv. 21.

The next line gives the motive, not as in R.V., but from grief with his sons, etc. 20. And he said] A gloss, it overloads the rhythm.

Let me hide, etc.] xxxi. 17 f.

their end | Lit. their afterwards, see on iv. 30.

a very froward, etc.] Heb. is stronger, a generation of upturnings or overthrows (only here and in Prov.); not perverse but subversive; and so children in whom is no faithfulness, reliableness, or 'staith.'

21. moved ... to jealousy | See on v. 16. Mark the antitheses : no-god (lo'-'el), no-people (lo'-'am, as hitherto-outside the nations known and to be reckoned with, by Israel, as unfit to serve any Divine purpose); and vanities (lit. breaths, or as we should say, bubbles, so in Jer. of the heathen gods, viii. 10, etc.) and foolish (nabal, chosen perhaps both because of its probable root-meaning fading, worthless, parallel to vanities, and because it was used in a religious sense, godless, infidel). See Paul's application of the v. in Rom. x. 19.

For a fire has flared from my wrath, And burned to the lowest She'ol. It devours earth and her increase, It flames round the roots of the hills, They have provoked me to anger with their vanities: And I will move them to jealousy with those which are not a people;

I will provoke them to anger with a foolish nation.

22 For a fire is kindled in mine anger, And burneth unto the lowest 'pit,

And devoureth the earth with her increase,

And setteth on fire the foundations of the mountains. .

23 I will heap mischiefs upon them;

I will spend mine arrows upon them: 24 They shall be wasted with hunger, and devoured with

^aburning heat And bitter destruction;

1 Heb. Sheol. 2 Heb. burning coals. See Hab. iii. 5.

23 I will sweep up evils upon them,

Against them exhaust mine arrows. Drained by famine, devoured by fever (?)

And poisonous pestilence (?), The teeth of brute beasts will I send them,

With venom of things that crawl in the dust. Abroad shall the sword bereave, And terror be in the chambers-

As well the youth as the maiden, The suckling and gray-headed man. 22. is kindled] but with the force of flaring up quickly, Jer. xv. 14,

xvii. 4. 'Isai.' l. 11. lxiv. 2(1); it is not necessary to render 'aph, anger, by its original meaning nostril.

pitl Heb. She'ol, underworld, Ps. lxxxvi, 13. increase] See xi. 17.

And setteth on fire licks or flames about; only in late writings.

23. heap] According as we point the consonants of this vb., it may mean add, or gather, or sweep up; evils, xxxi. 17. 24, 25 define the arrows of v. 23-famine, fever, plague, wild

beasts and poisonous, and war.

24a. The rhythm is irregular whether for a line or couplet, and the text uncertain, the first and last words are only found here and their sense is conjectural.

From Sam. it is possible to read the first word mizzeh, on this side, and to reconstruct the whole as a regular couplet yielding the kind of antithesis beloved by the writer (190, 21, 23) and free of the awas Aryourva mizzeh ra'ab yilham On this side famine devours, mizzeh ra'shēph wa ketēl On this side fever and plague.

Wasted is a meaning drawn from a doubtful Ar. analogy; burning heat, Heb. resheph, fire-bolt or flame as God's instrument of fever, in Hab, iii. 5 parallel to

Digitized by Google

And the teeth of beasts will I send upon them, With the poison of crawling things of the dust.

Without shall the sword bereave, And in the chambers terror;

It shall destroy both young man and virgin, The suckling with the man of gray hairs.

I said, I would scatter them afar,

I would make the remembrance of them to cease from

among men: Were it not that I feared the provocation of the enemy, 27

Lest their adversaries should misdeem.

Lest they should say, Our hand is exalted, And the LORD bath not done all this.

24 b. beasts | Heb. Behemôth. For this natural curse of the East cp. vii. 22, Hos. ii. 12. The contrast in Isai. xi. 6-9. crawling things] Mic. vii. 17, cp. Isai. xi. 8, Jer. viii. 17.

25. War the climax to these natural plagues, just as in Amos vii.

26-33. THE STAY OF GOD'S VENGEANCE.

26 'I had said, "I will blow them away (?) And still among men their remembrance,' Had I feared not the taunt of the foe. Lest their enemies misconstrue,

And should say, "Our hand was high, Nor was this the work of Jehovah!

28 For a rede-lorn people are they, And among them insight is not.

20 Were they wise this would they ken, See through to their fate at the last. 30 How could one have chased a thousand, Or two put ten thousand to flight,

Were it not that their Rock had sold them And the LORD had given them up!

26. I would have said, I will] The meaning of the ensuing vb pa'ah is uncertain : cleave them in pieces (Dri. and the Oxf. Heb. Lex.) is hardly justified by the Ar. fa'a, which means only to split; A.V., scatter them into corners, is founded on a doubtful etymology; R.V., scatter them afar, is due to the LXX διασπερώ, which probably read another vb. The meaning adopted since Gesenius by most moderns, will blow them away, is, in view of the parallel line, the most probable. 27. provocation | Cp. v. 10, but here the vexation caused to Himself

by the foes' misconstruction. The anthropomorphism is very strong. Sam. reads my foe. On the Heb. for feared see i. 17, xviii. 22.

DEUTERONOMY Digitized by Google 28 For they are a nation void of counsel, And there is no understanding in them.

29 Oh that they were wise, that they understood this, That they would consider their latter end!

30 How should one chase a thousand, And two put ten thousand to flight,

Except their Rock had sold them, And the LORD had delivered them up?

And the LORD had delivered them u 31 For their rock is not as our Rock,

Even our enemies themselves being judges. 32 For their vine is of the vine of Sodom,

And of the fields of Gomorrah: Their grapes are grapes of gall,

Their clusters are bitter:

28—33. It is doubtful whether these 272. relate to Israel or its arrogant foes. The latter I deem the more probable. So already Geddes.

28. void] More exactly forlorn, Heb. 'obed, cp. xxii. 3, xxvi. 5.

29. consider their latter end] This is weak and omits the preposition of which conveys the full sense understand, or see through, to their ultimate fate, past this temporary triumph over Israel to the punishment God has in store for them, v_{2,4}, v_{2,-2}, v_{3,-2} are regarded by large them to be a superfixed by the convergence of t

30. How could one, etc.] Some ignominious rout of Israel. delivered them up | Cp. xxiii. 15 (16).

* 31 For not as our Rock is their rock,

Our foes being judges;
32 For their vine 's from the vine of Sedóm
And out of the tracts of Gomorrah;
Their grapes are poisonous grapes,

Bitterest clusters are theirs.
33 Their wine is the venom of dragons,

The pitiless poison of asps.

31 emphasises the previous couplet; it must have been Israel's God who brought such defeat on His people.

32. These foes of Israel are of the same stock morally (can one produce grapes of thistles?) as the cities whose destruction for their

wickedness was proverbial. They are therefore doomed.

fields] Heb. sidewidth, a rare word of uncertain meaning. Tracts is
probably nearer it. It may have been chosen here for its assonance to

Sidom in the previous line.

And the cruel venom of asps. Is not this laid up in store with me, Sealed up 'among my treasures?

Vengeance is mine; and recompence, At the time when their foot shall slide:

For the day of their calamity is at hand, And the things that are to come upon them shall make

Their wine is the poison of dragons,

haste. For the LORD shall judge his people,

1 Or, in my treasuries

33. venom of dragons] Or, foam of.

pitiless poison of asps | Poison, rosh, as in xxix. 17; asps, or according to some, cobras, the hooded kind, in Egypt and the lower parts of Syria, especially S. of Beersheba, Heb. #thanîm, Isai. xi. 8, etc.

34-43. It is Destined for Israel's Foes.

34 Is all that not stored with me,

Sealed in my treasuries, For the day of revenge and requital, What time their foot shall slip, Yea, near is their day of disaster, And destiny rushes upon them.

34. laid up Heb. kamus not found elsewhere, and probably mis-

read for kanus, gathered, collected. In next line read treasuries. 35. Mine are vengeance, etc.] Sam, and LXX read for the day of vengeance, etc.; and perhaps rightly, see Ginsburg, Intr. p. 168. Here intended as an assurance to Israel, but in Rom. xii. 10 as a warning against undertaking revenge oneself, cp. Heb. x. 30.

day of their disaster] Jer. xviii. 17, xlvi. 21, Ob. 13, Ps. xviii. 18 (19).

things destined for them] A late expression.

36 For the LORD shall judge for His people, And relent for His servants' sake, When He sees that their grip is gone.

Nor fast nor free remaineth; And shall say, Where be their gods The rock whereon they refuged,

38 Which ate the fat of their sacrifice, Drank the wine of their pouring? Let them arise to your help, Let them be a covert above you!

23-2

And repent himself for his servants:

When he seeth that their power is gone,

And there is none remaining, shut up or left at large. 37 And he shall say, Where are their gods,

The rock in which they 'trusted;

38 Which did eat the fat of their sacrifices,

And drank the wine of their drink offering? Let them rise up and help you,

Let them be your protection.

39 See now that I, even I, am he,

And there is no god with me:

I kill, and I make alive; I have wounded, and I heal:

And there is none that can deliver out of my hand.

1 Or, took refuge

36. judge his people] As the parallel line shows, this means 'will judge for his people.'

power Lit. hand, i.e. hold or grip.

nor fast nor free] Heb. 'asûr 'w' 'azûb, an alliterative phrase for the whole population. Whether it means in and out of prison, or under and free of faboo or ritual uncleanness, is doubtful.

37. took refuge] As in R.V. marg., so often in the Pss., e.g. ii. 12, xlvi. 2.

38. Let them be a covert above you] So LXX, etc.; Heb. let it.

39 See now that I, I am He, And never a god beside me. I do to death and revive,

I shattered and I shall heal.
[With none to save from my hand.]

40 For I lift to heaven mine hand, And say, 'As I live for ever,

41 I will whet my lightning sword, And on judgement my hand shall close, Vengeance I wreak on my foes, And recompense them that hate me.

42 I drench mine arrows in blood, And my sword shall feed upon flesh; With the blood of the slain and the captive,

With the long-haired heads of the foe.'

39. I am he] The only God, iv. 35. Cp. 'Isai.' xli. 4, xliii. 10, 13,

xlviii. 12.

And there is none, etc.] This line is out of place both for the rhythm and the sense, and is apparently borrowed from 'Isai.' xliii. 13 in a similar context. Cp. Hos. v. 14 b.

43

For I lift up my hand to heaven, 40 And say, As I live for ever, If I whet 1my glittering sword, And mine hand take hold on judgement; I will render vengeance to mine adversaries, And will recompense them that hate me.

I will make mine arrows drunk with blood. And my sword shall devour flesh; With the blood of the slain and the captives, 2 From 3 the head of the leaders of the enemy.

*Rejoice, O bye nations, with his people: For he will avenge the blood of his servants, And will render vengeance to his adversaries,

And will make expiation for his land, for his people.

And Moses came and spake all the words o this song 44 in the ears of the people, he, and Hoshea the son of Nun. 1 Heb. the lightning of my sword. 2 Or, From the beginning of

3 Or, the hairy head of the enemy revenges upon the enemy Praise his people, ye nations 5 Or, ye nations, his people 40. lift up, etc.] Cp. Gen. xiv. 22, Ex. vi. 8, Num. xiv. 30 and

many instauces in Ezekiel.

41. whet] See on vi. 7. Jehovah as warrior, as often in later prophecy, e.g. 'Isai.' lxiii.

42. and the captives] Assigned to death later.

leaders | So LXX ἀρχόντων, Heb. para'ôth, Ar. fara', to excel; A.V. beginning of revenges from the analogy of Aram. phara. In Num. vi. 5, Ezek. xliv. 20, pere' = flowing locks. Cp. W. R. Smith on Jud. v. 2, in Black's Judges, in Smaller Cambridge Bible for Schools.

43 Sing, O ve nations, His people, For His servants' blood He avengeth.

And vengeance He wreaks on His foes, And assoils the land of His people.

43. For this LXX gives eight lines, part quoted in Róm. xv. 10. Sing Heb, harning, the most ringing of the vbs with this meaning. assoils] Covers, or clears, from guilt, cp. xxi. 8.

the land of His people] So Sam., LXX, etc., doubtless rightly. Heb. as in R.V.

44. Concluding Note. Can hardly be from the same editorial hand as xxxi. 30. It is probable from the opening words, And Moses came, that this is a fragment from the end of a narrative of divine instructions given to Moses regarding the Song, such as we find in xxxi. 16-22 (cp. Ex. xix. 7, xxiv. 3); and indeed LXX repeats xxxi. 22 before it. Its position here is another sign of the editorial re-arrangements which the 45 And Moses made an end of speaking all these words to 46 all Israel: and he said unto them, Set your heart unto all the words which I testify unto you this day; which ye shall command your children, to observe to do all the words

47 of this law. For it is no vain thing for you; because it is your life, and through this thing ye shall prolong your days upon the land, whither ye go over Jordan to possess it.

materials composing these chs. have undergone. Notice the non-deuterphrase the people, not all Irrad. For this Song LXX has this Law, probably an inadvertence. Hoshar' (Num. xiii. 8, 16, P) is a clerical error (by omission of one jot / for Yvbathus or Joshua, which is confirmed by all the versions. The addition of Joshua agrees with the Pl. werite ye of xxii. 19.

45-47. A POSTSCRIPT.

Moses again exhorts all Israel to attend to the Law and enforce it on their children, for it is their life, by which they shall prolong their days in the Land. Both the ideas and the language are deuternonnic, and the passage belongs to one of the hortatory supplements to the Law. Most connect it with xxxi. $_{44-27}$.

Berth.'s proposal to read Shirah, Song, for Torah, Law, in v. 46 (see on xxxi. 24) and to refer all the vv. to the Song, is contradicted by the phraseology, which is elsewhere consistently used of the Law.

46. made an end, etc.] xx. 0, xxvi. 12, xxxi. 24. Whether all these words originally referred only to the Code, or are meant by the editor to cover the hortatory addresses added to it, cannot be determined.

All Israel, D's formula.

46. Set your heart] So Ex. ix. 21, and with another vb vii. 23.

On heart = mind see vi. 6, xi. 18, xxix. 4.

I testify against you! See on viii, 10.

that ye may command them to your children] So Heb. and not as in R.V. The idiom is also found in iv. 10. On D's care for the young see vi. 7.

to observe to do] For this formula see on iv. 6. 47. vain Or, empty, without profit.

it is your life] As in xxx. 20.

prolong your days...whither ye go over, etc.] For these formulas see on iv. 26.

48-52. Moses' Call to Death.

He is bidden climb Mt Nebo and view Canaan, and die there like Aaron on Mt Hor, because of his trespass against Jehovah at Kadesh. He shall see but not enter the Land.—The language (including the place-names) and the reason given for Moses' failure to enter the Land,

And the LORD spake unto Moses that selfsame day, 48 saying, Get thee up into this mountain of Abarim, unto 49 mount Nebo, which is in the land of Moab, that is over against Jericho; and behold the land of Canaan, which I give unto the children of Israel for a possession: and 50 die in the mount whither thou goest up, and be gathered unto thy people; as Aaron thy brother died in mount Hor, and was gathered unto his people: because ye trespassed 51 against me in the midst of the children of Israel at the

are those of P (see notes below). There is a doublet in Num. xxvii. 12-14. Which of the two passages is original and which editorial is doubtful. The additions to this one point to its being the later.

48. that selfsame day] A standing phrase of P, e.g. Gen. vii. 13, xvii. 23, 26, Ex. xii. 17. Contr. the deuter. this day and the like. The

day is that stated in i. 3, also from P; q.v.

49. Abarim] Lit. the men or regions beyond or over there. Only in P, Jer. xxii. 20 R.V., and Ez. xxxix. 11 (where read 'Abarim). The name is proof that the people who used it were settled W. of Jordan and looked across the valley of that river and the Dead Sea, to the E. range beyond. See the present writer's 'Abarim' in E. B., HGHL 53, 548, 553, and Mod. Criticism, etc., 18 f.

unto mount Nebo ... [ericho] Not in Num. xxvii. 12-14, unsuitable in the mouth of the Deity, and obviously a geographical note like those in chs. ii. f. Nebo is P's name for E's and D's Pisgah. See on iii. 17,

xxxiv. I.

[7] The shorter form of the Heb. pronoun as always in P, while in Deut. the longer is used, for exceptions see on xii. 30.

children of Israel] So throughout the passage; not as in D all Israel. for a possession] Not the deuter. yrushah or nahalah (inheritance). iv. 21, etc., but 'ahuzzah as elsewhere in P. e.g. Lev. xiv. 34. The term is exactly equal to the Fr. law-term 'saisine,' the Eng. 'seisin' or 'seizin,' the act of taking corporal possession or the legal equivalent

of this.

50. unto thy people] Better thy father's folk, as always in this phrase. The word, 'am, originally meant this, but in Heb. is usually widened to people, while in Ar. it='father's brother' and 'father's brother's children' (Driver). The whole phrase is frequent in P. Gen. xxv. 8, xxxv. 20, Num. xx. 24, 26, etc., and is found nowhere else.

on Hor, the mountain] Always so in P; cp. Num. xx. 22-20, xxi. 4. xxxiii. 37-41. Contr. above x. 6 (E).

51. because ye brake faith with me] So Driver. The phrase is

chiefly found in P, Ez. and Chron. The judgement on Moses is explained not as in Deut. by the sin of the people, but by that of Aaron and Moses himself. See above, Further Note to Ch. i. 36-38. in the midst | Heb. betok, P's synonym for the bekereb of Deut.

Even when we allow for differences of temper and standpoint between two authors, enough remains to show how well founded is the general opinion that the oracles, Gen. xlix. 3—17, are earlier than our Blessings. At the same time there are signs of the fact.—diso probable from the nature of such poems—that neither collection is of a uniform date, but that both incorrorate elements from different periods.

It is not possible to argue for a Mosaic date for the Blessings, except by ignoring the principle on which O.T. prophecy consistently starts from the circumstances of the prophet's own time. The facts that Sim"on is not mentioned, who took part in the conquest of W. Palestine: that the conquest itself is regarded as past, for v. 21 relateral to the conference of the c

Yet 'everything breathes high antiquity and fresh and vigorous power. (Comill, Attract. Eng. trans. 1:3), 'breathes the spirit of the earlier narratives of Kings' (Driver). The tribes are in secure possession of their provinces. Only Judah is isolated as it became by the Disruption in 930, and K'uben near extinction. For other others there is no sense of the same of the provinces of the provinces of the same state of the s

There are difficulties with regard to both these dates; against the later the present votire sound are that (Mahada on such than is a state of high property to, γ is hardly compatible with this. It seems best to leave the date undefined, except γ_i , γ_i is hardly compatible with this. It seems best to leave the date undefined, except the property of the pro

The Proem (2-5) and Epilogue (26-29) form by themselves a complete poem; v. 26 follows close on v. 5. The theories, that they are from another hand than that of vv. 6-25 and of a late, even an exilic or

33 And this is the blessing, wherewith Moses the man of 2 God blessed the children of Israel before his death. And he said.

post-exilic, date (Steuern, Berth., Marii), cannot be ruled out as impossible—for they have some phraes peculiar to themselves and to late writings (see notes below) and the O.T. contains similar pashus on the earlier conditions of Israel, which are certainly late. But on the other hand there is no word or phrase in them which is indubitably down the history than the Blessings themselves. They shared the vigour and optimism of these. Besides, the text of the Proem shows at dilapidation compatible with a long oral tradition from an early peak at the second of the provided of the provided the latter with a most suitable and womathetic frame.

The Metre is more rough and irregular than that of the Song in ch. xxiii, but less so that that of Gen. xiix, which we might expert from the respective dates of the three pieces. The same rule prevails of, in general, a stresse to the line, of the pieces. The same rule prevails of, in general, a stresse to the line, of the contract case as under one stress or accent. But if this latter rule is always to be observed there are also several lines of only a stresse. Lines which have undoubstedly a stresse is a stresse in the stress of the stresses in the stresses of the stresses in the stresses in the stresses in the stresses of the s

1. An editor's introduction; note children of Israel, not D's all Israel.

the blassing...blassed] This title is not given to the less hopeful oracles assigned to Jacob in Gen. xik. Great sanctity was ascribed to the words of a dying father or leader on the fortunes of his sons or followers, for such a blassing was before fabruat; Gen. xxvii. 7, 23, 27 ff., xiviii. 9, 20, xiix., cp. Josh. xiv. 13, man of God! Frequently of prophets: Moses, Josh. xiv. 6 (deut.),

man of God] Frequently of prophets: Moses, Josh. xiv. 6 (deut.), Ps. xc. (title); Samuel, 1 Sam. ix. 6, 10; Elijah, 1 Kgs xvii. 18; Elisha, 2 Kgs iv. 7, 9, 15, 22, 25, 27; a nameless prophet, 1 Kgs xiii.

2-5. THE PROEM-THE ORIGIN OF ISRAEL.

The Revelation by which the tribes became a nation is described in the mingled figures of a dawn and a thunderstorm, theophanise frequent in the Ar, pottry of the desert where natural phenomena suggestive of divine appearance and power are few (hardly more than these and the rainbowly, and used several times in Heb, poetry of Jehovah the Inhabiter of Sinai; Jud. v. 4.f., Hab. iii.; fl.; cp. Pss. xviii. xxiis. and contrast t Kgs xix. in 17. See further Early Poetry of Hendt, 56ff.

2 The LORD from Sinai is come And risen on us from Se'ir.

Digitized by Google

The LORD came from Sinai.

And rose from Seir unto them: He shined forth from mount Paran,

And he came from the ten thousands of 'holy ones: At his right hand 2 was a fiery law unto them.

1 Heb. holiness.

2 Or, was fire, a law Or, as otherwise read, were streams for them

Hath flashed from the hills of Parán, And sped from Meribath-Kadesh.

[From the South (?) blazed fire (?) on them:]

Lover indeed of His people, His hallowed are all in His hand, They, they fall in (?) at Thy feet,

They take up Thine orders, [Moses commanded us law]

His domain is the Assembly of Jacob, And King He became in Yeshurun,

When the heads of the people were gathered, The tribes of Israel were one.

2. The LORD] Jehovah; as frequently, the Divine Name opens the poem; see on i. 6.

Sinai] See i. 2, 6, on Horeb, and on the view that the mountain lay in Se'ir cp. Jud. v. 4.

rose] Like the sun : rays, or beams, forth. unto them] So Heb. and Sam. But LXX, Targ., Vulg. read to us. V. Gall (followed by Berth. and Marti) reads to his people.

shined forth] Or flashed, so of God in Pss. l. 2, lxxx. 1 (2), xciv. 1; and Job.

Paran | See i. 1; mount Paran, as in Hab. iii. 3, is not to be identified with any one range in that mountainous wilderness; mount is collective.

came] Better comes, hies or is sped; a vb common in Aram. but in Heb. used only in poetry.

from Meribath-Kadesh] A probable conjecture from the Heb.

merib both-kodesh = from holy myriads and LXX with myriads of Kadesh. Others propose, with him (so Sam. Pesh. Targ. instead of comes) were holy chariots (mark*both-kodesh). From the Targ, with him were holy myriads arose the late Iewish belief that angels (cp. LXX άγγελοι in next clause) ministered at the giving of the Law, Acts vii. 53, Gal. iii. 19, Heb. ii. 2.

At his right hand] Or from; confirmed by the Versions; yet it is possible that for mimino we should read mirramin = from the South.

in parallel to the previous lines. was a fiery law] Very questionable. The Heb. consonants 'sh d th are written as one word, but read by the Massoretes as two, 'esh dath = 3 Yea, he loveth the peoples; All 2his saints are in thy hand: And they sat down at thy feet;

Every one *shall receive of thy words.

4 Moses commanded us a law.

An inheritance for the assembly of Jacob.

2 Or, their holy ones fire, law: but their construction is awkward and dath is a late word from the Persian and improbable here. Sam. reads two words, each = light; if the first be read as a vb we get the probable there flashed light. Dillm. adding two consonants reads a burning fire. By reading one word we have an equivalent of the Aram, 'ashidoth = lightning flashes: cp. Hab. iii. 4, He had horns (i.e. rays) from his hand. LXX άγγελοι, cp. Ps. civ. 4 his ministers a flame of fire. The line may be an intrusion; it is not one of a couplet.

3 Or, received

3. he loveth Heb. partic, hobeb, only here; the meaning is assured

from other Semitic dialects.

1 Or, tribes

the peoples If the Heb, is accepted render tribes. But LXX has

his people.

his saints | Not in an ethical sense, but as hallowed, or set apart, to Him; either all Israel or more probably their specially consecrated warriors; see ii. 34, xx. 2 ff., and cp. the other form of the same root, mekuddashaw for warriors in 'Isai.' xiii. 3.

thy hand] So Sam. LXX; Luc. his hands, Vulg. his hand; Pesh. he blesses.

The text of the next couplet is uncertain; they sat down is a doubtful conjecture from the Ar. of the meaning of the Heb. verb otherwise unknown. But warriors do not sit. The LXX these are under thee and Sam. they humble, or submit, themselves suggest they fall in (in their ranks) which suits the following at thy feet, i.e. behind thee; cp. Jud. v. 15 rushed forth at his feet, I Sam. xxv. 42; shall receive, Heb. imperf, better rendered as a present take up. Ball conjectures, they went at his feet, they travelled in his ways, and Berth, he sustains thy lot and keeps his covenant with thee, both ingenious but unsupported by textual evidence, and the former tame.

4. Moses commanded us a law The change to 1st pers. plur. (but LXXB won), the introduction of Moses' name, and the fact that the line is an odd one, raise the suspicion that it is a gloss. Law, Heb. Tôrah, in its widest sense (see on i. 5, xxxi.); omit a. If the line be retained, the next line is in apposition and we must render with Sam. (and LXX) a possession for the assembly of Jacob (cp. Ps. cxix. 111). But without changing the consonants we may read, His possession, or dominion, is the assembly of Jacob; a parallel to the next line. Assembly, Heb., kehillah, only here and Neh. v. 7, in D and elsewhere kahal (see on v. 22 and xxiii. 1 (2)), the whole nation as a body politic. Possession elsewhere only in P, Ex. vi. 8, and Ezek. (6 times) mostly of the land. And the was king in Jeshurun,

When the heads of the people were gathered, All the tribes of Israel together.

Let Reuben live, and not die; ²Yet let his men be few.

here. On Yeshurun see xxxii. 15.

And this is the blessing of Judah: and he said, Hear, LORD, the voice of Judah.

¹ Or, there was a king ² Or, And let not his men

5. And he became king in feshurun] i.e. Jehovah. Graf, Wellh., Stade render and there was a king, i.e. Saul, but Saul is not relevant

6-25. THE BLESSING PROPER.

6 Re'ubén, may he live and not die, Though few be his men.

6. On the whole this seems the most probable rendering of aperhaps instinuinally—ambiguous oracle. Others take the second line differently:—but let his most be few as reflecting the actual condition of the tribe (Divrley); nor let his mon be few (Graf) continuing the influence of the previous negative, but see Driver's note against this; so that his most be few (Dillin, Steuern, etc.), which is much the same as the paraphrase above. Heb. let his mo be a number, an idion elsewhere used only of a small number (see on iv. 7) so that the suggested where used only of a small number (see on iv. 7) so that the suggested Realnen though the firstborn ishall not have the excellency; see the notes there. In Jud. v. if the trube is scorned for its failure to join the others against the Cananaites, and except for 1 Chron. v. 3—10 does not again appear in Israel's history. Nor does Mesha of Moab, 9th cent. H.C., name it. The oracle is therefore probably earlier than that date.

LNXA, etc., real Led Simon by many in samber, and Halipins IIII.1 Deep of the Asterial Arberts 1, 113() supported by Boan Orl'yle's Perdition of the Exodus, $g_1(1)$ conjectures that the first couplet of the next beissing in Judah was originally of Simon with a play upon his same: Henr-e-thmar—the code of Shiev's and bring him is such his perfect, and takes the rest of Johng with x, 11 and the second of the code of Shiev's and bring him is such his perfect has been been a such that the code of the code of Simon in a few code of the LNX may be a later attempt to fill up the number of the code of the

7 And this of Judah, and he said:— Hear, Lord, the voice of Judah! And bring him in to his people. His own hands have striven for him, But Thou shalt be help from his foes. And bring him in unto his people:

With his hands he contended for himself:

And thou shalt be an help against his adversaries. And of Levi he said.

Thy Thummim and thy Urim are with "thy godly one,

1 Or, Let his hands be sufficient for him 2 Or, for them 3 Or, him whom thou lovest

7. See introductory note above.

bring him in] Not back. Judah is isolated from the rest of the nation, but whether this refers to that early isolation, to which Deborah's silence upon Judah testifies, or to the later one after the Disruption of the Kingdom it is impossible to say; see introd. to this ch.

With his hands, etc.] Text uncertain, Sam. his hand, LXX his hands, contend for him. Read therefore His own hands have striven for him, in antithesis to the next line, But thou, etc. This is better than Stade's 'with thy hands strive thou for him and thou,' etc. R.V. marg., reading another vb with the same consonants, is possible but less likely; better than it is his own hands have sufficed for him. Calvin: let his hands suffice him; so too Geddes. Contrast the very different description of Judah in Gen. xlix, 8-12.

8 And of Levi he said:-

Give Levi Thy Thummim, Thine Urim to the man of Thy grace, Whom Thou didst prove at Probation

And strive with(?) at Waters-of-Strife: Who said of his father and mother, I do not regard them;

Nor avowed he his brothers. Nor acknowledged his sons; But Thine oracles they kept, And guarded Thy covenant.

IO They deliver Thy judgements to Jacob, And Thy law to Israel; They set up smoke in Thy nostrils,

Holocausts up on Thine altar. 11 Bless Thou his service, O LORD, And accept the work of his hands!

Shatter his opponents' loins, And his haters past their opposing.

8. Thy Thummim, etc.] This line is overloaded and has no parallel. Prefix (with LXX) Give Levi, and the result is two parallel lines of 3+3 or 3+2 as above. Thummim and Urim In inverse order from other records of them

in the O.T.:- 1 Sam. xiv. 41 (LXX); P, Ex. xxviii. 30, Lev. viii. 8;

Whom thou didst prove at Massah,

With whom thou didst strive at the waters of Meribah;
Who said of his father, and of his mother, I have not 9
seen him:

Neither did he acknowledge his brethren, Nor knew he his own children:

Ezr. ii. 63, Neh. vii. 65. They were the two sacred lots used by the priest in giving decisions. See Dri.'s full note, Exod. 313 f.

thy godly one] Cp. LXX rô arôp: rô ôrio; Heb. Teh **sidka, the man who showed the besed or true love; or, more probably from the context, to whom thou didst show hesad. It is possible to render to the men of him to whom thou, etc., i.e. Levi or Moses or Aaron. The emendation hasaltha or *hasaltha or the grace, is attractive [Ball).

Whom thou didst prove at Massah, etc.] It is difficult if not impossible to harmonise this couplet with the stories of what happened at Merlbah=Strife as told by JE, Ex. xvii. 16—7, and JP, Num. xx. 2—13 (cp. above vi. 16, ix. 22, xxxiii. 51).

For a Masach the people is said to have reries until Mosex and to have tempted present Jeleston, and at Method in howe service until Mosex and American for present Jeleston, and a Method in howe service until Mosex and Jeleston for want of faith. Here on the other hand it is but blanted Moses and Aaron for want of faith. Here on the other hand it is but blanted for the service of the service o

Others explain the couplet as referring to a proof of the tribe Lavi not recorded clewhere (yet pc, Ex. xxxi; of II., Others (e.g., Wellh. Hist. 184, Steuern.) translate for whom (instead of with whom) Thou didst stree—whom Thou didst champion, i.e. by giving them the power to read the vb as a Hiphil, whom Thou causedit to strive or whom Thou broughtest into strife.

9. Above all claims of kindred the tribe set their duty to the oracles and covenant of Jehovah (cp. xiii. 6 (7) ff., Matt. x. 37, Luke xiv. 26).

'It is not blood but abnegation of blood that constitutes the priest. He must act for Jehovah's sake as if he had neither father, nor mother, neither brothers nor children' (Wellh. loc. cir.). Some interpret this specifically of the impartiality of

For they have observed thy word.

And keep thy covenant.

They shall teach Jacob thy judgements, And Israel thy law:

They shall put incense before thee,

And whole burnt offering upon thine altar.

II Bless, LORD, his substance.

1 Heb. in thy nostrils.

the priests as ministers of justice, they did not respect persons (cp. i. 16 f, xvii. 9f); others see an allusion to Ex. xxxii. 17—29; but both these interpretations are too particular.

Note that, as in D, the whole tribe of Levi are priests, and that in contrast to Gen. xlix. 7 the tribe is consolidated. See Ryle's note there.

"The priests appear as a strictly close corporation, so close that they are mentioned only exceptionally in the plural number and for the most part are spoken of collectively in the singular as no organic unity which embraces not merely the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract of the contract Moses, the friend of Jebovah, us had been accessored with the legislate is fife with Moses, the friend of Jebovah with the child out of whom he has grown (Wellh, Hitt. 135).

For may be rendered but.

10. judgements...law] Heb. Mishpatim... Torah, cp. xvii. 9 ff. The earlier priest was a teacher and judge (Hos. iv. 6, Mic. iii. 11); and of his functions these also come first here, and are followed by his offices in the ritual of expiation.

incense] Rather smoke of sacrifice; for in the earlier Heb. literature, Isai. i. 13, 1 Sam. ii. 16, Amos iv. 5, Hos. iv. 13, xi. 2, the noun kiferth (here kiferah) and the vb kitter refer always to such smoke and not to incense.

Of the use of incense in Insuel's worship there is no evidence before the print of the actificial feast reserved for Him. This seems to have been the printine then of the process from the alast conveyed to the Detri in an eitheral form the prints of the actificial feast reserved for Him. This seems to have been the printine then of the process from the alast conveyed to the Detri in an eitheral form the prints of the actificial feast reserved for Him. This seems to have been the printine then of the process of the printing the print

whole burnt offering | See xiii, 16 (17).

11. substance] Better strength or efficiency and so service, parallel

13

And accept the work of his hands:

Smite through the loins of them that rise up against him, And of them that hate him, that they rise not again,

Of Benjamin he said,

The beloved of the LORD shall dwell in safety by him; He covereth him all the day long,

And he dwelleth between his shoulders.

And of Joseph he said.

Blessed of the LORD be his land:

to work of his hands. Yet it might mean host, ranks or order. Calvin retaining substance says 'it appears to have been intended tacitly to provide against the poverty which awaited the Levites,' and quotes Ps.

cxxxii. 15. that rise up against him ... that hate him] To what this refers is unknown. Some refer it to Num. xvi. 1 ff. or 1 Kgs xii. 31; and the hostility of the prophets to the priests is well-known. As we have seen, others assign the lines to the 'Blessing' on Judah.

> 12 And of Benjamin he said:-[Benjamin (?)] beloved of the LORD, He dwelleth securely always (?). The Highest is a covert above him,

And dwelleth between his shoulders. As the overloaded first line of the Heb., the want of a fourth line and the variants of the versions indicate, the text is probably corrupt. The above re-arrangement in a quatrain, though finding some support from the versions, is precarious like every emendation which rests mainly on efforts to regularise the rhythm.—The picture here given is very different from that in Gen. xlix. 27, which reflects the valiant and even savage qualities of the tribe as described in Jud, iii. 15 f., v. 14, xix., xx. 21—25. while this reflects its religious privileges under the (divided) monarchy. (See Ryle.)

The beloved of the LORD Heb. y'dtd Yahweh; cp. Y'didiah of Solomon, 2 Sam. xii. 25. Of all Israel, Jer. xi. 15.

dwell in safety] Cp. v. 28, xii. 10. Above always (Heb. all the day) is (with some scholars) brought here from the next line.

by him] Heb, 'alaw, more accurately upon him but superfluous both to the sense (and if three lines are read) to the metre; not found in Sam. or LXX; and so either a careless anticipation of 'alaw in the next line, or to be read as the LXX apparently have done (for they introduce à beés at the beginning of the next line) 'elyon=the Most High. So Herder, Geddes, etc.

his shoulders] The ridges of Benjamin's territory: cp. Josh. xv. 8, xviii. 13. Since P, Josh. xv. 7, xviii. 15 f., 28, reckons Jerusalem as in Benjamin (while J, Josh. xv. 63 assigns it to Judah) this line has been interpreted as referring to the Temple. But in what is evidently a poem of N. Israel the reference is probably to Beth-el.

13 And of Joseph he said:

Blessed of the LORD be his land.

DEUTERONOMY Digitized by Google

24

For the precious things of heaven, for the dew.

And for the deep that coucheth beneath,

14 And for the precious things of the fruits of the sun,
And for the precious things of the growth of the moons,
15 And for the chief things of the ancient mountains.

With the wealth of heaven above, And the deep that crouches beneath.

14 With the wealth of the crops of the sun,
And the wealth of the yield of the moons.

15 With the best (2) from the hills of yore.

15 With the best (?) from the hills of yore, And the wealth of the ancient heights.

16 Even the wealth of the land and its fulness, And His favour who dwelt in the Bush. May they come on the head of Yoséph, On the skull of the crowned of his brothers!

On the skull of the crowned of his brothers
17 His firstling bull's be the splendour,
And his horns the horns of the wild ox!

With them he thrusteth the peoples Together to the ends of the earth. These be the myriads of Ephraim, And these the thousands of Manasseh.

The rather longer Blessing of Joseph in Gen, sile, $z_0 = p\delta$ dwells similarly on the richness of the territory, and on the primacy of Joseph among the tribes. But it reflects, as this does not, a contest with foreign fees in which he has suffered severely, yet his strength is maintained by the help of the Mighesty One of Joseph Act of the Scheherd, the News of Israell'), the God of the Jackette. The following are close parallels: v. 13 with Gen. 31: 85; c, 41: 10: 64; with Get. 32: 85; c, 41: 10: 64; c, 41: 85; c, 41: 86; c, 41:

13. For] Rather with or from, and so throughout 13-16.

precious things] Heb. meged, exact meaning uncertain. It is found only here and in Cant. iv. 13, 6, vii. 13 (14) where its plur. is found only here and in Cant. iv. 13, 6, vii. 13 (14) where its plur. in Cantiler the triplets of the Cantiler the Canti

for the dew] So Sam. LXX. Read (with the change of one

consonant) from above as in Gen. xlix. 25.

the dop! Heb. Thim without the art. as always, because originally the proper name of the mythical monster, Bab. Tilmant, identified with the Ocean and its supposed extension below, as well as around, the carth, the source of springs and founiants; cp. LXX are 386 sear wrysts. The personification further survives in the epithet condring or the control of the contro

14. growth Yield or crop, what is thrust forth, only here. LXX άπὸ σινόδων.

15. chief things] Heb. rôsh (collect.) tops or rather headlands, see

And for the precious things of the everlasting hills. And for the precious things of the earth and the fulness 16 thereof.

And the good will of him that dwelt in the bush:

Let the blessing come upon the head of Joseph, And upon the crown of the head of him that was separate from his brethren.

²The firstling of his bullock, majesty is his;

And his horns are the horns of the wild-ox: With them he shall 3 push the peoples all of them, even

the ends of the earth:

And they are the ten thousands of Ephraim, And they are the thousands of Manasseh.

1 Or, that is prince among 2 Or, His firstling bullock 3 Or, gore. on iii. 27 and small print under xii. 2. Some conjecture reshith the

best (fruit) of the hills. Cp. xxxii. 13 increase of the mountains. 16. good will Or favour, from same root as accept in v. 11.

that dwelt in the bush | See Ex. iii. 2-4. As there bush is soneh, tempting some to read instead Sinai (Wellh., Steuern.). The name Sinai used to be derived from soneh, LXX βάτος, a blackberry or bramble bush, according to some the rubus fructuosus, which however is not found in Sinai, cp. Palest. under the Moslems, 73. More probably thorn-bush as in Aram, apparently from a root signifying to sharpen, 'the thing with points, spines or teeth.' This bush God does not merely let Himself be seen in as in Ex. iii. 2, but He inhabits it. The LXX τῶ όφθέντι does not accept this, but harks back to Ex. iii. 2.

The next two lines are as in Gen, xlix. 26, except that for let them be we have let...come (?) an impossible form, which we may emend to let

them come, i.e. the blessings stated in the previous lines. that was separatel Heb, nazir, set apart solemnly as a Nazarite or

as a Prince (La. iv. 7 R.V. nobles). So Sam. nesek or nasík, devoted (to God). More probably the crowned one, from nezer, crown (Zech. ix. 16). But see Skinner's and Ryle's notes on Gen. xlix. 26. LXX there ων ἡγήσατο άδελφων, but here Δοξασθείς έπ' (or έν) αδελφοίς. 17. The firstling of his bullock] Ephraim, Gen. xlviii. 13 ff.

wild-ox] Heb. re'em, Ar. ri'm = the white antelope, leucoryx (see on xiv. 5), but the descriptions in the O.T. prove that the Heb. re'em was rather the Ass. rimu, a gigantic species of ox ('Bos primigenius') now extinct, though its teeth have been found in the valley of the Nahr el-Kelb, in the district where Tiglath Pileser I. (B.C. 1120 ff.) hunted the rimu (Tristr. Nat. Hist. of the Bible, 146 ff., Houghton, Trans. Soc. Bibl. Arch. v. 33, 326 ff.; see more fully Driver's note).

These be | So (without and) Sam. LXX, etc.

24-2

18 And of Zebulun he said,

Rejoice, Zebulun, in thy going out;

And, Issachar, in thy tents.

19 They shall call the peoples unto the mountain; There shall they offer sacrifices of righteousness: For they shall suck the abundance of the seas.

18 And of Zebulun he said:

Rejoice, Zebulún, in thine outgoing, And in thy tents, Issachár!

19 Peoples they call to the mountain (?), There slav they the sacrifices due.

There slay they the sacrifices due. For the affluence of seas do they suck And the hidden hoards of the sand.

The territory of Zebulun in Josh. Six, 10—56 runs assumed or newtoners, but such as a few points of the property of the proper

18. going out] Either the tribe's outlet seaward, Gen. xlix. 13; or more probably their (foreign) trade; on the Heb. vb as = doing business

see above, xiii. 13 (14), xxviii. 6.

Insuchar, in (4) tent). According to Josh, xix. 17—23 Issachar had a number of towns, some important, but all either by name or situation) aggicultural with very fertile suburbs on the Plain. Tents, then, is used either pocically for Josephses (cp. to thy tents O Israel); or refers to the custom (seen to-day among the townsfolk of Moah) of refers to the custom (seen to-day among the townsfolk or the tillage of fields at a distance from the towns. Such was the scope of their

energies. LXX his tents.

15. They call. . Then they offer) Their markets for their trade with other tribes or peoples were also religious seistvals, a combination characteristic of the Semitic world (as of others even in modern times) and illustrated at Sinal, jerusalem, Bethel (tude Amos), Higapolis and Mecca. The mountain may have been Carmel or Tabor; but the text in uncertain. LXX have a verb followed by and which suggests the mountain. Sarrifeet of rightourners are of course the legal, due or fitting sacrifeces. Sam. s. of trust.

abundance] This form of the Heb. term is found only here; but it occurs in Aram. The lit. meaning is flowing; render affluence, pro-

20

21

And the hidden treasures of the sand.

And of Gad he said, Blessed be he that enlargeth Gad:

He dwelleth as a lioness,

And teareth the arm, yea, the crown of the head. And he 'provided the first part for himself,

1 Or, chose Heb. saw.

fusion (LXX πλοῦτος); all that the Phoenicians drew from the sea their sea-borne trade and fisheries and possibly the dredging for sponges still carried on off 'Athlit and Carmel.

of the sur]. Plur, as often in poetry, Jud. v. 17, Gen. xlix. 13.
And the hidden treasures, etc. The Heb. construction (confirmed by
Sam.) is awkward, and perhaps we should read a finite vb instead of
the participle hidden: and gather (or scrapt, ep. Ar. siden) the hards
of the sand. The reference is either to the manufacture of glass which
took place on the sands. S. of 'Akka (Josephus, 11. Bell.) Jud. x. 1;
Tacitus, Hist. v. 7; Pliny, Hist. Mat. v. 17, XXXVI. 63) or to the production of purple from the murse (Pliny, H.M. 18, 66—65) large quaduction of purple from the murse (Pliny, H.M. 18, 66—65) large to

duction of purple from the murex (Pliny, H.N. 1x. 60-65) lar tities of the emptied shells of which are still found about Tyre. 20 And of Gad he said:

Blessed be the Broadener of Gad, Like a lion he haunts

And tears the arm, yea the scalp.

And he saw to the best for himself,
[For there was the lot of the leader (?)]

Yet he went with the heads of the people,
He wrought the just will of the LORD.

And his judgements along with Israel.

On Gar's territory we Jim. 26.

On Jim. 27.

On Jim.

20. he that enlargeth, etc.] Jehovah. The reference is usually interpreted of the recovery of Gad's territory from the Syrians, a Kgs siv. 45f., and as proof of a date for the poem between that and the conquest by Tighath Pileser (c from v. 26). But it may as well be a reference to the original allotment of so vast a territory to Gad, [Osh. xiii. 24].

dwelleth] So Sam. Haunts is more appropriate. LXX dνεπαύσατο. as a lioness] Cp. 1 Chron. xii. 8: Gadites,...whose faces were like the faces of lions.

21. provided] Lit. saw but = saw to.

first part] Or the best, Heb. reshith. See above, v. 15; and on xviii. 4.

Digitized by Google

For there was 'the lawgiver's portion reserved; And he came 'zwith' the heads of the people, He executed the justice of the LORD, And his judgements with Israel.

22 And of Dan he said,

Dan is a lion's whelp, That leapeth forth from Bashan.

23 And of Naphtali he said,

1 Or, a ruler's portion 2 Or, to

For there, etc.] Both the text of this line and the beginning of the next—ki sham helkath mehokek saphum (so far confirmed by Sam.) weavyeth—and the meaning, for there the low of a ruler was laid up, and he came, etc., are very uncertain. The line is an odd one and may well be a gloss upon the preceding line.

If the Heb. test be accuped, the meaning is that although Gad had received that gaze and princely erritory E. of Jordan yet be cause with (Sam. associated himself wide) the bends of the people to the compacts of W. Palestine, loyal to the righteous Phands of the people to the compacts of W. Palestine, loyal to the righteous Phands emendations are deplete mediately, and all to the contained (Gieschecht); hallfalls deplete methods for the National Angles and the state of the state of

22 And of Dan he said: Dan, a whelp of a lion, He leaps from Bashán.

22. The situation assigned is that northern one, to which the tribe migrated from their earlier seat in the South [Jud. xviii. 7]. They settled at Laish (a pocical term for lion) or Leshem, thereafter called Dan, which is usually identified with Tellet-Kadi (Eadi — Dan) in the form the control of the state and the impossibility of holding the valley—the main orthern avenue into Palestine—except from the heights above the neighbouring Banias, on which stand the ruins of the Crusaders' Castl, the special control of the control

23 And of Naphtali he said: Naphtali sated with favour, And full of the blessing of the LORD, Sea and South shall he hold.

24

25

O Naphtali, satisfied with favour,

And full with the blessing of the LORD:

Possess thou the 'west and the south. And of Asher he said.

Blessed be Asher 2with children: Let him be acceptable unto his brethren, And let him dip his foot in oil.

Thy bars shall be iron and brass:

1 Or, sea 2 Or, above sons 3 Or, shoes

23. The first two lines reflect the extraordinary fertility of mount Naphtali (Josh. xx. 7) i.e. Upper Galilee between the Lake on the E. and the territory of Asher on the W., 'an undulating tableland arable and everywhere tilled, with swelling hills...covered with shrubs and trees' (Robinson); along with the still more exuberantly fertile plain of Gennesaret (HGHL, 417-421, 446 f. with citations in proof from Josephus, etc.).

satisfied with favour] Cp. Ps. cxlv. 16.

the sea Not the Mediterranean (Sam. the West) but the sea of Kinnéreth, iii. 17.

the south] Heb. Darom (so Sam.), a late poetic word, Ez. xl. 27 f., Iob xxxvii. 17, LXX λιβά, the S.W. wind, a happy conjecture, for no wind brings more moisture to Mount Naphtali. Geddes: South because Naphtali's land lay S. of that of Dan; Graf and Dillm. the hot land in the deep trench of the Jordan valley and upon the Lake where the vegetation is tropical. Driver: 'so styled it seems partly in contrast to the main possessions of the tribe (which were farther N.). partly with allusion to the sunny warmth which prevails there'; Berth. emends, 'the sea and the way of the sea' (derek vam), cp. Is. viii, 23.

hold thou | So Heb. Sam. LXX read he shall hold.

24 And of Asher he said: Blessed above sons be Ashér, Be the favoured of his brethren. And be dipping his foot in oil. Iron and brass be thy bars, And thy strength as thy days,

Asher lay W. of Naphtali on the same range and enjoyed similar fertility, cp. Gen. xlix. 20: 'I know not if there be in all antiquity a more finished picture' (Geddes),

24. Blessed above sons be Asher] As in R.V. marg., cp. Jud. v. 24. in oil | All the Galilean highlands were famous for their olives. 'It is easier to raise a legion of olives in Galilee than to bring up a child in Palestine' (Bereshith Rabba, 20). 25. bars Heb. min'al, found only here, but the meaning is con-

firmed by that of the similar form man'ul, Neh. iii. 3, etc., and by the

And as thy days, so shall thy ¹strength be.

There is none like unto God, O Jeshurun,
Who rideth upon the heaven for thy help,
And in his excellency on the skies.

· 1 Or, rest Or, security

Sam. The shoes of A.V. and R.V. marg. and the LXX ὑπόδημα are a false conjecture from na'al, sandal. Thy, LXX his.

iron and brass] Or possibly basalt and bronze; see on viii. 9. strength] So Sam., LXX, Targ., perhaps reading robe' for the Heb.

dobe', which is not found elsewhere and is of unknown meaning. Some render rest after the doubtful analogy of Ar.; Vulg. old age, as if reading d'b for db'.

26-29. THE EPILOGUE.

- 26 None like the God of Yeshurun!— Riding the heavens to thy help, And the skies in His loftiness.
- And the skies in His loftiness.
 The Eternal God is thy refuge,
 And beneath are the arms everlasting.
 He drove out before thee the foe,
- And He said, Destroy!
 28 So Israel dwelt securely,
 Secluded the fount of Jacob.
- Secluded the fount of Jacob, On a land of corn and wine, His heavens too dropped with dew. 29 Happy thou Israel! Who is like thee?
- A people saved by the LORD.

 [He is] the shield of thy help,
 And the sword that exalts thee;
 Till thy foes come to thee fawning,
 But thou on their heights dost march.

This section follows closely on to vv. 2-5, with which it may have been originally one poem.

28. like the God of feshurun] So Sam., LXX., Targ., Vulg.; but Heb. reads like the God, O Y*shurun. Parallels to this line are found in J, Ex. viii. 10, ix. 14; in the Poem, Ex. xv. 11; 2 Sam. vii. 22, and above iv. 35, 30, xxxii. 39.

excellency] Rather loftiness, exaltation. Geddes sees an allusion to the pillar of cloud by day and fire by night. Of the divine sublimity only here and Ps. lxviii. 34 (35); also there with skies.

skies] Or less probably fine clouds; Geddes: 'the subtile air.' The word occurs only in the Second Isaiah, the late Jer. li. 9, Job, Proverbs and Psalms, many of which are certainly late.

The eternal God is My dwelling place, 27
And underneath are the everlasting arms:
And he thrust out the enemy from before thee,
And said, Destroy,
And Israel dwelleth in safety, 28

The fountain of Jacob alone, In a land of corn and wine;

Yea, his heavens drop down dew. Happy art thou, O Israel:

Who is like unto thee, a people saved by the LORD, The shield of thy help,

And that is the sword of thy excellency!

And thine enemies shall 'submit themselves unto thee; And thou shalt tread upon their high places.

Or, yield feigned obedience

27. dwelling place] As in Ps. xc. 1. A.V. refuge; and some moderns thy refuge by emending the text. The LXX renders the line $\kappa \alpha l \ \sigma \kappa \epsilon - m \dot{\alpha} \alpha c c c \ \theta \cos \dot{\alpha} \alpha c \dot{\alpha}$.

And undernoith are the evertaining arms.] Berth. and Marti oddly declare this beautiful line univelligible, on the ground that the arms of God inhabiting heaven (e. . 6) cannot at the same time be conceived as beneath His people! By changing one consonant and pointing others differently they substitute and the power [arms] of the wicked was broken. But the figure of the arms underneath (ep. Hox, xi. 3, Ps. line). It is a twelling or energy "God as a dwelling or energy". God at once the foundation and the roof of their abode "energy".

And he drave out; in Hex. only here and in JE (frequently); not in D nor deut. passages.

And said, Destroy] A line of but 2 stresses.

28. fountain...alone] For fountain, 'ain, some propose 'am, people.

28. Journal ... atom? For journaln, 'ain, some propose 'am, people.

But the figure is emphatic and natural after the previous line: Israel's life shall flow unmixed, untainted with that of the expelled peoples.

29. The metre here is irregular, the first line is overloaded, the

third too short, but the text is mostly confirmed by the Versions. shield | God as shield, Gen. xv. t, Ps. iii. 3 (4), xviii. 2, 30 (3, 31), lxxiv. 11 (12).

that is] So Heb.; but omit with Sam. LXX.

excellency] The same word as in v. 26, but here in the passive sense of being exalted, come to thee fawning] Or cringing. Pss. xviii. 44 (45), lxvi. 3,

come to thee fawning or cringing. Pss. xviii. 44 (45), lxvi. 3, lxxxi. 15 (16).

34 And Moses went up from the plains of Moab unto mount Nebo, to the top of Pisgah, that is over against Jericho. And the Lord shewed him all the land of Gilead,

CH. XXXIV. THE DEATH AND BURIAL OF MOSES.

Moses ascends Nebo and the LORD shows him the Land—from Dan to Zoar—promised to the Patriarchs, which he is not to enter (1—4). So he dies, and God buries him, in the land of Moab, no man knowing his grave [4.7]—his age 110 years, reached with unbatted strength. He is mourned by Israel 30 days, and Joshua, whom he consecrated, succeeds him in the people's obedience (7—9). The Book closes with homage to his incomparable rank as a prophet (10—12)—As the varied phraseology reveals, the passage is a compilation from the main sources of the Pent., each of which must have contained some account of the individual control of the property of the property

1. Moses went up] As commanded, iii. 27, xxxii. 49.

ptains of Most). Heb. tarbith Molan, the parts of the 'Arabal nee on i. 1) reckoned as Mashite. The designation is peculiar to P, who gives it as Israel's last camp before crossing Jordan, Num. xxxiii. 4,8—50. Num. xxiii. 1, xxxii. 5, 30, xxxii. 1, xxxxii. 3, Xxxxii. 1, xxxxii. 3, Xxxxii. 3, Xxxxii. 3, Xxxxii. 3, Xxxii. 3, Xxxxii. 3, Xxxii. 3, Xxxiii. 3, Xxxiiii. 3, Xxxiii. 3, Xxxiiii. 3, Xxxiii. 3, Xxxiii. 3, Xxxiiii. 3, Xxx

higher hollow that debouches on the 'Arabah.

unto mount Nebo, the headland of the Pisgah | The former is P's name for the mount (xxxii. 49), the latter that of E (Num. xxi. 20, xxiii. 14) and deuteron, writers, see on iii. 17. It is the headland which breaks from the plateau of Moab between Heshbon and Medaba under the name en-Nebā (= 'mountain-back,' Dalman MNPDV, 1900, p. 23) or Ras en-Neba, and runs out to the S. of the W. 'Uvûn Musa upon the N. end of the Dead Sea. From the high edge of the Plateau it dips a little, and so loses the view to the E.-Israel's desert horizons for 40 years-but the bulk of W. Palestine is in sight; only at first the nearer side of the Iordan valley is invisible, and N. and S. the view is hampered by the parallel headlands. Further W. however it rises somewhat into the Ras Siaghah, a promontory which, though lower than the Ras en-Neba, stands freer of the hills to N. and S. The whole of the 'Arabah is now open from at least Engedi, and if the mist allows from still farther S., to where on the N. the hills of Gilead appear to meet those of Ephraim, The Iordan flows below, with Jericho visible beyond it. Over Gilead Hermon has been seen in fine weather. See further HGHL, 562 ff.

over against Jericho] Lit. against the face of, i.e. (by Semitic orienta-

tion) to the E. of.

unto Dan; and all Naphtali, and the land of Ephraim and 2 Manasseh, and all the land of Judah, unto the 1 hinder sea; and the South, and the Plain of the valley of Jericho 3 the city of palm trees, unto Zoar. And the LORD said 4 unto him, This is the land which I sware unto Abraham, unto Isaac, and unto Jacob, saving, I will give it unto thy

1 That is, western.

all the Land-Gilead unto Dan, etc.] Not as in EVV. the land of Gilead. Dan itself, either Tell-el-Kadi, on one of the sources of Jordan, or more probably on the neighbouring spur of Hermon above Banias (see above xxxiii. 22, and HGHL, 473, 481), is not visible, but Hermon above it is sometimes seen; and Dan is mentioned as the N. limit of the land. 2. all Naphtali] The lofty country N. and N.W. of the Lake of

Galilee, some of whose hills, over 2,500 feet, may (as Dri, says) be visible from Nebo, as the lower Mt Tabor to the S. of them is.

and all the land of Ethraim and Manassehl. So LXX. These certainly are in sight with Ebal and Gerizim and the intervening valley particularly distinct.

all the land of Judah, unto the hinder sea | A natural hyperbole; the hinder or Western Sea (xi. 24). The Mediterranean is hidden by the hills of Judah. But again the bulk of Judah is in sight, and the Sea is mentioned as its W. boundary.

3. the South | Heb. the Negeb, see on i. 7. the Plain Heb. kikkar, the root meaning of which, to judge from its

use alike for a district, a loaf and a weight, must be round or oval. Render the Round: here in apposition (delete of) to the Bik'ah (lit. space deft or laid open between hills, HGHL 385, 654 f.), or Valley, of Iericho; called also the kikkar of Jordan, Gen. xiii. 10 f., 1 Kgs vii. 46. If (as the present writer still holds, cp. HGHL 505 ff.) the overwhelmed Cities of the Kikkar (Gen. xiii. 12, xix. 29) lay not at the N., but at the S., end of the Dead Sea, the name the Kikkar, like the Ar. phor to-day, was applied to the 'Arabah at both ends of that sea.

the city of palm trees] Jud. i. 16, iii. 13; 2 Chr. xxviii. 15. The district of Jericho was celebrated for its palms from a remote antiquity down to Roman times, and even to those of the Crusades. See for details HGHL

266 and note 4.

i. 8.

unto Zoar The position of this town, S. of the Dead Sea, is strongly attested, HGHL 506 f. The present passage is not decisive, for it is uncertain whether unto Zoar refers only to the Valley of Jericho, or to the whole of the southern regions included in the v.

The originality of this geographical list is doubtful. Sam. has instead the ideal description of the Promised Land, from the River of Egypt unto the Great River, the River Euphrates, and unto the Western Sea. 4. the land which I sware, etc.] As Ex. xxxiii. 1, see above on

Digitized by Google

seed: I have caused thee to see it with thine eyes, but 5 thou shalt not go over thither. So Moses the servant of the LORD died there in the land of Moab, according to the 6 word of the LORD. And 'he buried him in the valley in the land of Moab over against Beth-peor: but no man 7 knoweth of his sepulchre unto this day. And Moses was an hundred and twenty years old when he died: his eye 8 was not dim, nor his natural force abated. And the children of Israel wept for Moses in the plains of Moab thirty days: so the days of weeping in the mourning for 9 Moses were ended. And Joshua the son of Nun was full of the spirit of wisdom; for Moses had laid his hands upon him: and the children of Israel hearkened unto him, to and did as the LORD commanded Moses. And there hath not arisen a prophet since in Israel like unto Moses, whom II the LORD knew face to face; in all the signs and the wonders, which the LORD sent him to do in the land of Egypt,

1 Or, he was buried -

thou shalt not go over thither] i. 37, iii. 27, iv. 21 f., and in P, xxxii.

5. the servant of Jehovah] So JE, Num. xii. 7 f., my servant, and as here, Josh. i. 1 f., 7, 13, 15, etc.

according to the word of, etc.] Lit. mouth of, frequent in P.

 he buried] He can only be Jehovah, for no man knew the grave; hence the rendering they buried, though possible, so far as the grammar goes, is contrary to the sense.

the valley... Beth-peor] See on iii. 29.
7. an hundred and twenty years] Dates, we have seen, are characteris-

tic of P; this one is a round number = three full generations (see on ii. 7); cp. Ex. vii. 7.
nor his natural force abated] Lit. nor had his sap fled or ebbed. The

phrase cannot be assigned to one source more than another.

8. the children of Israel wept...thirty days] So P, Num. xx. 29, of

Aaron; plains of Moab again 'arbôth Mo'ab, see v. 1.

9. was full of the spirit of wisdom] Cp. P in Ex. xxviii. 3, where

the wisdom is of a different kind.

laid his hands upon him] So P, Num. xxvii. 18—23.

10. The phraseology now becomes deuteronomic. See on xviii. 15, 18.

11, 12. These vv. are irrelevant to the more spiritual estimate of Moses' prophetic rank in v. 10, and therefore may be due to a later

to Pharaoh, and to all his servants, and to all his land; and in all the mighty hand, and in all the great terror, 12 which Moses wrought in the sight of all Israel.

hand. On the deuteronomic phrases signs and wonders, mighty hand, great terror, see iv. 34, and on all Israel (not P's children of Israel), see iv. 44, xxxi. 23. Thus the Book closes in characteristically deuteronomic style.

APPENDIX

ON CLEAN AND UNCLEAN ANIMALS

(Deut. xiv. 3-20.)

First, some remarks are necessary on the form of the deuteronomic list. While most of the names have been reasonably identified with animals still found in Palestine—the credit of this is largely due to Canon Tristram—yet full success in such identification is not, and may never be, possible. Especially precarding is the equation of the names with single species. The names are generic, not specific. They are with single species. The names are generic, not specific. They are balded of the animals. But the statement that the have most the cabelled of the animals. But the statement that the have most the cabelled of the statement that the have and the code badger chew the cud is not correct; though Arab hunters still assert this of the rock-badger (see on v. 7), and indeed 'both in hare and hyrax the peculiar munching movements, the backward and forward movements of the lower jaw, are so strongly suggestive of cud-chewing, that one rather admires the suggestion that they do here the cud'.

Like that in Lev. xi. ==3\(^2\) the list in Deuf. is not exhaustive. It details the clean mammals, both domestic and wild, but not the clean birds. It names the unclean birds, but not the unclean mammals except the camel, hare, and rock-badger, not her petiles nor the insects. That some of these, the weasel, mouse, and lizards, are added in Lev. xi. ogf. starts the question whether at the time our list was drawn up it was felt to be enough to count upon the people's natural repugnance additions were due to a fresh temptation to use three animals, which Israel had meantime encountered by contact with foreign customs and cults. But this opens up our main subject.

What was the principle of the distinction between clean and unclean animals? Some of the data are obscure and conflicting; and different explanations are possible, none of which is wholly satisfactory. As we shall see, the complex result, which the Law presents, is probably

due to many causes, both physical and spiritual. The following facts are certain.

All Semitic peoples have distinguished between animals lawful and unlawful for food. But their customs, though similar, have varied aver much in detail, and flesh which was enjoyed by one tribe was often forbidden to another. Nomad from fellah, coast-dweller from desertorbiding the state of the control of the state of the control of the opinion and in practice as to the cleanness or uncleanness of certain animals.



From the earliest times and long before there was written Law on the subject, the same distinction prevailed in Israel. The O.T. traditions vary as to the origin of flesh-eating. J and P agree that in his first estate man did not eat flesh. In I's record the fruits of the ground are given to man for nourishment-every tree pleasant to the sight and good for food-and the animals are created to be his companions; not till he is expelled from the garden and has to cultivate the soil cursed for his sake is anything said of his use of animals for clothing or sacrifice; at the same time serpents are cursed; Noah takes into the Ark seven pairs of every kind of clean animals and one pair of every kind not clean, and of the former offers 'oloth, or whole burnt-sacrifices (Gen. ii. 9, 16, iii. 14 f., vii. 2, 20). In P's account man is granted dominion over all animals; cereals and fruit trees are given to him for food, but to the animals grass and herbage; Noah takes into the Ark two of every kind of living creature, along with all food wont to be eaten (Gen. i. 20 f., vi. 19f.). P knows of no sacrifice nor of any distinction between clean and unclean animals before the legislation at Sinai (see I.P. 76, 80). Up to the establishment of the deuteronomic Law, all slaughter and eating of domestic animals was sacrificial, but venison was eaten without ritual (xii). In the earlier histories the only reference to the distinction between clean and unclean foods is in Judg. xiii. 4, 7, 14, where Manoah's wife is warned not to eat anything unclean, Heb. tame', during her pregnancy. In Hos. ix. 3 f. food eaten in exile is unclean, because it is eaten only for appetite and cannot be brought into a, or the, house of Jehovah, where alone the sacrifice is valid by which it is rendered clean1.

Again, the marks cited by our law as distinguishing clean from unclean mammaks, vir. that they wholly cleave the hoof and that they chew the cud, cannot be intended as the cause or fundamental reason of the distinction. In such features there is nothing to constitute channess, which rested on other grounds. They are an afterthought, and as we have seen in the case of the hare and the lyaxs they are incorrect.

What then were the grounds on which the distinction rested? The answer has often been given that animals were called class or unclean according as experience had proved them wholesome or unwholesome fair for man. It is true that the unclean briefs of our list are feeders on carrion (only the heron, v. 18, was long epipyed in Europe); that can be considered the contraction of the contraction

¹ If the passage is Hosea's, and therefore earlier than D, we must translate a house of Jehovah: if with Marti the vv. are considered a later addition, we must translate the House, and understand by the consecration of the food that which was secured for the whole harvest and increase of flock and herd by the presentation in the temple of firstlings, first-fruits and tithes.

Again, within the same nation some forms of flesh are prohibited to one class of adultu which are allowed to others. In several ancient religious the priests might not eat things permitted to the laty (W. R. the price of the p

Another and a wider explanation, to which sufficient attention has not been given, is that a people's distinction between clean and unclean animals was determined by the degree of their familiarity with them. This would account at least for those cases which are left unexplained by the other theory: the animals, namely, which are counted unclean and are vet wholesome food for man. "Thus the camel, forbidden as food to Israel2 to whom it came as a foreign beast, takes with the Arabs, to whom it is a domestic animal, a leading rank among their foods, replacing the ox, which is not easily feared in the desert and is regarded by many as the less honourable food (see on v. 4). Again fish, readily eaten by Arabs of the coast and of the well-watered Moab and Gilead, is abhorred by Arabs of the waterless desert (see on of.), though these enjoy lizards and the like. Conversely the ostrich, a bird foreign to Palestine, is forbidden to Israel, but in Arabia, of which it is a native, its breast is eaten. Yet this solution offered for the problem is also not perfect. The hare and the wild-boar were as familiar in Palestine to Israel, to whom they were forbidden, as to the Arabs who enjoy them both.

From such physical explanations the argument has therefore fallen back on religious beliefs and customs as the sole and sufficient grounds

of the distinction.

We may begin with a religious explanation relevant only to the thebrew Law. Principal Partick Fairbain (7)pology of Scripture, 11. 427 fb., developing the views of earlier divines, argues that the law of clean and unclean foods manifests at once the bounty and the discipline of God. For man's body it provides enough wholesome fare and on this puts a stamp of sacreefness; but by ruling out of the list of permitted foods some that are wholesome along with all that are unwholesome it trains the appetite to habits of discrimination and abstinence.

¹ So already Patrick Fairbairn (Typology of Scripture, 11, 429 f.), who had not the advantage of the modern evidence quoted above, and who came to his conclusion solely on that of the lists in the Hebrew Law.
² In Egypt and in the wilderness Israel had no camels, and under the monarchy their first camels are in charge of a man with an Arab name, Jerusalem, 1, 323.

The outward distinction was from the first appointed for the sake of the spiritual instruction it was fitted to convey. It was 'a symbol,' and like others it disappeared with the rise of the higher freedom which is in Christ. Such a theory does justice to the law's moral influence of the control of the control of the control of the control of the Sabbath, this law of foods helped to maintain Israel's distinction from the heathen, especially throughout the Greek period. Vet the theory, formed at a time when the comparative study of religions was less advanced than it now is, falls to account for the esistence among other Semites of food-customs very similar to those sanctioned by the fishers common to the whole Semitic race. The latter in ideas and impressions

While the study of Semitic customs reveals everywhere (as we have seen) the practice of a distinction between clean and unclean foods and discovers great varieties in that practice, all of which cannot be explained on physical grounds alone; it also shows that many of the animals forbidden as food by the Hebrere laws were whiteped or interest to the property of the property of the property of the ritual have therefore been pronoued—and by some exclusively pro-

posed-as the basis of the distinction.

Heathen Arabs worshipped the lion and the max or carrior-outliers (W. R. Smith, Kinthip and Marriage in Early Arubia, 308 H); fish with scales and without were ascred to certain Syrian deities (Rel. Sem. 490), and the people of Harran scriftices field-inec, dogs and swine exploration of the state of the seminary between the seminary of the seminary between the seminary between

From this the conclusion has been drawn that 'the unclean creatures are the divine animals of the heathen '(Kinish)' etc., 3091; 'because in one cult something is holy, in another it is impare...; we are led to conclude that it is religious grounds which lie below the prohibitions of certain foods by the Law...; the prohibition of the swine presents itself entirely as a protest against the holiness of that beast in some vanquished or foreign cult' (Berth. on Lev. xi.). It is also pointed out when those cults largely prevailed in W. Asis, (their mystical communions having displaced the old national or tribal cults) and had invaded Israel itself (Kinish), 308 (J.) The case for this theory is therefore very strong, and is further supported by the reason given for the prohibition of certain foods to Israel in the short summary of H,

Lev. xx. 26: ye shall be holy to fehovah, His exclusively and not another god's.

DEUTERONOMY

Digitized by GOOGLE

- -

Yet like the others this explanation fails to account for every case in the lists before us. For example, fish with scales are clean to Israel, though they were regarded as sacred to some Syrian deities; doves were eaten in Israel, though the peculiar symbols of a Syrian goddess; sheep were sacrificed in Israel as well as by all other Semites; and still more the ox was permitted to Israel both as sacrifice and food, although it was worshipped by the Canaanites and its sacredness formed the strongest temptation to idolatry which Israel encountered. Therefore the theory, that the animals forbidden by the Law were unclean to the people of Jehovah because of their sacredness to other deities, needs qualification.

This is offered by another explanation, according to which an animal was unclean to Israel not because it was sacramentally eaten in a heathen shrine, but because Israel themselves believed, or had once believed, that it was the inhabitation of some malignant, supernatural power. Referring to the prohibition of sheres or creeping things because so intensely unclean as to infect whatever they touch (Lev. xi. 20 ff.). W. R. Smith says: 'So strict a taboo is hardly to be explained except by supposing that like the Arabian hanash1 they had supernatural and demoniac qualities' (Rel. Sem. 275, cp. 143 and Kinship, 306). But such a religious belief itself requires explanation. It can have sprung only from these sources :- unfamiliarity with the animals pronounced unclean (as we have seen Arabs of the desert abhorring fish enjoyed by Arabs of the coast, or Israel regarding the camel as unclean while Arabs of all times have partaken of its flesh), or some experience of the pernicious effects of eating certain animals (as the Syrians, with whom fish were sacred to Atargatis, thought that 'if they are a sprat or anchovy they were visited with ulcers, swellings and wasting sickness,' Rel-Sem., 420 f.), or some accidental coincidence between the eating of an animal and an outbreak of disease. It was very natural for men to ascribe to a hostile demon, resident in the animal, both the fear with which the sight of its strange or repulsive shape affected them and any sickness they may have suffered after eating its flesh. So they called this not 'unwholesome' but ritually unclean (tame'). The primary factor, however, in this religious instinct was the strangeness of the beast or its evil taste or the deleterious consequences, real or imaginary, of eating it. And this is confirmed by the primitive rule as to what fruits might be eaten : and Jehovah caused to spring every tree pleasant to the sight and good for food ... and commanded men saying, Of every tree in the garden thou mayest surely eat (I. Gen. ii. o. 16). It is difficult to say whether tahor and tame' meant first physically, or ritually, clean and unclean, though the general analogy of such terms in Hebrew would point to the former; but it is at least significant that before animals were divided into tahor and tame' they were simply called tahor and not-tahor (Gen. vii. 2). Another form of the religious explanation of the distinction between

clean and unclean animals derives this from totemism. The totem of a

¹ Which covers reptiles, rats, mice, insects, etc.



tribe is an animal (less frequently a plant) which the tribe recognise as physically akin to themselves and as invested with supernatural powers. W. R. Smith and others have argued that, like most primitive races, the ancient Semites also had their totems; and the evidence for this is considerable. The names of a number of Semitic persons and tribes are animal names. In the O.T. we find Rahel Ewe, Leah Antelope or wild-cow, Nun Fish, Kaleb Dog, 'Akbor Mouse, Huldah Weasel, Shaphan Rock-badger, 'Oreb Raven and 'Ayyah Kite. Among the Arabs there are many more (W. R. Smith, Kinship, 17, 190 ff., gives a list of personal names identical with those both of clean and unclean animals; cp. Musil's lists in Ethn. Ber. and Von Oppenheim's in Von Mittelmeer zum Persischen Golf). In Harran the dog, and among the Arabs the rock-badger, were regarded as the brothers of man (Kinship, 201, 204). The totems are most frequently wild animals, for totemism is characteristic of the hunting stage of human life; and nothing does more to break it up than the adoption of pastoral habits along with the notions which these suggest of the kinship of man with his milk-giving beasts through fosterage. But primitively the domestic animals may also have been totems till higher ideas of divinity became attached to them. 'In almost all ancient nations in the pastoral and agricultural stage the chief associations of the great deities are with the milk-giving animals; and it is these animals, the ox, the sheep, the goat, or in Arabia the camel, that appear as victims in the public and national worship.' The gods grew out of and replaced the animal demons (Rel. Sem., 336 f.; cp. 129 f.). But the older ideas survived, as is seen from their recrudescence in Syria, in the 8th and 7th centt., when the national and tribal faiths were broken up. The sacredness imputed to all these animals would affect the use of them in different and opposite ways. It would compel abstention from them as common food, but it would also be the motive of their sacramental use upon solemn occasions, when by partaking of its flesh the tribesmen entered into communion with their totem. Tribes uniting with each other would respect the sacredness of their respective totems and thus alter or modify their own food customs. Or again the totem of their enemies might be solemnly slaughtered and eaten by a tribe as if to absorb the qualities of that beast or to signify the destruction of its human kin (Stade, Gesch. Isr., I. 485). Or again totems might be used medicinally. We cannot limit the directions in which the easily startled mind of primitive man will spring under fear, or hate, or hope, or some other No wonder, then, that Stade (loc. cit.) describes all propassion. hibitions of foods as going back to totemism. W. R. Smith (Kinship, 310) adds this argument: 'that the Hebrew list of forbidden foods is largely made up of the names of creatures that there could be no temptation to eat under ordinary circumstances, is naturally explained by the theory just put forward."

These general conclusions are, however, precarious. It cannot be proved that every animal unclean to Israel was, or had been, a totem of one of their own tribes or of an alien people. The hare does not appear as such, but on the contrary was believed by the Arabs to be

avoided by all demons or jinns (Ret., Sem., 122 n.1; ep. Jacob, Altarah, Betdiumthelm, 20). Probably for that very reason, the use of its head or of one of its bones as an amulet was both ancient and wide-spread among the Arabs. Arabs also use as medicine one of the birds unclean to Israel, the rabbin or carrion-vulture (v. 17), as well as parts of serpents (Manik, Ethin. Ber., 19, 15). Vet the fact that all the unclean birds on the Hebrew lists are carrion-feeders leaves it as possible that the prohibition of them was due to the natural diggest they the totems of Israelite or other clans. If the absence of any natural temptation to eat them is a reason for seeking a totemsitie explanation of their unlawfulness as food, why are the beasts of prey not also detailed by name?

Above all the advocates of a totemistic explanation of the distinction between clean and unclean flesh-foods take no notice of certain other influences which must have disturbed and altered any system of foods based upon totemism. One of these was the frequency of famine as the result either of war or of natural causes. Deprived of their usual and sacred foods tribes would be forced to experiment with kinds of flesh which for one reason or another they had hitherto scrupulously avoided. In famine-cursed Arabia this may have been the origin of the eating of lizards and serpents. Nor can we ignore the common, everyday sagacity of men, always more or less sharpened by the struggle for the means of living. And, besides, there was the moral sense which we have already (in connection with the sacrifice of children) found operative even among the heathen Semites. If excesses in eating or in drinking, or sexual abuses, were developed in connection with rites, whose centre was the enjoyment of the flesh of a particular animal, there may well have been a revolt against the use of that flesh either ordinarily or as a sacrament.

Obviously, then, it is injudicious to allow to totenism more than a contributory part in the formation of those customs in the use of flesh-foods which prevailed throughout the Semitic world. Baldensperger's description of the distinctions in eating wild beasts and birds observed by the present natives of Palestine implies that these are due to several factors —tradition, observation of what the beasts and birds eat, and rules are evaded by fictitious excuses, and in particular birds regarded as 'unclean' will be eaten when accidentally killed (PEFC, 1098, 1296.)

Probably all the causes suggested had something to do with the complex and varying results. Both physical and religious motives were at work; and the latter must have often been suggested by the often an innia or the sickness which followed the eating of its flesh would inevitably start the belief that a demoniac power was present in the animal. In the case of animals adopted as totems other ideas were operative. Where the animal gave milk the sense of blood-kinship of prey was adopted as the toten we can guess at the cause in some imagined friendliness on its part, or the wearing of its skin, or some human resemblance in its features, or some weird pride in imitating its habits or in likening its strength to one's own. The effects of totemism on the tribe's food-customs may be inferred with greater certainty; but as we have seen they are variable, opposite and even contradictory. And again all such religious and totemistic practices would be crossed and warped both by natural and by historical events; by the stress of famine and the outbreak of plague, or by migration and the alliances and amalgamations of tribes with different totems. For it is only by so complex a variety of influences, both within totemism and acting upon it, that we can account for what seem to be the arbitrary and inconsistent features in the various Semitic systems of the distinction of foods into clean and unclean. We cannot forget that through all the complexity of religious and social customs there must have been constantly operative the practical need of proving what beasts, birds and fishes were good for food and what were deleterious. Only thus can weexplain the adoption of fish as food by tribes to which fish had been at first abhorrent. The simple rule to eat what was good for food is remembered in J as primitive and was no doubt always at work. It would require merely another of those religious fictions, in which Semitic societies were expert, to reconcile the happy experience of some new form of food with the religious system under which it had previously been forbidden.

That all such influences had also once affected the tribes which united to form Israel is certain. Even under the written Law Israel's system of clean and unclean foods remains too similar to the customs of other Semites to leave us in doubt upon that point. But within historical times some of the influences had ceased to act directly on Israel and others came into operation. At the beginning of their history the Hebrews were out of the hunter stage of life and into the pastoral. Totemism, replaced by higher forms of religion, had disappeared or was confined to obscure portions of the people (note, however, as a survival to the days of Hezekiah the Nehushtan or brazen serpent in the Temple). Food-customs springing from totemism or similar superstitions remained after their origin was forgotten. With the people's settlement on more fertile lands the ox became, in addition to the goat and sheep, a domestic animal; and the sacredness of the relation of all three to the people is obvious from the fact that they could be eaten only sacramentally. On the other hand, Israel's free use of certain wild animals may have been determined by the fact that like the domestic animals these ate of herbage only, while as they stood in no sacred relation to the people they might be slain and eaten without sacrifice. The people's original unfamiliarity with the camel, joined it may be with the fact that it was sacred to foreigners, is a sufficient reason for considering its flesh as unclean. Further effects of their settlement are seen in the differences between others of their foodcustoms and those of the desert Arabs. They shared that aversion to wild boars and reptiles which (as we have seen) still distinguishes the fellahin from the nomads. Whatever may have been their original

-3

feelings as to fish, they ate fish in Palestine as freely as the Arabs begin to do after settlement in Moab or Gilead. That they ruled out eels and lampreys, the former with very minute scales the latter with none, is intelligible enough, since in shape these resemble serpents. They abstained from birds which feed on carrion and from loathsome wild animals: but whether the motive to this abstention was solely one of disgust or was due as well to the fact that these animals were sacred to other tribes is a point on which we have not enough evidence. On insects and reptiles Deut. xiv. 9 f. is vague, locusts may or may not be forbidden by it; but H, Lev. xi. 20-23, defines what locusts may be eaten, and in a Priestly addition to H. Lev. xi. 2 ff., there are more detailed directions as to unclean beasts. Such differences imply a growth in the customs of Israel, especially with regard to animals on the line of separation and difficult to distinguish in their structure from each other. That the weasel (or rat?) and the mouse. while not mentioned in Deut., are expressly forbidden in Lev. xi. 29, may be due to the recrudescence in the 6th cent, of those rites in which their flesh was sacramentally enjoyed (see above); but more probably we owe it to the scribes' increasing love of detail, since Deut. xiv. is itself subsequent to the 7th cent.

We cannot doubt that the higher ethical spirit which distinguishes Israel from their Semitic kinsfolk, even from the earliest times, had some influence on the people's practice with regard to foods, especially by disciplining the appotite. But of this there are no marks in the written law. There the determining factor is haliness, i.e. ritual separation to Jehovab. Of course from this there followed those ethical

effects to which sufficient allusion has been made above.

INDEX

Abarim 359 Abib, month 208 f. 'abomination' xxxiii, 116, 186 acacia wood 132 adultery xxxv, 265 ff. agriculture practised by Israel in Egypt 146; agricultural year in Palestine 149 Altar and Sanctuary, law of the One, see One altar &c. Amalek 290 f. 'amen' 305 Ammon 37, 45, 269 Amorites lvi, 7 f., 27, 48, 106 Anakim 19 angels of nations 347 animals, clean and unclean 185 ff., 382 ff.; against mixing animals in ploughing 262; slaughter and eating of domestic 169 f., 171, 186; of wild 115, 171 antelope 187 anthropomorphism 9, 63 f., 80 n., 353, 368 Ar 34, 37 'Arabah 2, 8, 32, 52, 134, 153, 378 Arabs 97, 102, 172, 187, 232, 244 f., 247, 254, 286, 288, 344; animal food among the 186 ff., 383 ff.; divorce among the 265, 277; stature of the 19; vendetta among the 240 . Argob 47 Ark, bearers of the 135 f. : making of the 131 ff. Arnon 38, 44 Aroer 44 Asher, blessing of 375

Baalim xxxi, 150, 295 Baal-peor 58 ban, see herem barley 120 'base fellows' 181 Bashan 46; bulls of 349 'bastard' 260 bat 191 beating, punishment by 284 f. 'bedstead' of iron 49 Beduin 122, 133, 189 Beeroth Bene-jaakan 134 Bel 345 Benjamin, blessing of 369 Beth-peor 56 Bezer 75 'bill of divorcement' 278 birds 189 ff., 260, 388, 390 Blessings, the 308 ff. 'blood is the life' 171 f.; not to be eaten 171 'boil of Egypt,' the 312 Booths, Feast of 200, 213 f. boundary stones 240 ff. brass 121 bread 118, 121

Avvim, the 38

bride, the suspected xxxv, 263 f. bronze 121 'brooks' 120 burning of children in sacrifice 174 f.

Caleb, son of Jephunneh 23 camel 188, 384 camp, the holiness of the 271 f. Canaanites 8, 106 'captains' 11, 106 captivity, selling into 43 cattle 122, 183, 186 cave-dwellers 35 chamois 187 'charimer' 232 'chastisment' 144

'avenger of blood' xxxii f., 238,

Asherah, the 217 ff.

'assembly' or f.

astral worship 65

'augury' 231

asps 355

240

'children of Israel' 77; origin of Israel 362 ff. children, power of Israelite parents over 257; not to be put to death

for parents 282

Chinnereth 52, 375 cities 181; treatment of captured

247 ff.; of refuge 73 f., 236 ff.; walled 10

' city of palm trees, 'the 379 city seduced to other gods to be destroyed 181

clean and unclean animals 18; ff., 382 ff.

clothes of other sex not to be worn

cloths in a garment, against mixing

'commandment' (miswah) 57, 94 ff., 145, 226, 329 commandments, the ten, see Deca-

commerce, foreign 201

coney 188 Congregation, right of entry into the 268

congregation of Israel or copper 121

corn 113, 149, 193; use, at need, of others' 276

'covenant' 63 Covenant, Book of the xx f., xliiff.,

xciv, 79 covet' 91

'creeping things' 385 f.; winged crime, individual responsibility for

criminal, exposed corpse of a 257 f.

'cubit of a man,' the 49 curses, the 304 ff., 310 ff.

Dan, blessing of 374; site of 379 Dathan and Abiram 145 dead, rites for the, forbidden 183 f.,

debts, remission of 198 ff. Decalogue, the 64, 78f., 128, 156f.;

earliest known occurrence of the

term 81; a 'second' 82; Preface to 86 f.; various arrangements of 86

deep,' the 370 demons 350, 386

'depths' 120

Deuteronomy: names ix f.; content, structure and style x ff.; standpoint, doctrine and spirit xviii ff.; ethics xxxii ff.; Deut. and the Law-Book of Josiah xl ff., xciv ff.; date of xl ff., cx ff.; questions and conclusions as to unity of xlvff., xci ff.; relations to each other of the Code and the Discourses xlvii ff.; compiled from various sources lxxi; crossdivisions and distinctions lxii ff.: singular and plural forms of address lxxiiiff., 95ff., 110ff., 117, 123 ff., 138 ff., 279; editorial factors lxxxviii ff.; the ages of, and its contents xciv ff.; laws peculiar to lxviii; questions as to the form and contents of, and their answers cx ff.; Mosaic

authorship examined cvii ff.;

conspectus of code 154 ff. disobedient son, a 256 f. 'divination' 231 divorce xxxiv, 276 ff. Di-zahab 2 f.

'door-posts' 100 eagle 180; gier 180

Ebal, Mt 152, 300 ff., 304 Edomites, treatment of 270 Edrei 46, 49 Egypt, diseases of 124, 312; horses in 225; rainless 147 f.; methods

of irrigation 147; still-born children in 174 Elath 33 'elders' lxx, 216, 239

Elohîm 60 emerods 312 Emim, the 35 'enchanter' 232 Eshcol 16 ennuchs 268 f.

Euphrates 8, 151 exile, threat of 316; life in 319; conditions of restoration from 327 ff. Ezion-geber 33

fallen beasts, assisting to lift 259 f. 'familiar spirit' 232 fatherhood of God 184

'field' qr firstborn, the rights of the 255 f. 'firstfruits' 228; presentation of 292 f.

'firstlings' 193, 206 ff., 252; perquisite of priests 207 fishes 189, 384, 386, 390

flesh, use of for food 186 foods, clean and unclean 183 ff., 382 ff.

'fool,' 'folly' 265 'foreigner' 200 'forest' 237

for ever 206 'forty stripes' 285

'forty years' 32 'fountains' 120 'frontlets' 100

fruit-trees 101, 120; sparing the. in a siege 249 f.

Gad, blessing of 373 'gall' 324

gashing the flesh forbidden 184 gazelle 171, 186 Gebal 27 generation, length of a 32 ger xxxv, 13, 197, 229, 283, 323 Gerizim, Mt 152, 304 Gezer 18, 20, 35, 172, 174, 219, 368

giants, traditions of 19 f. gift'=bribe 216 Gilead 44, 50, 378 f.

Gilgal 153 Girgashite 106 gleaning 283 goats 186; wild 187

God, anthropomorphic language used of 9, 63 f., 80 n., 353, 368; not to be represented in any form 61 ff., 63

Golan 75

Digitized by Google

'graven images' 83, 85 f., 116 Gudgodah 134

'H.' relation of to Deut. lxvii f. Hammurabi, Code of 60, 87, 204,

216, 224, 231, 241, 243, 251, 256, 259, 261, 264f., 268, 273f., 277, 280, 285, 302 hare 188, 383, 387

hart 171, 186 harvest in Palestine 212; Feast of

hawk 190; night 190 Hazeroth 2

'heap,' an 182 'heart' 69, 99, 321

'heave offering' 164 heavens, the 140, 151, 343 f.

herem, the lvii, 42 f., 182 f., 247 Hermon, Mt 48

heron 191, 383 Heshbon 40

Hezekiah, Deut. and the reign of ci ff.

' Higā,' the 244 'hill-country,' the 8

'hinder sea,' the 379 Hittites 105 f.

Hivites 100 'holiness' in Deuteronomy and other O.T. writers 108 ff., 185,

300, 300 honey 348

Hor, Mt 359 Horeb, Mt 3, 82 ff., 127 Horites 35 Hormah 27

hornets 115 horses 225 Hosea cxiv f., 26, 93, 102, 108 f.,

112, 210 f. hot springs 121 house, dedication of a new 246 f. human sacrifices 174 ff.

humanity of Deut. laws xxxv ff. idolatry, against 61 ff.; danger of

xxix f. 'idols' 324 'imagination' 339

incense, use of 368 'increase mightily' 07 Ingathering, Feast of 208 ff. interest on loans 274 iron 49, 121; smelting of 66 Israel, Jehovah's people xxvi ff., 107 f., 298 f.; origin of 362 ff.; national organisation 11 ff.

Issachar, blessing of 372 'itch' 312

Jabbok 45, 120 lahaz 41 lebusite 106

JE' used by Deut, lxvii Jehovah cxv, 7, 84, 87, 98, 104; of Hosts 243; name of 163; fatherhood of 184; Israel's ex-

perience of 60; love for Israel xxvi ff., 111; Rock 345, 350 Jericho 19, 379 Jeshurun 349, 365 Jews, fame among the Gentiles 59f.

Jordan 300 f.; 'beyond Jordan' 1, 5, 74 Joseph, blessing of 369 f.

Joshua, appointment of 332 ff. : charge to 336 ff. Josiah, the Law-Book of xl ff.,

xlvii, xciv ff. Jotbathah 134 Judah, blessing of 365 f.

judges 215; of final appeal 222 f., 242; tribal xxxii, lxx, off., 215 f. justice, administration of xxxii ff. Kadesh-barnea 3

Kaphtor 38 Kedemoth 40 Kibroth-hattaavah 130 kid 192 king, the 224 knots or tassels 262 Kohathites 136 f.

Laban 2 Lachish 18f. 'landmark' 241

Law, the, superiority of to other codes 60; the conscience of 329 ff.; delivered to the Levites

334 f.; written 225, 300 ff., 317. 334 f., 340 Lebanon 8, 55 lending 201 leprosy 280 Levi, blessing of 366 Levirate marriage 286 ff. Levites xxiii, 134 ff., 167, 196,

226 ff., 304 f., 367 ff. locust 314, 300 lost property, restoring 259

'lowland,' the 8

Machir 51 magic 233 makôm 160

Manasseh, Deut, and the reign of c 'man of God' 362 manstealing, against 280

marriage with foreigner forbidden 107; Levirate 286 ff.; remarriage after divorce 276 ff.; with a female captive of war 254 f.

married, exemption of newly 279 Massah 102, 130, 367 Massebah, the 210 f. Massôth 209, 212

Megiddo 210 Meribah 360 Meribath-Kadesh 363 Mesha of Moab 42, 44, 174 ' milk and honey' 07 mill or upper millstone, against

taking in pledge 280 'mingled stuff' forbidden 262 'minister' 136 Moab 5, 37, 85, 94, 187, 246, 249, 269, 372; plains of 378; wilder-

ness of 34 Moabite stone, the 41f., 87 Mohammed 185, 254 Molech 175 ' money ' 194 monotheism 60, 68, 86, 98

Moserah 134 Moses, relation of to Deuteronomy cvii ff.; prayer of 54 ff.; Blessing

of 360 ff.; last days and discourses of 332 ff.; death and burial of 358 f., 378 ff.; Song of 342 ff.

mother-bird, sparing the 260 mountains as places for shrines 161; 'mountain,' a whole range 55 murder, accidental and wilful

236 ff.; expiation of an untraced 250 ff.

mutilation, punishment by 289

'name of God,' the 163 Naphtali, blessing of 375, 379 Nebo, Mt 359, 378 necklaces 205

'necromancer' 232 Negeb 8 'neighbour' 200

'no-people' 342 f.

'oaks of Moreh' 153 f. oaths 102

offerings, burnt 164, 244, 368; freewill 165, 213; heave 164; meat 164; peace 164, 209 'officers' 12

Og, king of Bashan 45 ff., 49 oil 113, 120, 149, 193 olives 120, 149, 283 f., 314, 348,

One Altar and Sanctuary, the law of xxiv f., xxxi, cvii ff., 155 ff., 160 ff., 166 ff., 209, 226, 240,

orphan, against injustice to the 283 ostrich 190, 383 f. owl, great, horned, and little 190

ox 186, 386, 389; muzzling the 285 f.; wild 371

' P,' relation of to Deut, lxvii f. Paran 2, 363 Passover (with Massôth), Feast of

208 ff. Perizzite 106 Petra 210 Phoenicia 8, 20, 48, 106, 373 Pisgah 52, 55

plagues, seven 311 ff. Plain, the 379 plains of Moab 378 pledges, laws concerning 281 prayer at table 122

Digitized by Google

'priests the Levites,' the xxiii, 226 f., 253, 303, 305

primitive man and religion 101 prophet, as dreamer 176 f.; in con-

trast to diviner 230 f., 233; test of a prophet's word 234 f. punishment, excessive forbidden

rainfall, annual, in Palestine 149 Ramoth in Gilead 75

Refuge, cities of 73 f., 236 remarriage after divorce forbidden 276 ff.

Remission, Year of 108 ff. Rephaim, the 19, 38, 49 'respect persons' 13

Reuben, blessing of 365 righteousness 104 rock-badger 188, 383, 387 Rock, the, a synonym for God

345, 350

roofs, law as to protecting 261 Sabbath 84 ff., 88

sacrifices, kinds of 164; animal 164, 206 ff., 252; blemished 220; human 174 f., 350; of children by slaughter and burning 174 ff.; preference for male victims 207

sandal 288 sarcophagus at Sidon 49, 87 scorpions 122 scurvy 312

Salecah 49

sea, Hebrew conception of the

'sea-shore,' the 8 seeds, against mixing 261 Seir 3, 27

serpents 388; 'fiery ' 122 shaduf, the 147 shaving the hair or beard 184 f., 254

sheep 113, 122, 186 Shěma', the 97 Shephelah 8 shoe, symbolism of 288

'signs' 71, 177 Sihon 40 Sinai, Mt 363, 371 Sion, Mt 78 Sirion 48 Sirocco, the 312 slaughter of animals, sacramental

169 slaves xxxv, 89, 99, 101, 206; causes by which Israelites became 203; condition of, in Israel 203; emancipation of 202 ff.; runaway 272 f.

smoke of sacrifice 368 soothsayers 231 'sorcerer' 232 'soul' 69

'sorcerer' 232 'soul' 69 'South,' the 8 spies, the 14 ff.

stature of Arabs and Syrians 19
'statutes and judgements' 57 f.,
94, 96, 103, 154 ff.

stone pillars, lawsengraved on 301f. stoning to death 180, 221 'strange gods' 338

'strange gods 336 'stranger' xxxv, 13, 196, 229, 283,

'street' 182 'strife' 11 'strong drink' 195

'strong drink' 195 Suph 2 'swine' 188, 385

Ta'anak 219, 368 Taberna 130 Tabernacles, Feast of 214 'tables of stone' 128, 132 f. Talmud and the Decalogue 86 Tell-el-Amarna letters 8, 27, 65,

218 f.
'temptations' 71
'tent of meeting' 337

'tent of meeting' 337
'Ten Words,'the 64, 81; see Decalogue
'testimonies,' the 77
'Testimony,' the 82
tithes 164, 102 ff., 105 fe, 203;

tithes 164, 192 ff., 195 f., 293; triennial distribution of 295 ff. Tophel 2 Törah 5, 60, 226, 302, 340

totemism 387 ff. trade, foreign 201 trees as places for worship 154, 161 'tributary' 248

unchastity 262 ff. 'unseemly thing' 278 usury 274 f.

vendetta xxxii f., 240 'villages' 38

vine 120, 149, 246 vows 275 f. wage-earner, payment of 282

war 71, 243 ff.; a religious process 42f., 243f.; exemptions from service 244 ff., 279 f.; Puritans and 244

waters of Palestine, characteristic 119 f. 'water under the earth' 65

'water under the earth' 65 water-wheels 147 wearing the clothes, etc., of the other sex, against 260 Weeks, Feast of 208 ff., 212 f. weights and measures, against di-

vers 289 f.
wheat 120
widow, against injustice to the 283
wife 91, 167, 179; against intercourse with a father's 267 f.; the
bondman's 202
'wilderness,' the 2

'wilderness,' the 2
wine 113, 149, 193, 195
witnesses 221, 241 ff.
'wonders' 71, 177
'Words,' the Ten 81
worship, heathen places of 161

year, division of the 4

Zamzummim, the 37, 106 Zebulun, blessing of 372 Zered, the brook 35 Zin, wilderness of 360 Zoar 379

CAMBRIDGE: PRINTED BY J. B. PEACE, M.A., AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS

89094611936

Sem. 1960-61-2 hour m. 1960-61-2 hour

B89094611936A



